

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

# **Analyzing seismic signals to understand volcanic mass flow emplacement**

A thesis in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy in Earth Sciences

At Massey University, Palmerston North, Manawatu, Aotearoa New Zealand



Braden Michael Larson Walsh

2017



## **Abstract**

Natural hazards are one of the greatest threats to life, industry, and infrastructure. It has been estimated that around a half billion people worldwide are in direct proximity to the danger of volcanic hazards. For volcanic mass flows, such as pyroclastic density currents and lahars, extreme runout distances are common. The close proximity of large population centers to volcanoes requires the implementation of early warning and real-time monitoring systems. A large portion of the progress towards real-time monitoring is through the use of geophysical instrumentation and techniques. This research looks into emerging geophysical methods and tries to better constrain and apply them for volcanic purposes. Specifically, multiple types of amplitude source location techniques are described and used for locating and estimating the dynamics of volcanic mass flows and eruptions. Other methods, such as semblance and back projection, are also employed. Applying the active seismic source method to a lahar that occurred on October 13<sup>th</sup> 2012 at Te Maari, New Zealand, locations and estimations of lahar energy were calculated in an increased noise environment. Additionally, the first ever calibration of the amplitude source location (ASL) method was conducted using active seismic sources. The calibration proved to decrease true error distances by over 50%. More calibration on the ASL method was accomplished by using all three components of the broadband seismometer. Initial results showed that using all three components reduced extreme errors and increase the overall precision of the locations. Finally, multiple geophysical methods (ASL, semblance, back projection, waveform migration, acoustic-seismic ratios) were used to show

that a combination of instrumentation could produce more reliable results. This research has filled gaps in the preexisting knowledge for hazards. With these results, more effective hazard warnings can be produced, and systems for real time estimations of locations and dynamics of volcanic events could be developed.

## Dedication

While writing this thesis I was pondering whom I should dedicate this brilliant piece of work to. I dedicated my poorly wrote with lots of spelling errors Masters thesis to my family, and in some ways that is very fitting. Families are like that, they are not perfect, there is always someone messed up or something wrong going on, but in the end you hold them dearly and could not live with out them. I am not strictly applying this metaphor solely on my maters thesis, but rather to education in general. I believe education is the most valuable thing someone can have and even if it is not appealing they should not waste one moment of it. Trying to improve the future or society in anyway should be the ultimate goal for every person, because what better way is there to live life than trying to advance our species. In saying this, should I dedicate my thesis to the future? That seems really cheesy, so no, and if I really think about it, did I change society in any way or advance science in any way by completing this? No, not really, so did I just waste three years of my life? Well it depends on the person you ask. Should I then dedicate this to myself, because after all I am awesome? Maybe not, because that might be too much of an awesome move and put me into a legendary status. So then who or what gets the credit for my motivation for these last three years? At the end of the day when everything is said, all wrote up, and done, all I can do is dedicate this thesis to YOU, you the poor soul who reads this, you the poor soul who gets this thesis dropped in your lap and is forced to read this, you the poor soul who after forced reading this thinks my work is crap and thinks you can do better than me (and to you, I bet you can and please try your best), and finally to

you the sorry soul who if force to read this and gets any kind of useful information out of it to make your PhD, Masters, or educational path just that little bit easier, I dedicate this thesis.

## Acknowledgements

First off I would like to thank and acknowledge the hard working people at GeoNet for installing and taking care of all the seismometers around New Zealand. If it were not for you all, I would have not had anywhere to steal my earthquake/volcanic data from. This also relates to the great job done by the people at the Earthquake Commission (EQC) and the Department of Conservation (DoC). Closely related to this would be my advisor from GNS, Dr. Jolly, which I would like to thank for all your contributions (i.e. projects, data, skills) especially all the things you have taught me, and all the things I have learned from you. The best thing you ever did for me was not giving me anything, you made me learn on my own and write all my programs, meanwhile most of the time correcting me and holding back telling me how not smart I was. Dr. Jolly I will always remember our lunches in that we always ended up talking about US sports, which gave me a feeling of home. Dr. Procter what can I say, you are my homeboy, the supreme pro, super-duper, big cheese, papa smurf, grey fox advisor. I would like to thank you for all your helpful nudges and humor that was meant to make me work harder. Also all the times we had together in the field or on trips in which we had great conversations and discussions. Oh and how could I forget all those awesomely amazing maps and points that took you all day to make, they were excellent, I guess. Last but not least, I would like to acknowledge my dearest friends and flatemates. How could I have ever survived without you? Actually, probably would be healthier, but defiantly lonelier. You all know who you are, and I thank you for the memories and the encouragement over my time in good ol' Palmy.

## Table of Contents

<b>Abstract</b> .....	i
<b>Dedication</b> .....	iii
<b>Acknowledgments</b> .....	v
<b>Table of Contents</b> .....	vi
<b>List of Figures</b> .....	ix
<b>List of Tables</b> .....	xvi
<b>Chapter 1 Introduction</b> .....	1
1.1 The Problem.....	2
1.2 Research Questions.....	3
1.3 Thesis Outline and Structure.....	5
1.4 Literature Review.....	7
1.4.1 Lahars and their triggering mechanisms.....	7
1.4.2 Seismic monitoring and classifications of mass flow behavior.....	9
1.4.2.1 Debris flows.....	13
1.4.2.2 Hyperconcentrated flows.....	21
1.4.2.3 Snow avalanches.....	27
1.4.2.4 Granular flows.....	32
1.4.3 Geophysical techniques for determining dynamics and locations of seismic phenomena.....	39
1.4.3.1 Phase arrival time.....	39
1.4.3.2 Coherence.....	40
1.4.3.2.1 Semblance.....	40
1.4.3.2.2 Back projection.....	42
1.4.3.3 Amplitude source location.....	43
1.4.3.3.1 Amplification factors.....	45
1.4.3.4 Active source matching.....	46
<b>Chapter 2 Seismic analysis of the 13 October 2012 Te Maari, New Zealand, lake breakout lahar: Insights into flow dynamics and the implications on mass flow monitoring</b> .....	49
2.1 Introduction.....	51
2.2 Data.....	56
2.2.1 Volcanic mass flow background.....	56
2.2.2 Active source data.....	59
2.2.3 Natural data.....	60
2.3 Methods.....	65
2.3.1 Processing of natural and active source data.....	65
2.3.2 Correlation between natural data and active source data.....	66
2.3.3 Estimating energy of natural data from active source data.....	67
2.3.4 Noise characterization.....	68
2.4 Results.....	71
2.5 Discussion.....	80
2.6 Conclusions.....	85

<b>Chapter 3 Calibrating the amplitude source location (ASL) method by using active seismic sources: An example from Te Maari Volcano, Tongariro National Park, New Zealand</b> .....	88
3.1 Introduction.....	90
3.2 Data.....	94
3.2.1 Methods.....	96
3.2.2 Amplification factors.....	97
3.2.3 Grid search.....	98
3.3 Results.....	99
3.4 Discussion.....	104
3.5 Conclusions.....	107
<b>Chapter 4 Geophysical examination of the 27 April 2016 Whakaari/White Island, New Zealand eruption and its implications for vent physiognomies and eruptive dynamics</b> .....	109
4.1 Introduction.....	111
4.2 Data.....	117
4.2.1 Eruption history and background.....	117
4.3 Locating eruption sources using the amplitude source location method.....	118
4.4 Insights into eruption dynamics using infrasound.....	128
4.4.1 Source migration analysis.....	129
4.5 Analyzing the very long-period earthquake.....	135
4.6 Determining eruption pulse characteristics using the volcanic acoustic-seismic ratio.....	139
4.7 Discussions.....	144
4.8 Conclusions.....	148
<b>Chapter 5 Improving seismic source locations through the amplitude source location (ASL) method using 3-component analysis? An example from Te Maari Volcano, Tongariro National Park, New Zealand</b> .....	151
5.1 Introduction.....	152
5.2 Data.....	154
5.3 Methods.....	157
5.3.1 Amplification factors.....	158
5.3.2 Grid search.....	161
5.4 Results.....	161
5.5 Component analysis.....	168
5.6 Discussions.....	173
5.6.1 Estimating locations for 3-component ASL using H/V ratios to correct site response.....	176
5.7 Conclusions.....	183

<b>Chapter 6 Synthesis and conclusions</b> .....	185
6.1 Synthesis.....	186
6.2 Specific findings.....	198
6.2.1 Using active sources to determine dynamics and locations....	198
6.2.2 Using active sources to calibrate the ASL method.....	199
6.2.3 Using multiple location techniques to understand volcanic eruptions.....	199
6.3 Conclusions.....	201
6.3.1 Evaluating the research objectives.....	201
6.4 Future recommandations.....	203
<b>Appendix A</b> .....	207
A.1 Back projection.....	208
<b>Appendix B</b> .....	211
B.1 Chapter 2 supplementary material.....	212
B.1.1 Programs.....	212
B.2 Chapter 3 supplementary material.....	213
B.2.1 Programs.....	213
B.3 Chapter 4 supplementary material.....	214
B.3.1 Programs.....	214
B.4 Chapter 5 supplementary material.....	215
B.4.1 Programs.....	215
B.5 Additional programs.....	215
<b>Appendix C</b> .....	216
<b>Bibliography</b> .....	218
<b>Vita auctoris</b> .....	239

## List of figures

Figure 1.1: E-W component seismogram of a debris avalanche at La Sionne Switzerland, B) spectrogram, C) total spectrum (Surinach et al., 2005).....	12
Figure 1.2 Seismic signals from three different debris flows in the Moscardo Torrent, Italy (Arattano, 1999).....	15
Figure 1.3 Vertical seismic signal recording debris flow at Ai-Yu-Zi Creek, Nan-Tou, Taiwan (Huang et al., 2007).....	16
Figure 1.4 Seismogram of a debris flow in the Himalayan Mountains, Nepal (Burtin et al., 2009).....	17
Figure 1.5 Vertical continuous seismogram of a debris flow on Izu-Oshima Island, Japan, 16 October 2013, letters represent debris flow events (Ogiso and Yomogida, 2015).....	18
Figure 1.6 Seismic signal (a), spectrogram (b) and running average spectra (c) of the snow-slurry hyperconcentrated flow on Ruapehu, NZ, September, 2007 (Cole et al., 2009).....	23
Figure 1.7 Seismic signal (a), spectrogram (b) and RSEM (c) of the 15 September 2012 lahar on Colima, Mexico (Vazquez et al., 2013).....	24
Figure 1.8 Seismogram (a) and spectrogram (b) of a lahar flowing down Cotopaxi, Ecuador (Kumagai et al., 2009).....	25
Figure 1.9 Seismic waveforms for four Iliamna, Alaska avalanches (Caplan-Auerbach et al., 2005).....	29
Figure 1.10 Three-component seismogram of the 1997 Pointe des Tsarmettes avalanche, Spain (Surinach et al., 2000).....	30
Figure 1.11 Seismic recording of an avalanche on Bridger Range, Montana, USA (Lawrence and Williams, 1976).....	31
Figure 1.12 All three components of a rockfall that occurred in 2007 at Montserrat, Catalonia (Vilajosana et al., 2008).....	33
Figure 1.13 Vertical seismograms (top) with spectrograms (bottom) from multiple rockfall events that occurred in the French Alps. The first solid line shows time of P-wave during rupture, while the second indicates the theoretical impact time after the fall (Deparis et al., 2008).....	34

Figure 1.14 Seismic signals of pyroclastic flows produced by the partial collapse of the lava dome on Colima, Mexico (Zobin et al., 2009).....36

Figure 1.15 Seismogram (a) and spectrogram (b) of a pyroclastic flow on Soufriere Hills Volcano, Montserrat (Levy et al., 2015).....37

Figure 1.16 Seismogram (A) and spectrogram (B) of the August 1999 Soufriere Hills Volcano, Montserrat (Jolly et al., 2002).....38

Figure 2.1: Hillshade DEM map of the Tongariro massif. Insert shows location of the map area of the North Island of New Zealand. (Note, seismic station TON2 was destroyed by the 6 August 2012 eruption and was not used). The Upper Mangatipua Stream is the location of the volcanic mass flow channel.....54

Figure 2.2: A) Map of the volcanic mass flow channel and Mangatipua stream with the runout extent of the 6 August debris flow (red) and the 13 October lahar (yellow). The debris flow scarp, chasm, and the eruption vent are shown in orange. B) Map of the locations of the active source drops along with the 13 October lahar source (yellow), and the ephemeral lake (blue) created by the debris flow dam. Note subsequent figures will utilize the same shapes and colors as the active source drops shown in figure 2B.....58

Figure 2.3: A) Graph showing the ephemeral lake level (left y-axis) and hourly rainfall (green circles) in millimeters (right y-axis). The lake level (blue line) steadily increases until the point of the dam breach at 11:20 UTC on 13 October (red star). B) Unfiltered seismogram of lahar from station KRVZ Z-component starting 10 minutes prior and 20 minutes after the lahar onset indicated in 3A by the red double arrow.....62

Figure 2.4: Pictures of the A) Mass flow channel looking up towards the Te Maari vent, B) The debris flow dam and breach, C) Lahar flow, D) Lahar deposits, and E) Stratigraphy of lahar deposits.....63

Figure 2.5: Amplitude spectra for 8 active source drops and 14 station components used in this analysis. The spectra include a frequency range between 0-12 Hz. Note TON7 has no East component due to corrupt data.....64

Figure 2.6: Amplitude spectra for the natural data recorded on 14 station components used for this analysis. The spectra include a frequency range between 0-12 Hz. Each spectrogram contains the spectra of the lahar (blue) and an overlay of noise 10 minutes before the lahar (red). Note TON7 has no East component due to corrupt data.....69

Figure 2.7: Amplitude spectra for the natural data for the maximum correlated time window on 14 station components. The spectra include a frequency range between 0-12 Hz. Each spectrogram consists of the spectra of one time window of the lahar (blue) and an overlay of the averaged 10 minutes pre-lahar (red).....70

Figure 2.8: Signal-to-noise charts depicting different SNR thresholds at a frequency band of 3-10 Hz for the 9 station components used for the final results for this study, A) 1.5, B) 2.0, C) 2.5, D) 3.0, E) 3.5, F) 4.0. The SNR threshold for time windows below the SNR limit (blue) or above the SNR limit (green).....74

Figure 2.9: Correlation processing and energy release through time for the 13 October 2012 lahar using multiple frequency ranges. Each point correlates to a 10.24 s non-overlapping time window. The points are color coded to match drop locations and grey dots are data that fell below the SNR limit. Further analysis was limited to the 3-10 Hz frequency range (C).....75

Figure 2.10: Correlation processing for the 13 October 2012 lahar and pre-lahar period. The lahar data were compared to each of the 8 active source drop positions by computing the cross-correlation for a uniform set of 3 stations (9 components) and selecting the best seismic amplitude distribution in a non-overlapping moving time window of 10.24 s each for analysis. Panel A shows the energy release through time with each time window color-coded to the drop location where colors are shown in the legend. Grey dots are “ghost points” or data that fell below the 2.0 SNR limit. Panel B shows the correlation coefficient for the best drop location to the lahar data. Panel C shows the lahar seismogram from the station KRVZ Z-component.....76

Figure 2.11: Graphs showing three specific time windows (11:31- red, 11:46- magenta, 11:51-green UTC) at various positions in the lahar’s progress. Values correspond to the correlation of each time window with each drop location (A) maximum value, B) minimum value) after 500 iterations of Jackknife error analysis. Color on lines correspond to best correlated drop location.....79

Figure 2.12: Final energy estimates for the 13 October 2012 Te Maari lahar in the 3-10 Hz band displaying two signal to noise results. A) Results with a SNR limit of 2.0, B) results with a SNR limit of 1.5. The use of 10.24s time windows is used along with each time window is color coded to the drop location of best correlation, colors of drops are shown in the legend. Grey dots are time windows that fall below the listed SNR limit.....83

Figure 3.1: Earthquake locations used in the coda wave normalization method to calculate amplification factors (AFs). The red square in the center is the ASL grid area. Active seismic sources are yellow circles and seismic stations are green triangles.....93

Figure 3.2: Example waveforms for the active source event 1 (A) and event 7 (B) for each of the seismic stations used in the ASL analysis, shown by distance away from source.....95

Figure 3.3: A) Location of active source events used in this study (yellow circles) and surface wave ASL locations with corrections for AFs (green) and without (red). B-C) location results for events 1 and 7 with variable attenuation and velocity. Stars represent the base value of an attenuation of  $Q=60$  and a surface wave velocity of  $\beta=1500$  m/s, while the attenuation is represented as squares and velocity as circles.....100

Figure 3.4: Analysis of the location effects for A) the body wave (orange) and surface wave (green) geometrical spreading. Sensitivity tests (B) relating to non-uniform station sets for event 1 (blue circles) and event 7 (purple circles) and the distribution of standard errors associated with AFs for Event 1 (cyan diamonds) and Event 7 (orange diamonds). Stars represent locations based on the mean AFs. (C) ASL results for AFs computed from the aggregate active source data (purple) compared to standard AF locations (green).....106

Figure 4.1: Map of Whakaari Island, New Zealand depicting the locations of seismometers (green triangles), acoustic sensors (magenta triangles), and active source drops (yellow crosses).....114

Figure 4.2: Seismic waveforms from station WIZ of the April 27<sup>th</sup> 2016 Whakaari eruption. Subfigures represent each of the eruptive pulses during the event.....115

Figure 4.3: Acoustic waveform data from station WIZ (A) and WSRZ (B) of the April 27<sup>th</sup> 2016 Whakaari eruption. Subfigures represent each of the eruptive pulses during the event.....116

Figure 4.4: Seismic spectrogram for the eruption event (station WIZ) along with amplitude spectra for each of the seismic stations. The eruption spectra (black) are overlain by pre-event noise (blue) for background noise comparison.....119

Figure 4.5: Minimal residual amplitude source location results (stars) using the base input values of  $\beta=1300$  m/s and an attenuation value of  $Q=10$ .....120

Figure 4.6: Amplitude source location results for each of the eruptive pulses with variable attenuation and velocity. The stars represent the base values of an attenuation of $Q=10$ and a body wave velocity of $\beta=1300$ m/s, while the attenuation is represented as squares and the velocity as circles.....	126
Figure 4.7: Calibrated amplitude source location results for each eruption pulse. Input values of an attenuation of $Q=3$ and a body wave velocity of $\beta=1300$ m/s were used to determine the locations of non-corrected results (pink stars) and active source corrected locations (green stars).....	127
Figure 4.8: Acoustic signals for each eruptive pulse. Both WIZ (blue) and WSRZ (red) sensors are stacked and overlain at the same timing.....	131
Figure 4.9: Source migration analysis figures (A) no filter, (B) 0.1-0.2 Hz, and (C) 0.2-2.0 Hz for the acoustic signals of both stations WIZ (blue) and WSRZ (red) for each eruptive pulse. Each subfigure contains the acoustigram, phase lag, peak frequency, and correlation coefficient values between the two stations.....	132
Figure 4.10: Map of particle motions based on waveform semblance for the VLP event during the eruption sequence, a blue star represents the best-fit location..	138
Figure 4.11: Energy partitioning between stations WIZ and WSRZ for acoustic data (A) and seismic data (B). The colors of the points represent the different eruptive pulses.....	141
Figure 4.12: VASRs between stations WIZ and WSRZ for all six eruptive pulses for acoustic data (A) and seismic data (B).....	142
Figure 4.13: Eruption waveforms for all recording stations for both seismic (black) and acoustic (blue) data depicting the VLP event and the fourth eruptive pulse. Notice the differences in onsets ( $\sim 50$ s) of the VLP on the seismic waveform compared to the eruption onset on the acoustic waveform.....	145
Figure 4.14: Post eruption imagery from Whakaari crater area. Green stars represent ASL locations for the six pulses, yellow star represents location of the VLP, and the purple circle represents the theorized April 27 <sup>th</sup> eruption vent area.....	149
Figure 5.1: Location of study area, northern section of the Tongariro National Park, New Zealand. Yellow circles represent the active source event locations along the Te Maari debris flow channel.....	155
Figure 5.2: Seismic spectra for all of the active source drops for each station component.....	160

Figure 5.3: ASL minimal residual location results for the vertical component (blue), 3-component amplification factor method 1 (teal), and 3-componet method 2 (magenta).....	162
Figure 5.4: ASL minimal residual location results for the vertical component (blue), 3-component amplification factor method 1 (teal), East component (pink), and North component (green).....	164
Figure 5.5: Analysis of the location effects for amplification factors of standard errors for comparing the vertical component and 3-component distribution for event 1 (A) and event 7 (B).....	165
Figure 5.6: Analysis of the location effects for station distribution effects for comparing the vertical component and 3-component for event 1 (A) and event 7 (B).....	169
Figure 5.7: Analysis of the location effects for amplification factors for the distribution of standard errors for comparing each of the seismic components for event 1 (A) and event 7 (B).....	170
Figure 5.8: Analysis of the location effects for station distribution effects for comparing each of the seismic components for event 1 (A) and event 7 (B).....	172
Figure 5.9: ASL minimal residual location results for the CWN vertical component (blue), and 3-component (teal), as well as, the H/V 3-componet (red) and vertical component (purple) results.....	178
Figure 5.10: Analysis of the location effects for amplification factors of standard errors for comparing the CWN and H/V method 3-component distribution for event 1 (A) and event 7 (B).....	182
Figure 6.1: ASL and AS results for the August 6 <sup>th</sup> 2012 Te Maari, New Zealand eruption sequence. A) ASL results for surface waves, B) ASL results for body waves, C) Active source results. Color of dots represent the estimated nearest active source location (for drop locations refer to figure 4.1).....	188
Figure 6.2: ASL depth location results for the August 6 <sup>th</sup> 2012 Te Maari, New Zealand eruption sequence. A) ASL surface wave results, B) ASL body wave results. Dot colors represent the nearest AS drop from the estimated ASL results (for drop locations refer to figure 2.2).....	190

Figure 6.3: ASL and AS results for the October 13<sup>th</sup> 2012 Te Maari, New Zealand lake breakout lahar. A) ASL results with no site and path effect corrections, B) Calibrated ASL results, C) Active source proxy results. Color of dots represent the estimated nearest active source location (for drop locations refer to figure 2.2).....194

Figure 6.4: Map showing ASL results for the October 13<sup>th</sup> 2012 Te Maari, New Zealand lake breakout lahar. Red stars represent ASL locations with no site and path effect corrections and blue stars represent AS calibrated ASL locations. Color is coded to time, which represents early (lighter) to later in the lahar (darker). Each star represents a 10 sec non-overlapping time window.....195

Figure 6.5: ASL and AS results for the April 27<sup>th</sup> 2016 White Island, New Zealand eruption sequence. A) Active source results, B) Calibrated ASL results. Color of dots represent the estimated nearest active source location (for drop locations refer to figure 4.1).....197

Figure A.1: Back projection results for the six eruptive pulses during the April 27<sup>th</sup> 2016 eruption on White Island. Color grid represents level of coherence where blue is low and red is highly coherent between the stations. Green stars represent location of largest coherence.....210

## List of tables

Table 3.1: Amplification Factors.....	99
Table 4.1: Station corrections determined from active sources for 13 broadband seismometers that were installed during the active source experiment.....	125
Table 4.2: Summary of semblance location for VLP event 2016-04-27 09:53:30 UTC filtered between 0.02 to 0.125 Hz. The location is in meters based on New Zealand Map Grid coordinates in meters. The lateral and depth ( $er_l(z)$ ) error estimates are in meters based on 2% error limit from the maximum semblance.....	137
Table 5.1: Amplification Factors.....	159
Table 5.2: Root mean squared errors.....	167
Table 5.3: H/V site corrections.....	177
Table 5.4: Summary of results.....	181