Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

# THE EFFECT OF DAIRY, PIGGERY AND WOOL SCOUR EFFLUENTS ON WILLOW GROWTH AND THE SOIL CHARACTERISTICS

A thesis presented in partial fulfillment of the equirements for the degree of Master of Applied Science in Soil Science at Massey University, Palmerston North, New Zealand

Youwei Lu

1997

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

I would like to express my sincere thanks and appreciation to a number of people for their contribution towards the completion of this thesis. In particular:

Firstly and most importantly, my chief supervisor Dr. N. S. Bolan for organizing and arranging a MApplSc Programme for me to pursue, and for his enthusiastic encouragement and stimulation, great patience and warm guidance, and understanding during my studies.

Professor Russ Tillman, for his supervision, encouragement and patience during my studies.

Associate Professors. P. E. H. Gregg and Mike Hedley and Dr. Max Turner for their valuable guidance and patience during my studies.

Dr. Colin Stace (AgResearch, New Zealand Agriculture Research Institute Ltd, Palmerston North, New Zealand) for providing willow cutting and initial suggestions on establishment of the greenhouse experiment.

Mr. Lance Currie, Mr. Bob Toes, Mr. Ian Furkert, Mrs. Glenys C. Wallace and Mrs. Ann West for their assistance in the laboratory experiments and all my fellow postgraduates for their help and friendship.

All the staff of the Department of Soil Science for their friendliness and their innumerable helps and generous support during this study.

Lastly, my immense appreciation is to my family and my wife Cai Li and son Zhijei for their encouragement and support in many ways.

Thanks also to all people who offered assistance to me but I fail to name individually here.

The effect of dairy, piggery and wool scour effluents on willow growth and the soil characteristics

#### ABSTRACT

Restrictions on the disposal of agricultural effluents to the waterway means that alternative land based outlets are required in New Zealand. Willow, as a short forest rotation, represents a significant land use that could produce a high dry matter and benefit from the application of effluent irrigation. However, there has been little information on the effect of effluent irrigation on the growth of willow and the removal of nutrients.

In order to assess the effects of dairy, piggery and wool scour effluents on willow growth, a greenhouse experiment was established using the Manawatu sandy fine loam soil. A complete nutrient solution and nutrient - free tap water treatments were also included in addition to the effluent treatments. The design of the experiment was a 5 x 2 factorial combination of treatments with four replications in randomized blocks. Two factors (effluents and irrigation rates) each with 5 levels were examined, the levels of irrigation were 12.5 mm, 25 mm, 37.5 mm, 50 mm and 62.5 mm per fortnight. The plant growth, production and macro-nutrients accumulation, and the soil pH, electrical conductivity, and total N, P and cations were monitored

Irrigation with effluents affected the growth of willow cutting. The piggery and dairy effluent irrigation increased the willow growth and nutrient accumulation followed the increase in DM yield. The piggery and dairy irrigation accounted for 32% and 18% increase in total DM yield over tap water; while the wool scour effluent resulted in 17% decrease in comparison with tap water. Irrigation with dairy, piggery and wool scour effluents onto the Manawatu fine sandy loam soil, caused a significant increase in pH and EC. The significant change in pH and EC was attributed to the soluble salts in these effluents, especially K in the wool scour effluent. The recovery of N from these effluents was very small and was less than that of P and K in soil.

Chemical analysis of willow, treated with dairy, piggery and wool scour effluents up to 8 weeks, showed a relatively high concentration of N, P and K in leaf, and had a very high K and a very low Mg concentration in leaf with wool scour effluent irrigation. However, the efficiency of the N, P and K nutrient accumulated by willow was inversely related to the concentration of these effluents and the DM yield of willow cutting was positively related to the irrigation rates. It was evident that willow cutting was too young to require a large quantity of nutrients at the early growth stage and there was a risk of nutrient loss with increasing irrigation rate. The application of wool scour effluent caused a very high pH and EC, and the willow cutting growth decreased at > 37.5 mm/fortnight irrigation rates. The reasons for the detrimental effects of wool scour effluent on soil properties and willow growth need to be investigated further. The results suggested that it is possible to enhance the willow growth and adjust the soil fertility by application of dairy and piggery effluents irrigation.

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

		P	age
ABS	TRACT	<u></u>	i
ACE	NOWL	EDGMENT	iii
TAB	LE OF	CONTENTS	iv
LIST	OF TA	BLES	viii
LIST	OF FIG	GURE	X
LIST	OF PL	AT	хi
LIST	OF AP	PENDIX	xi
		CHAPTER ONE:	
		INTRODUCTION	
1.1	BACK	GROUND	1
1.2	OBJEC	CTIVES	2
1.3	STRUC	CTURE OF THE STUDY	3
		CHAPTER TWO:	
		LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1	INTRO	DUCTION	4
2.2	SOURC	CE OF WASTE EFFLUENTS IN NEW ZEALAND	4
	2.2.1	Municipal sewage effluent	4
	2.2.2	Industrial waste effluents	
	2.2.3	Agricultural waste effluents	7
2.3	TREAT	TMENT OF WASTE EFFLUENT	8
	2.3.1	Two ponds system	8
	2.3.2	Land application	12
2.4	SECON	NDARY-TREATED EFFLUENT DISCHARGE TO WATER	14
2.5	WAST	E EFFLUENT UTILIZATION IN AQUACULTURE	15
2.6	EFFEC	TS OF LAND APPLICATION OF WASTE EFFLUENTS ON PLA	NT
			17

	2.6.1	Production
	2.6.2	Physiological and ecological response of plant 18
2.7	EFFE	CTS OF LAND APPLICATION OF WASTE EFFLUENTS ON SOILS
	AND T	THE ENVIRONMENT 19
	2.7.1	Soil chemistry
	2.7.2	Nitrogen 21
	2.7.3	Phosphorus
	2.7.4	Soil pH
	2.7.5	Exchangeable cation
	2.7.6	Soil biology
	2.7.7	Heavy metals 24
		2.7.7.1 Metal leaching
		2.7.7.2 Effects of metals on soil biological activity 25
	2.7.8	Organics
	2.7.9	Soil structural properties
2.8	CONC	LUSIONS AND FUTURE RESEARCH NEEDS 27
		CHAPTER THREE:
		MATERIALS AND METHODS
3.1	MATE	RIALS
3.1		NIALS 27
	2 1 1	Soil 20
		Soil
	3.1.2	Plant
2.2	3.1.2 3.1.3	Plant
3.2	3.1.2 3.1.3 METH	Plant 29   Effluents 29   IODS 30
3.2	3.1.2 3.1.3 METH 3.2.1	Plant 29   Effluents 29   IODS 30   Experimental design 30
3.2	3.1.2 3.1.3 METH	Plant 29   Effluents 29   IODS 30   Experimental design 30   Collection of soil and plant samples 31
3.2	3.1.2 3.1.3 METH 3.2.1	Plant 29   Effluents 29   IODS 30   Experimental design 30   Collection of soil and plant samples 31   3.2.2.1 Soil sampling 31
3.2	3.1.2 3.1.3 METH 3.2.1 3.2.2	Plant 29   Effluents 29   IODS 30   Experimental design 30   Collection of soil and plant samples 31   3.2.2.1 Soil sampling 31   3.2.2.2 Plant sampling 31
3.2	3.1.2 3.1.3 METH 3.2.1	Plant 29   Effluents 29   IODS 30   Experimental design 30   Collection of soil and plant samples 31   3.2.2.1 Soil sampling 31   3.2.2.2 Plant sampling 31   The measurement of shoot growth and root length 32
3.2	3.1.2 3.1.3 METH 3.2.1 3.2.2	Plant 29   Effluents 29   IODS 30   Experimental design 30   Collection of soil and plant samples 31   3.2.2.1 Soil sampling 31   3.2.2.2 Plant sampling 31

		3.2.4.1 pH
		3.2.4.2 Electrical conductivity
		3.2.4.3 Soil exchangeable K, Na, Ca and Mg cation 33
		3.2.4.4 Soil and plant for total N and P
		3.2.4.5 K, Ca and Mg in plants
	3.2.5	N, P, K, Na, Ca and Mg in effluents
	3.2.6	Statistical analyses and curve fitting procedures
		CHAPTER FOUR:
		RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS
4.1	GROW	TH RESPONSE OF WILLOW CUTTING TO EFFLUENT
	IRRIG	ATION
	4.1.1	Effect of effluent on shoot growth
		4.1.1.1 At two weeks after irrigation
		4.1.1.2 At five weeks after irrigation
		4.1.1.3 At eight weeks after irrigation 40
		4.1.1.4 Explanation of effect of different effluents on willow short
		growth
	4.1.2	The effect of effluents on diameter of willow cutting 46
	4.1.3	Root mass and length 50
	4.1.4	Effect of effluent on dry matter DM yield
		4.1.4.1 Aboveground DM yield of willow cutting 52
		4.1.4.2 Root DM yield
		4.1.4.3 Total DM yield of willow cutting 55
	4.1.5	Effect of effluents on macro-nutrient uptake by willow cuttings 57
		4.1.5.1 Nutrient concentration in leaf
		4.1.5.2 Nutrient uptake 59
4.2	SOIL	HARACTERIZATION 64
	4.2.1	pH

3.2.4

		4.2.1.1	pH of the effluents	64
		4.2.1.2	Effect of effluent irrigation on soil pH	64
		4.2.1.3	Explanation for effect of effluent irrigation on soil pH	65
		4.2.1.4	Implication of the effluent irrigation on soil pH	66
	4.2.2	Electric	al conductivity	67
		4.2.2.1	Electrical conductivity of the original effluents	67
		4.2.2.2	Electrical conductivity of the soils	68
		4.2.2.3	Explanation of the effect of the effluent irrigation on the so	il
			electrical conductivity	68
		4.2.2.4	Implication of the effect of the effluent irrigation on soil	
			electrical conductivity	69
	4.2.3	Total N	and P	70
		4.2.3.1	Total N and P content of the effluent	70
		4.2.3.2	Effect of different effluents on the N content of the soil	72
		4.2.3.3	Effect of different effluents on the P content of the soil	73
		4.2.3.4	Explanation of the effect of effluent irrigation on the soil N	
			and P	73
		4.2.3.5	Implications for soil N and P of effluent irrigation	74
4.4	THE E	FFECT (	OF DIFFERENT EFFLUENT AND IRRIGATION RATE O	N
	THE B	ASIC CA	ATIONS	75
	4.4.1	Potassiu	ım	75
	4.4.2	Exchan	geable Na	77
	4.4.3	Calcium	1	78
	4.2.4	Magnes	ium	78
			CHAPTER FIVE:	
		CONC	CLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	
REV	TEW OF	LITER	ATURE	82
EFF	LUENT	IRRIGA	TION	83
			ONS FOR FUTURE WORK	
REF	ERENC	ES		86

## LIST OF TABLES

TABLE	Page
Table 2.1.	Composition of sludge or effluents from a few selected waste sources
	in New Zealand (Carnus 1994; Hart and Speir 1992) 7
Table 2.2	Chemical analysis of some effluents (mg L-1) (Longhust 1981; Johnson
	and Ryder 1988) 8
Table 2.3	Some effects of stocking fish in waste treatment ponds
Table 2.4	Nutrient status of soil (0 - 15 cm) after 80 years of meatworks effluent
	application (Keeley and Quin 1979)
Table 4.1	The mean of net shoot growth (mm) at 2, 5 and 8 weeks after effluent
	treatments38
Table 4.2	The mean of diameter of willow cutting (mm) after different effluent
	and irrigation rate treatments47
Table 4.3	The mean of DM yield of willow (g/pot) at each effluent treatment for
	all irrigation levels 52
Table 4.4	The mean of DM yield of willow (g/pot) at each irrigation level for all
	effluents56
Table 4.5	The average nutrient concentration of the willow leaf with different
	effluent treatments
Table 4.6	The concentration of macro-nutrients in effluents 58

Table 4.7	Nutrients uptake (g/pot) by willow cutting with different effluent treatment
Table 4.8	The pH of the original effluents and the soil treated with the effluent
Table 4.9	EC of the original effluent samples and soils treated with the effluents
Table 4.10	Total nitrogen and phosphorus content of the effluent and soil treated with the effluents
Table 4.11	The exchangeable K of original effluent and the soil treated with the effluents
Γable 4.12	The New Zealand Soil Bureau ratings for Ca, Na, K and Mg content of new Zealand soils (Blakemore et. al., 1987)
Γable 4.13	The mean exchangeable K, Ca, Mg and Na in soil treated with

### LIST OF FIGURES

<b>FIGURE</b>	Page
Figure 2.1	Two pond system for Dairy & Piggery effluent treatment (Bolan et.
	al., 1996)
Figure 2.2	Schematics summary of modifications, "add-ins" and "add-ons" to
	existing 2-stage dairy shed wastewater treatment ponds (Sukias
	et.al.,1996)
Figure 2.3	Effect of dairy shed effluent and water on seasonal pasture production
	(mean of four year data) (Robaerts et. al.,1992)
Figure 4.1	The effect of different effluents and irrigation rates on net shoot
	growth
Figure 4.2	The effect of different effluents on willow shoot growth
	(12.5 mm/fortnight)
Figure 4.3	The effect of different effluents on willow shoot growth
	(25 mm/fortnight)
Figure 4.4	The effect of different effluents on Willow shoot growth
	(37.5 mm/fortnight)
Figure 4.5	The effect of different effluents on Willow shoot growth
	(50 mm/fortnight)
Figure 4.6	The effect of different effluents on Willow shoot growth
	(62.5 mm/fortnight)

Figure 4.7	The effect of the different effluents on the diameter of willow cutting	
	with combination of irrigation rates	
Figure 4.8	The effect of irrigation rate and effluents on aboveground DM yield53	
Figure 4.9	The effect of irrigation rate and different effluents on root DM yield	
Figure 4.10	The effect of irrigation rate and different effluents on total DM yield	
Figure 4.11	K uptake of willow cutting (g/pot)61	
Figure 4.12	N uptake of willow cutting (g/pot)	
Figure 4.13	P uptake of willow cutting (g/pot)	
	LIST OF PLATES	
Plate 1 The	effect of different effluents on shoot growth	
Plate 2 The effect of different effluent on root growth		
	LIST OF APPENDIX	
	97	
Appendix 4.2		
	99	
Appendix 4.4	100	