

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

Cognitive Trait Model for Persistent and Fine-Tuned Student Modelling In Adaptive Virtual Learning Environments

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the
requirements for the degree of

Master of Information Science

Department of Information System
Massey University
Palmerston North,
New Zealand

Taiyu Lin
2003

Abstract

The increasing need for individualised instructional in both academic and corporate training environment encourages the emergence and popularity of adaptivity in virtual learning environments (VLEs). Adaptivity can be applied in VLEs as adaptivity content presentation, which generates the learning content adaptively to suit the particular learner's aptitude, and as adaptive navigational control, which dynamically modifies the structure of the virtual learning environment presented to the learner in order to prevent overloading the learner's cognitive load.

Techniques for both adaptive content presentation and adaptive navigational control need to be integrated in a conceptual framework so their benefits can be synthesised to obtain a synergic result. Exploration space control (ESC) theory attempts to adjust the learning space, called exploration space, to allow the learners to reach an adequate amount of information that their cognitive load is not overloaded. Multiple presentation (MR) approach provides guidelines for the selection of multimedia objects for both the learning content presentation and as navigational links.

ESC is further formalised by including the consideration of individual learner's cognitive traits, which are the cognitive characteristics and abilities the learner relevant in the process of learning. Cognitive traits selected in the formalisation include working memory capacity, inductive reasoning skill, associative learning skill, and information processing speed. The formalisation attempts to formulate a guideline on how the learning content and navigational space should be adjusted in order to support a learner with a particular set of cognitive traits.

However, in order to support the provision of adaptivity, the learners and their activities in the VLEs need to be profiled; the profiling process is called student modelling. Student models nowadays can be categorised into state models, and process models. State models record learners' progress as states (e.g. learned, not learned), whereas a process model represents the learners in term of both the knowledge they learned in the domain, and the inference procedures they used for completing a process (task). State models and process models are both competence-

based, and they do not provide the information of an individual's cognitive abilities required by the formalisation of exploration space control. A new approach of student modelling is required, and this approach is called cognitive trait model (CTM).

The basis of CTM lies in the field of cognitive science. The process for the creation of CTM includes the following subtasks. The cognitive trait under inquiry is studied in order to find its indicative signs (e.g. sign A indicates high working memory capacity). The signs are called the manifests of the cognitive trait. Manifests are always in pairs, i.e. if manifest A indicates high working memory capacity, A's inverse, B, would indicate low working memory capacity. The manifests are then translated into implementation patterns which are observable patterns in the records of learner-system interaction. Implementation patterns are regarded as machine-recognisable manifests. The manifests are used to create nodes in a neural network like structure called individualised temperament network (ITN). Every node in the ITN has its weight that conditions and is conditioned by the overall result of the execution of ITN. The output of the ITN's execution is used to update the CTM.

A formative evaluation was carried out for a prototype created in this work. The positive results of the evaluation show the educational potential of the CTM approach. The current CTM only cater for the working memory capacity, in the future research more cognitive traits will be studied and included into the CTM.

Table of Content

CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1: Custom Made Education.....	1
1.2: Thesis Layout	2
CHAPTER 2: ADAPTIVE HYPERMEDIA SYSTEMS	7
2.1: Introduction	7
2.2: Multimedia and Learning.....	7
2.3: Hypermedia.....	9
2.4: Adaptive Hypermedia	10
2.5: Summary	11
CHAPTER 3: ADAPTIVE NAVIGATION	13
3.1: Introduction	13
3.2: Navigational Support	13
3.3: Techniques for Adaptive Navigation	14
3.4: Summary	16
CHAPTER 4: ADAPTIVE CONTENT PRESENTATION.....	17
4.1: Introduction	17
4.2: Adaptive Content.....	17
4.3: Techniques of Adaptive Content Presentation	18
4.4: Summary	21
CHAPTER 5: INTEGRATED THEORIES OF ADAPTIVITY	22
5.1: Introduction	22
5.2: Multiple Representation Approach	22
5.2.1: Multimedia Object Selection	23
5.2.2: Navigational Object Selection	24
5.2.3: Integration of Multimedia Object	26
5.3: Exploration Space Control	28

5.4: Summary	30
CHAPTER 6: EXPLORATION SPACE CONTROL FORMALISATION 31	
6.1: Introduction	31
6.2: The Definition and Selection of Cognitive Traits	32
6.3: Exploration Space Control Elements.....	35
6.4: Working Memory Capacity.....	38
6.4.1: Formalising with consideration of working memory	39
6.5: Inductive Reasoning Skill	41
6.5.1: Formalising with consideration of inductive reasoning skill.....	42
6.6: Information Processing Speed.....	43
6.6.1: Formalising with consideration of information processing speed	43
6.7: Associative Learning Skill.....	45
6.7.1: Formalising with consideration of associative learning skill.....	45
6.8: Summary	47
CHAPTER 7: STUDENT MODEL 49	
7.1: Introduction	49
7.2: Student Modelling.....	49
7.3: Application of Student Model.....	50
7.4: Modelling Competence State	52
7.4.1: Overlay Student Model.....	53
7.4.2: Differential Student Model	54
7.4.3: Perturbation Student Model	54
7.4.4: Constraint-based Student Model.....	55
7.5: Modelling the Process.....	55
7.6: Student Model Diagnosis.....	58
7.6.1: Stereotypical Modelling.....	58
7.6.2: Coverage Measure	59
7.6.3: Performance Measure	60
7.6.4: Problem-solving State Tracing	60
7.6.5: Path Finding	61
7.6.6: Skill Tracing	61
7.6.7: Case-based Reasoning	61
7.6.8: Expert System	62
7.7: Constructivism and Student Modelling.....	62

7.8: Summary	64
 CHAPTER 8: COGNITIVE TRAIT MODEL.....	
8.1: Introduction	65
8.2: New Perspective for Student Modelling	65
8.3: New Approach for Student Modelling.....	66
8.4: Architecture For Incorporating Cognitive Trait Model.....	68
8.5: Summary	71
 CHAPTER 9: LEARNING OBJECTS AND RELATION-BASED BROWSING PATTERN ANALYSIS	
9.1: Introduction	72
9.2: Learning Objects	72
9.3: Navigational Pattern and Relation-Based Analysis.....	73
9.3.1: Content-less and Content-based Navigational Pattern Analysis.....	73
9.3.2: Relation-based Browsing Pattern Analysis.....	74
9.4: Learning Object Relations.....	75
9.5: Summary	80
 CHAPTER 10: TRAIT ANALYSIS	
10.1: Introduction	82
10.2: Trait Analyser	82
10.2.1: Structure of Trait Analyser	82
10.3: Analysis of Working Memory	84
10.4: Summary	88
 CHAPTER 11: MANIFESTS AND IMPLEMENTATION PATTERNS	
11.1: Introduction	89
11.2: Manifests.....	89
11.3: Implementation Patterns	91
11.3.1: Implementation Pattern for Navigational Linearity.....	92
11.3.2: Implementation Pattern for Reverse Navigation	94
11.3.3: Implementation Pattern for Excursions	97
11.3.4: Implementation Pattern for Simultaneous Tasks	98

11.3.5: Implementation Pattern for Retrieval of Information from Long-Term Memory.....	100
11.3.6: Implementation Pattern for Long Sequence of Calculation or Procedures	101
11.3.7: Other Manifests not Suitable for Implementation	102
11.4: Summary	103
CHAPTER 12: INDIVIDUALISED TEMPERAMENT NETWORK 104	
12.1: Introduction	104
12.2: Individualised Temperament Network.....	104
12.3: Simulation for Selecting Appropriate Gradient Constant.....	108
12.4: Summary	116
CHAPTER 13: PROTOTYPE WEB-BASED TUTORIAL WITH COGNITIVE TRAIT MODEL..... 117	
13.1: Introduction	117
13.2: Structure of the Domain.....	117
13.3: Description of the Tutorial.....	118
13.4: Technical Details of the Prototype	121
13.4.1: The Interface Module.....	121
13.4.2: The Student Behaviour History	122
13.4.3: The Trait Analyser	122
13.4.4: Student Performance Model	123
13.5: Example of Trait Analysis	123
13.5.1: Navigational Linearity	124
13.5.2: Reverse Navigation	125
13.5.3: Excursions.....	125
13.6: Formative Evaluation of the Prototype	128
13.6.1: Participants of the Evaluation	128
13.6.2: Evaluation Questionnaire and Summary	128
13.6.3: Discussion of the Evaluation	132
13.7: Summary	133
CHAPTER 14: DISCUSSION AND FUTURE WORK 134	
14.1: Discussion	134
14.2: Future Improvement	135
REFERENCES	138

APPENDIX 1: STATISTICAL RESULT OF THE SIMULATION	154
APPENDIX 2: EVALUATION OF COGNITIVE TRAIT MODEL.....	155
Brief Introduction to Concepts Used in This Evaluation.....	155
Introduction to the Prototype	155
Structure of the Domain (Optional for Evaluators to Fully Read this Section)	156
Brief Description of the Tutorial	157
Technical Details of the Prototype.....	160
The Interface Module.....	160
The Student Behaviour History	161
The Trait Analyser	161
Student Performance Model	162
Example of Trait Analysis (Optional for Evaluator).....	162
Navigational Linearity (Optional for Evaluators).....	163
Reverse Navigation (Optional for Evaluators)	164
Excursions (Optional for Evaluators)	164
Evaluation Questions - Cognitive Trait Model	167