

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

Factors Affecting Mass Loss of Apples

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements

for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy in Plant Science

at

Massey University

New Zealand

Kate Marie Maguire

1998



"We have not succeeded in answering all your problems. The answers we have found have only served to raise a whole set of new questions.

On some ways we feel as confused as ever but we believe we are confused now on a higher level and about more important things."

Anon.

Executive Summary

Mass loss from harvested apples causes direct loss in returns to growers and marketers of fruit. This thesis characterises the process of mass loss in harvested apples, exploring the effects of various factors on water vapour permeance of the fruit, a measure of the ease with which water escapes from the fruit.

Values of permeance of 'Braeburn' and 'Pacific Rose'TM apples were roughly twice those of 'Cripps Pink' and 'Granny Smith'. Permeance of 'Braeburn' and 'Pacific Rose'TM apples increased with later harvest date whilst values for 'Cripps Pink' and 'Granny Smith' remained relatively constant. There were small differences in mean permeance of apples from different regions. Some growers produced more fruit with high water vapour permeances than others. There was no relationship between maturity indicators tested and the water vapour permeance of the fruit. Fruit from the inner regions of trees and with high numbers of fruit in contact had high permeances. Variation in water vapour permeance around the surface of the fruit had no pattern with respect to blush or sun/shade sides, nor was there any relationship with cuticular thickness. Rather, variation in water vapour permeance of fruit was linked to the extent of cuticular micro-cracking. A model was developed which explains the water vapour permeance based on the proportion of fruit surface which is cracked. Artificial stretch applied to pieces of fruit skin increased cracking and permeance. Strain in the cuticle during growth and development of the fruit created a reticulate crack network. Micro-cracking could be important in determining susceptibility to mass loss and shrivel after harvest. Permeance of 'Braeburn' apples decreased after harvest; the extent of this decrease was greater for low relative humidity and high temperature and for fruit with high initial levels of micro-cracking. Bruising caused by impact damage on

‘Braeburn’ apples increased water vapour permeance of fruit only very slightly.

A conceptual model is presented which summarises relationships between fruit attributes, environmental conditions and processes which contribute to overall mass loss of apples. A composite mathematical model from previous models developed in the thesis is presented which describes total water loss as determined by the level of micro-cracking in the fruit cuticle, time after harvest, relative humidity and temperature of the storage environment. A number of suggestions for minimised mass loss in the apple industry are presented based on three strategies: minimisation of permeance, reduction of driving force for water loss and segregation of lines of high risk and applying appropriate handling regimes. The composite model could be used to explore a range of alternative handling and marketing scenarios in terms of total mass loss.

Acknowledgements

Firstly, I thank my supervisors for their advice and assistance throughout the course of this project. My chief supervisor, Professor Nigel Banks, and Dr Sandy Lang for their continued support, encouragement, excellent advice, and time. To Dr Ian Gordon for excellent statistical advice and guidance.

I wish to thank the Postharvest group for continued support and stimulating discussions and all staff and students of Plant Science and Agricultural Engineering department for friendship and support during my time at Massey. I am grateful to Massey University engineers and technicians, Ian Painter, Leo Bolter and Gerrad Harrigan who helped with equipment. My thanks to Shane Max and the staff at the Fruit Crops Unit for their assistance with orchard work. Thanks also go to the staff of HortResearch Palmerston North, who have provided me with invaluable support and advice.

I would like to acknowledge the friendship and support I have received from my good friends: Joanne Scherp, Ken MacCormick, Anna Bracey, Hannah Miller, Louise Ghiakopian, Jop Westplate, Helen Brown, Randy den Uyl, Jelle Tienstra, Wayne Campbell, Lisa Millard, Sue Nicholson, Dave Tanner, Anna-Marie Kingsley.

Extra special thanks to Mum and Dad and James for financial, emotional support and encouragement during the last 7 years.

The work reported in this thesis was funded by ENZAFRUIT New Zealand (International), through the ENZA Chair programme, by the Agricultural Marketing Development and Research Trust, through an AGMARDT PhD scholarship, and scanning electron microscope work by the Lotteries Grant Board. I sincerely thank these organisations for their financial support.

Abstract.....	iii
Acknowledgements	v
Table of Contents	vi
List of Tables	xii
List of Figures.....	xiv
List of Symbols and Abbreviations.....	xix

Chapter 1

General Introduction

1.1	BACKGROUND.....	1
1.2	RESEARCH OBJECTIVES AND STRUCTURE OF THE THESIS	3
1.3	REFERENCES.....	6

Chapter 2

Literature Review

2.1	INTRODUCTION.....	8
2.2	WATER LOSS.....	8
2.2.1	Permeance to water vapour	9
2.2.1.1	Cuticle versus pores	13
2.2.1.2	Cuticular thickness.....	13
2.2.1.3	Cuticular composition and structure	14

2.2.1.3.1	Cutin.....	15
2.2.1.3.2	Soluble cuticular lipids.....	15
2.2.1.3.3	Ultrastructure.....	17
2.2.1.4	Changes in permeance during development.....	18
2.2.1.5	Factors influencing permeance of fruit at harvest	19
2.2.1.5.1	Time of harvest	19
2.2.1.5.2	Maturity.....	22
2.2.1.6	Postharvest factors influencing permeance of fruit.....	22
2.2.1.6.1	Mechanical damage.....	22
2.2.1.6.2	Relative humidity	25
2.2.1.6.3	Temperature	27
2.2.1.6.4	Waxing	28
2.2.2	Surface area.....	29
2.2.3	Driving force (Δp_{H_2O}).....	30
2.2.3.1	Fruit temperature and water vapour partial pressure.....	32
2.2.3.1.1	Sensible heat transfer	32
2.2.3.1.2	Evaporative cooling	33
2.2.3.1.3	Heat of respiration.....	34
2.2.3.1.4	Dissolved solutes.....	34
2.2.3.2	Packaging effects.....	36
2.2.3.3	Relative humidity and temperature of the environment.....	38
2.2.3.3.1	Sources of moisture.....	38

2.2.3.3.2	Sinks for moisture	38
2.3	RESPIRATION.....	39
2.4	DISCUSSION	40
2.5	REFERENCES.....	43

Chapter 3

Harvest Date and Cultivar Effects
on Water Vapour Permeance in Apples

	ABSTRACT.....	55
3.1	INTRODUCTION.....	57
3.2	MATERIALS AND METHODS	58
3.3	RESULTS	61
3.4	DISCUSSION	64
3.5	LITERATURE CITED.....	69

Chapter 4

Sources of Variation in Water Vapour
Permeance of Apples

	ABSTRACT.....	83
4.1	INTRODUCTION.....	85
4.2	MATERIALS AND METHODS	87
4.3	RESULTS	91
4.4	DISCUSSION	94

4.5	LITERATURE CITED.....	97
-----	-----------------------	----

Chapter 5 Relationship between Water Vapour Permeance
 of Apples and Micro-Cracking of the Cuticle

	ABSTRACT	104
5.1	INTRODUCTION.....	105
5.2	MATERIALS AND METHODS	110
5.3	RESULTS	112
5.4	DISCUSSION	113
5.5	LITERATURE CITED.....	117

Chapter 6 Stretching affects Cuticle Integrity
 and Water Vapour Permeance in Apples

	ABSTRACT	125
6.1	INTRODUCTION.....	126
6.2	MATERIALS AND METHODS	126
6.3	RESULTS	129
6.4	DISCUSSION	130
6.5	LITERATURE CITED.....	133

Chapter 7 Growth and Development of Apple Skin and
the Maintenance of its Structural and Functional Integrity

ABSTRACT 141

7.1 INTRODUCTION..... 142

7.2 MATERIALS AND METHODS 144

7.3 RESULTS 145

7.4 DISCUSSION 147

7.5 LITERATURE CITED..... 151

Chapter 8 Effects of Relative Humidity and Time after
Harvest on the Water Vapour Permeance of
‘Braeburn’ apples

ABSTRACT 163

8.1 INTRODUCTION..... 164

8.2 MATERIALS AND METHODS 167

8.3 RESULTS 169

8.4 DISCUSSION 171

8.5 LITERATURE CITED..... 175

Chapter 9 Effect of Bruising on the Water Vapour Permeance of 'Braeburn' Apples

	ABSTRACT	186
9.1	INTRODUCTION.....	187
9.2	MATERIALS AND METHODS	187
9.3	RESULTS	189
9.4	DISCUSSION	189
9.5	LITERATURE CITED.....	190

Chapter 10 General Discussion

10.1	INTRODUCTION.....	195
10.2	TOTAL MASS LOSS	195
10.2.1	Rate of water loss	196
10.2.1.1	Water Vapour Permeance	196
10.2.1.2	Surface area.....	201
10.2.1.3	Driving force (Δp_{H_2O}).....	201
10.2.2	Rate of carbon loss	202
10.2.3	Prediction of water loss	203
10.3	MINIMISATION OF WATER LOSS	209

10.3.1	Minimise fruit permeance	210
10.3.2	Reduce Δp_{H_2O}	212
10.3.2.1	Reduce fruit temperature.....	212
10.3.2.2	Elevate environment RH	212
10.3.3	Segregation.....	214
10.4	FURTHER WORK	216
10.5	CONCLUSIONS.....	218
10.6	LITERATURE CITED.....	219

List of Tables

Table 2.1: Estimates of water vapour permeance from previously published and recent unpublished data. For values expressed originally on a percentage mass basis but with no information on the mass of the fruit used, fruit mass was assumed to be 0.16 kg. Surface area was estimated using Eq. 2.3 (Section 2.2) when this information was not given..... 12

Table 3.1: Average estimates of water vapour permeance for different cultivars of apples calculated from published data..... 74

List of Figures

Fig. 2.1. Wax layer on adaxial surface of <i>Pistacia vera</i> leaf \times c. 8800 similar to layers found on fruit surfaces e.g. <i>Malus</i> spp. (Baker 1982).	17
Fig. 2.2. Changes in water vapour permeance during development of four cultivars of apple (calculated from Pieniazek 1943).....	20
Fig. 2.3. Relationship between water vapour permeance and picking date for 'Baldwin', 'McIntosh', 'Golden Delicious' and 'Rhode Island Greening' (calculated from Pieniazek 1943).	21
Fig. 2.4. Effect of difference in water vapour partial pressure on the water vapour permeance of apples cultivars (Lentz and Rooke 1964).	26
Fig. 2.5. Conceptual model of factors influencing rate of water loss of harvested apples.	42
Fig. 3.1. Percentage of total variation in fruit water vapour permeance of 'Braeburn' apples sampled from eight orchards on five harvest dates over a 8 week period.	76
Fig. 3.2. Water vapour permeance of fruit from eight orchards harvested at different times relative to start of the commercial harvest season (0 weeks; SED = 1.32, df = 46).....	77
Fig. 3.3. Predicted relationship between respiration as percentage of total mass loss and relative humidity for 'Braeburn' apples using the model described in Eqs. 3.1-3.5.....	78
Fig. 3.4. Percentage of total variation in fruit water vapour permeance of four cultivars of apples ('Braeburn', 'Pacific Rose' TM , 'Granny Smith' and 'Cripps Pink' sampled on 6 harvest dates over a 10 week period.	79
Fig. 3.5. Water vapour permeance of fruit from 'Braeburn', 'Pacific Rose' TM , 'Cripps Pink' and 'Granny Smith' trees harvested at different times relative to start of the commercial harvest season for each cultivar (0 weeks; SED = 2.88, df = 98)......	80

Fig. 3.6. Predicted mass loss from a 'Braeburn' fruit in several different postharvest scenarios using model the model described in Eq. 3.1-3.5.....	81
Fig. 4.1. Methods used to characterise variation in around the surface of 'Braeburn' apples. Permeance was determined on limited areas with (a) a null balance porometer (b) on 12 positions on the surface of each fruit.	100
Fig. 4.2. Numbers of fruit out of 40 sampled from each of 6 grower lines within the 5 fruit growing regions in New Zealand with water vapour permeance above the upper quartile value for the whole experiment ($26 \text{ nmol} \cdot \text{s}^{-1} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{Pa}^{-1}$).	101
Fig. 4.3. Mean water vapour permeance related to points of contact with other fruit at harvest for 'Braeburn' ($\text{SED} = 5.3, \text{df} = 18$) and 'Pacific Rose' TM ($\text{SED} = 8.4 \text{ nmol} \cdot \text{s}^{-1} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{Pa}^{-1}, \text{df} = 18$) fruit.....	102
Fig. 5.1. Diagrammatic representation of concentration contours of water vapour outside microcracks in a fruit cuticle.....	122
Fig. 5.2. Relationship between water vapour permeance and mean cuticular microcracking for each fruit expressed as a proportion of area to total cuticular area (● scanning electron microscope, ○ confocal).	123
Fig. 6.1. Schematic diagram of the side view of a skin section with measured and calculated variables.	135
Fig. 6.2. Schematic diagram of experimental apparatus for stretching apple skin developed from a method described by Vincent (1992).	136
Fig. 6.3. a) Changes in water vapour permeance (as a percentage of initial value) with variation in strain for a representative piece of skin from a 'Braeburn' apple and b) stress-strain curve for the same piece of skin.	137
Fig. 6.4. Effect of stretching skin on a) ratio of water vapour permeance before and after stretching at different values of strain and b) proportion of crack area (SED for both x and y variables = 0.05) for 'Braeburn' apples.	138
Fig. 6.5. Micrographs of 'Braeburn' apple skin mag c. $200 \times$ for a) unstretched	

skin and b) stretched skin the examples (selected from single fruit with crack areas of 9% before and 15% after, typical of the 50 micrographs involved in the study).....	139
Fig. 7.1. Increases in a) fruit surface area and b) epidermal cell number of ‘Red Delicious’ from just after bloom to near harvest. Lines connect median values at each time.	156
Fig. 7.2. Changes in plan areas (●) and height (○) of epidermal cells of ‘Red Delicious’ apples from just after bloom to near harvest. The inset shows changes in the aspect ratio (height by width) for the same period. Lines connect median values at each time.....	157
Fig. 7.3. Change in epidermal cell wall surface area (including top, bottom and side walls) in ‘Red Delicious’ apples from just after bloom to near harvest. Lines connect median values at each time.	158
Fig. 7.4. Changes in thickness of cuticles of ‘Red Delicious’ apples from just after bloom to near harvest.	159
Fig. 7.5. a) Scanning electron micrograph (mag 200 ×) and b) light micrograph (mag 500 ×) of transect from a region of microcracked cuticle of ‘Braeburn’ apple	160
Fig. 7.6. Schematic diagram showing the detachment, deformation and reorientation of a part of the anticlinal cell walls of adjoining epidermal cells and the region (shaded dark) of the cuticle likely to suffer particularly high rates of strain.	161
Fig. 7.7. Scanning electron micrograph of a region of russeted epidermis of ‘Braeburn’ apple (mag 50 ×).....	161
Fig. 8.1. Schematic diagram of experimental apparatus used to expose fruit to different humidity environments at either 20 °C or 5 °C	180
Fig. 8.2. Relationship between water vapour permeance relative to initial permeance and a) time and b) total mass loss as percentage of initial mass for ‘Braeburn’ apples at 20 °C.	181
Fig. 8.3. Relationships between average estimates of curve coefficient <i>b</i> and a)	

and b) $\Delta p_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$ at 20 °C and at 5 °C, respectively and c) and d) initial water vapour permeance of fruit at 20 °C and at 5 °C for 'Braeburn' apples.	182
Fig. 8.4. Linear dependence of average estimate of curve coefficient a upon initial water vapour permeance for 'Braeburn' apples kept at a) 20 °C and b) 5 °C..	183
Fig. 8.5. Relationships between time and the ratio of water vapour permeance to initial permeance for individual 'Braeburn' fruit in different environments, fitted curves were generated using Eq. 8.4.....	184
Fig. 9.1. Idealised bruise showing symbols used in bruise volume and area determination.	192
Fig. 9.2. Relationship between a) bruise area and impact energy and b) bruise volume and impact energy for 'Braeburn' apples.....	193
Fig. 9.3. Relationship of average ($n = 11$) percentage change ($\text{SED} = 1.75$, $\text{df} = 20$) in water vapour permeance and bruise area ($\text{SED} = 21.4$, $\text{df} = 20$) in 'Braeburn' apples at 20 °C.....	194
Fig. 10.1. Conceptual model of factors affecting total mass loss. Final outcomes are in blue boxes. Processes which influence this are represented in purple boxes. Fruit attributes are in red ovals, and environmental factors are in green hexagons.....	222
Fig. 10.2. Dependence on fruit mass of a) surface area and b) surface area to mass ratio.	223
Fig. 10.3. Predicted relationship between weight loss and surface area (S.A.) to mass ratio of fruit with a typical value for permeance of $30 \text{ nmol} \cdot \text{s}^{-1} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{Pa}^{-1}$. The range of SA to mass ratio displayed is equivalent to a range in fruit mass of 0.1 to 0.35 kg.	224
Fig. 10.4. Predicted relationship between weight loss and mass of fruit of a) a 18.5 kg carton of apples and b) a individual fruit with a typical value for permeance of $30 \text{ nmol} \cdot \text{s}^{-1} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{Pa}^{-1}$	225
Fig. 10.5. Predicted relationship between count size of fruit and weight loss of	

18.5 kg of apples with uniform permeance to water if environmental conditions and duration were such that a carton of count 125 fruit lost 300 g.....	226
Fig. 10.6. a) Influence of temperature on relative saturated vapour pressure for air and b) influence of relative humidity on the driving force for water loss.	227
Fig. 10.7. a) Effect of Δp_{H_2O} on the permeance to water vapour (data from Lentz and Rooke 1964) and b) predicted effect of Δp_{H_2O} on the rate of water loss from an apple fruit.	228
Fig. 10.8. Conceptual diagram of potential strategies available to the pipfruit industry for reducing mass loss.	229
Fig. 10.9. Prediction of water vapour permeance of fruit with high permeance (dotted line) and low permeance (solid line) changes with time in storage with a) 4 days delay in pre-cooling and b) 1 day delay in pre-cooling. Periods of pre-cooling are shaded.....	230
Fig. 10.10. Prediction of water loss as percentage of total mass of fruit with high permeance (dotted line) and low permeance (solid line) changes with time in storage with a) 4 days delay in pre-cooling and b) 1 day delay in pre-cooling. Periods of pre-cooling are shaded.....	231

List of Symbols and Abbreviations

α_1	arc length of skin disc normal	m
α_2	arc length of skin disc under stress	m
a	non-linear regression parameter	
A	surface area of the fruit system	m ²
A^b	area of bruising of the fruit system	m ²
A^{ck}	area of cracking of the fruit system	m ²
A^{cut}	area of intact cuticle of the fruit system	m ²
A^{pores}	area of pores of the fruit system	m ²
A^{tot}	total area of the fruit system	m ²
a_w	water activity of fruit	%
b	non-linear regression parameter	
°C	degrees Celsius	
c	non-linear regression parameter	
c.	approximately	
CO ₂	carbon dioxide	
C^t	time conversion for days into seconds (86,400)	s · day ⁻¹
ΔM	total mass loss	kg
ΔM_{H_2O}	total water loss	kg
Δp_{H_2O}	difference in water vapour partial pressures between environment and inside of fruit	Pa
$\Delta p_{H_2O(1)}$	Δp_{H_2O} for the first set of postharvest conditions	Pa
$\Delta p_{H_2O(2)}$	Δp_{H_2O} for the second set of postharvest conditions	Pa
$\Delta p_{H_2O}^{bl}$	gradient of partial pressure of water vapour through boundary layer	Pa
$\Delta p_{H_2O}^{ck}$	gradient of partial pressure of water vapour through cracks	Pa
$\Delta p_{H_2O}^{icut}$	gradient of partial pressure of water vapour through the	Pa

	inner cuticle	
$\Delta p_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}^s$	gradient of partial pressure of water vapour through crack system	Pa
Δp_j	partial pressure difference for diffusion of species j	Pa
Δx	thickness of barrier	m
Δx^b	permanent deformation of bruise	m
Δx^{bl}	thickness of boundary layer	m
Δx^{ck}	thickness of cracks	m
Δx^d	deformation in centre of skin disc from stretching	m
Δx^{icut}	thickness of the inner cuticle	m
Δx^{flesh}	thickness of flesh at centre of a skin disc in side view	m
Δx^m	thickness of centre of a skin disc in side view	m
Δx^{s1}	thickness of side one of a skin disc in side view	m
Δx^{s2}	thickness of side two of a skin disc in side view	m
Δx^{skin}	thickness of visible skin at the centre of a skin disc in side view	m
d	day	
d^b	diameter of bruised area	m
d^f	diameter of fruit	m
df	degrees of freedom	
D_j	diffusivity of species j	$\text{m}^2 \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$
e	non-linear regression parameter	
ERH	Equilibrium relative humidity	%
γ	pyschrometric constant (equals $67 \text{ Pa} \cdot ^\circ\text{C}^{-1}$ at 20°C)	$\text{Pa} \cdot ^\circ\text{C}^{-1}$
g	gram	
h	hour	
H_2O	water	
h^b	bruise depth	m
j	gaseous species	

J	joule	
k	proportion of cracking	
K	kelvin	
L	radius of skin disc	m
L	litre	
m	metre	
M	mass of fruit	kg
min	minute	
mol	mole	
$\% M_{H_2O}$	water loss as a percentage of total mass	%
n	amount of gas	mol
N_{H_2O}	mole fraction of water in the solution	
P	probability and statistical significance of F or T test	
Pa	pascal	
p^{tot}	total pressure in a system	Pa
P_0'	initial water vapour permeance of fruit	$\text{mol} \cdot \text{s}^{-1} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{Pa}^{-1}$
P_{H_2O}'	water vapour permeance of fruit surface	$\text{mol} \cdot \text{s}^{-1} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{Pa}^{-1}$
$P_{H_2O}'^{ck}$	water vapour permeance of cracks	$\text{mol} \cdot \text{s}^{-1} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{Pa}^{-1}$
$P_{H_2O}'^{cut}$	water vapour permeance of undamaged cuticle	$\text{mol} \cdot \text{s}^{-1} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{Pa}^{-1}$
$P_{H_2O}'^{pores}$	water vapour permeance of pores or lenticels	$\text{mol} \cdot \text{s}^{-1} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{Pa}^{-1}$
$P_{H_2O}'^s$	water vapour permeance of crack system	$\text{mol} \cdot \text{s}^{-1} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{Pa}^{-1}$
$P_{H_2O}^{air}$	effective permeability of air to water vapour	$\text{mol} \cdot \text{m} \cdot \text{s}^{-1} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{Pa}^{-1}$
$p_{H_2O}^e$	partial pressure of water vapour in air	Pa
$p_{H_2O}^f$	partial pressure of water vapour in fruit	Pa
$P_{H_2O}^{icut}$	permeability of the inner cuticle to water vapour	$\text{mol} \cdot \text{m} \cdot \text{s}^{-1} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{Pa}^{-1}$
$p_{H_2O}^{sat}$	saturated partial pressure of pure water	Pa
$p_{H_2O}^{sat}(T)$	saturated partial pressure of water vapour at temperature (T)	Pa

$p_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}^{\text{sat}}(T_e)$	saturated partial pressure of water vapour at T_e	Pa
$p_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}^{\text{sat}}(T_f)$	saturated partial pressure of water vapour at T_f	Pa
$p_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}^{\text{sat}}(T_w)$	saturated partial pressure of water vapour at T_w	Pa
$p_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}^{\text{soln.}}$	partial pressure of water in steady state with the solution	Pa
P_j'	permeance of a barrier to gas species j	$\text{mol} \cdot \text{s}^{-1} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{Pa}^{-1}$
P_j	permeability of a material to species j	$\text{mol} \cdot \text{m} \cdot \text{s}^{-1} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{Pa}^{-1}$
P_t'	water vapour permeance of fruit at time t	$\text{mol} \cdot \text{s}^{-1} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{Pa}^{-1}$
P_{t_1}'	water vapour permeance at the end of the first set of postharvest conditions	$\text{mol} \cdot \text{s}^{-1} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{Pa}^{-1}$
P_{t_2}'	water vapour permeance at the end of the second set of postharvest conditions	$\text{mol} \cdot \text{s}^{-1} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{Pa}^{-1}$
R	gas constant = 8.314	$\text{m}^3 \cdot \text{Pa} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1} \cdot \text{K}^{-1}$
R^2	proportion of total variation explained by regression	%
r_{CO_2}	specific rate of respiration	$\text{mol} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$
RH	relative humidity	%
$r_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}'$	rate of water loss in a system	$\text{mol} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$
$r_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}^{\text{'bl}}$	rate of transfer of water vapour through the boundary layer	$\text{mol} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$
$r_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}^{\text{'ck}}$	rate of transfer of water vapour through the cracks	$\text{mol} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$
$r_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}^{\text{'icut}}$	rate of transfer of water vapour through the inner cuticle	$\text{mol} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$
$r_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}^{\text{'s}}$	rate of transfer of water vapour through the crack system	$\text{mol} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$
r_j'	rate of gas transfer of species j in a system	$\text{mol} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$
r_{mass}'	rate of mass loss in a system	$\text{kg}^{-1} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$

$rr\%$	respiration as a percentage of total mass loss	%
s	strain in skin	
s	seconds	
SED	standard error of the difference	
S_j	solubility of gaseous species within a fluid	$\text{mol} \cdot \text{m}^{-3} \cdot \text{Pa}^{-1}$
θ_1	angle required for calculating arc lengths of skin disc with no strain	
θ_2	angle required for calculating arc lengths of skin disc when strained	
t	time	d
T	temperature	$^{\circ}\text{C}$
t_0	time at beginning of the first set of conditions	d
t_1	time at end of the first set of conditions	d
$T_{(1)}$	temperature during the first set of conditions	$^{\circ}\text{C}$
t_2	time at end of second set of conditions	d
$T_{(2)}$	temperature during the second set of conditions	$^{\circ}\text{C}$
T_e	temperature of environment or air	$^{\circ}\text{C}$
T_f	temperature of fruit	$^{\circ}\text{C}$
TM	trade mark	
T_w	temperature of wet bulb	$^{\circ}\text{C}$
v	velocity of air	$\text{m} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$
V	volume	m^3
V^b	volume of bruised flesh	m^3
w/w	weight per weight	