Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

EFFICIENCY IN PRODUCTION OF BUTTER

being

AN INVESTIGATION INTO CERTAIN FACTORS AFFECTING THE ECONOMIC ASPECTS OF TECHNICAL EFFICIENCY OF BUTTER FACTORIES OPERATING IN NEW ZEALAND, WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO THE 1949/50 SEASON

A THESIS

Presented to
The University of New Zealand

In Partial Fulfilment
of the Requirements for the
Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

by

Clyde Percival William Vautier

October 1956

1061959165

PREFACE.

New Zealand's economy is dependent on dairying as a source of national income to a very marked degree, for more than one-third of the country's total export income is derived from this source. Of the total whole milk produced "at the pail" in the 1952-53 season, over 68 per cent was manufactured into creamery butter, yielding 200,000 tons. A revenue in excess of £52,000,000 was derived from this butter.

A processing industry of this magnitude and importance merits close attention. Although much time and research have been devoted to technical manufacturing problems, very little analytical work has been conducted in New Zealand on the economic aspect of efficiency in dairy processing industry. Although data are available in the form of reports, compiled statistics and articles, they are descriptive in character, or mere compilations. As such they fail in the important task of analysis of the conditions they describe.

It seems strange that in a country like New Zealand where the standard of scientific reasearch is so high and where the dairy industry contributes so much to the national economy, that so little is known of the economic aspects of the dairy industry. Apart from TASKER's two papers* the amount of analytical research is almost nil. The valuable information compiled by the New Zealand Dairy Board is largely descriptive and statistical and does not throw light upon the problems as investigated by research workers in this field overseas.

For example, we in this country, do not know the total costs of refrigeration, or steam production, or power used in factories. Nor do we know how much coal or units of electricity are consumed. From the standardised accounts in current use we can tell the total cost of actual coal or heating agents used, but we do not know the cost of the labour appropriated to steam used, or any of the other capital or administration costs chargeable to refrigeration or steam power.

^{*} TASKER, J.F. (1938): The Cost and Capitalization of North Auckland creameries during 1935-36. The Accountants J. (August)

New Zealand Creamery Costs and Pay-outs for the 1937-38 Season.
N.Z.Jnl. of Science and Technology, Vol. 26. No.4 (Sec. A),
pp. 204-213, 1944.

^{*} See Appendix A: "Related Studies".

See Appendix B:- "Form of Standardized Accounts used in the Butter Industry".

Nor do we know the costs of the various processes in butter production. What is the cost of reception on the stage, the cream processing costs, the cost of packing, storage and disposal? Frankly we just don't know, because our system of standardized accounts does not permit this important analysis. Our standardized system does advise total manufacturing charges, factory overheads, on-board charges and general overheads etc., which, together with cream collection costs disclose the total costs of butter manufactured. But the system fails to disclose the cost of each stage or process in production.

Apart from one company, it is doubtful whether any company can advise process costs without considerable investigation or delay. In most cases the minimum of detail is kept. Sufficient records are maintained to satisfy the requirements of the standardized accounts together with the statistical information required to be shown, also for statutory returns and for monthly reports at directors' meetings. But very few companies are able to advise the annual consumption of coal or units of electricity. It is rare to find a company that can advise the monthly consumption of heating agents. It is true that this information is needed for statutory returns, but many a manager confessed that too much reliance should not be placed on the figure submitted in the return as often it was an estimate or approximation. Less than ten per cent of companies are able to advise annual figures with any degree of confidence as to their accuracy.

Thus in the industry we do not know the tons of coal used per ton of butter made. Nor do we know the units of electricity per ton of butter, or the number of gallons of petrol per ton-mile in cream collection, or the man-hours or labour per ton of butter. In brief, we lack vital information which is basic in measuring the economic aspects of technical efficiency.

This lack of information could be multiplied. We do not know the percentage of cream hauled by operators employed by the factories, by outside contractors; or by road or rail. We do not know the average distance of cream suppliers from the factories. What percentage of cream comes from within a five-mile radius, or a ten-mile radius? What is the cost of hauling cream in terms of distance, weight or time? What is the most efficient size of lorry? Should three-tonners, five-tonners or heavy articulators be

used in cream collection? At what point do cream collection costs double or treble or become quite uneconomic? What percentage of suppliers average under 5 lbs. of cream per collection-day or 10 lbs. or 100 lbs. etc.? These are elementary questions when efficiency is to be examined, and it has to be admitted that the answers are not known.

It is partly the purpose of this study to make a pioneering attempt to furnish answers to some of these questions, or to indicate the limitations or boundaries under which such research could be conducted.

While many of these questions are technical in character, they are considered sufficiently important to merit close examination, for technical efficiency underlies economic efficiency as an important determinant.

It should be added that much confidential information was made available on the explicit understanding that the identity of individual companies would not be disclosed. This injunction has been followed out carefully, and in many cases, the pattern of grouping data has been varied slightly to achieve this end.

it should be pointed out that when this research was planned, it was directed that the work should draw upon two disciplines or faculties, viz. Dairy Manufactures and Economics, so that in addition to being an academic appraisal, the thesis should be of value as a reference work on certain technical aspects of interest to the industry.

It has not been easy to achieve these dual aims, for in parts the economic content has had to be preceded by considerable discussion of technical aspects of butter manufacture. To minimise this, certain purely technical aspects have now been relegated to the Appendices. (1958)

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

A study of this type is largely the outcome of an inspiration from another source. In this case, the author is particularly indebted to Professor W. RIDDET, Director of Dairy Research Institute and Vice Principal of Massey Agricultural College, without whose suggestions, advice and encouragement, the study would never have been conceived, let alone developed.

In the industry, many provided considerable help, including officers of the New Zealand Dairy Board, and the secretaries and managers of dairy companies who provided such full information. Indebtedness is acknowledged to Mr. C.H. Courtany, of Wellington; and also to Mr. T.K. Fraser of Palmerston North, Mr. J.M. Rose of Kairange Co-operative Dairy Company, Mr. Halligan of Cheltenham Co-Operative Dairy Company, Mr. R.E. Blair of Rangitikei Plains Co-operative Dairy Company, and many others.

Deep gratitude is also expressed to Associate Professor J.O. Shearer of Victoria University College, and to Dr. H. Brian Low of Massey Agricultural College for their scholarly assistance in reading through and commenting on earlier drafts of the work, and to many others who have afforded valuable assistance.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I.	GENERAL INTRODUCTION	
SECTION	I	1
	Purpose	1
SECTION	II. SCOPE AND METHODS OF THE STUDY	2
SECTION	III. LIMITATIONS AND NECESSARY ASSUMPTIONS	5
SECTION	IV. SOURCE OF DATA	9
	Collection of Data	9
SECTION	V. STRUCTURE AND ACTIVITIES OF THE BUTTER INDUSTRY	12
	Structure of the Industry:	12
	Output	17
PART II.	IABOUR EFFICIENCY	
	\$000 majoritas from the parties of t	19
		20
		21
		22
		22
SECTION		24
	The Extent to which Labour is Employed	24
	Analysis of the Personnel of the Butter Industry	26
	Establishment Aspect of Labour	31
SECTION	III. THE GENERAL PATTERN OF LABOUR UTILIZATION	33
	Process time-analysis of all factories	33
	Technical and economic aspects of labour utilization	3 8
SECTION	IV. THE COST OF LABOUR	39
	The place of labour in the structure of costs	3 9
	Labour Costs Analysed	40
	(a) Process Costs	42
	(b) Functional Costs	44
	(c) Seasonal Costs	46
	(d) Statutory Costs	50
SECTION	V. ECONOMIC ASPECTS OF LABOUR EFFICIENCY	52
	A. Labour Turnover	52
		53

		vii
	Objective approach	5 8
	Multiple correlation analysis	66
	B. COST ASPECTS	68
	Fixed and Variable Cost Elements	68
	Unit Costs related to Output	72
	C. REGRESSIONAL ANALYSIS	76
	D. FACTOR SUBSTITUTION AS AN EIEMENT CONTRIBUTING TO	
	ECONOMIC EFFICIENCY	84
	Conclusions	88
PART III.	TRANSPORT EFFICIENCY IN THE BUTTER INDUSTRY IN N.Z.	
SECTION	I. INTRODUCTION	90
	Historical	90
	Source of Data	92
	Limitations and Necessary Assumptions	93
SECTION	II. CREAM HAULAGE DESCRIBED	94
	Methods	94
	Contractors	96
	Frequency of Collections	98
	Condition of Roads	101
	Trucks Employed	103
	Organization of Cream Collection	107
	Personnel	112
	Routes	114
	Tanker Collection	117
SECTION	III. TIME, WEIGHT AND DISTANCE AS FACTORS IN TRANSPORT	
	EFFICIENCY	119
	Analysis of dispersion of time-comsumption	119
	The Use of Norms in cream collection	121
	Case histories examined	124
	Grades and Weights	132
	Distance of Suppliers from Factory	133
SECTION	IV. THE COST OF TRANSPORT	138
	Monetary costs of cream haulage	140
	Variable Costs	143
	Seasonal Effects on Petrol Consumption	143

	viii.
Unit Costs of Cream Collection	146
Distance of Suppliers from Factory and its	
Relation to Costs	147
SECTION V. ECONOMIC ASPECTS OF TRANSPORT EFFICIENCY	150
Contractor Efficiency	151
Contractor versus factory-operated methods	151
Minimum cost outlay as an alternative to maximum in-	
take of cream	153
Other factors affecting Transport Efficiency	160
MULTIPLE CORRELATION ANALYSIS - Introduction	164
The variables included	165
Interpretation	165
Conclusion	166
PART IV. MANAGERIAL EFFICIENCY	
SECTION I. INTRODUCTION	168
The problem of finding suitable objective tests for	
Managerial Efficiency	168
Types of management	169
Deviations in Regressional Analysis as an Index of	
Efficiency	172
Limitations	174
SECTION II. TECHNICAL ASPECTS - UTILIZATION OF POWER	175
Introduction	175
Source of data	176
Motive Power in factories	177
Power for Processing	17 9
Costs	181
Technical Aspects of Power Efficiency:	186
Technical Aspects of Steam Efficiency	187
Economic Considerations	193
Conclusions	195
SECTION III. QUALITY AND PAYOUT ASPECTS	196
Ratio of Average to Finest Grade Cream	196
Percentage of Butter sold Locally	198
Average Payout and Total Revenue for Season	198
True Overrun and Revenue	199
Summary	199

SECTION	IV. CONTROL OF EXPENDITURE ELEMENTS	200
	Materials and Sundry Charges	201
	Sundry Manufacturing Charges	205
	Factory Overheads	206
	Depreciation as an Element of Factory Overhead Costs	207
	Repairs and Maintenance and Consumable Stores	215
	Rates and Land Tax	218
	Insurance	219
	Factory F.O.B. Charges	220
SECTION	V. ECONOMIC OR ORGANISATIONAL ASPECTS OF MANAGERIAL	
	EFFICIENCY	224
	Wage cost Function	225
	Arbitrary gradings of managers of factories producing	
	under 1,000,000 lbs. butter annually	225
	Managerial Efficiency as indicated by Labour Turnover	229
	Managerial Efficiency in Cream Collection	230
	Managerial Efficiency in Power Utilization	231
	Collation of various managerial efficiencies	232
	Conclusions	235
PART V.	ADMINISTRATIVE EFFICIENCY	
SECTION	I. INTRODUCTION	237
SECTION	II. OFFICE ORGANIZATION AND ADMINISTRATIVE	
	EXPENDITURE	238
	Organization of the Office	238
	"Administration and Office Expenses"	238
	Staffing	241
	Office facilities	245
	Analysis of Office Expenses	245
	The relationship of Administration and Office Expenses	
	to General Overheads, and General Overheads to Total	
	Costs	246
	Directors' Fees and Expenses	248
	Audit fee	251
	Miscellaneous General Overheads	253

٩	p	۰		
2	L	d	n	
_	-	•	*	•

SECTION III. CAPITAL EFFICIENCY	254
Introduction	254
Descriptive	256
Buildings and Environment	256
Allocation of Floor Area	25 8
Plant and Equipment	259
Churn and Holding Vat Capacities	262
Boiler and Refrigeration Capacities	265
SECTION IV. THE DAIRY COMPANY BALANCE SHEET	267
Introduction	267
Structure	267
Consolidated Balance Sheets	268
Long-Run Financial Stability	271
Short-term Liquidity	2 7 2
Fixed Assets and Shareholders' Funds	274
Geographical Considerations	2 7 9
Scale	281
Sources of finance for future expansion and replace-	
ment of assets	282
SECTION V. AUXILIARY ACTIVITIES AND THEIR EFFICIENCY	283
Introduction	283
Range of Auxiliary Activities	284
Buttermilk Production	286
Relationship between factory size, output of butter-	
milk powder and revenue	288
Revenue from the sale of Cream	288
Sale of Whole Milk	290
Sources of Revenue	290
Rents	291
Farming Activities	292
Engineering Activities	292
Auxiliaries to Transport and Stores	292
Passengers and Mail eto	293
Manures, etc	293
Miscellaneous	293
Professional Services	293

•

	ri.
Auxiliary Transport Activities	294
Trading in Stores	295
Extent of Trading	296
The relationship of Gross Profit to Sales	298
The Relationship of Sales and Suppliers	299
Wages	303
Cartage out of Stores	305
Administration	309
Other Expenditure	309
Conclusions	311
PART VI. SYNTHESIS	
SECTION I. INTRODUCTION	313
SECTION II. COST-OUTPUT FUNCTIONS	314
Factors that are peculiar to the butter industry	314
The Problem	315
Limitations	316
Selecting an Approach to the Problem	317
Average or Total Cost	321
The Cost Function	322
The Findings	326
Conclusions	330
SECTION III. THE OPTIMUM SCALE	332
The Difference between Regressional Curves and Theo-	
retical Cost Curves	333
Procedure	334
Corrected Cost Data	343
Conclusions	346
SECTION III. ELEMENTS AFFECTING EFFICIENCY (Essentially	71 7
Endogenous)	34 7
The Problem of Waste	347
The Problem of Consoits	351
The Problem of Capacity	353 353
Over-capacity in cream haulage	353 356
Over-capacity of plant	357 357
Under-capacity in capital	357 357
AUGET-CHRICE CALTU CAPTERT	357

	The	Problem of Maladjustments	•	•		•	•	358
SECTION	IV.	OTHER CONSIDERATIONS AFFECTING EFFICIE	ENC	Y				
,		(Essentially Exogeneous)	•	•	• •	•	•	361
	Inst	itutional Considerations	6	•	8 6		•	361
	Envi	ronmental Considerations	•	•		•	•	364
	Str	ctural Considerations	•	•		•	•	365
		Co-operation	•	•		•	•	366
		Flexibility of structure	•	•		•	•	366
		The small factory	•	•		•	•	369
		Integration and Rationalization	•	•		•	•	370
	Dyns	nic Considerations	•	•	• •	•	•	371
SECTION 1	V.	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	•	•	• •		•	374
	The	Efficiency of Labour	•	•	• •	•	•	374
	The	Efficiency of Cream Haulage	•	•	• •	•	•	37 5
	The	Efficiency of Power Utilization	•	•	e •	•	•	376
	Tech	nical Efficiency		•	• •	•	•	376
	Mana	gerial Efficiency	•	•		•	•	377
	Adm i	nistrative Efficiency	•	•	• •	•	•	378
	Capi	tal Efficiency	•	•	• •	•	•	379
	The	Efficiency of Auxiliary Activities .	•	•	• •	•	•	380
s	Indu	strial Efficiency	•	•	• •	•	•	381
BIBLIOGRAPHY								384
			•					7-4
APPENDICES:								
APPENDIX	A.	RELATED STUDIES	•	•	• •	•	•	386
		References	•	•	• •	•	•	392
APPENDIX	B _•	FORM OF STANDARDIZED ACCOUNTS IN THE INDUSTRY	TUE	TE	R			706
ADDONDEY	0	A BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF BUTTER MANUFACT	•	•	• •	•	•	396
	- •							399
APPENDIX		TIME-MOTION STUDIES - LABOUR					-	401
		STATISTICAL DATA						415
APPENDIX		TIME-MOTION STUDIES - TRANSPORT		-				419
APPENDIX	G.	ESTIMATE OF EXTENT OF DIVERSION OF BUI	CTE	R	COS	STS	•	436

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE		PAGE
I.	Classification of 114 Butter Factories According to Annual Output of Butter in Pounds and Number of Suppliers (1949/50 Season)	17
II.	Employees of Ninety-one Factories Classified according to Age-Groups and compared with Total Dairy Factory Employees and New Zealand Working Males	24
III.	Personnel Engaged in Butter Manufactures in 91 Factories	26
IV.	Personnel of 91 Butter Factories Classified according to Length of Service in Present Position	28
V.	Personnel of 91 Factories Classified According to Labour Turnover, Median Age and Length of Service in Present Position	29
VI.	Separations of Key Personnel of Dairy Factories (All Types)	30
VII.	Percentage of Time Devoted to Processes in 58 Factories .	34
VIII.	Percentage of Time Devoted to Operations (of Processes) in 58 Factories	35
IX.	Details of Processes Further Analysed (From Selected Test Factory)	35
X.	Unit Labour Costs in 112 Butter Factories	41
XI.	Process Costs in 61 Butter Factories	42
XII.	Functional Costs in 32 Butter Factories	44
XIII.	Monthly Variations of Butter Output, Wages Paid, Personnel Employed and Unit Labour Costs in 91 Butter Factories	4.7
XIV,	Iabour Turnover of 90 Butter Factories Classified According to Annual Output of Butter in Pounds, Total Employees, Total Replacements, Accommodation Units, and Average Annual Wage Paid to Employees	
.VX	Location and Accommodation Ratios of 90 Butter Factories	60
XVI.	Ninety Factories Classified According to Intensity of Competition for Labour and Labour Turnover	62
XVII.	Index Numbers of Seasonal Employment in Dairy Factories (Butter), 1949-50 Season, for the Five Largest Employing Districts in New Zealand. (Base: One Season)	65
XVIII.	Fixed and Variable Costs of 55 Butter Factories	7 0
XIX.	Rank of 112 Factories (A) in Order of Output (From Lowest to Highest), (b) in Order of Labour Cost Measured in Unit Cost per Ib. B/F.*, (From Highest to Lowest)	7 5

	LIST OF TABLES (Cont.)	xiv.
XX.	Average Unit Wage Cost of 107 Factories Classified According to Annual Output of Butter in Pounds, Supplier Co-efficient and Seasonal Co-efficient	79
XXI.	Unit Cost Relatives of Smallest and Largest Output Groups of Factories	85
XII.	Relationship of Time Consumed on 25 Routes to Length of Route and Number of Cans Lifted	120
XIII.	Differentials of Daily Load Weights of Selected Factory as Indicated by Monthly Range of Maximum-Minimum Loads	122
XXIV.	Index of Cream Hauled in Months of June and July, 1949, to Selected Factory	123
XXV.	Quality of Cream of 603 Suppliers, analysed according to Quantity offered and Gradings	132
XXVI.	Percentage and Cumulative Percentage of Cream Received by 20 Factories in Zones Measured in Increments of One Mile from The Factory	135
XXVII.	Cumulative Percentage of Cream and Distance from Factory Shown in 10 Per Cent Intervals	136
XXVIII.	Percentage and Cumulative Percentage of Cream Received by 86 Factories in Zones Measured in Increments of Five- Miles From the Factory	137
XXIX.	Cream Collection Costs of 50 Dairy Companies for 1949/50 Season	141
XXX.	Relationship of Petrol Consumption and Weight of Cream to Seasonal Conditions Measured Monthly Over Six Collection Routes	144.
XXXI.	Cream Haulage Unit Costs of 90 Companies for 1949/50 Seaso	n 146
XXXII.A.	Cost of Cumulative Zones of Cream as Distance of Collectin Point Increases from Factory	148
XXXII.B.	Total Costs, Average Costs, and Marginal Costs of Manufacturing Butter and Hauling Cream at Given Class Intervals	155
XXXII.C.	Effect of 20 per cent Reduction of Cream Intake (By Eliminating Marginal Suppliers), on Marginal Costs of Total Expenditure of Manufacturing Butter at Given Output Levels	156
XXXII.D.	Relationship Between Mileage, Time, Weight and Cost of Transport Data of 26 Butter Factories for the 1949/50 Season	161
MIDOX.	Average Power Consumption on Butter Manufacture of 85	179

٠

	LIST OF TABLES (Cont.)	ΧVe
XXXIV.	Fuel, Power and Steam Costs for Butter Manufacture for 94 Companies for 1949/50 Sesson	183
.VXXX	Average Monthly Variations in Costs of Power and Coal for Selected Factories in N. Auckland, Waikato and Manawatu Districts	184
XXXVI.	Input-Output Ratios of Power and Butter of 77 Factories	186
XXXVII.	Input-Output Ratios of Coal and Butter of 98 Factories	189
XXXVIII.A.	Relationship of Boiler Capacity to Coal Consumed and Butter Output in 80 Factories for the 1949/50 Season	190
XXXVIII. B.	Evaluations of Technical Efficiency in Converting Cream of Given Quality into Graded Butter in 53 Factories	197
XXXXX.	Revenue per Ib. of Butter Made in 114 Factories with Overrun Average Grade and Local Sales	199
XL,	Cost of Materials of 95 Companies, Classified According to Scale	202
XLI.	Depreciation Written Off by 96 Companies as a Manufacturing Charge on Butter-Making	212
XLII.	Analysis of Unit Rates of Depreciation for Butter-Making per lb. B/F for 96 Companies	212
XLIII.	Analysis of Unit Costs of Repairs and Maintenance and Consumable Stores for 96 Companies	217
XIIV.	Relative Efficiency in Management as Shown by the Wage-Cost Function	228
. VIV.	Managerial Efficiency of Labour Relationships, as Measured by Actual and Estimated Labour Turnover Differentials in 85 Factories for the 1949-50 Season	229
XIVI.	Efficiency of Management in Power Utilization in 78 Butter Factories	232
XLVII.	Collation of Managerial Efficiencies in Selected Factories	233
XIVIII.	Staffing Required for Administrative Purposes in 57 Companies	242
XLTX.	Relationship of Various Administration Cost Ratios	247
\mathbf{L}_{ullet}	Relationship of Unit Costs for Administration and Office Expenses, Directors' Fees and Expenses and Audit for 95 Companies	247
LI.	Variations in Percentages of Floor Area Allotted to Various Functions in 14 Factories	259
LII.	Plant Capacities of Churns and Holding Vats of 92 Factories	262
LIII.	Relationship of Churn and Holding Vat Capacities to Out-	0/5

	LIST OF TABLES (Cont.)	xvi,
LIV.	Relationship of Boiler and Refrigeration Capacities to Output	266
LV.	Comparative Consolidated Balance-Sheet for the Co-op. Dairy Industry, 1949/50 Season. A: All Co-ops. Manufacturing all Dairy Products. B: All Co-ops with Capital Under £400,000 Manufacturing all Dairy Products	269
LVI.	Consolidated Balance Sheet for 1949/50 for 85 Co-op. Dairy Companies Manufacturing Creamery Butter	270
IVII.	Consolidated Balance Sheets for 1949/50 Season for 85 Co-op. Dairy Companies Manufacturing Butter Analysed by Districts	280
LVIII.	Relationship Between Capital and Scale of 82 Butter Companies	281
LIX.	Complementary and Auxiliary Products Manufactured by Co-op. Dairy Companies having Butter as their Main Product	285
IX.	Disposel of Buttermilk in 95 Companies	286
IXI.	Seventy-Nine Factories Classified according to Size and Revenue Earning Ability from Buttermilk	287
IXII.	Thirty-One Companies Classified as to Revenue Earned from Sale of Cream and Size of Factory,	290
IXIII.	Seventy-Two Companies Classified as to Output and Revenue Earned from Commissions, Dividends, Interest and Rents	291
TXIA*	Unit Manufacturing Costs of 114 Factories for 1949/50 Season	334
· LXV.	Unit Manufacturing Costs of 114 Factories Adjusted for Exogenous and Endogenous Influences	345
IXVI.	Unproductive Time, Lost Time, and Variation Percent in Short Runs for Butter Packing	411
IXVII.	Time-Consumption Analysis of the "At-Rest" Position of 25 Cream Collection Routes	423
TXVIII.	Relationship of Weight and Time in Loading 287 Single Cans from Cream Shelter to Tray of Lorry	426
IXIX.	Speed Variations of Seven Drivers Over Similar Routes .	431
LXX.	Relationship of 568 Cream Shelters Analysed According to Weight of Cream Supplied and Functional Condition of Shelter	434
LXXI.	Relationship of 603 Cream Shelters Positionally to Loading Place of Lorry, Analysed According to Weight of Cream Supplied and Height Cream was Lifted	435

	LIST OF TABLES (Cont.)	xvii&
LXXII.	Relationship of 603 Cream Shelters Positionally to Loading Place of Lorry, Analysed According to Weight of Cream Supplied and Distance Cream was Carried to Lorry	435

	LIST OF FIGURES	xv	ii
FIGUR	Ε	PA	GE
1.	Labour costs and Utilization in all Butter Factories 1949/50 Season	3	7
2.	Relationship between Lebour Unit Costs and Wages, Production and Employment for Each Month of 194,9/50 Season in 91 Butter Factories	4.	9
3.	Relationship between Volume of Output and Time Paid per Unit of Production in 26 Butter Factories	71	4
4-6	Relationship of Volume of Production to Unit Manufacturing Wage Costs per lb. of B/F in 107 Butter Factories, 1949/50 Season - Unadjusted Data	70	6A.
5•	Relationship of Estimated Cost and Wages in Pence per 1b. B/F. to Volume of Production in 107 Butter Factories, 1949/50 Seaso showing Corrections for Supplier (X3) and Seasonal (X4) Coefficients	n,	0.4
6.	Route Control Chart (Route No. 1)	12	6
7.	Illustrating Time Taken to load Individual Cans of Cream in Sequence: Correlated with Distance of Cans from Lorry Route 1	/1 12	7
8.	Route Control Chart (Route No. 2B)	12	8
9.	Route Control Chart (Route No. 7)	12	9
10.	Illustrating Time Taken to load Individual Cans of Cream in Sequence: Correlated with Distance of Individual Cans from Lorry (Route Nos. 7 & 2A)	130	0
11.	Route Control Chart (No. 6)		
12.	Relationship of Volume of Froduction to Power (Electrical) Consumption		8
13.	Relationship of Volume of Production to Coal Consumption	19:	2
14.	Twelve Factories Ranged in Order of Cutput of Butter, to Illustrate that Relative Productive Efficiency of Buttermilk Powder is Conditioned by Size of Factory	28	9
15.	Graph (Frequency Polygons) Illustrating the Difference Store Cartage Appropriated to Cream Collection makes to Cream Collection Unit Costs	30	8

	LIST OF FIGURES (Cont.)	xviii.
FIGURE		PAGE
16.	Relationship between Gross Profit, Sales, Suppliers and Output of 35 Companies in Relation to Store Trading	310
17A.	Relationship of Volume of Production to General Overhead Costs per 1b. B/F in all Butter Factories - 1949/50 Season	336
17B.	Relationship of Volume of Production to Unit Factory Overhead Costs per 1b. B/F in all Butter Factories - 1949/50 Season	337
18.	Relationship of Volume of Production to Unit Manufacturing Costs per lb. B/F in all Butter Factories - 1949/50 Season	338
19.	Relationship of Volume of Production to Unit Manufacturing Costs per lb. B/F in all Factories - 1949/50 Season - Corrected Data	33 9
20.	Comparison of Relationship of Volume of Production to Total Manufacturing Costs per lb. B/F for all Factories for 1937/38, 1949/50 and 1952/53 Seasons. (1937/38 Season Corrected to 1949/50 Values)	<u>3</u> 40
21.	Relationship of Volume of Production to Total Manufacturing Costs in 108 Factories - 1949/50 Season. (Data Converted to Logs)	341