Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

Photoreceptor cross-talk in UV-B photomorphogenesis in tomato (Solanum lycopersicum): Screening through phytochrome and cryptochrome mutants

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree

of

Master of Science in Plant Biology

At Massey University, Palmerston North, New Zealand



Ivie V. S. Pabellon

2017

Abstract

Plant photoreceptors detect changes in the light environment and induce differential gene expression, resulting in the appropriate physiological and morphological responses. Under full sunlight, phytochromes, cryptochromes and the UV-B photoreceptor, UVR8 (UV-B RESISTANCE LOCUS 8), destabilize PHYTOCHROME INTERACTING FACTORS (PIFs) to inhibit elongation. PIFs are transcriptions factors that inhibit light-regulated genes, including auxin-related genes involved in cell elongation. In the shaded environment, the reduction in the spectral composition detected by the photoreceptors results in the activation of elongation and PIF activity. However, recent studies have shown that low levels of UV-B can still inhibit the elongation under shade.

Most photobiology studies that investigated plant responses to shade have concentrated on the model species, *Arabidopsis thaliana*. In contrast, *Solanum lycopersicum* (tomato) is another model system, but few studies have investigated plant responses to shade in tomato due to its sympodial architecture and presence of internodes which *A. thaliana* lacks. In this study, phytochrome and cryptochrome tomato mutants were exposed to low levels of UV-B under photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) as background light to investigate the possible crosstalk between these photoreceptors and the UV-B photoreceptor of tomato in regulating hypocotyl or internode elongation. Out of all the multiple phytochrome and one cryptochrome mutants, *phyAphyB2* mutant exhibited an impaired UV-B inhibition of internode elongation after three days of UV-B treatment. End-point PCR on the gene expression of PIF4 together with two UV-B responsive genes and genes involved in the catabolism of active gibberellin could not explain the impaired response of *phyAphyB2*. Nevertheless, physiological measurements indicate that phyA and phyB2 of tomato may be acting redundantly in mediating the UV-B induced inhibition of internode.

Acknowledgements

I would like to express my gratitude to all the people who have helped me throughout this thesis. To my supervisors: Dr. Huub Kerckhoffs and Dr. Jason Wargent, thank you for giving me the opportunity to work with you. Thank you for the support, the motivation and your advices that have kept me to move forward. I have learnt so much from both of you-thank you.

Thank you to Steve, Lindsay and Lesley at the Plant Growth Unit, for helping me in maintaining the growth chambers and looking after my plants. To Chris Rawlingson and Sunmeet, thank you for helping me with the equipment that I need for my experiments. Thank you also to Dr. Paul Dijkwel and his group for letting me their laboratory for all my PCR work. Also, thank you to my photobiology group for the support throughout my thesis especially to Konstancija, thank you for helping me collect my samples.

I would like to also thank BioLumic Ltd. for letting me use their LED lights throughout the span of my experiments. And to the BioLumic staffs: Hangfeng, Monica and Claudia for the advices and technical support.

Thank you to the Helen E. Akers scholarship for the support during my last year of Masters.

To my friend Rixta, thank you for helping me with my PCR experiments and for offering to grammatically check my thesis. Also thank you for your words of wisdom that have kept me motivated until the end of writing this thesis.

Lastly, thank you to my parents, Alice and Francisco; and to my sisters, Myca and Joy, for the constant support, love, sacrifice and patience throughout my postgraduate journey.



Table of Contents

Abstract	i
Acknowledgements	iii
Table of Contents	vi
List of Figures	хi
List of Tables	xii
Abbreviations	xiii
1.0 Introduction	1
1.1 Light detected by plants	1
1.2 Arabidopsis	2
1.2.1 Phytochromes	3
1.2.1.1 Types of phytochromes	4
1.2.1.2 Phytochrome response modes	5
1.2.2 Cryptochromes	6
1.2.3 UVR8	7
1.3 Light and plant development	8
1.3.1 Stages of plant development and strategies under light limiting conditions	8
1.3.2 Light Signals that activate the Shade Avoidance Responses	9
1.3.3 Roles of phytochromes, cryptochromes and UVR8 in Shade Avoidance	11
1.3.3.1 Phytochromes mediate responses to low R:FR	11
1.3.3.2 Cryptochromes and phytochromes induce shade avoidance elongation	on
response under low B light	12
1.3.3.3 UV-B inhibit growth response in shade	13
1.3.4 Cost of Shade Avoidance Response	13

	1.3.5	Molecular	mechanism of photomorphogenesis and shade avoidance	
		response		14
	1.4 Signif	icance of U	V-B studies	18
	1.4.1	Ozone dep	letion motivated UV-B studies	18
	1.4.2	UV-B prov	vide photoprotection and defence	20
	1.5 Toma	to as another	r model system	21
	1.5.1	Why study	tomato plant responses under UV-B?	21
		1.5.1.1 Tom	ato: Economic importance	21
		1.5.1.2 Tom	ato architecture: plant model for shade avoidance	22
	1.5.2	Photorecep	otors of Tomato	23
		1.5.2.1 Char	acterization of tomato phytochromes	23
		1.5.2.1.1	Tomato phytochrome A (far-red insensitive, fri)	23
		1.5.2.1.2	Tomato phytochrome B1 (temporarily red light insensitiv	/e, tri)
			and phytochrome B2	24
		1.5.2.1.3	Roles of phytochrome A, B1, B2 in shade avoidance resp	onse 25
		1.5.2.1.4	Cryptochromes	26
		1.5.2.1.5	UV-B photoreceptor	26
	1.6 Projec	et Aims		27
	1.6.1	Questions	to be answered	28
	1.6.2	Hypothesis	S	28
2.0	Materials a	and Methods	5	29
	2.1 Plant	Material and	I growing conditions	29
	2.2 Trans	planting and	Allocation	31
	2.3 Light	Treatments		32
	2.4 Measu	irements		34

2.	.5 End-Point	PCR	35
	2.5.1 Sam	ple preparation and RNA extraction	35
	2.5.2 Geno	omic DNA extraction	35
	2.5.3 DNA	aseI treatment of RNA samples and cDNA synthesis	37
2.	.6 General fu	nctions of genes of interests	39
	2.6.1 Tom	ato PHYTOCHROME INTERACTING FACTOR 4 (SIPIF4)	39
	2.6.2 Tom	ato long hypocotyl 5 (LeHY5)	41
	2.6.3 Tom	ato GIBBERELLINE 2 OXIDASE 2 (Ga2ox2)	41
	2.6.4 Tom	ato Chalcone Synthase 1 (CHS1)	42
3.0 Re	sults		43
	3.1 Seed ger	mination	43
	3.2 Screenin	g using low fluence UV-B	44
	3.3 Increase	in WT hypocotyl elongation rate is not due to shading	46
	3.4 Low flue	ence UV-B is unable to inhibit the internode of <i>phyAphyB2</i>	48
	3.4.1	UV-B inhibition responses of the hypocotyl and internode may be	
		age-dependent	50
	3.5 UV-B tr	eatment is more effective in inhibiting hypocotyl when applied in the	
	morning		52
	3.6 PCR tro	ableshooting using housekeeping genes: TUBULIN and ACTIN	53
	3.6.1	TUBULIN primers are not annealing to tomato tubulin	53
	3.6.2	ACTIN primers are more consistent in amplifying tomato ACTIN	57
	3.7 UV-B in	creases expression of light regulated genes of UV-B treated tomatoes	58
4.0 Dis	scussion		60
	4.1 Germina	tion of tomato phytochrome and cryptochrome mutants	60

4.2 increase in w i hypocotyl elongation may be due to 0 v-b entrainment on th	J
plant's circadian clock	61
4.3 Phytochrome A and B2 activity may be involved interacting with the UV-B	
photoreceptor of tomatoes in regulating internode elongation under UV-B	62
4.4 Tomato LONG HYPOCOTYL 5 (<i>LeHY5</i>) and Chalcone Synthase 1 (<i>CHS</i> 1)	as
UV-B-responsive marker gene	65
4.5 Dose-dependent upregulation of tomato <i>SlPIF4</i> after four hours of UV-B.	66
4.6 Gibberellic 2 oxidase2 (Ga2ox2) upregulation typical response to UV-B inhib	oition
of cell elongation	67
5.0 Conclusion	68
Appendices	
APPENDIX A: Table 1: RNA concentrations of 16 samples measured using Nanodrop	. 70
APPENDIX B: Table 1: Primers used in endpoint PCR.	71
Table 2: Annealing temperatures used for amplification of target	
genes during PCR.	71
APPENDIX C: Table 1: PCR program used to amplify target genes.	72
APPENDIX D: Figure 1: Summary of PCR optimization.	73
References	74



List of Figures

Figure 1.1: Electromagnetic spectrum detected by plant photoreceptors	3
Figure 1.2: Photoequilibrium of the inactive (Pr) and active (Pfr) form of phytochron	nes 4
Figure 1.3: Photomorphogenic response of plants under high R:FR ratio inhibit grow	th and
low R:FR induce stem elongation	9
Figure 1.4: Proposed model illustrating molecular interaction between phytochromes	and
UVR8 in environments where there are no competitions and presence of	
neighbouring plant competitors.	15
Figure 1.5: Summary of photoreceptor signaling under full sunlight.	18
Figure 1.6: Architectural difference between wild-type Arabidopsis and tomato.	23
Figure 2.1: Fluorescent tubes light spectrum measured using the Optronics 756	
spectroradiometer.	30
Figure 2.2: Plants allocated in two conditions: crowded (3 days after treatment) and r	non-
crowded condition (2 days before treatment)	31
Figure 2.3: First treatment schedule.	32
Figure 2.4: Second treatment schedule.	33
Figure 2.5: Developmental stage of WT (and other mutants) at 14 DAS on the day of	
treatment.	34
Figure 3.1: Developmental stage at which plants were treated. Plants (13-15 DAS)	
treated had two true leaves emerging. (Image above is 14 DAS WT unde	r PAR
light.)	43
Figure 3.2: Tomato wild-type, phytochrome and cryptochrome mutants' relative	
hypocotyl and internode growth rate after treatment of PAR and PAR+U	V-B for
three days.	45

Figure 3.3: Hypocotyl and internode growth rate of WT exposed to two light conditions:	PAR	
and PAR + UV-B for 3 days.	46	
Figure 3.4: Relative hypocotyl and internode growth rate of phytochrome and cryptochro	me	
mutants after exposure to low dose of UV-B for three days.	48	
Figure 3.5: Percentage UV-B inhibition of internode elongation after 3 days of PAR + UV-B		
treatment.	49	
Figure 3.6 Developmental check of hypocotyl and internode growth of WT, phyAphyB1,	,	
phyAphyB2 and cry1 of tomatoes throughout the experimental period.	50	
Figure 3.7 End-of-day-treatment (EODT) experiment on wild-type tomatoes.	52	
Figure 3.8 RNA quality smear test.	54	
Figure 3.9 Block PCR products of DNAse treated and synthesized cDNA from all 16 sam	nples	
together with gDNA of WT (grown under white light) as positive control and		
water as negative control.	55	
Figure 3.10 PCR products using ACTIN primers and PP2Acs primers	56	
Figure 3.11: Expression levels of light regulated genes in tomato.	58	
List of Tables		
Table 2.0: Summary of genotypes used in the PAR and PAR+UV-B experiments.	30	
Table 2.1: RNA extracted from plants treated after 4 hours of initial UV-B treatment.	35	
Table 2.2 Summary of light treatment experiments	37	
Table 3.1: Tomato seeds sowing day and germination percentage	43	
Table 3.2: Light regulated genes used in gene expression analysis.	58	

Abbreviations

APA Active binding domain of PHYA

APB Active binding domain of PHYB

au aurea

B Blue light

CHS Chalcone synthase

COP CONSTITUTIVE PHOTOMORPHOGENIC 1

CRY Cryptochrome protein

DET DEETIOLATED

ein elongated internode; Brassica phyB mutant

EOD-FR End-of-day-far-red

EODT-PAR End-of-day-treatment-PAR

EODT-UV End-of-day-treatment-UVB

FAD Flavin adenine dinucleotide

FHL FAR-RED ELONGATED HYPOCOTYL LIKE

FR Far-red light

fri far-red insensitive; tomato phyA mutant

FUS FUSCA

G Green light

GA Gibberellic acid

Ga2ox2 GIBBERELLIC ACID 2 OXIDASES 2

HFR1 HYPOCOTYL IN FAR RED 1

HIR High irradiance response

HY5 LONG HYPOCOTYL 5

HYH HY5 HOMOLOG

JA Jasmonic acid

LeHY5 Tomato LONG HYPOCOTYL 5 gene

LFR Low fluence response

lh long hypocotyl

MM Money maker

nm Nanometer

PAR Photosynthetically active radiation

Pfr Active form of phytochrome capable of absorbing FR light

PHY Phytochromes

PHYA, PHYB Phytochrome A, phytochrome B, etc. apoprotein

PHYA, PHYB Phytochrome A, phytochrome B, etc. gene

phyA, phyB Phytochrome A, phytochrome B, etc. holoprotein

phyA, *phyB* Phytochrome A, phytochrome B, etc. mutant

PIF PHYTOCHROME INTERACTING FACTOR

Pr Inactive form of phytochrome capable of absorbing R light

R Red light

RCC1 Regulator of chromatin condensation 1

SAM Shoot apical meristem

SAR Shade avoidance response

sav3-2 mutant with a defect in the TAA1 pathway

FH1 FAR-RED ELONGATED HYPOCOTYL 1

SIPIF Solanum lycopersicum PHYTOCHROME INTERACTING FACTOR

SPA SUPRESSOR OF PHYTOCHROME A

TAA1 Tryptophan aminotransferase of *Arabidopsis* 1

tri temporary insensitive; tomato phyB1 mutant

Trp Tryptophan

UV Ultraviolet light

UVR8 UV-B RESISTANCE LOCUS 8

VLFR Very low fluence response

WL White light

WT Wild-type tomato

