

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**THE INFLUENCE OF PHOSPHORUS SUPPLY  
ON BELOW GROUND INTERFERENCES  
BETWEEN BROWNTOP AND WHITE CLOVER**

A Thesis presented in partial fulfilment of  
the requirements for the degree of  
Doctor of Philosophy in Soil Science  
at Massey University

**CLAIRE ASTLEY PANNELL**

**1993**

631.41  
Pan

Ordn

## ABSTRACT

A low occurrence of white clover in pastures contributes to soil nitrogen (N) deficiency and a low quality feed for stock. There is evidence to suggest that competition for soil phosphorus (P) from roots of browntop plays an important role in determining the distribution of white clover in hill country swards. However, competition for soil P between roots of browntop and white clover has not been studied separately from other factors known to affect the growth and persistence of white clover (e.g., soil moisture, grazing management, shoot interferences (shading and physical impedence), and non-competitive root interferences).

In hill country pastures, P level (phosphorus fertilisers), and defoliation (grazing management), are the main factors that can be changed by farmers to alter pasture botanical composition. The high cost of superphosphate has limited the potential of farmers to manipulate pastures using fertiliser applications. Therefore, it is important to know whether roots of browntop compete with roots of white clover for soil P, and whether a low supply of soil P will contribute to more severe competition from browntop roots. The possibility of non-competitive interferences occurring between roots of browntop and white clover must also be considered. How defoliation alters the balance of P acquisition between roots of browntop and white clover needs to be determined.

Three techniques were employed to examine the nature of root interferences occurring between browntop and white clover: plant strategies; dual P isotope labelling; and a more traditional competitive settings trial using aerial partitions. Plant growth and root interferences were studied at a range of levels of soil P supply. Responses of growth and phosphorus uptake of browntop and white clover to increasing soil P supply were examined first, in the glasshouse, by growing monocultures of browntop and white clover in pots.

Two mini-sward trials (one at deficient soil P supply, the other at adequate to luxury soil P supply) were carried out in the glasshouse to allow examination of root interactions (without shoot interactions). The basis of the experimental design was to determine the relative amounts of phosphorus-32 and -33 absorbed by a central row of plants (either browntop or white clover) from two adjacent soil spaces, one dominated by white clover roots, the other by browntop roots.  $^{32}\text{P}$  was injected into the soil on one side of the central row of plants, and  $^{33}\text{P}$  into the other side.  $^{32}\text{P}$  and  $^{33}\text{P}$  uptake was assessed by harvesting the shoots of the central plants, and counting the two isotopes.

The competitive settings type trial compared the growth and P uptake of a single central plant in a small pot (no interference with other plants) with a central plant in a larger pot grown with roots associated with roots of plants of the same species (intraspecific association), or of the other species (interspecific association). Shoots of the central plant was separated from the shoots of outer plants by an aerial partition.

The growth of browntop and white clover, and the nature of root interferences occurring within and between the two species was dependent on the level of soil P supply. However, the higher root density and specific root length (SRL) of browntop compared with white clover appeared to be the most important factor determining the success of browntop at all levels of soil P supply, regardless of whether or not browntop was grown with white clover.

According to the plant strategy theory of Grime, browntop was found to be a stress tolerant plant. At low levels of P supply, the lower growth rate of browntop compared with white clover would be an important factor contributing to the dominance of browntop in hill country pastures. At adequate to luxury levels of soil P supply, shoot growth of browntop was more responsive than white clover, and browntop was capable of luxury consumption of P. The high growth rate and large demand for P contributed to the competitiveness of browntop at high P supply. However, the lower demand for P by white clover, and the high P supply may have enabled white clover to avoid competition with browntop.

On unamended subsoil, browntop reduced P acquisition by white clover roots, and had a greater P uptake in the presence of roots of white clover than with roots of other browntop plants. Therefore, evidence of root competition for soil P from browntop with white clover was found. The competitive effect of browntop appeared to be due to browntop decreasing the availability of P in the soil, explained by browntop's ability to acquire more radioactive P from the soil than white clover.

At low P supply (subsoil), P application, but not defoliation of browntop, reduced the competitiveness of browntop. At adequate P supply, the ability of browntop to acquire P was reduced by defoliation. The effect of defoliation was rapid (four days), and browntop was able to acquire P isotope to higher concentrations in the shoots than when undefoliated. Possibly the reduction of root competitiveness of browntop may be short-lived.

Some interference, other than root competition, was occurring at intermediate to luxury levels of soil P supply, and may have masked the competitive effects of browntop. White clover appeared to benefit for P acquisition from growing with browntop, due to greater local root density compared with when growing with other white clover plants. Therefore, browntop and white clover appeared to gain mutual benefit for P acquisition from the presence of roots of the other species, and the competitive effects of browntop were not of overriding importance. The possibility of autotoxicity of white clover on its own root growth was discussed in relation to rhizosphere acidity effects on the toxicity of phenolics.

At adequate to luxury levels of soil P supply, neither undefoliated browntop nor undefoliated white clover benefited from defoliation of adjacently growing white clover plants. However, at lower P supply, defoliation of white clover led to an increased P isotope acquisition by nearby browntop plants. Therefore, defoliation reduced the demand for soil P by white clover. Roots of browntop were not as tolerant of defoliation as white clover.

In the field, the mat forming behaviour of browntop, physically impeding the growth of white clover and shading white clover stolons, would reduce the severity of competition for soil P between roots of browntop and white clover. Overall, root competition for P from browntop with white clover was found not to be as important as previously thought.

The use of several experimental techniques allowed a clearer picture of the interferences that occur between browntop and white clover to be obtained. The nature of root interference changed with increasing P supply. The responses of browntop and white clover to increasing P supply was found to be enlightening when the plant strategy theory of Grime was used to compare browntop and white clover. However, the dual P isotope technique found plant interferences that were not detected by the other methods used (P response and competitive settings trial), and allowed interferences that were occurring simultaneously to be elucidated.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I wish to thank the following people for their encouragement and helpful advice during my Ph.D. programme:

Foremost thanks to my supervisors; Professor Robert White for his patience and helpful knowledge, Dr. Peter Kemp for his enthusiasm and support, and Dr. Russ Tillman for sharing his knowledge in the use of radio-isotopes and support during the writing up of my thesis.

To the technicians of the Soil Science and Plant Science (Agronomy) Departments for their continual assistance and knowledge in the field, glasshouse, and laboratory, special thanks for putting a smile on my face. Also thanks to my friends that helped with the setting up and harvesting of the glasshouse trials.

Sincere gratitude to my friends and colleagues at Massey University and in the broader Palmerston North community. Thanks to those involved in the local music industry, especially Dave White, for making my time in New Zealand both enjoyable and fruitful.

Roger Thomas and Gerard Creamer assisted greatly by providing access to laser printing for the final production of my thesis.

I acknowledge the financial assistance of the Charles and Annie Neumann Fellowship in Agriculture, C. Alma Baker Trust Fund, and Massey University Research Fund.

Finally, a special thought for my family and friends. This thesis is dedicated to my beautiful mother, Judith Pannell.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>Page</b>
<b>ABSTRACT</b> .....	i
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b> .....	iv
<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b> .....	v
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b> .....	xviii
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b> .....	xxv
<b>LIST OF PLATES</b> .....	xxvii
<b>LIST OF APPENDICES</b> .....	xxviii

## CHAPTER ONE

RESEARCH OBJECTIVES AND REVIEW OF  
LITERATURE

	Page
1.0 <u>Introduction and research objectives</u> .....	1
1.1 <u>Hill country pastures in New Zealand</u> .....	4
1.1.1 <u>Effects of management practices and environment           on the botanical composition of hill pastures</u> .....	6
1.2 <u>Biology of browntop and white clover</u> .....	8
1.2.1 <u>Biology of browntop</u> .....	8
1.2.2 <u>Biology of white clover</u> .....	8
1.2.3 <u>Root properties of browntop and white clover</u> .....	9
1.3 <u>Factors affecting P uptake by plants when grown in association     with other plants</u> .....	11
1.3.1 <u>Soil properties</u> .....	11
1.3.1.1 <u>Phosphate adsorption and buffer capacity of soil</u> .....	11
1.3.1.2 <u>Soil pH</u> .....	12
1.3.1.3 <u>Soil moisture content</u> .....	13
1.3.2 <u>Plant properties</u> .....	14
1.3.2.1 <u>Absorption from solution</u> .....	15
1.3.2.2 <u>Physical exploration and rate of extension of roots</u> .....	16
1.3.2.3 <u>Modification of the rhizosphere</u> .....	18
1.3.2.3.1 <u>Polysaccharide secretions</u> .....	18
1.3.2.3.2 <u>Acid secretion</u> .....	18

	<b>Page</b>
1.3.2.3.3 <i>Enzyme release</i> .....	19
1.3.2.3.4 <i>Microbes in the rhizosphere</i> .....	20
1.3.2.3.5 <i>Mycorrhizae</i> .....	20
1.3.2.4 <i>Root cation exchange capacity and negative adsorption</i> .....	21
1.3.2.5 <i>Metabolic activity of plants</i> .....	22
1.3.3 <i>Effects of defoliation on nutrient uptake by plants</i> .....	22
1.3.3.1 <i>Root death and rate of elongation</i> .....	23
1.3.3.2 <i>Carbohydrate supply</i> .....	23
1.3.4 <i>Indirect mechanisms affecting P uptake by plant roots</i> .....	24
1.3.4.1 <i>Shading</i> .....	24
1.3.4.2 <i>Grazing management and preference</i> .....	25
1.3.4.3 <i>Supply of other nutrients</i> .....	26
1.3.5 <i>Summarising comments</i> .....	26
<b>1.4 <u>Plant growth interference</u></b> .....	<b>27</b>
1.4.1 <i>Negative interference</i> .....	28
1.4.1.1 <i>Competition</i> .....	28
1.4.1.2 <i>Allelopathy</i> .....	28
1.4.2 <i>Positive interference</i> .....	30
1.4.2.1 <i>Phosphorus transfers between mycorrhizal plants</i> .....	30
1.4.2.2 <i>Rhizosphere effects</i> .....	31

	<b>Page</b>
1.4.2.3 <u>Microorganisms in the rhizosphere</u> .....	32
1.4.2.4 <u>Allelopathy</u> .....	33
<b>1.5 <u>Methods of studying plant interferences</u></b> .....	<b>34</b>
1.5.1 <u>Monocultures</u> .....	34
1.5.2 <u>Additive series</u> .....	36
1.5.3 <u>Replacement series</u> .....	36
1.5.4 <u>Addition series/bivariate factorial design</u> .....	37
1.5.5 <u>Dual labelling</u> .....	38
1.5.6 <u>Divided pots</u> .....	39
1.5.6.1 <u>Aerial partitions</u> .....	39
1.5.6.2 <u>Below ground partitions</u> .....	40
<b>1.6 <u>Evidence of competition for P between roots of browntop and white clover</u></b> .....	<b>41</b>
1.6.1 <u>Field surveys</u> .....	41
1.6.2 <u>Ability of roots to absorb P</u> .....	42
1.6.3 <u>Competition experiments</u> .....	42
1.6.3.1 <u>Glasshouse trials</u> .....	42
1.6.3.2 <u>Field trials</u> .....	44
<b>1.7 <u>Concluding comments</u></b> .....	<b>47</b>

## CHAPTER TWO

**RESPONSE OF THE GROWTH AND PHOSPHORUS  
UPTAKE OF BROWNTOP AND WHITE CLOVER  
TO INCREASING EXTERNAL PHOSPHORUS SUPPLY**

	<b>Page</b>
<b>2.1 <u>Introduction</u></b> .....	48
2.1.1 <u>Objectives</u> .....	49
<b>2.2 <u>Materials and methods</u></b> .....	50
2.2.1 <u>The soil</u> .....	50
2.2.2 <u>Planting</u> .....	50
2.2.3 <u>Harvesting</u> .....	51
2.2.4 <u>Mitscherlich response curves</u> .....	51
2.2.5 <u>Statistical analyses and curve fitting procedures</u> .....	52
<b>2.3 <u>Results</u></b> .....	54
2.3.1 <u>Yield response curves</u> .....	54
2.3.1.1 <u>Shoot yields</u> .....	54
2.3.1.2 <u>Tiller and rhizome yields of browntop</u> .....	54
2.3.1.3 <u>Root:shoot ratios</u> .....	59
2.3.2 <u>External P requirements for shoot growth</u> .....	59
2.3.3 <u>P content of shoots and internal P requirements</u> .....	60
2.3.3.1 <u>P concentration in shoots</u> .....	60
2.3.3.2 <u>P content of shoots</u> .....	60
2.3.3.3 <u>P content of rhizomes and tillers of browntop</u> .....	63
2.3.4 <u>P concentration in roots</u> .....	63

	<b>Page</b>
2.3.5 <u>N concentration in shoots and roots</u> .....	63
<b>2.4 Discussion</b> .....	<b>70</b>
2.4.1 <u>P stress tolerance</u> .....	70
2.4.2 <u>External P requirement</u> .....	72
2.4.3 <u>Nutrient cycling</u> .....	74
2.4.4 <u>Summarising comments</u> .....	75

## CHAPTER THREE

**THE EFFECTS OF SOIL PHOSPHORUS SUPPLY AND  
DEFOLIATION ON THE INTER- AND INTRASPECIFIC  
ROOT INTERFERENCES OCCURRING IN MINI-SWARDS  
OF BROWNTOP AND WHITE CLOVER**

	<b>Page</b>
<b>3.1 <u>Introduction</u></b> .....	77
<b>3.2 <u>Materials and methods</u></b> .....	80
3.2.1 <u>Experimental design and treatments</u> .....	80
3.2.2 <u>The soil</u> .....	80
3.2.3 <u>Watering</u> .....	81
3.2.4 <u>Planting</u> .....	81
3.2.5 <u>Aerial partitions</u> .....	81
3.2.6 <u>Basal nutrients</u> .....	84
3.2.7 <u>Injection of carrier-free radioactive phosphates</u> .....	84
3.2.8 <u>Harvesting</u> .....	85
3.2.9 <u>Counting radioactive phosphorus-32 and -33</u> .....	89
3.2.10 <u>Frequency distributions of normalised activities of             phosphorus-32 and -33</u> .....	90
<b>3.3 <u>Results</u></b> .....	92
3.3.1 <u>Effect of species association on phosphorus acquisition</u> .....	92
3.3.2 <u>Effects of defoliation on acquisition of radioactive             phosphorus-32 and -33</u> .....	95
3.3.2.1 <u>Effects of defoliation of outer simulated sward species on                 P isotope acquisition by central indicator browntop and                 white clover</u> .....	95

	<b>Page</b>
3.3.2.2 <u>Outer simulated browntop swards</u> .....	95
3.3.3 <u>Root properties</u> .....	98
3.3.3.1 <u>Effect of central indicator species on root properties of                   plant species in the outer simulated swards</u> .....	98
3.3.3.2 <u>P depletion zone around roots</u> .....	99
3.3.3.3 <u>Root volume of central indicator plants and plants in the                   outer simulated swards</u> .....	99
3.3.4 <u>Shoot and root weights of central indicator plants</u> .....	106
3.3.5 <u>P and N content of shoots</u> .....	106
3.4 <u>Discussion</u> .....	113
3.4.1 <u>Evidence of root competition for soil P</u> .....	113
3.4.2 <u>Evidence of other non-competitive root interference</u> .....	115
3.4.3 <u>Ecologically significant plant traits</u> .....	119
3.4.4 <u>Summarising comments</u> .....	120

## CHAPTER FOUR

**THE EFFECTS OF SOIL PHOSPHORUS SUPPLY  
AND DEFOLIATION ON THE INTER- AND INTRASPECIFIC  
ROOT INTERFERENCES OCCURRING IN MINI-SWARDS  
OF BROWNTOP AND WHITE CLOVER GROWN  
AT ADEQUATE TO LUXURY LEVELS OF SOIL P SUPPLY**

	Page
<b>4.1 <u>Introduction</u></b> .....	121
4.1.1 <u>Objectives</u> .....	122
<b>4.2 <u>Materials and methods</u></b> .....	123
4.2.1 <u>Experimental design and treatments</u> .....	123
4.2.2 <u>The soil</u> .....	123
4.2.3 <u>Watering</u> .....	126
4.2.4 <u>Planting</u> .....	126
4.2.5 <u>Aerial partitions</u> .....	126
4.2.6 <u>Basal nutrients</u> .....	126
4.2.7 <u>Injection of carrier-free radioactive phosphorus</u> .....	126
4.2.8 <u>Harvesting</u> .....	127
4.2.9 <u>Counting radioactive phosphorus-32 and -33</u> .....	127
4.2.10 <u>Frequency distributions of normalised activities of radioactive phosphorus-32 and -33</u> .....	127
<b>4.3 <u>Results</u></b> .....	128
4.3.1 <u>Effect of species association on phosphorus isotope acquisition</u> .....	128
4.3.2 <u>Effects of defoliation of outer plants on acquisition of radioactive phosphorus isotopes by central indicator plants</u> .....	128
4.3.3 <u>Dry weights and phosphorus concentration of shoots of central indicator plants</u> .....	131
4.3.4 <u>Nitrogen concentration in shoots</u> .....	131

	<b>Page</b>
4.3.5 <u>Dry weights, and phosphorus and nitrogen concentration of root sub-samples</u> .....	132
4.3.6 <u>P depletion zones around roots</u> .....	132
4.4 <b><u>Discussion</u></b> .....	<b>134</b>
4.4.1 <u>Evidence of root competition for P</u> .....	134
4.4.2 <u>Non-competitive interference</u> .....	134
4.4.3 <u>Relative importance of interferences occurring between browntop and white clover in the field</u> .....	135
4.4.4 <u>Summarising comments</u> .....	138

## CHAPTER FIVE

**THE EFFECTS OF ADEQUATE TO LUXURY LEVELS  
OF SOIL P SUPPLY ON THE  
INTER- AND INTRASPECIFIC ROOT INTERFERENCES OF  
INDIVIDUAL PLANTS OF BROWNTOP AND WHITE CLOVER  
GROWN IN POTS**

	Page
5.1 <u>Introduction</u> .....	139
5.2 <u>Materials and methods</u> .....	141
5.2.1 <u>The soil</u> .....	141
5.2.2 <u>Measurement of nitrate and ammonium ions in the soil</u> .....	145
5.2.3 <u>Planting</u> .....	145
5.2.4 <u>Watering</u> .....	146
5.2.5 <u>Basal nutrients</u> .....	146
5.2.6 <u>Spraying</u> .....	146
5.2.7 <u>Harvesting</u> .....	147
5.2.8 <u>Measurements</u> .....	147
5.2.9 <u>Analysis of data</u> .....	147
5.3 <u>Results</u> .....	149
5.3.1 <u>Response of central indicator plant to P application</u> .....	149
5.3.2 <u>Inter- and intraspecific associations</u> .....	149
5.3.2.1 <u>Shoot dry weight of central indicator plant</u> .....	149
5.3.2.2 <u>Root weights of central indicator plants</u> .....	152
5.3.2.3 <u>P concentration in shoots of central indicator plant</u> .....	152
5.3.2.4 <u>P content in shoots of central indicator plant</u> .....	154
5.2.3.5 <u>N concentration in shoots of central indicator plant</u> .....	154
5.2.3.6 <u>Outer plants</u> .....	154

	<b>Page</b>
5.3.3 <u>P responses of monocultures: effect of position in pot</u> .....	160
5.3.4 <u>N concentration in the soil</u> .....	160
5.4 <b><u>Discussion</u></b> .....	<b>168</b>
5.4.1 <u>Interference between browntop and white clover</u> .....	168
5.4.2 <u>The competitive settings design</u> .....	169
5.4.3 <u>Summarising comments</u> .....	172

## CHAPTER SIX

## SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

	<b>Page</b>
6.1 <b><u>Overview</u></b> .....	174
6.2 <b><u>Plant strategy</u></b> .....	176
6.2.1 <b><u>Evidence of root competition between browntop and             white clover</u></b> .....	178
6.2.2 <b><u>Evidence of non-competitive root interference</u></b> .....	179
6.3 <b><u>Other factors affecting interference between browntop and             white clover</u></b> .....	181
6.4 <b><u>Usefulness of techniques to investigate the nature of root             interferences</u></b> .....	183
6.5 <b><u>Suggestions for further work</u></b> .....	185
6.6 <b><u>Concluding comments</u></b> .....	186
<b>REFERENCES</b> .....	187
<b>APPENDICES</b> .....	212

## LIST OF FIGURES

	Page
<b><u>Figure 2.1</u></b>	
Actual and fitted response of dry weights of shoots of (a) browntop and (b) white clover grown in monocultures on Ramiha subsoil to P application as monocalcium phosphate. Values for Mitscherlich coefficients of fitted curves are given in Table 2.1.....	55
<b><u>Figure 2.2</u></b>	
Relative yield responses of dry weights of shoots of browntop and white clover grown in monocultures on Ramiha subsoil to P application as monocalcium phosphate, calculated from the fitted Mitscherlich response curves.....	57
<b><u>Figure 2.3</u></b>	
Effect of application of P as monocalcium phosphate to Ramiha subsoil on the P concentration in shoots of browntop and white clover grown in monocultures.....	62
<b><u>Figure 2.4</u></b>	
Response of P concentration in tillers, rhizomes, and roots of browntop grown in monoculture to P application as monocalcium phosphate to Ramiha subsoil .....	65
<b><u>Figure 2.5</u></b>	
Effect of application of P as monocalcium phosphate to Ramiha subsoil on the P concentration in roots in of browntop and white clover grown in monocultures.....	66
<b><u>Figure 2.6</u></b>	
Effect of application of P as monocalcium phosphate to Ramiha subsoil on the N concentration in shoots of browntop and white clover plants grown in monocultures.....	67

**Figure 2.7**

Effect of application of P as monocalcium phosphate to Ramiha subsoil on the N concentration in tillers and rhizomes of browntop plants grown in monocultures..... 67

**Figure 2.8**

Effect of application of P as monocalcium phosphate to Ramiha subsoil on the N concentration in roots of browntop and white clover plants grown in monocultures..... 68

**Figure 3.1**

The planting arrangement of ramets of browntop and white clover, detailing positioning of points of P isotope injection, aerial partitions, and the soil partition used to separate the tray into two harvests..... 82

**Figure 3.2**

Normalised activities ( $\times 10^{-5}$ ) per g of shoot dry weight of P isotope absorption from soil space shared with browntop and white clover by central indicator browntop plants, (a) 7 days (harvest one), and (b) 14 days (harvest two) following the imposition of defoliation treatment and P isotope injection..... 93

**Figure 3.3**

Normalised activities ( $\times 10^{-5}$ ) per g of shoot dry weight of P isotope absorption from soil space shared with browntop and white clover by central indicator white clover plants, (a) 7 days (harvest one), and (b) 14 days (harvest two) following the imposition of defoliation treatment and P isotope injection..... 94

**Figure 3.4**

Effect of defoliation treatments on normalised activity ( $\times 10^{-5}$ )/g in shoots of browntop plants in the outer simulated sward, (a) 7 days, and (b) 14 days following imposition of the defoliation treatments and P isotope injections. Activity data given for P isotope injected into browntop side only.....96

**Figure 3.5**

Effect of defoliation treatments on the total normalised activity ( $\times 10^{-5}$ ) in shoots of browntop plants in the outer simulated swards, (a) 7 days, and (b) 14 days following imposition of the defoliation treatments and P isotope injections.

Activity data given for P isotope injected into browntop side only.....97

**Figure 3.6**

Effect of central indicator species and P application, as monocalcium phosphate, to Ramiha subsoil on the root density of (a) outer sward browntop plants, and (b) outer sward white clover plants at the first harvest..... 100

**Figure 3.7**

Effect of central indicator species and P application, as monocalcium phosphate, to Ramiha subsoil on the root length per pot of (a) outer sward browntop plants, and (b) outer sward white clover plants at the first harvest.....101

**Figure 3.8**

Effect of central indicator species and P application, as monocalcium phosphate, to Ramiha subsoil on the specific root length (m/g root fresh weight) of (a) outer sward browntop plants, and (b) outer sward white clover plants at the first harvest. Root fresh weights were used to calculate specific root length because root dry weights were not available..... 102

**Figure 3.9**

The effect of P application, as monocalcium phosphate, on the zone of soil exploitation around roots of browntop and white clover plants in the outer simulated swards at the first harvest..... 103

**Figure 3.10**

The effect of P application, as monocalcium phosphate, on the predicted time taken for P depletion zones around roots of browntop and white clover in the outer simulated swards to overlap, calculated from root densities and soil P buffer capacity .....104

**Figure 3.11**

Effect of P application on the root volume of (a) browntop, and (b) white clover plants in the central indicator and outer simulated sward positions for the first harvest.....105

**Figure 3.12**

Effect of P application on shoot dry weights of central indicator plants of browntop and white clover for (a) the first harvest, and (b) the second harvest.....108

**Figure 3.13**

Effect of P application on root dry weights of central indicator plants of browntop and white clover for the first harvest.....109

**Figure 3.14**

Effect of P application on the root:shoot ratio of central indicator plants of browntop and white clover at the first harvest.....110

**Figure 3.15**

Effect of P application on (a) the P concentration and (b) P content in shoots of central indicator plants of browntop and white clover at the first harvest.....111

**Figure 3.16**

Effect of P application on the N concentration in shoots of central indicator plants of browntop and white clover at the first harvest.....112

**Figure 3.17**

Possible inter- and intraspecific allelopathic root interactions occurring within a browntop-white clover sward; (a) white clover autotoxic with inter- and intra-plant toxicity, (b) white clover autotoxic with intra-plant toxicity, and (c) browntop produces chemical that is stimulative to white clover, i.e., inter-species stimulation.....117

**Figure 4.1**

Planting arrangement of ramets of browntop and white clover in the half-tray, showing positioning of aerial partitions and points of injection of radio-isotopes..... 124

**Figure 4.2**

Effect of outer simulated sward species on P isotope acquisition (normalised activity  $\times 10^{-5}/g$ ) by central indicator (a) browntop, and (b) white clover plants, 4 days following the imposition of defoliation treatments and P isotope injection..... 129

**Figure 4.3**

Effect of defoliation of outer simulated swards on the total P isotope uptake (normalised activity  $\times 10^{-5}/g$ ) from both sides by central indicator (a) browntop, and (b) white clover plants, 4 days following the imposition of defoliation treatments and P isotope injection..... 130

**Figure 5.1**

Planting combinations of ramets of browntop and white clover, showing the three types of root associations between the central indicator plant and outer plants, and the positioning of the aerial partition..... 142

**Figure 5.2**

Side view of pots for the planting combination 4 (Figure 5.1), allowing intraspecific root interactions to occur between a central indicator browntop plant and four outer browntop plants, showing the transplantation of the central indicator plant 26 days after planting..... 143

**Figure 5.3**

Effect of root associations with outer plants on the response of shoot dry weight of the central indicator (a) browntop, and (b) white clover plant to P application as monocalcium phosphate..... 150

**Figure 5.4**

Effect of root associations with outer plants on the response of P concentration in shoots of the central indicator (a) browntop, and (b) white clover plant to P application as monocalcium phosphate.....151

**Figure 5.5**

Effect of root associations with outer plants on the response of P content in shoots of the central indicator (a) browntop, and (b) white clover plant to P application as monocalcium phosphate.....155

**Figure 5.6**

Effect of root associations with outer plants on the response of N concentration in shoots of the central indicator (a) browntop, and (b) white clover plant to P application as monocalcium phosphate.....156

**Figure 5.7**

Lines of best fit to describe responses of the shoot dry weight of the central indicator (a) browntop, and (b) white clover plant to P applied as monocalcium phosphate to Ramiha topsoil. Details of slopes of lines and comparisons of slopes are given in Tables 5.1 and 5.2, respectively.....157

**Figure 5.8**

Effect of root associations with the central indicator plant, either browntop or white clover, on the response of shoot dry weights of the four outer plants of (a) browntop, and (b) white clover to P application as monocalcium phosphate.....161

**Figure 5.9**

Effect of root associations with the central indicator plant, either browntop or white clover, on the response of the P concentration in shoots of the four outer plants of (a) browntop, and (b) white clover to P application as monocalcium phosphate.....162

**Figure 5.10**

Effects of root associations with the central indicator plant, either browntop or white clover, on the response of the P content of shoots of the four outer plants of (a) browntop, and (b) white clover to P application as monocalcium phosphate.....163

**Figure 5.11**

Effect of plant position on the response of shoot dry weight per plant of (a) browntop, and (b) white clover plants grown in monocultures, to P application as monocalcium phosphate.....164

**Figure 5.12**

Effect of plant position on the response of P concentration in shoots of (a) browntop, and (b) white clover plants grown in monocultures, to P application as monocalcium phosphate.....165

**Figure 5.13**

Effect of plant position on the response of N concentration in shoots of (a) browntop, (b) white clover plants grown in monocultures, to P application as monocalcium phosphate.....166

## LIST OF TABLES

	Page
<b><u>Table 1.1</u></b>	
Root properties of browntop and white clover plants grown on sand in pots in the glasshouse, with basal nutrients supplied (Evans 1977).....	10
<b><u>Table 1.2</u></b>	
Primary strategies of plants found in habitats of high and low stress and/or disturbance (from Grime 1979).....	35
<b><u>Table 2.1</u></b>	
Coefficients of the fitted Mitscherlich curves for shoot dry weights of browntop and white clover, including t test comparisons between the coefficients for the two species.....	56
<b><u>Table 2.2</u></b>	
Effect of P application as monocalcium phosphate to Ramiha subsoil on the dry weights of tillers and rhizomes of browntop grown in monoculture.....	58
<b><u>Table 2.3</u></b>	
Effect of application of P as monocalcium phosphate to Ramiha subsoil on the root:shoot ratio of browntop and white clover plants grown in monocultures.....	61
<b><u>Table 3.1</u></b>	
Activities of $^{32}\text{P}$ and $^{33}\text{P}$ in the total 0.9 ml of diluted stock solutions injected into each sub-plot, at the time of P isotope injection.....	88
<b><u>Table 4.1</u></b>	
Effect of P application as monocalcium phosphate on shoot dry weight, and P and N concentrations in shoots of central indicator browntop and white clover plants.....	133

**Table 4.2**

Effect of P application as monocalcium phosphate on root sub-sample dry weight, and P and N concentrations in root sub-samples of central indicator browntop and white clover plants.....133

**Table 5.1**

Effect of root association with with outer species and P supply on the fresh weights of roots (g) of central indicator plants.....153

**Table 5.2**

Coefficients for equations of lines of best fit for responses of dry weight of shoots of central indicator browntop and white clover plants, grown on Ramiha topsoil, to P applied as monocalcium phosphate.....158

**Table 5.3**

t-tests to compare whether or not slopes ( $m$ ) of lines of best fit are the same for responses of dry weights of shoots of central indicator plants, grown in the three root association treatments, to P applied as monocalcium phosphate to Ramiha topsoil.....159

**Table 5.4**

Nitrate levels measured in Ramiha topsoil, either following incubation of wet soil for 0 and 3 days, or sampled after 18 days from pots with browntop or white clover plants growing in the soil.....167

## LIST OF PLATES

	<b>Page</b>
<b><u>Plate 2.1</u></b>	
Photographs of (a) browntop, and (b) white clover plants in the P response trial, at the time of harvesting.....	69
<b><u>Plate 3.1</u></b>	
Photographs showing (a) glasshouse view, and (b) close up view of simulated sward dual-labelling trial. Plants were grown at 0 and 100 mg P applied/kg soil in the left and right trays, respectively.....	83
<b><u>Plate 4.1</u></b>	
Photograph of trays used to grow simulated swards, showing separation of tray into two halves, the left side with browntop as central indicator species, and the right side with white clover as central indicator species. The tray pictured above had outer white clover simulated sward defoliated.....	125
<b><u>Plate 5.1</u></b>	
Photograph showing the positioning of aerial partitions in pots.....	144

## LIST OF APPENDICES

	<b>Page</b>
<b><u>Appendix 1</u></b>	
P buffer power of Ramiha top- and subsoils.....	212
<b><u>Appendix 2</u></b>	
Dry weights, and P and N contents of ramets used for planting in the P response trial (Chapter Two).....	214
<b><u>Appendix 3</u></b>	
Procedure for staining vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhiza for rapid assessment of infection.....	215
<b><u>Appendix 4</u></b>	
Method of counting radioactive phosphorus-32 and -33 in harvested plant material.....	217
<b><u>Appendix 5</u></b>	
Discussion on root/shoot ratio data.....	219