

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**SEASONAL AND YEAR TO YEAR VARIATION
IN THE MACROINVERTEBRATE COMMUNITIES
OF NEW ZEALAND FOREST STREAMS**



**A thesis submitted in partial fulfilment
of the requirements for the degree of
Master of Science in Ecology
at Massey University, Palmerston North, New Zealand**

STEPHEN MARK MINCHIN

2002

One of the ultimate advantages of an education is simply coming to the end of it.
- B.F. Skinner

ABSTRACT

The bed movement of 42 streams in the Ruahine Forest Park, Urewera National Park, and Cass-Craigieburn region was predicted from each stream's channel and catchment characteristics. While a stepwise regression was relatively unsuccessful in predicting tracer particle movement, an artificial neural network analysis achieved strong correlations with measured tracer particle data.

Forty-three streams in the Ruahine and Tararua Forest Parks were sampled in the summers of 1996 and 2001, and the macroinvertebrate communities compared. Changes in community structure between the two surveys did not correlate with any measured environmental characteristics including stream bed movement and change in periphyton biomass. MCI scores changed by a mean of 12.8 points between the two surveys, and the number of sites attaining an MCI score indicative of a 'pristine' stream dropped from 40 to 29. This appears to be related to a change in stream temperature, with streams that were cooler in 2001 than in 1996 showing an increase in MCI, while those which were warmer showed a decrease. Changes such as these could have a marked effect on biomonitoring programmes that use reference sites similar to these streams. In both 1996 and 2001, a greater number of taxa were collected from sites with more periphyton - taxon richness appears to asymptote at chlorophyll *a* concentrations greater than 5 $\mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$.

Twelve streams within the Ruahine Forest Park were sampled every three months between June 2000 and May 2001. Both periphyton biomass and macroinvertebrate taxon richness tended to decrease with bed movement. While macroinvertebrate community structure showed marked changes over the study period, these changes were not linked to bed movement or variation in periphyton

level. The seasonal changes observed in these streams are not significantly different to the changes seen between the summers of 1996 and 2001 - community structure was no more stable between two summers separated by five years than it was between the seasons of a single year.

Eight artificial channels were laid on the bed of the Turitea Stream. At the onset of the experiment, half of the channels contained neither invertebrates nor periphyton cover, while the other half had no invertebrates but an initial periphyton layer. Drift samples indicate that approximately one in four drifting invertebrates colonised the channels during the 14 day study period, with benthic taxon richness reaching a peak after only four days. Colonisation was not affected by periphyton biomass. Some of the less common taxa that were present in the water column did not colonise the channels within 14 days.

EXPLANATION OF THE TEXT

This thesis is a combination of four individual papers, which has resulted in some repetition in the introductions and methods of some chapters.

Appendix 6 contains a fifth paper, which was presented at the 2001 Societas Internationalis Limnologiae Congress, held at the Clayton Campus of Monash University, Melbourne, Australia. This paper is to appear in Volume 28 of the Proceedings of the International Association of Theoretical and Applied Limnology, and is referred to within this thesis as 'Minchin & Death in press'.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I cannot thank my supervisor, Russell Death, enough for the help he has given me in the past two years. It was his undergrad lectures that first interested me in community and stream ecology, and he has always been encouraging in the face of both my pitiful understanding of statistics and propensity towards falling into any large body of water. He gifted me a large data set to get this thesis rolling, helped me plan each chapter, showed me how to collect my bugs and ID them back at the lab, and helped me to work out what was going on once the data had been compiled. Not only that, but he's been a great sounding-board when I've wanted to discuss my career, whatever that could end out being...

There is no way that I could have done this thesis without the help and support of my fiancée Angela Gibbons. She put up with the weeks of fieldwork (and post-fieldwork grumpiness), helped on more sampling trips than any post-grad could reasonably expect, she fed me, looked after me, and put up with me some more. As well as all of this she let me make her watch the neural network programme as it ran again and again, and did her best to act excited at the appropriate times. Sorry about my driving, those four o'clock starts, and leaving the house smelling of formalin. I'm not sure if I would have made it without you, and I think I owe you a dinner out.

The colonisation experiment was partially funded by a Massey Masterate Scholarship, so thanks to whomever it was that thought my work looked worthwhile. Thanks also to Robin Witson at the Large Animal Teaching Unit, for allowing me access to the stream.

Thank you to my parents for all of their support (both emotional and financial). I hope that it's been worth it, and I promise that I'll get a real job some time. Wherever I end up going from here, I hope that you'll be proud of me.

Thanks to all of the staff at the ecology department, particularly Barbara Just, Paul Barrett, and Jens Jorgensen.

Thanks also to all of the post-grads I've worked with and around in the past few years. Mike, Pepé, Kirsty, Dawn, Angela, Sjaan, Scott, Tania, James, and Mark, I hope that you all enjoyed the time at the lab, and that everyone ends up with fantastic jobs after all that time spent slaving away. Kirsty, Scott, and Pepe all helped me in the field, and Mike was patient in explaining how the neural network programme worked and how to make SAS do what I wanted. Thank you, Dawn, for the time you spent discussing my results, keeping me enthused, and paving the way towards more exciting thesis cover colours. Mike and Pepé, best of luck with the rest of your PhDs - just buy Russell a coffee every few weeks and you'll be sorted.

And thank you, of course, to everyone who was kind to me at the LimSoc and SIL conferences that I've presented work at. With a bit of luck, you won't see a repeat of that panicked, six minute talk. If you'd been even luckier, you would have avoided it in the first place.

I would like to dedicate this thesis to my grandparents. Only one of you lasted long enough to see this produced, so Grandma, I'm expecting you to read it four times to make up the difference. Thank you for all of your financial support - without your generosity, I don't think that I would have made it this far. As with my parents, I hope that having me finish this thesis will make it seem worthwhile.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

iii	Abstract
v	Explanation of the text
vi	Acknowledgments
viii	Table of contents
1	Chapter 1: General introduction
6	Chapter 2: Predicting stream bed movement from channel and catchment characteristics
25	Chapter 3: Spatial and temporal change in the macroinvertebrate communities of New Zealand forest streams
58	Chapter 4: Intra-annual variation in New Zealand stream invertebrate communities
78	Chapter 5: The influence of periphyton biomass on macroinvertebrate drift and colonisation
94	Chapter 6: Synthesis
97	Appendices

Chapter 1:

GENERAL INTRODUCTION



Stream bed movement is perhaps the most frequently cited cause of disturbance and structuring of macroinvertebrate communities (e.g., Robinson & Minshall 1986; Resh *et al.* 1988; Matthaei *et al.* 1996; Townsend *et al.* 1997; Bond & Downes 2000, McCabe & Gottelli 2000). Disturbances, here defined as 'any relatively discrete event in time that removes organisms and opens up space or other resources which can be utilized by individuals of the same or different species' (Pickett & White 1985), may be particularly important in New Zealand stream communities due to the unpredictable nature of this country's hydrological regime (Winterbourn *et al.* 1981; Death & Winterbourn 1995).

Macroinvertebrate community structure is also affected by anthropogenic changes such as pollution or the removal of riparian vegetation. The invertebrate community may therefore be used as an indicator of waterway degradation (e.g., Stark 1985), but it is not clear how much change occurs naturally within a year, from year to year, or as a result of disturbances (Townsend *et al.* 1987), and it may be difficult to distinguish between natural and anthropogenic fluctuations. Changes in the communities of pristine 'reference sites' may influence the accuracy of stream biomonitoring programmes (Scarsbrook 2002), as it is the degree of difference between test and reference sites which determines our ability to identify degradation.

Scarsbrook (2002) has concluded that New Zealand macroinvertebrate communities may 'fluctuate around a relatively stable state', with greater inter-annual fluctuation at sites with more variable flows. A number of studies have investigated macroinvertebrate community change between years (e.g., Scarsbrook 2002; Townsend *et al.* 1987) and others have looked at change within a single year (e.g., Death & Winterbourn 1994), but few have compared these two quite different time scales. The purpose of this study is to determine what it is that causes change in the

communities of forest streams over two time scales (intra-annual and between two summers separated by five years), with particular focus on disturbances by bed movement as a factor driving community change. Robinson & Minshall (1986) suggest that the factors which structure a community will differ according to season, while it makes intuitive sense that the factors will be similar in the same season of different years.

This study examines the effects of bed movement and periphyton biomass on the macroinvertebrate communities in Ruahine and Tararua Forest Park streams. The questions which this thesis aimed to investigate were:

- 1) Is temporal variation of macroinvertebrate communities greater in streams which have greater bed movement?
- 2) Do macroinvertebrate communities vary more between years or between seasons within a single year, and is this related to stream bed movement?
- 3) Can the differences in spatial and temporal patterns between stream communities be explained by periphyton biomass?

REFERENCES

- Bond, N.R., Downes, B.J., 2000: Flow-related disturbance in streams: an experimental test of the role of rock movement in reducing macroinvertebrate densities. - *Marine and Freshwater Research* 51: 333-337.
- Death, R.G., Winterbourn, M.J., 1994: Environmental stability and community persistence: a multivariate perspective. - *Journal of the North American Benthological Society* 13: 125-139.

- Death, R.G., Winterbourn, M.J., 1995: Diversity patterns in stream benthic invertebrate communities: the influence of habitat stability. - *Ecology* 76: 1446-1460.
- Downes, B.J., Glaister, A., Lake, P.S., 1997: Spatial variation in the force required to initiate rock movement in 4 upland streams: implications for estimating disturbance frequencies. - *Journal of the North American Benthological Society* 16: 203-220.
- Matthaei, C.D., Uehlinger, U., Meyer, E.I., Frutiger, A., 1996: Recolonization by benthic invertebrates after experimental disturbance in a Swiss prealpine river. - *Freshwater Biology* 35: 233-238.
- McCabe, D.J., Gotelli, N.J., 2000: Effects of disturbance frequency, intensity, and area on assemblages of stream macroinvertebrates. - *Oecologia* 124: 270-279.
- Pickett, S.T.A., White, P.S., 1985: The ecology of natural disturbance and patch dynamics. Academic Press: Orlando, Florida, USA.
- Resh, V.H., Brown, A.V., Covich, A.P., Gurtz, M.E., Li, H.W., Minshall, G.W., Reice, S.R., Sheldon, A.L., Wallace, J.B., Wissmar, R.C., 1988: The role of disturbance in stream ecology. - *Journal of the North American Benthological Society* 7: 433-455.
- Robinson, C.T., Minshall, G.W., 1986: Effects of disturbance frequency on stream benthic community structure in relation to canopy cover and season. - *Journal of the North American Benthological Society* 5: 237-248.
- Scarsbrook, M.R., 2002: Persistence and stability of lotic invertebrate communities in New Zealand. - *Freshwater Biology* 47: 417-431.
- Stark, J.D., 1985: A macroinvertebrate community index of water quality for stony streams. Ministry of Works and Development, Water & Soil Miscellaneous

Publication No. 87.

- Townsend, C.R., Hildrew, A.G., Schofield, K., 1987: Persistence of stream invertebrate communities in relation to environmental variability. - *Journal of Animal Ecology* 56: 597-613.
- Townsend, C.R., Scarsbrook, M.R., Dolédec, S., 1997: Quantifying disturbance in streams: alternative measures of disturbance in relation to macroinvertebrate species traits and species richness. - *Journal of the North American Benthological Society* 16: 531-544.