Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

## A STUDY OF PHYSIOLOGICAL AND PRODUCTIVE DIFFERENCES BETWEEN

### FLEECE WEIGHT SELECTED AND CONTROL ROMNEY SHEEP

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} A & the sis & presented \\ \\ in & partial & fulfilment & of & the & requirements \\ \\ & for & the & degree & of \\ \end{tabular}$ 

Doctor of Philosophy
in Animal Sciences
at
Massey University

© L. Anne McClelland 1990

Masse	y Univ	versity Library	Thesis Copyright Form
Title	of th	nesis: Ash	dy of physiological and productive differen
(1)	(a)	I give permiss readers in the	confect weight selected and control  Komney Sheep.  Ion for my thesis to be made available to  Massey University Library under conditions the Librarian.
	(b) .		my thesis to be made available to readers tten consent for months.
(2)	(a)		y thesis, or a copy, may be sent to another der conditions determined by the Librarian.
	(b)		my thesis, or a copy, to be sent to another thout my written consent for/Z months
(3)	(a)	I agree that n	y thesis may be copied for Library use.
	(b)	4	my thesis to be copied for Library use for onths.
			Signed AMCallelland
			Date 4711 2790
		_	is belongs to the author. Readers must ce below to show that they recognise

DATE

this. They are asked to add their permanent address.

NAME AND ADDRESS

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Sincere thanks are extended to Dr. Hugh Blair for his patience during the supervison of this work and his support both in and out of academic circles. Dr. George Wickham is gratefully acknowledged for his supervision in Dr. Blair's absence and his unfaltering interest in the experimental subject. Prof. Rae also contributed many useful comments and I was particularly grateful for his suggestion of the infamous G-test, (Someday I will write a computer programme to do them and save my sanity), even if I may not have appeared so at the time. Prof. Anderson is thanked for his support and encouragement in professional matters and his tolerance of animal breeders who digress from the biometrical to the biological. No remarks would be complete without thanking Dr. Stuart McCutcheon for his advice and criticisms, his witticisms, and his genuine interest in students.

The departmental staff, especially, Merv and Merv, helped considerably to relieve the drudgery of endless midside samplings, washings etc., etc.. The technical and support staff were always cheerful and supportive of "yet another mid-side sampler" who was voted "most likely to be late" every 28-days. Mr. Mark Carter, wherever he may be, was a real help in setting up computerized data recording and other "technological timesaving". The staff of the APU are acknowledged for the care and maintenance of my experimental sheepies on weekends and throughout the course of my extended indoor trial.

The graduate students in Animal Science formed an exciting and international group of friends. I thank them for helping me on rainy days of faecal samplings, endless days of washing wool samples, and for showing me the ropes of animal experimentation. I truly believe that I have never been as happy as I was during my time in Palmerston North.

Special thanks are extended to Heather Meikle and Ewen Cameron. Heather was always willing to explain "wool things" to me and was a long lost friend come home. Ewen could kill spiders. Coby Hoogendoorn is thanked for her assistance in doing the seasonal pasture cuts and for being just plain helpful. The other students in nimal cience may be gone, but they are not forgotten. My time at Massey University was enriched through their acquaintance and I am grateful for my ever increasing world network of friends.

The financial backing of Agriculture Canada's "Young Scientist Training Program" was greatly appreciated in allowing me to study and visit this beautiful country.

I must also thank my parents for their support, if not understanding, of my pursuits. This thesis is dedicated to the loving memory of my mother, Gwen McClelland, who passed away before its completion. This one's for you Gwennie.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

2	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTSi
1.	INTRODUCTION1
2.1 2.2 2.2.1 2.2.2 2.3 2.3.1	REVIEW OF LITERATURE
3.1 3.2 3.2.1	MATERIALS AND METHODS
4.	THE PERFORMANCE OF FW AND C RAM HOGGETS FED LUCERNE BASED DIETS WITH AND WITHOUT FORMALDEHYDE-PROTECTED
4.2.2 4.2.3 4.2.4 4.2.5 4.2.6 4.3 4.3.1 4.3.2 4.3.3 4.3.4	PROTEIN
5.1 5.1.1 5.1.2 5.1.3	SEASONALITY OF WOOL AND BODY GROWTH
5.1.5 5.1.6 5.1.7 5.2 5.2.1 5.2.2	Role of the Pineal gland in wool growth cycles57 Measuring the seasonality of wool growth63 Summary66 MATERIALS AND METHODS66 Experimental plan66 Sampling routine67
	Sample processing and calculated variables

	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
6.1 6.2 6.2.1 6.2.2 6.2.3 6.2.4 6.2.5 6.2.6 6.3 6.3.1 6.3.2	COMPARISON OF INTAKE AND EFFICIENCY ON PASTURE93 INTRODUCTION
7.1 7.1.1	INCIDENCE AND DURATION OF HOGGET OESTRUS
7.1.3	of oestrus110 Selection for improved reproductive performance115 Relationships between wool growth and
7.2 7.2.1 7.2.2	reproduction
7.3.2 7.3.3 7.3.4	Liveweight
7.4 7.4.1 7.4.2 7.4.3 7.4.4	lambing peformance
8.1 8.1.1 8.1.2	STAPLE STRENGTH OF FW AND C HOGGET WOOL AND ITS RELATIONSHIP TO THE SEASONAL PATTERN OF WOOL GROWTH AND STRENGTH OF ADULT WOOL

8.1.4	Measurement of staple strength153
	Improvement of staple strength
	Objectives of the current trial
8.2	MATERIALS AND METHODS158
8.2.1	Experimental plan
	Equipment used
	Measurement of staple strength
	Statistical methods
8.3	
	Sources of variation in hand-pull grade and
0.5.1	staple strength of hogget samples
8.3.2	Sire and selection line effects on staple
	strength
8.3.3	The relationship between hand-pull grade and
	staple strength
8.3.4	The relationship between ewe hogget and
	two-tooth staple strength
8.4	DISCUSSION
	Sources of variation in staple strength183
	Selection line and the seasonal pattern of
	wool growth
8.4.3	The relationship between hand-pull grade and
	staple strength
8.4.4	Ewe hogget and two-tooth staple strength195
8.5	
9. G	ENERAL DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS198
10. RI	EFERENCES

# List of Tables

a) b)	Least squares means of performance traits of FW and C rams fed lucerne diets.  Preliminary period, P1 (1000g/d lucerne presscake)
5.1	Least squares means(LSM), standard errors, percentage advantage ((FW-C)/C)*100. and significance of monthly liveweight, weaning weight (WW) and post shearing liveweight (PSLW) by selection line80
a) b)	Seasonal wool growth of C and FW hoggets: least squares means (LSM), standard errors, significance, and percentage advantage((FW- C)/C)*100. Clean wool growth (g/day)
5.3	Characteristics of the seasonal pattern of wool growth, greasy fleece weight (GFW), clean fleece weight (CFW), greasy lamb fleece weight (LFW) and average fibre diameter (FD) in C and FW sheep.  Least squares means, standard errors, and percentage advantage (FW-C)/C)*10089
5.4	Pooled (where homogeneous) or within line (C/FW) correlations between measures of staple strength and wool growth characteristics (corrected for DB, BR, DA and SEX)90
a) b)	Measures of pasture, liveweight and intake by season and line Pasture availability and digestability
a) b) 7.1 a)	Production and productive efficiency by line and season ADG and LWGEFF
a)	Liveweight gain of ewe hoggets (kg). Year, birth rank, dam age, selection line and oestrus status least squares means

c)	Repeated measures analysis of monthly liveweight gains during the breeding season
7.3a	Percentage of ewe lambs in oestrus by selection line
7.3b	Analysis of deviance for yes/no display of oestrus as a hogget139
7.4	Clean hogget fleece weight (CFW) and winter wool growth (June g clean wool/head/day) in cyclic and acyclic FW and C hoggets140
7.5	Two-tooth lambing performance of ewes which were either cyclic or acyclic as hoggets in FW and C lines (n)
8.1	Number of hoggets tested, and two tooths retested for staple strength in the fleeceweightselected (FW) and Control (C) lines
8.2	Description of hand-pull grades for staple strength170
8.3	Selection line least squares means, standard errors, and significance for staple strength, hand-pull grade and wool growth characteristics172
8.4	Pooled (where homogeneous) or within line (C/FW) correlations between measures of staple strength and wool growth characteristics (corrected for DB, BR, DA and SEX)
8.5	Regression coefficients, R <sup>2</sup> values, and the significance of selection line differences in staple strength following preadjustment for wool growth characteristics
8.6	Least squares means for staple strength and wool growth characteristics for each hand pull grade class

# List of Figures

4.1	Mean shoulder greasy wool growth of fleece weight selected (FW) and control (C) rams during uniform feeding (lkg/d) in Pl, casein supplementation in P2 and uniform feeding (l.4kg/day) in P330
a) b)	Seasonal patterns of wool growth in FW and C hoggets. Seasonal variation in clean wool growth (g/day)83 Seasonal variation in length per 28 days (mm)84 Seasonal variation in fibre diameter ( $\mu$ m)85
a) b)	Seasonal patterns of wool growth in FW and C ram and ewe hoggets. Seasonal variation in clean wool growth (g/day)86 Seasonal variation in length per 28 days (mm)87 Seasonal variation in fibre diameter ( $\mu$ m)88
7.2	Calendar of events for ewe hoggets
8.1	Schematic representation of the Hounsfield tensometer and load recording equipment171
a)	Percentage within line distributions in FW and C hoggets.  Mean staple strength
8.3	Subdivision of the total sums of squares for measures of staple strength175
8.4	Distribution of FW and C hoggets for MB, MLFD, MLLPD and MLCPD178
	Mean staple strength and the proportion of fleece weight selected (FW) and control (C) hoggets in standard deviation (SD) classes for pairs of wool growth characteristics
•	FDAMP and MFD
	LPDAMP and FDAMP
	LPDAMP and MFD182

# List of Plates

5.1	Dye-banded staples in relation to the midside patch79
6.1	Faecal collection bags (with liners) and harnesses103
6.2	Harnessed rams returned to grazing104