Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

# Factors Affecting the Early Production of Processing Tomatoes

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Applied Science in Plant Science at Massey University New Zealand

> Helen Yuanming Pan May 1997

#### Acknowledgements

I would like to express my deepest gratitude and grateful acknowledgement to my chief supervisor Dr. Keith J. Fisher for his patient and friendly supervision, encouragement and criticism. He not only aided me throughout the research work and in the preparation of this thesis but also helped my English and preparation of a conference poster and paper. I also would like to express my grateful appreciation to my co-supervisor Dr. Michael A. Nichols for his friendly guidance and criticism.

I wish to express my thanks and appreciation to the staff, Lindsay Sylva, Lesley Taylor and Deane Pegler, Plant Growth Unit, Massey University, for field preparation, assisting the planting of transplants and for spraying pesticides regularly. Specially thanks to Mr. Ray Johnstone for supplying assistance and facilities.

I also greatly thank all staff and my fellow postgraduates for their friendship and help throughout my study, especially to my classmate Wendy Hollingsworth for her enthusiastic help to overcome the problem of listening to English, and to Mr. Chris Rawlingson for his guidance in the nutrient analysis.

I specially thank my husband, Guangdi Li, who plucked up my courage to change my career from agriculture to horticulture and to study at Massey University. He is my good teacher in the use of computers and software.

I sincerely thank my parents for their strong inspiration and support in my entire education and my parents-in-law for their assistance in the first year of my study, and my son, Michael, who always brings us cheer and happiness.

#### **Abstract**

A field experiment was conducted on the Karapoti brown sandy loam soil during the 1995-96 season on the Horticultural Field Plots at the Plant Growth Unit, Massey University. The objective of the research was to study the effect of black plastic mulch with fertigation and fabric row covers on crop growth, yield, quality and maturity of processing tomatoes (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill. cv. Cleo).

The rowcover (RC) treatment advanced by 2 days both the date of first flowering and 50% flower opening compared with no RC. There were no RC effects on growth during the first 8 weeks in the field. RC reduced the yield and number of factory grade fruit at optimum harvest. Thus the treatment was detrimental. These results suggested that the use of floating covers during early summer in New Zealand will cause fruit setting to be reduced by high temperatures (>30°C). Bad weather delayed planting and resulted in relatively short use of the RC. If planting had taken place three weeks earlier, as planned, then RC may have improved earliness and not had a detrimental effect on yield. These results confirmed that the timing of rowcover application is critical for its successful use.

The nutrient concentrations in leaves of mulched plants maintained higher levels of N P K during establishment. During the period of the fruit swelling (28-91 days after transplanting) the nutrient levels in the leaves fell markedly. The leaf analysis data in this experiment suggests that N and P had an important role in improving early growth and fruit set and as a result increased fruit number and yield.

The results of this study showed that black plastic mulch plus fertigation provided for improvements in the early growth (relative growth rate) and development (number of flower clusters) and yield of total, red and factory grade fruit for the processing tomato cv Cleo. The optimum harvest time occurred 114 days after transplanting. Fertigation made a major contribution to the increase in yield. With cultivar Cleo the number and yield of factory grade and red fruit followed a normal distribution curve. This showed that advancing or delaying harvest by one week significantly reduced yield and it is suggested that the timing of harvest of processing tomatoes is more crucial than is commonly believed. A technique to predict the optimum harvest date for processing tomatoes should be developed.

### **Table of Contents**

Abstracti
Acknowledgementsii
Table of Contentsiii
List of Tablesvii
List of Figuresviii
List of Platesix
Chapter One: Review of the Literature1
1.1 Introduction
1.2 General growth pattern of the tomato plant
1.2.1 Characteristics of the tomato plant
1.3 Growth and development of the tomato plant
1.4 Young plant
1.4.1 The leaf and flower initiation
1.4.1.1 Early growth and development
1.4.1.2 Effect of temperature and light on leaf and flower production 8
1.4.1.2.1 Effect of temperature and light on leaf production 8
1.4.1.2.2 Effect of temperature and light on flower production 10
1.4.1.3 Flower development
1.4.2 Earliness
1.5 Growth analysis of young plants
1.6 Roots of the tomato plant
1.7 The mature tomato plant
1.7.1 Introduction 23
1.7.2 Fruit set
1.7.2.1 Introduction24
1.7.2.2 Pollen production and development
1.7.2.2.1 Effects of temperature on pollen development
1.7.2.2.2 Effect of light on pollen development
1.7.2.3 Pollination
1.7.2.4 Germination of Pollen Grains

1.7.2.5 Pollen tube growth	28
1.7.2.6 Ovule production	28
1.7.2.7 Fertilisation	29
1.7.3 Fruit growth and development	30
1.7.3.1 Fruit structure and characteristics	30
1.7.3.2 Physical changes during fruit growth and development	31
1.7.3.3 Chemical changes during fruit growth and development	32
1.7.4 Possible mechanisms of fruit set and development and parthenocarpy	
formation	. 33
1.7.5 Effect of environmental factors on fruit set, growth and development	35
1.7.5.1 Temperature	. 35
1.7.5.2 Light and CO <sub>2</sub>	. 36
1.7.5.3 Water and nutrients	. 37
1.7.5.3.1 Water	. 37
1.7.5.3.2 Nutrients	. 38
1.7.5.4 Effect of defoliation and removal of floral buds (or trusses) on	
fruit load	. 39
1.7.6 Photosynthesis	. 39
1.7.7 Assimilate distribution	. 42
1.7.8 Partitioning of dry matter in tomato	. 43
1.7.9 Source and sink relationships and their effects on tomato production	. 44
1.7.10 Fruit maturation and ripening	. 47
1.7.10.1 Ripeness classes of tomato fruit	. 47
1.7.10.2 Changes in physiology and chemical composition during fruit	
ripening	. 48
1.7.10.2.1 Changes in chemical composition during fruit ripening	; 48
1.7.10.2.2 Changes in physiology during fruit ripening	. 49
1.7.11 Fruit quality for processing	. 50
1.7.11.1 Fruit quality attributes	. 50
1.7.11.2 Fruit firmness and soluble solids	. 51
1.7.11.3 Tomato colour	. 52
1.8 Processing tomato production	. 54
1.8.1 The general requirements of climate and cultivation for the tomato crop.	. 54

1.8.2 Production area and Product lines in New Zealand	56
1.8.3 Cultivarstheir characteristics	56
1.8.4 Establishment methods	57
1.8.4.1 Establishment process	57
1.8.4.2 Seed priming	58
1.8.4.3 Establishment methods	50
1.8.4.3.1 Introduction	50
1.8.4.3.2 Direct seeding	50
1.8.4.3.3 Bare root transplanting	50
1.8.4.3.4 Cell transplants	60
1.8.5 Plant density6	51
1.8.6 Nutrition and irrigation	53
1.8.6.1 Nutrients	53
1.8.6.2 Irrigation	57
1.8.6.3 Fertigation	9
1.8.7 Harvesting69	9
1.8.8 The use of tomato fruit ripening agents in tomato production	0
1.8.9 Improvement of earliness	2
Chapter Two: Introduction and Materials and Methods	3
2.1 Introduction	3
2.2 Materials and Methods	4
2.2.1 Introduction	4
2.3 Production of cell transplants	4
2.4 The treatments and experimental design	5
2.5 Transplanting of seedlings in the field	6
2.6 Crop Management	7
2.7 Data collection and analysis	7
2.7.1 Growth and development of young plants	7
2.7.2 Leaf nutrient analysis and TSS, fruit yield and fruit maturity pattern	
determination	9
2.7.2.1 Leaf nutrient analysis	9
2.7.2.2 TSS measurement	0

2.7.2.3 Fruit yield
2.7.2.4 Mature plant growth
2.7.2.5 Fruit maturity pattern
Chapter Three: Results
3.1 Growth and development of young plants
3.2 Observations on timing of flowering and numbers of flower clusters, main stems,
and fruit
3.3 Temperature data85
3.4 Leaf nutrient levels
3.5 Total soluble solids (Brix)
3.6 Growth of Mature Plant at 114 days after transplanting
3.7 Fruit Yield88
3.7.1 Effect of rowcover on earliness and total yield
3.7.2 Effect of BPM on earliness and total yield
3.7.3 Pattern of fruit ripening
3.8 Predicted normal distribution curves94
Chapter Four: Discussion96
4.1 Growth and development of the young plant
4.2 Observations on timing of flowering and number of flower clusters, main stems
and fruit99
4.3 Leaf nutrient analysis
4.4 TSS measurement
4.5 Growth of mature plant 114 days after transplanting
4.6 Effect of rowcovers on crop growth and yield
4.7 Effect of BPM on yield and earliness of crop
4.8 Pattern of fruit ripening
4.9 Predicted normal distribution curves
Chapter Five: Conclusions
References
Appendices

#### **List of Tables**

Table 1.1 The optimal growing temperatures of tomato at different developmental
stages (adopted and modified from Aung, 1979)
Table 1.2 Ripeness Classes of Tomatoes
Table 1.3 Maturity Classes of Green Tomatoes
Table 3.1 Growth analysis parameters with or without RC
Table 3.2 Effect of BPM and RC on the average RGR (g g-1 week-1) over the first 8
weeks in the field
Table 3.3 Effects of treatments on the date of the first flower and 50% flower
opening84
Table 3.4 Effect of treatments on number of main stems, flower clusters and fruits per
plant
Table 3.5 The average daily temperature for soil and air for 9-14, 25-30 November
and on 1-20 December 1995 and average heat units accumulations (base 10°C)
during these periods85
Table 3.6 Brix readings of ripe fruit
Table 3.7 Plant dry weight (t ha <sup>-1</sup> ) partitioning at 114 days after transplanting (harvest
5)
Table 4.1 N, P and K concentrations in young mature leaves

## **List of Figures**

Figure 1.1 General appearance of the tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum) plant. A
represents simple and glandulous hairs as seen under a hand-lens (after Messiaen,
1994); B represents structure of leaves and branches; C represents the
appearance of shoots (Anon, 1990)4
Figure 1.2 A typical tomato leafplume-like multiforliage (after Picken et al, 1986) $\dots$ 5
Figure 1.3 Different shapes of tomato fruit:
Figure 1.4 Tomato seedling establishment (Anon, 1990)
Figure 1.5 Tomato cultivars of indeterminate (a) and determinate(b) type
Figure 1.6 Early stages in the development of an inflorescence of tomato
Figure 1.7 Anatomy of tomato fruits with (a) bilocular or (b) multilocular structure
(after Ho and Hewitt, 1986)
Figure 1.8 Illustration of photosynthesis
Figure 1.9 Schematic representation of the changes in crop establishment over time 59
Figure 3.1 Dry matter accumulation based on logarithm with BPM and no BPM
plants over first 8 weeks in the field
Figure 3.2 Net assimilation rate of tomato young plants with or without BPM
(Vertical bar represents SEM at different harvests)
Figure 3.3 Leaf area ratio and specific leaf area of tomato young plants with or
without BPM (Vertical bar represents SEM at different harvests)
Figure 3.4 Leaf weight ratio of tomato young plants with or without BPM (Vertical
bar represents SEM at different harvests)
Figure 3.5 (a) Nitrogen (b) phosphorus (c) potassium (d) magnesium and (e) calcium
concentrations (g/g) in leaves in control and BPM plants over the growing
season
Figure 3.6 The weight and number of factory grade fruit (a, b) and total fruit (c, d)
with and without RC over the harvesting season (Vertical bars represent overall
SEM)
Figure 3.7 Effect of BPM and no BPM on (a) weight and (b) number of green fruit
per hectare (Vertical bar represents overall SEM)
Figure 3.8 Effect of BPM and no BPM on (a) weight and (b) number of coloured fruit
per hectare (Vertical bar represents overall SEM)

Figure 3.9 Effect of BPM and no BPM on (a) weight and (b) number of red fruit per	
hectare (Vertical bar represents overall SEM)	. 91
Figure 3.10 Effect of BPM and no BPM on (a) weight and (b) number of rotten fruit	
per hectare (Vertical bar represents overall SEM)	. 92
Figure 3.11 Effect of BPM and no BPM on (a) weight and (b) number of factory	
grade fruit per hectare (Vertical bar represents overall SEM)	. 92
Figure 3.12 Size variation of the various grade of fruit over the growing season	. 92
Figure 3.13 The fruit ripening pattern over the growing season for the whole experiment:	
(a) based on weights and (b) based on numbers	. 93
Figure 3.14 Weight of fruit per hectare for (a) BPM (b) no BPM	. 93
Figure 3.15 Number of fruit per hectare for (a) BPM (b) no BPM	. 93
Figure 3.16 Yield of red and factory grade fruit and their predicted normal	
distribution curves for treatments (a, c) with BPM and (b, d) without BPM	. 95
Figure 3.17 Number of factory grade and red fruit and their predicted normal	
distribution curves for treatments (a, c) with BPM and (b, d) without BPM	. 95
List of Plates	
Plate 1 General view of the experiment on 11 December 1995	. 78
Plate 2 Comparison of plant growth of the different treatments	78