Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

DEVELOPMENT OF METHODS FOR CAPILLARY ISOELECTRIC FOCUSING OF DAIRY PROTEINS

A THESIS PRESENTED IN FULFILMENT OF THE
REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF
MASTER OF SCIENCE IN CHEMISTRY

AT MASSEY UNIVERSITY,

NEW ZEALAND

LEYTON WILLIAM GAPPER

January 2006

Abstract

Capillary Isoelectric Focusing (CIEF) is a high-resolution technique which can be applied to the separation and characterisation of complex biological mixtures such as dairy proteins. Although dairy proteins are commonly analysed by traditional gel electrophoresis techniques including 2-Dimensional PAGE, CIEF offers the advantages of reduced analysis times, the ability to handle smaller sample volumes and increased sensitivity with improved separation efficiencies.

Several methods for capillary isoelectric focusing of dairy proteins have been developed herein. For the analysis of soluble whey proteins methods that can be used with either UV or mass spectrometry (MS) detection have been set up. For MS detection a coaxial sheath flow interface in conjunction with electrospray ionisation has been utilised. For analysis of the inherently insoluble casein proteins with UV detection denaturing and reducing agents have been introduced into the system. Results have shown very close similarities to those obtained by IEF gels.

i

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank my wonderful supervisors Dr Kate Palmano and Professor Dave Harding for their hard work in helping me with every step of this thesis.

In addition I would like to thank Mark Ward for giving me the opportunity under his management to undertake this thesis. I would like to acknowledge the following managers who have allowed me to work on this thesis during time in their section: Nick Robinson and Steve Holroyd.

Bertram Fong requires a large amount of thanks for numerous hours helping me with ideas throughout the thesis, trouble shooting and generally being a great friend.

Thank you to the following people who have taught me techniques or added ideas: Don Otter, Carmen Norris, and Debbie Husband.

Thanks to other people who have worked in the laboratory in particular Therese Considine, Yacine Hemar, Skelte Anema, Dave Elgar, Sophia Stathopoulos and all the other staff in the Level 2 South wing laboratory at Fonterra Innovation throughout the time of my thesis.

My family require a special acknowledgement. With out the support of my Mother Linda, Father Brian and Brother Nigel this thesis would have been a lot harder, I have drawn inspiration from you all to keep me motivated to finish the thesis. This document is dedicated to all 3 of you and one last special person...

Janina, Thank you for your love and compassion, and your patience for me to do the thesis as it has meant sacrificing many hours together.

Contents

Abstract		j
Acknowle	dgements	ii
Contents		iii
List of Abl	previations	xiv
1 Ove	rview	1
2.1 2.2 2.3 2.4 2.5 2.6 2.7 2.8 2.9	Micellar Electrokinetic Chromatography Capillary Isotachophoresis Capillary Electrochromatography	3 5 7 8 8 9 10 13 14 15 16 16 16 17 17 18 18
3 Expo 3.1 3.2	erimental Conditions Chemicals Sample and Buffer Preparations for CIEF Experiments 3.2.1 Whey Basic Protein Fraction 3.2.2 Whey Protein from Skim Milk 3.2.3 Casein Protein from Skim Milk 3.2.4 Standards	20 20

		3.2.5	Buffers	22
	3.3	CIEF-U	IV Experiments	22
	3.4	CIEF-U	IV in a non-denatured system	23
	3.5	CIEF-U	IV in a denatured system	24
	3.6	CIEF-M	IS Experiments	25
	3.7	Infusior	n MS experiments	27
	3.8	CZE of	Whey Proteins	27
	3.9	CZE of	Casein	27
		3.9.1	Buffers	27
		3.9.2	Sample Preparation	28
		3.9.3	CZE Parameters	28
	3.10	Flatbed	IEF gel preparation	29
		3.10.1	IEF Sample preparation	29
		3.10.2	Skim Milk	29
		3.10.3	Standards	29
			Whey Basic Fraction	30
	3.11	Flatbed	IEF gel running conditions	30
	3.12	Focusir	ng	30
	3.13	IEF gel	staining	30
		3.13.1	Coomassie Blue R-250 Stain	30
		3.13.2	Coomassie De-Stain	31
		3.13.3	Staining Procedure	31
	3.14	2-Dime	nsional Gel Electrophoresis Experiments	31
		3.14.1	Buffers	31
		3.14.2	Sample Preparation	32
			IEF Focusing	32
		3.14.4	Second Dimension SDS-PAGE	32
4	Resu	ılts		34
	4.1	CIEF-U	V Water Soluble Method	34
		4.1.1	Method development protocol	34
		4.1.2	Protein Concentration	34
		4.1.3	Buffer Choice	37
		4.1.4	Column Choice, Length & Internal Diameter	40
		4.1.5	Detection Choice and Wavelength Selection	48
		4.1.6	Ampholyte Choice	50
		4.1.7	Focusing Times	56
		4.1.8	Mobilisation Techniques	58
		4.1.9	Changes in Voltage	60
i ₂ .		4.1.10	Temperature Effects	64
		4.1.11	Addition of Surfactants	67
			Linearity of Standards	67
			Method Repeatability	69
		4.1.14	Applications of the CIEF-UV Method	72
	4.2		le Dairy Proteins with UV detection	81
	4.3		sion Experiments	82

	4.4	CIEF-N	MS Detection	84
		4.4.1	Method Development	84
		4.4.2	CIEF-MS Applications	88
	4.5	Flat Be	ed IEF Gels	91
	4.6	PAGE	2D Gels	92
	4.7	CZE of	f Dairy Proteins and Peptides	95
		4.7.1	Whey Proteins	95
		4.7.2	Casein	95
	4.8	Compa	arison of Methods	96
		4.8.1	CIEF to CZE Methods	96
		4.8.2	CIEF to Gel Methods	98
		4.8.3	CIEF-MS to 2D-PAGE-MS	99
5	Con	clusions		101
6	Futu	re Work	4	103
7	Refe	rences		104
Арр	endix	1 CIEF	literature	116
Арр	endix	2 Resul	ts of Infusion MS experiments	124
Арр	endix	3 Resul	ts of MS infusion of basic protein fraction samples	144
Арр	endix	4 Public	cations	150

Table of Figures

Figure 1 General Schematic overview of a CE instrument including	
cathode, anode, capillary, high voltage power supply,	
detector and data acquisition.	5
Figure 2 Schematic of the Finnigan coaxial sheath-flow CE-MS intel	face
as used in this research.	15
Figure 3 A typical electropherogram (Black) with current trace (Red) whey protein from skim milk, with internal pl markers a The sample was run on a 30 cm MicroSolv Zero flow of at 12 kV. Focusing was performed for 6 minutes follow pressure mobilisation at 0.1 psi. Anode comprised 20 mphosphoric acid and cathode buffer comprised 20 mM sodium hydroxide. Ampholytes used were Beckman 3-2 % (v/v) concentration. Tryp = trypsinogen, Mb-B = myoglobin basic, Mb-A = myoglobin acidic, CA = carbo	dded. olumn ed by mM 10 at
anhydrase I, β -lac-B = β -lactoglobulin-B, β -lac-A = β -	7110
lactoglobulin-A, α-Lac = α-lactalbumin, TI = trypsin inhi	bitor.
AM = amyloglucosidase. Detection was UV at 280 nm.	36
Figure 4 Comparison of buffer types. Electropherograms of skim mill	
whey protein with internal standards. Samples were rai	a in
an identical manner to that in Figure 3 except bottom tr	ace
(Red) represents run with 1 % acetic acid at the anode	and
1 % ammonia at the cathode. Peak 1 = trypsinogen, pe	eak 2
= myoglobin, peak 3 = carbonic anhydrase, peak $4 = \beta$	-
lactoglobulin-B, peak 5 = β-lactoglobulin-A, peak 6 = α-	-
lactalbumin, peak 7 = trypsin inhibitor, and peak 8 =	
amyloglucosidase.	38
Figure 5 Comparison of column coatings. Electropherograms of whe	
proteins from skim milk and internal <i>pl</i> standards run in manner identical to that in Figure 3 except different coll (30 cm) were used to generate each electropherogram	umns
From the top trace: Black- MicroSolv Zero flow, Red- B	
fused silica, Blue- BGB, Purple- SGE, Maroon- MicroSi	VIC
Low flow, Green- Beckman neutral capillary. Peak 1 =	
trypsinogen, peak 2 = myoglobin, peak 3 = carbonic	
anhydrase, peak $4 = \beta$ -lactoglobulin-B, peak $5 = \beta$ -	
lactoglobulin-A, peak $6 = \alpha$ -lactalbumin, peak $7 = \text{tryps}$	
inhibitor, and peak 8 = amyloglucosidase.	41
Figure 6 Calibration Curves of <i>pl</i> versus migration time for each colu	
type compared in Figure 5. The equation and regression	
values for each column are expressed in Table 5.	44
Figure 7 Comparison of column length. Electropherograms of skim n whey proteins and internal pl standards. Both	18K
electropherograms run identically to Figure 3 except the	at the
bottom electropherogram was run on a 60 cm column	

	voltage of 24 kV to be consistent with the 30 cm column.	
	Peak 1 = trypsinogen, peak 2 = myoglobin, peak 3 =	
	carbonic anhydrase, peak $4 = \beta$ -lactoglobulin-B, peak $5 = \beta$ -	
	lactoglobulin-A, peak 6 = α-lactalbumin, peak 7 = trypsin	
	9 ,	46
Figure 8 Elec	tropherograms of whey protein with internal pl standards for	
	capillaries of 75 μm i.d. (top) and 50 μm i.d. (bottom). Note	
	standards are identical to those used in Figure 3 except	
	trypsinogen is replaced with ribonuclease A and	
	amyloglucosidase is replaced with CCK flanking peptide.	
	Peak 1 = ribonuclease A, peak 2 = myoglobin, peak 3 =	
	carbonic anhydrase, peak $4 = \beta$ -lactoglobulin-B, β -	
	lactoglobulin-A, and α -lactalbumin peak 5 = trypsin inhibitor,	
		48
Figure 9 Com	parison of detector type and wavelength. Samples are whey	
, iguio o con	protein from skim milk run identically to Figure 3. From top to	
	bottom: 214 nm PDA detector, 280 nm PDA detector, 214	
		50
Figure 10 Co	mparison of different ampholyte brands. Each	-
. iguio io oo	electropherogram represents whey protein from skim milk	
	run on a 60 cm MicroSolv Zero flow column. All samples	
	except that shown in the bottom electropherogram were	
	spiked with β-lac-B. All other instrument settings were the	
	same as those described in Figure 3. From the top:	
	Beckman ampholyte 3-10, Bio-Rad 3-10, Fluka 3-10,	
	Pharmacia 3-10, Sigma 2.5-7. Peak 1 = β-lactoglobulin-B,	
	The state of the s	52
Figure 11 Co	mparison of ampholyte concentration. Electropherograms of	
	whey protein from skim milk showing the effects of different	
	concentrations of ampholytes added to the sample. Top: 2	
	% (v/v) ampholyte added, Bottom: 0.5 % (v/v) ampholyte	
	added. All other parameters were the same as in Figure 3	
	except the separation was performed on a 60 cm column.	
	Peak 1 = β -lactoglobulin-B, peak 2 = β -lactoglobulin-A, and	
		54
Figure 12 Eff	ects of using narrow range ampholytes. Sample is whey	500
	basic protein fraction number 2 run identically to the sample	
	in Figure 3 except for the addition of either 2 % (v/v) Bio Lite	
		56
Figure 13 Ele	ectropherograms obtained using different focusing times on	
•	the same sample. All samples were run on the same 30 cm	
	MicroSolv Zero flow column with operating parameters and	
	sample identical to those in Figure 3 except for the focusing	
	and mobilisation parameter changes. Peak 1 = trypsinogen,	
	peak 2 = myoglobin, peak 3 = carbonic anhydrase, peak 4 =	
	β-lactoglobulin-B, peak $5 = \beta$ -lactoglobulin-A, peak $6 = \alpha$ -	

	lactalbumin, peak 7 = trypsin inhibitor, and peak 8 =	
	amyloglucosidase.	57
Figure 14 Mo	obilisation Techniques. Electropherograms of whey protein	
	from skim milk with internal pl markers. Each sample was	
	run identically to that in Figure 3 except different types of	
	mobilisation was used. Top trace = pressure mobilisation at	
	0.1 psi, middle trace = chemical mobilisation, bottom trace =	=
	EOF mobilisation. Peak 1 = trypsinogen, peak 2 =	
	myoglobin, peak 3 = carbonic anhydrase, peak 4 = β -	
	lactoglobulin-B, peak $5 = \beta$ -lactoglobulin-A, peak $6 = \alpha$ -	
	lactalbumin, peak 7 = trypsin inhibitor, and peak 8 =	
	amyloglucosidase.	59
Figure 15 Eff	fect of change in voltages across a capillary. Sample and	
	experiment settings were identical to those outlined in Figure	е
	3, except voltage was changed throughout. Peak 1 =	
	trypsinogen, peak 2 = myoglobin, peak 3 = carbonic	
	anhydrase, peak $4 = \beta$ -lactoglobulin-B, peak $5 = \beta$ -	
	lactoglobulin-A, peak 6 = α-lactalbumin, peak 7 = trypsin	
	inhibitor, and peak 8 = amyloglucosidase.	61
Figure 16 Ch	ange in temperature. Electropherograms of whey protein	
	from skim milk with pl markers run identically to the sample	
	in Figure 3 except that capillary temperature was altered and	d
	ribonuclease pl marker was substituted for trypsinogen.	
	From top to bottom: 15, 20, 25, 30, and 35°C. Of particular	
	interest is the disappearance of the α -Lac peak with	
	increasing temperature and differences in the amount of	
	spiking occurring in each electropherogram. Peak 1 =	
	ribonuclease, peak 2 = myoglobin, peak 3 = carbonic	
	anhydrase, peak $4 = \beta$ -lactoglobulin-B, peak $5 = \beta$ -	
	lactoglobulin-A, peak 6 = α-lactalbumin, peak 7 = trypsin	
	inhibitor, and peak 8 = amyloglucosidase.	65
Figure 17 Dif	ferences in the peak areas of whey protein peaks from skim	
-	milk at different temperatures for 2 sets of data run identical	
	to Figure 16. Al = α -lactalbumin, BA = β -lactoglobulin-A, and	
	BB = β -lactoglobulin-B. 1 = sample set 1, 2 = sample set 2.	
Figure 18 Dif	ferences in the percentage areas of the whey protein peaks	1717
	identified in Figure 16. Percentages were calculated relative	
	to the total area of the whey protein peaks. Samples were	
	analysed identically to those outlined in Figure 16. AL = α -	
	lactalbumin, BA = $β$ -lactoglobulin-A, and BB = $β$ -	
	lactoglobulin-B. 1 = sample set 1, 2 = sample set 2.	67
Figure 19 Me	ethod reproducibility as shown by 10 electropherograms of	٠.
9	whey protein from skim milk with internal <i>pl</i> markers run	
	consecutively. Samples were run under identical conditions	
	to those used in Figure 3. Peak 1 = trypsinogen, peak 2 =	
	2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 -	

	lactoglobulin-B, peak $5 = \beta$ -lactoglobulin-A, peak $6 = \alpha$ -	
	lactalbumin, peak 7 = trypsin inhibitor, and peak 8 =	
		71
Figure 20 Se	parations achieved for several whey basic protein fraction	
-	samples. Top trace is the total whey basic protein fraction	
	(fraction 1), middle trace is a subfraction of the top trace	
	sample (fraction 2) as is the bottom trace (fraction 3). The	
	main components of the sample are lactoferrin,	
	lactoperoxidase and angiogenins. Each electropherogram	
	was generated using the same parameters as used in	
	Figure 3.	74
Figure 21 Ele	ectropherograms of angiogenin (top), lactogenin (middle), and	1
_	a blank sample (bottom). The angiogenin and lactogenin	
	samples are sub fraction samples of the total whey basic	
	protein fraction and were found to have a $pl > 9.1$. Samples	
	·	75
Figure 22 Ele	ectropherogram of a whey acidic protein fraction from mineral	
Ů.	and the second s	76
Figure 23 Ele	ectropherogram of a GMP fraction (cheese whey acidic	
v	protein fraction) isolated from a cheese whey retentate.	
		76
Figure 24 Ele	ectropherograms of industrial scale samples of	. •
•	lactoperoxidase protein. Top trace for reference purposes is	
	a Sigma standard, the following four traces are four different	
		77
Figure 25 An	alysis of a whey based industrial hydrolysate sample.	
Ü	Separation parameters were identical to those used in	
	Figure 3. The sample was made at a concentration of 3	
		78
Figure 26 Ele	ectropherograms of bacterial cell lysate "B12" run 4 times	
	(each electropherogram off set). Separation conditions were	
		80
Figure 27 Ele	ectropherograms of bacterial cell lysate "X7" (Top and middle)	
-	run one after the other. After the second sample was run it	•
	was noticed that there was a pellet formed at the bottom of	
	was noticed that there was a perior formed at the porton of	
	·	
	the sample vial. All samples run using conditions identical to	
Figure 28 Ele	the sample vial. All samples run using conditions identical to that in Figure 3.	80
Figure 28 Ele	the sample vial. All samples run using conditions identical to that in Figure 3. ectropherograms of skim milk run under identical conditions	80
Figure 28 Ele	the sample vial. All samples run using conditions identical to that in Figure 3. ectropherograms of skim milk run under identical conditions except the top trace utilised β-mercaptoethanol (BME), while	80
	the sample vial. All samples run using conditions identical to that in Figure 3. ectropherograms of skim milk run under identical conditions except the top trace utilised β-mercaptoethanol (BME), while the bottom trace utilised DTT in the sample buffer.	80
	the sample vial. All samples run using conditions identical to that in Figure 3. ectropherograms of skim milk run under identical conditions except the top trace utilised β-mercaptoethanol (BME), while the bottom trace utilised DTT in the sample buffer. Imparison of different buffers under MS running conditions.	80
	the sample vial. All samples run using conditions identical to that in Figure 3. Extropherograms of skim milk run under identical conditions except the top trace utilised β-mercaptoethanol (BME), while the bottom trace utilised DTT in the sample buffer. Emparison of different buffers under MS running conditions. Samples were whey protein from skim milk with standard pl	80
	the sample vial. All samples run using conditions identical to that in Figure 3. ectropherograms of skim milk run under identical conditions except the top trace utilised β-mercaptoethanol (BME), while the bottom trace utilised DTT in the sample buffer. emparison of different buffers under MS running conditions. Samples were whey protein from skim milk with standard pl markers. Samples were run identically to those in Figure 3,	80
	the sample vial. All samples run using conditions identical to that in Figure 3. Extropherograms of skim milk run under identical conditions except the top trace utilised β-mercaptoethanol (BME), while the bottom trace utilised DTT in the sample buffer. Emparison of different buffers under MS running conditions. Samples were whey protein from skim milk with standard pl	80

myoglobin, peak 3 = carbonic anhydrase, peak 4 = β -

trypsinogen, peak 2 = myoglobin, peak 3 = carbonic	
anhydrase, peak $4 = \beta$ -lactoglobulin-B, peak $5 = \beta$ -	
lactoglobulin-A, peak 6 = α-lactalbumin, peak 7 = trypsin	
inhibitor, and peak 8 = amyloglucosidase.	85
Figure 30 TIC of CIEF-MS of whey protein from skim milk spiked with	-
minor whey proteins (BSA, GMP, and PP5) and pl markers	s 87
Figure 31 Representation of molecular weight versus retention time for the	
TIC in Figure 30. Every 10 microscans of the MS data were	
deconvoluted by Bioworks software. Proteins were then	0
identified according to molecular mass with comparison to	
infused standards. Mb-B = myoglobin basic, Mb-A =	
myoglobin acidic, CA = carbonic anhydrase I, β -lac-B = β -	
lactoglobulin-B, β -lac-A = β -lactoglobulin-A, α -Lac = α -	
lactalbumin, TI = trypsin inhibitor, BSA = bovine serum	
albumin, PP5 = proteose peptone 5, GMP =	
glycomacropeptide.	00
·	90
Figure 32 IEF flatbed gel of skim milk (SM, left lane) and whey basic	00
protein fraction number 1 (right lane).	92
Figure 33 2D PAGE of whey basic protein fraction sample 1.	93
Figure 34 2D PAGE of whey basic protein fraction sample 2.	94
Figure 35 2D PAGE of whey basic protein fraction sample 3.	94
Figure 36 CZE separations of whey proteins from skim milk utilising the	
method of Kinghorn et al. (1996). The top trace represents	
protein standards of the major constituents of whey protein	s,
α-Lac (peak 1), β-Lac-A (peak 4), β-Lac-B (peak 3) and	
minor component β-Lac-C (peak 2) genetic variant. The	200
bottom trace is the response for skim milk showing α-Lac, (
Lac-B, and β-Lac-A.	95
Figure 37 CZE separation of milk proteins from skim milk by the method	
outlined in section 3.9. The method was similar to that used	
by Recio et al., (1997).	96
Figure 38 Comparison of flat bed IEF-PAGE with laser densitometry to	
CIEF-UV using the denaturing CIEF method (Section 3.5).	
	127
Figure 40 Results of deconvolution of α-Lac	127
	128
Figure 42 Results of deconvolution of amyloglucosidase	128
	129
Figure 44 Results of deconvolution of β-Lac-A	129
	130
	130
Figure 47 Results of BSA standard infused into MS	131
Figure 48 Results of deconvolution of BSA	131
Figure 49 Results of carbonic anhydrase standard infused into MS	132
Figure 50 Results of deconvolution of carbonic anhydrase	132
Figure 51 Results of GMP standard infused into MS	133

Figure	52	Results	of deconvolution of GMP	133
Figure	53	Results	of IgG standard infused into MS	134
Figure	54	Results	of deconvolution of IgG	134
Figure	55	Results	of lactoferrin standard infused into MS	135
Figure	56	Results	of deconvolution of lactoferrin	135
Figure	57	Results	of lactoperoxidase standard infused into MS	136
Figure	58	Results	of deconvolution of lactoperoxidase	136
Figure	59	Results	of lactoferrin deglycosylated infused into MS	137
Figure	60	Results	of deconvolution of deglycosylated lactoferrin	137
Figure	61	Results	of myoglobin standard infused into MS	138
Figure	62	Results	of deconvolution of myoglobin	138
Figure	63	Results	of PP5 standard infused into MS	139
Figure	64	Results	of deconvolution of PP5	139
Figure	65	Results	of ribonuclease standard infused into MS	140
Figure	66	Results	of deconvolution of Ribonuclease	140
Figure	67	Results	of trypsin inhibitor standard infused into MS	141
Figure	68	Results	of deconvolution of trypsin inhibitor	141
Figure	69	Results	of trypsinogen standard infused into MS	142
Figure	70	Results	of deconvolution of trypsinsinogen	142
Figure	71	Results	of CCK Peptide standard infused into MS	143
Figure	72	Results	of whey basic protein fraction 3 sample infused into	MS144
			of deconvolution of whey basic protein fraction 3	145
			of whey basic protein fraction 2 sample infused into	MS146
Figure	75	Results	of deconvolution of whey basic protein fraction 2	146
Figure	76	Results (of whey basic protein fraction 1 sample infused into	MS147
Figure	77	Results	of deconvolution of whey basic protein fraction 1	147
Figure	78	Results	of angiogenin sample infused into MS	148
Figure	79	Results	of deconvolution of angiogenin sample	148
Figure	80	Results	of lactogenin sample infused into MS	149
Figure	81	Results of	of deconvolution of lactogenin sample	149

Table of Tables

Table 1 Major protein constituents of bovine milk including approximate	
concentration of each protein (depending on time of	4
lactation) and genetic variants. From Swaisgood (1986).	4
Table 2 LCQ Mass Spectrometry instrument settings for CIEF-MS	00
experiments.	26
Table 3 Literature values for isoelectric points and molecular weights of	
proteins used throughout this research. Typical CIEF	
working concentrations are also included.	35
Table 4 pH values for focusing buffers and mobilisation buffers in CIEF	
experiments.	39
Table 5 Comparison of the electropherograms obtained from using	202
different 30 cm columns as shown in Figure 5.	43
Table 6 Comparison of column volume (nl) when changing parameters	
such as length or internal diameter. Calculated from CExp	
(Beckman Coulter).	46
Table 7 Comparison of results from the electropherograms shown in	
Figure 7 for differences in column length on the MicroSolv	
Zero Flow capillary and between batches of capillary (For	
cm results).	47
Table 8 Comparisons of focusing times and mobilisation techniques. All	
samples were run on the same 30 cm MicroSolv Zero Flov	V
column with instrument parameters identical to those in	
Figure 3 except for the focusing and mobilization parameter	er
changes.	58
Table 9 Comparison of differences in separation for different voltages	
from data obtained in experiments in Figure 15.	63
Table 10 Optimised conditions for CIEF analysis of skim milk whey	
proteins and pl markers for a Beckman P/ACE CE. The	
optimised conditions were used on a number of other dair	y
applications for CIEF discussed in later sections.	69
Table 11 Analysis of method reproducibility with the results of the avera	ge
retention time, standard deviation and percentage differen	ce
for 3 sets of 10 samples run on different days. See text for	•
details.	72
Table 12 Results of MS infusion experiments of whey basic protein	
fraction samples	84
Table 13 Buffer compositions for the electropherograms shown in Figure	е
29. All buffer percentage compositions were in a v/v ratio.	86
Table 14 Summary of literature for CIEF with UV detection. Outlined are	.
applications of samples separated, buffers used, running	
conditions and comments about each reference.	116
Table 15 Summary of literature for CIEF with MS detection. Outlined are	Э
applications of different types of samples separated, buffe	rs

used, running conditions and comments about each reference.

120

Table 16 Results of infusion MS experiments. MS conditions used are outlined in section 3.7. Deconvolution of mass spectrums was performed on Bioworks version 3.1. Literature masses were obtained from Mascot (www.matrixscience.com) web site. N/A = data not available due to lack of ionisation. Mass Spectra and deconvoluted data for each standard are presented in Figure 39 to Figure 70.

List of Abbreviations

2D Two Dimensional

α-csn α-Casein

α-Lac α-Lactalbumin

Amy Amyloglucosidase

β-csn β-Casein

β-Lac β-Lactoglobulin

β-Lac-A β-Lactoglobulin-A

β-Lac-B β-Lactoglobulin-B

BME β-Mercaptoethanol

BSA Bovine Scrum Albumin

CA Carbonic Anhydrase II

CCK CCK Flanking Peptide

CE Capillary Electrophoresis

CEC Capillary Electrochromatography

CGE Capillary Gel Electrophoresis

CIEF Capillary Isoelectric Focusing

CITP Capillary Isotachophoresis

CZE Capillary Zone Electrophoresis

DNA Deoxyribosenucleic Acid

DTT DL-Dithiothreitol

EDTA Ethylenediaminetetra-Acetic Acid

EOF Electroosmotic Flow

ESI Electrospray Ionisation

GMP Glycomacropeptide

HPLC High Performance Liquid Chromatography

i.d. Internal Diameter

IEF Isoelectric Focusing

Ig Immunoglobulin

IgG Immunoglobulin G

κ-csn κ-Casein

kV Kilo Volt

Lf Lactoferrin

Lp Lactoperoxidase

mA Milli Amps

Mb Myoglobin

Mb-A Myoglobin Acidic

Mb-B Myoglobin Basic

MEKC Micellar Electrokinetic Chromatography

MFGM Milk Fat Globule Membrane

mg Milli Gram

MHEC Methyl 2-hydroxyethyl cellulose

mL Milli Litre

MOPS 3-[N-Morpholino]propane-sulfonic acid

MS Mass Spectrometery

MWCO Molecular Weight Cut Off

NaOH Sodium Hydroxide

nL Nano Litre

PAGE Polyacrylamide Gel Electrophoresis

PDA Photo Diode Array

pI Isoelectric Point (of a protein or peptide)

PP5 Proteose Peptone 5

PSI Pounds per Square Inch

Rb Ribonuclease

RNA Ribosenucleic Acid

RP Reversed Phase

SDS Sodium Dodecylsulfate

TCA Trichloroacetic Acid

TEMED N,N,N',N'-tetramethylethylenediamine

TI Trypsin Inhibitor

TIC Total Ion Count

Tris Tris(hydroxymethyl)-aminomethane

Tryp Trypsinogen

μg Micro Gram

μL Micro Litre

UV Ultraviolet

V/cm Volts per Centimetre (of column length)

v/v Volume to Volume

v/w Volume to Weight

1 Overview

Capillary Isoelectric Focusing (CJEF) is a technology that has developed in the last few years and is a technique whereby proteins and peptides are separated according to their isoelectric point (pl); such separations are generally as good as those obtained by flat bed isoelectric focusing (IEF) polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE). Advancements in CIEF technology have been led by the requirements of proteomic research for high throughput analysis coupled with limited sample size. Routine methods for CIEF involve ultraviolet (UV) detection, but mass spectrometry (MS) detection is becoming more popular for many research groups. This is analogous to the time consuming method of 2-dimensional IEF/ PAGE in which spots on gels are excised, digested with enzyme, and the digests analyzed by high performance liquid chromatography-MS (HPLC-MS). CIEF-MS has the capability to reduce analysis times considerably and is used for a number of applications. Detection is of intact protein rather than hydrolyzed protein, which saves time on database searches. In recent years the CIEF-UV method that has traditionally only had applications to water soluble protein, has been modified for separation of proteins in denaturing systems. In this way proteins that are inherently insoluble can be separated by CIEF. Currently there is only one CIEF method within the literature that has a dairy application and this is based on the monitoring of glycosylation products of glycomacropeptide (GMP) (Tran et al. 2001).

Over the last few years dairy industries around the world have embarked on largescale proteomic research, with a view to one or more of the following:

- a.) The discovery of low abundance proteins and peptides that may have potential health benefit that could be explored in niche products of the future.
- b.) Understanding expression and co-regulation of milk proteins.
- c.) Acquisition of intellectual property for future strategic use.

The competitive edge of a dairy company is governed partly by the speed in which fundamental research can be translated into a commercial process or product. In this

respect it is mandatory to identify new technological areas and analytical techniques that may allow large time and cost savings in the commercialization pipeline. Capillary electrophoresis (CE) is one such analytical tool as it is rapid, has very good detection limits, can be interfaced to MS detection and requires very small sample size.

The aim of this research was to develop new methods in CE analysis that would be applicable to a wide variety of dairy-based samples, and could be used as rapid screening methods for proteomic applications. The CE mode of CIEF was investigated, as sample size in this format is generally 20 times larger than other modes of CE, thus enhancing detection sensitivity, and the method is able to separate proteins and peptides over a wide range of pI values. The method has the additional advantage that pI values can help in the identification of unknown protein. The technique is also very rapid and gives very good comparison to the IEF gel format, making this technology very much cheaper and less labour intensive to use.

Bovine dairy proteins are comprised of two main groups, the casein and the whey proteins. Caseins make up approximately 80 % of dairy protein and typically occur as micelles in milk, being inherently insoluble. Whey proteins on the other hand make up the remaining 20 % of protein and tend to be globular water-soluble proteins, while in addition there is another group of proteins collectively termed the milk fat globule membrane (MFGM) protein that makes up a very small amount (<1 %) of protein in milk. Taking these general properties into consideration the overall aim of this thesis was to develop methods of CIEF for the different types of dairy protein as follows:

- Develop methods using UV detection that are simple to run with minimum preparation and optimized for:
 - The major whey proteins
 - Casein proteins
 - Fractionated protein samples
- Compare these methods to IEF flat bed PAGE
- Develop methods of CIEF-MS for soluble proteins and if possible modify the method for insoluble proteins
- Compare CIEF-MS results to two dimensional PAGE (2D-PAGE) methods
- Compare CIEF methods to already developed CZE methods where applicable