

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**AN INVESTIGATION OF COMPOSTING POULTRY MANURE IN
RELATION TO NITROGEN CONSERVATION AND
PHOSPHATE ROCK DISSOLUTION**

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of
the requirements for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy in Soil Science
at Massey University

SANTIAGO MAHIMAIRAJA

1993

ABSTRACT

Gaseous losses of nitrogen (N) through ammonia (NH_3) volatilization and denitrification diminish the fertilizer value of animal and poultry manures and form atmospheric pollutants. Appropriate methods of composting can improve the fertilizer value by conserving manure N and minimise the risk of environmental pollution. Additionally, acids produced during composting and nitrification of conserved N in manure, provide a source of protons (H^+) which have the potential to dissolve phosphate rock (PR) when composted with manure. The objective of this research was to examine the methods of composting poultry manure with different amendments in relation to N conservation and PR dissolution.

Firstly, the methods for preserving and measuring N in manure samples were evaluated. The results suggested that freeze drying and inclusion of a strong oxidizing agent (KMnO_4) prior to routine Kjeldahl digestion were required to achieve complete recovery and accurate measurement of N in manure samples.

Methods for reducing the loss of N through NH_3 volatilization and denitrification during aerobic and anaerobic composting of poultry manure with different amendments were investigated in controlled 'flow through' incubation experiments. The amendments included carbonaceous bedding materials (woodchip, paper waste, wheat straw and peat), acidifier (elemental sulphur- S^0) and adsorbents (zeolite and soil).

The loss of N through NH_3 volatilization from aerobic composting was about 17% of the manure N which was reduced by 90-95% under anaerobic composting. Under aerobic composting the addition of various amendments reduced the volatilization loss by 33 to 60%. Although the rate of denitrification was negligible ($<1\mu\text{g kg}^{-1} \text{ day}^{-1}$) in fresh manure, it increased enormously ($3.7\text{mg kg}^{-1} \text{ day}^{-1}$) during composting. The presence of nitrate (NO_3^-) was found to be a rate determinant for denitrification in manure. Amongst the treatments, the addition of S^0 was very effective in reducing NH_3 volatilization and denitrification.

The dissolution of PR during composting with poultry manure was examined using radioactively (^{32}P) labelled synthetic francolite and North Carolina phosphate rock (NCPR). The use of ^{32}P labelled francolite indicated that PR dissolution in poultry manure/PR composts could be measured more accurately from the increases in NaOH extractable phosphorus ($\Delta\text{NaOH-P}$) than from the decreases in HCl extractable P ($\Delta\text{HCl-P}$). Low levels of francolite and NCPR dissolution (<16%) occurred when PR is mixed with poultry manure. This was attributed to the high concentrations ($4.8 \times 10^{-2} \text{ mol L}^{-1}$) of calcium (Ca^{2+}) in manure solution which inhibited the dissolution of PR through the Ca common-ion effect. Addition of S^0 to poultry manure/PR compost reduced the pH and thereby enhanced PR dissolution.

A system for the dissolution of PR, using the acid (H^+) produced during the nitrification of NH_3 released from poultry manure, was developed. The inhibitory effect of manure Ca on PR dissolution is avoided if the NH_3 released from decomposing manure is absorbed in bark and soil materials containing PR, which are kept either as manure covers or in separate columns. Although both methods were found effective in the absorption of NH_3 , the result demonstrated that bark absorbs more NH_3 than does soil.

Extensive PR dissolution occurred in the bark (82.3%) and the soil (33.2%) even in the absence of NH_3 absorption from poultry manure. Higher levels of PR dissolution in bark is attributed to its high exchangeable acidity ($80.5 \text{ cmol (+) kg}^{-1}$) and large Ca sink size ($82.7 \text{ cmol (+) kg}^{-1}$). However, when the bark and soil materials were kept as manure covers, accumulation of Ca in the covers due to the diffusion of manure Ca, reduced the PR dissolution. When the bark and soil materials were kept separately in columns, nitrification of absorbed NH_3 resulted in small increases in PR dissolution in bark (15%) and soil (5%). However, most of the protons (50-95%) released during nitrification are involved in the buffering of the bark and soil materials.

The laboratory studies showed that the addition of S^0 to poultry manure during aerobic composting not only reduced the loss of N, but also enhanced PR dissolution. Based on this observation, sulphocompost (a blend of poultry manure, woodchip with S^0 and PR) and phosphocompost (a blend of poultry manure, woodchip with PR) were prepared

and their agronomic effectiveness were compared with fresh manure mixtures and urea using field grown winter cabbage and summer maize crops. The crop yield, N use efficiency and N recovery were greater for sulphocompost than for phosphocompost. The sulphocompost and phosphocompost were approximately 60% and 12%, respectively, as effective as urea treatments for winter cabbage. Both composts were equally effective as urea for the second season's maize crop. The study has shown that poultry manure enriched with PR and S^o can be used as a source of N, P and S.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

It is a great pleasure to express my sincere thanks, heartfelt gratitude and appreciation to the following people for their contribution towards the completion of this thesis.

My supervisors, Drs. N.S. Bolan, M.J. Hedley and A.N. Macgregor, for their valuable guidance, help, encouragement, patience, and friendship during my studies.

The past and present Heads and other members of the Department of Soil Science for their encouragement and help. Mr Lance Currie and Mr Bob Toes for their help in conducting the field experiments, and Ms N. Collins for drawing some figures.

Mr Andrew Carran, DSIR Grassland, for supplying purified acetylene for denitrification measurement. Mr R. King and Mr Don, Poultry Research Centre, for their help in collecting poultry manure. Mr Ron Thomas (Department of English) for proof-reading.

All my fellow PG student friends for their help and friendship. Dr. G.N. Magesan, and Mr.S. Baskaran for help and proof-reading some of the sections. Drs. D. Tambunan, K. Sakadevan, A. Baskar, and Ms Sylvia Weil for their help, friendship and bearing the smell of manure in TVL lab.

The New Zealand Vice-Chancellors Committee (NZVCC) and the Govt. of New Zealand for awarding me a Commonwealth Scholarship. The scholarships officers Mrs. Catherine King (NZVCC) and Mrs. Margaret Gilbert (Massey University) for their continued help and cooperation.

The Tamilnadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, South India and the Ministry of Human Resource Development, Govt. of India for nominating me for the Commonwealth scholarship and granting study leave.

The Poultry Industry Association of New Zealand for funding part of this research project.

My parents Mr M.S. Santiago and Mrs. Gnanasoundari, for their love, encouragement, continued prayers and offering me education with many sacrifices. All my family members, including my father-in-law, mother-in-law, brothers, sister, brother-in-laws, sister-in-laws and relatives for their love, encouragement and continued prayers.

My special thanks and gratitude to Rev Jack and Mrs Dorothy McFadyen for their parental care, help, and prayers. A number of friends and families in Palmerston North, especially in the Central Baptist Church, have helped us during our stay in New Zealand. They have my heartfelt thanks.

Lastly, but importantly to my wife Mary and daughter Jeba for their love, encouragement, patience, understanding and sharing the joy and sufferings of the life during these period.

Most of all, I give all the glory and praises to Jesus.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iv
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vi
LIST OF TABLES	xiv
LIST OF FIGURES	xviii

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION	1
--------------------	---

CHAPTER 2 REVIEW OF LITERATURE

2.1	INTRODUCTION	4
2.2	ANIMAL AND POULTRY MANURES	4
2.2.1	Nitrogen	6
2.2.2	Phosphorus	6
2.2.3	Potassium and other nutrients	7
2.2.4	Decomposition of manure	7
	2.2.4.1 <i>Aerobic decomposition</i>	7
	2.2.4.2 <i>Anaerobic decomposition</i>	8
2.3	LOSSES OF N FROM ANIMAL AND POULTRY MANURES	9
2.3.1	Loss of N through NH_3 volatilization	11
	2.3.1.1 <i>Significance of NH_3 volatilization</i>	13
	2.3.1.2 <i>Factors affecting volatilization</i>	15
	<u>2.3.1.2.1 pH</u>	15
	<u>2.3.1.2.2 Concentration of N</u>	15
	<u>2.3.1.2.3 Microbial activity</u>	15
	<u>2.3.1.2.4 Temperature and moisture content</u>	16
	<u>2.3.1.2.5 Atmospheric NH_3 concentration</u>	16
	<u>2.3.1.2.6 Other factors</u>	17
	2.3.1.3 <i>Measurements of NH_3 volatilization</i>	18
2.3.2	Loss of N through denitrification	18

2.3.2.1	<i>Factors affecting denitrification</i>	20
2.3.2.1.1	<u>Oxygen supply</u>	20
2.3.2.1.2	<u>Availability of carbon</u>	20
2.3.2.1.3	<u>Availability of nitrate</u>	21
2.3.2.1.4	<u>Temperature and moisture</u>	21
2.3.2.1.5	<u>pH</u>	22
2.3.2.2	<i>Measurements of denitrification</i>	22
2.4	COMPOSTING	23
2.4.1	Factors controlling composting	24
2.4.1.1	<i>C/N ratio</i>	24
2.4.1.2	<i>Temperature</i>	25
2.4.1.3	<i>Moisture</i>	26
2.4.1.4	<i>Aeration</i>	26
2.4.1.5	<i>Microorganisms</i>	27
2.4.1.6	<i>pH</i>	27
2.4.2	Composts	28
2.5	CONSERVATION OF N DURING COMPOSTING	28
2.5.1	Biological methods of N conservation	28
2.5.1.1	<i>Anaerobic composting</i>	29
2.5.1.2	<i>Composting with carbonaceous waste materials</i>	29
2.5.2	Chemical methods of N conservation	30
2.5.2.1	<i>Inhibition of urea hydrolysis</i>	30
2.5.2.2	<i>Chemical stabilization</i>	30
2.5.2.3	<i>Use of acidifying materials</i>	31
2.5.2.4	<i>Adsorbents</i>	33
2.5.2.5	<i>Use of nitrification inhibitors</i>	33
2.5.2.6	<i>Use of rock powders</i>	34
2.5.3	Physical methods	34
2.6	COMPOSTING IN RELATION TO PR DISSOLUTION	35
2.6.1	Phosphate rock	35
2.6.1.1	<i>Dissolution of PR</i>	36
2.6.2	Dissolution of PR during composting	37
2.6.2.1	<i>Organic acid production</i>	37
2.6.2.2	<i>Inorganic acid production</i>	39
2.6.2.3	<i>Nitrification of $\text{NH}_4^+\text{-N}$</i>	40
2.6.2.4	<i>Chelation of Ca and P</i>	41
2.6.2.5	<i>Microbial immobilization</i>	42
2.6.3	Measurements of PR dissolution during composting	43
2.6.4	Agronomic effectiveness of PR enriched compost	45
2.7	SUMMARY	46

CHAPTER 3

EVALUATION OF METHODS OF MEASUREMENT OF NITROGEN IN POULTRY AND ANIMAL MANURES

3.1	INTRODUCTION	47
3.2	OBJECTIVES	48
3.3	MATERIALS AND METHODS	48
3.3.1	Animal and poultry manures	48
3.3.2	Recovery of forms of N	49
3.3.3	Measurement of N	49
3.3.4	Analysis of N	50
3.3.5	Effect of drying on N measurements	51
3.3.6	Loss of N during drying	51
3.4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	52
3.4.1	Recovery of forms of N	52
3.4.2	N in animal and poultry manures	54
3.4.3	Effect of drying on N content	57
3.4.4	Volatilization loss of NH_3	59
3.5	CONCLUSIONS	61

CHAPTER 4

LOSS OF NITROGEN THROUGH AMMONIA VOLATILIZATION DURING COMPOSTING OF POULTRY MANURE WITH DIFFERENT AMENDMENTS

4.1	INTRODUCTION	63
4.2	OBJECTIVES	64
4.3	MATERIALS AND METHODS	64
4.3.1	Poultry manure	64
4.3.2	Amendments used	64
4.3.3	Incubation experiment	66
4.3.4	Chemical analysis	66
	4.3.4.1 <i>Moisture content</i>	66
	4.3.4.2 <i>pH</i>	68
	4.3.4.3 <i>Measurements of NH_3 and CO_2</i>	68
	4.3.4.4 <i>Total N</i>	68

5.4.2	Denitrification activity in fresh poultry and animal manures	98
5.4.3	Denitrification during composting of poultry manure	100
5.4.4	Effect of different amendments on denitrification	104
5.4.5	Effect of manure additions on the denitrification in soil	108
5.4.6	Effect of different levels of poultry manure and glucose on soil denitrification	112
5.5	CONCLUSIONS	112

CHAPTER 6

DISSOLUTION OF PHOSPHATE ROCK DURING COMPOSTING OF POULTRY MANURE WITH DIFFERENT AMENDMENTS

6.1	INTRODUCTION	115
6.2	OBJECTIVES	116
6.3	MATERIALS AND METHODS	116
6.3.1	Compost samples	116
6.3.2	Soil extract	118
6.3.3	³² P labelled synthetic francolite	118
	6.3.3.1 Preparation of synthetic francolite	118
	6.3.3.2 Reagents	119
	6.3.3.3 Synthesis	119
6.3.4	Phosphate rock	120
6.3.5	Incubation with ³² P labelled francolite	120
6.3.6	Incubation with NCPR	122
6.3.7	Analysis and expression of results	122
	6.3.7.1 Fertilizer analysis	123
	6.3.7.2 Fractionation of P	123
	6.3.7.3 ³¹ P measurements	124
	6.3.7.4 ³² P measurements	124
6.3.8	Dissolution of PR	126
6.3.9	Measurement of pH and mineral N	127
6.3.10	Statistical analysis	127
6.4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	127
6.4.1	Characteristics of compost samples	127
6.4.2	Transformation of P in poultry manure	127
6.4.3	Experiment with ³² P labelled francolite	130

6.4.3.1	<i>Transformation of ^{32}P</i>	130
6.4.3.2	<i>Transformation of ^{31}P in francolite/composts mixtures</i> ...	132
6.4.3.3	<i>Dissolution of ^{32}P labelled francolite</i>	136
6.4.3.4	<i>Effect of amendments on francolite dissolution</i>	141
6.4.4	Experiment with NCPR	142
6.4.4.1	<i>Changes in P_i and P_o during 120 days incubation with NCPR</i>	142
6.4.4.2	<i>Dissolution of NCPR</i>	144
6.4.5	N transformation	146
6.4.6	N transformation and dissolution of PR	147
6.5	CONCLUSIONS	150
6.6	EPILOGUE	151
6.6.1	Introduction	151
6.6.2	Methods	151
6.6.3	Results and discussion	152
6.6.4	Conclusion	153

CHAPTER 7

ABSORPTION OF AMMONIA RELEASED FROM POULTRY MANURE IN BARK AND SOIL MATERIALS AND THE USE OF ABSORBED AMMONIA IN THE DISSOLUTION OF PHOSPHATE ROCK

7.1	INTRODUCTION	156
7.2	OBJECTIVES	157
7.3	MATERIALS AND METHODS	157
7.3.1	Poultry manure	157
7.3.2	Bark and soil materials	158
7.3.3	Phosphate rock	158
7.3.4	Experiment I: Bark and soil covers to absorb NH_3	158
7.3.5	Experiment II: Bark and soil columns to absorb NH_3 using forced aeration	160
7.3.5.1	<i>NH_3 absorption stage</i>	160
7.3.5.2	<i>Reincubation stage</i>	162
7.3.6	Chemical analysis	162
7.3.6.1	<i>pH and N (mineral N and total N)</i>	162
7.3.6.2	<i>Cations</i>	162

7.3.6.1	<i>pH and N (mineral N and total N)</i>	162
7.3.6.2	<i>Cations</i>	162
7.3.6.3	<i>Exchangeable acidity and cation exchange capacity (CEC)</i>	163
7.3.6.4	<i>Dissolution of NCPR</i>	163
7.3.7	<i>Statistical analysis</i>	164
7.4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	164
7.4.1	Experiment I: Bark and soil covers (Fig 7.1)	164
7.4.1.1	<i>Absorption of NH_3 in bark and soil covers</i>	164
7.4.1.2	<i>NO_3^- in bark and soil covers</i>	170
7.4.1.3	<i>pH of bark and soil covers</i>	170
7.4.1.4	<i>Exchangeable cations and CEC</i>	173
7.4.1.5	<i>Dissolution of NCPR in bark and soil covers</i>	173
7.4.2	Experiment II: Bark and soil columns (Fig 7.2)	176
7.4.2.1	<i>NH_3 absorption stage</i>	176
7.4.2.1.1	<u><i>Absorption of NH_3 in bark and soil columns</i></u>	176
7.4.2.1.2	<u><i>NO_3^--N in bark and soil columns</i></u>	179
7.4.2.1.3	<u><i>pH of bark and soil columns</i></u>	179
7.4.2.2	<i>Reincubation after NH_3 absorption stage</i>	179
7.4.2.3	<i>Dissolution of NCPR in bark and soil columns</i>	181
7.4.2.4	<i>Nitrification vs NCPR dissolution</i>	183
7.5	CONCLUSIONS	188

CHAPTER 8

AGRONOMIC EFFECTIVENESS OF POULTRY MANURE COMPOSTS

8.1	INTRODUCTION	190
8.2	OBJECTIVES	190
8.3	MATERIALS AND METHODS	191
8.3.1	<i>Collection of poultry manure</i>	191
8.3.2	<i>Phosphate rock</i>	191
8.3.3	<i>Preparation of composts</i>	192
8.3.3.1	<i>Sulphocompost</i>	192
8.3.3.1.1	<u><i>Soil/PR inoculum</i></u>	192
8.3.3.1.2	<u><i>Composting</i></u>	192
8.3.3.2	<i>Phosphocompost</i>	193

8.3.4	Fresh manure mixtures	193
8.3.5	Treatments	193
8.3.6	Field experiment I	193
8.3.7	Field experiment II	198
8.3.8	Soil and plant sampling	198
8.3.9	Analysis	198
8.3.10	Calculations	199
8.3.11	Statistical analysis	199
8.4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS	200
8.4.1	Temperature in the compost piles	200
8.4.2	Rainfall and drainage during the crop growth	200
8.4.3	Effect of composts and fresh manure mixtures on cabbage yield . .	200
8.4.4	Uptake of N	206
8.4.5	Uptake of P	211
8.4.6	Nitrate leaching in soil	211
8.4.7	Residual effects	215
8.5	CONCLUSIONS	219

CHAPTER 9

SUMMARY

9.1	Review of literature	221
9.2	Measurement of N in poultry and animal manures	222
9.3	NH ₃ volatilization loss from poultry manure	222
9.4	Denitrification loss from poultry manure	223
9.5	Dissolution of PR during composting	224
9.6	Absorption of NH ₃ released from poultry manure to dissolve PR	225
9.7	Agronomic effectiveness of poultry manure composts	226
9.8	Suggestions for future research	226

REFERENCES	229
----------------------	-----

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
CHAPTER 2	
2.1 Nutrient content of animal and poultry manures (g kg ⁻¹ dry weight basis)	5
2.2 N losses during the storage or composting of animal and poultry manures	10
CHAPTER 3	
3.1 Some important characteristics of fresh animal and poultry manures (moisture and carbon content are expressed on a freeze dried basis)	48
3.2 Percent recovery of different forms of added N in the presence [+PM] and absence [-PM] of freeze dried poultry manure measured by standard Kjeldahl and its modified methods	53
3.3 N contents of fresh animal and poultry manures measured by the standard Kjeldahl and its modified methods (g kg ⁻¹ ; expressed on freeze dried weight basis)	55
3.4 Forms of N in fresh animal and poultry manures (expressed on a freeze dried weight basis)	57
3.5 Release of NH ₃ and CO ₂ during the drying of different manures (expressed on a freeze dried weight basis)	59
CHAPTER 4	
4.1 Characteristics of fresh poultry manure	65
4.2 Important characteristics of bedding, acidifying and adsorbent materials	65
4.3 Cumulative amounts and the rate of loss of NH ₃ during aerobic and anaerobic composting of poultry manure with different amendments	71
4.4 Cumulative amounts of CO ₂ released (g jar ⁻¹) at different periods (weeks) during aerobic and anaerobic composting of poultry manure with different amendments	80
4.5 Changes in pH at different periods (weeks) of aerobic and anaerobic composting of poultry manure with different amendments	82

Table	Page
4.6 Cumulative amounts of $\text{NH}_4^+\text{-N}$ (mg jar^{-1}) formed at different periods (weeks) during aerobic and anaerobic composting of poultry manure with different amendments	83
4.7 Cumulative amounts of $\text{NO}_3^-\text{-N}$ (mg jar^{-1}) formed at different periods (weeks) during aerobic and anaerobic composting of poultry manure with different amendments	84
4.8 Apparent mineralization and immobilization of N in aerobic composting as affected by different bedding materials	86
4.9 Amounts of total N (mg jar^{-1}) remaining and NH_3 lost (mg jar^{-1}) after 12 weeks composting of poultry manure with different amendments	88

CHAPTER 5

5.1 Rate of N_2O release from fresh poultry manure at different times after NO_3^- addition	95
5.2 Rate of denitrification ($\text{mg N}_2\text{O-N kg}^{-1} \text{ day}^{-1}$) in poultry manure composts at different periods of composting	105
5.3 Change in $\text{NH}_4^+\text{-N}$ and $\text{NO}_3^-\text{-N}$ content of poultry manure composts at different periods of aerobic composting	106
5.4 Change in pH of poultry manure composts at different periods of aerobic composting	107

CHAPTER 6

6.1 Important characteristics of poultry manure composts (pre-composted for 12 weeks) used in the francolite and NCPR incubations (freeze dried basis). (a) Aerobic composts, (b) Anaerobic composts	117
6.2 Important properties of the ^{32}P labelled synthetic francolite	120
6.3 Important properties of NCPR	120
6.4 ^{32}P activities in 1M NaOH fraction at different periods (days) of incubation	131
6.5 ^{32}P activities in 1M HCl fraction at different periods (days) of incubation	133
6.6 ^{32}P activities in residue fraction (after NaOH and HCl extractions) at different periods (days) of incubation	134

Table	Page
6.7 Changes in ^{31}P fractions (as % of total manure P) at different periods (days) of incubation	135
6.8 Absolute amount of PdfF and %PdfNaOH calculated for different periods (days) of incubation	139
6.9 Changes in the inorganic and organic P content of poultry manure composts during 120 days aerobic and anaerobic incubation with NCPR	143
6.10 Changes in $\text{NH}_4^+\text{-N}$ content (mg jar^{-1}) of poultry manure composts during 30 and 60 days incubation with francolite and predicted level of francolite dissolution due to protons produced during nitrification	148
6.11 Changes in $\text{NO}_3^-\text{-N}$ content ($\mu\text{g jar}^{-1}$) of poultry manure composts during 30 and 60 days incubation with francolite and predicted level of francolite dissolution due to protons produced during nitrification	149
6.12 Concentration of Ca^{2+} and P in manure and soil solutions	152

CHAPTER 7

7.1 Important characteristics of poultry manure, bark and soil materials	157
7.2 Changes in total Kjeldahl N (TKN) content of bark and soil covers during 12 weeks incubation with poultry manure	165
7.3 Total N derived from poultry manure and different fractions of N in bark and soil covers	166
7.4 Changes in the concentration of some exchangeable cations and CEC in bark and soil covers	173
7.5 Changes in $\text{NH}_4^+\text{-N}$ and $\text{NO}_3^-\text{-N}$ ($\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$) content of bark and soil columns during NH_3 absorption and reincubation	177
7.6 Dissolution of NCPR in bark and soil columns in the absence and presence of NH_3 absorption and during the reincubation	187

CHAPTER 8

8.1 Some characteristics of Sechura phosphate rock used in the study	191
8.2 Treatment details for the field experiments	194
8.3 Characteristics of soil prior to planting winter cabbage	196

Table

Page

8.4 A comparison of net yield, N uptake and apparent N release under
different treatments 210

LIST OF FIGURES

Fig		Page
CHAPTER 2		
2.1	Pathway of N losses from decomposing manure during storage or composting	12
2.2	Major biological processes which influence phosphate rock (PR) dissolution during composting	44
CHAPTER 3		
3.1	Effect of different drying methods on total N content of manures	58
3.2	Distribution of various forms of N in fresh and airdried samples of poultry, pig and dairy manures	60
CHAPTER 4		
4.1	Schematic set-up of the incubation apparatus (a) Aerobic and (b) Anaerobic	67
4.2	Loss of N through NH_3 volatilization during aerobic and anaerobic composting of poultry manure	72
4.3	Effect of different bedding materials on NH_3 volatilization loss from poultry manure	74
4.4	Effect of elemental sulphur (S^0) on NH_3 volatilization loss from poultry manure	76
4.5	Effect of zeolite on NH_3 volatilization loss from poultry manure	78
4.6	Effect of soil addition on NH_3 volatilization loss from poultry manure ...	79
CHAPTER 5		
5.1a	Release of $\text{N}_2\text{O-N}$ from poultry manure compost and soil at different periods of incubation with acetylene	96
5.1b	Denitrification activity in poultry manure compost and soil as measured by the rate of release of $\text{N}_2\text{O-N}$ at different periods of incubation with acetylene. Vertical bars represent LSD (0.05)	97
5.2	Rate of denitrification in fresh poultry and animal manures with and without nitrate addition	99

Fig		Page
5.3	Rate of denitrification in poultry manure during composting. Vertical bars represent LSD (0.05)	101
5.4	Relationship between nitrate content and rate of denitrification in poultry manure composts	102
5.5	Rate of denitrification in poultry manure composts after a fresh addition of nitrate	109
5.6	Effect of nitrate addition on the rate of denitrification in poultry manure. Vertical bars represent LSD (0.05)	110
5.7	Effect of manure addition on the rate of denitrification in soil	111
5.8	Rate of denitrification in soil amended with different levels of carbon added through poultry manure and glucose	113

CHAPTER 6

6.1	X-ray diffractograms of powdered materials of (a) NCPR and (b) Synthetic francolite	121
6.2	Changes in the forms of phosphorus (P) during the decomposition of poultry manure	128
6.3	Relationship between dissolution of francolite based on increase in NaOH- ³² P and decrease in HCl- ³² P	137
6.4	Dissolution of ³² P labelled francolite during incubation with poultry manure composts	140
6.5	Relationship between pH and increase in NaOH plus NaCl/TEA extractable P in poultry manure composts after 120 days incubation with NCPR	145
6.6	Relationship between pH and equilibrium Ca ²⁺ concentration maintained by PR dissolution at two solution P concentrations (computed from Eq 6.8)	154

CHAPTER 7

7.1	Experimental set-up used to absorb NH ₃ released from poultry manure using bark and soil covers mixed with and without North Carolina phosphate rock (Experiment I)	159
-----	--	-----

Fig		Page
7.2	Experimental set-up used to absorb NH_3 released from poultry manure using bark and soil columns mixed with and without North Carolina phosphate rock (Experiment II)	161
7.3	Increases in NH_4^+ -N content of bark and soil covers after 12 weeks incubation with poultry manure	167
7.4	Increases in NO_3^- -N content of bark and soil covers after 12 weeks incubation with poultry manure	171
7.5	pH values of bark and soil covers after 12 weeks incubation with poultry manure. Dotted lines represent initial pHs	172
7.6	Dissolution of NCPR in bark and soil covers during 12 weeks incubation with poultry manure	175
7.7	Amounts of NH_4^+ -N in the sections of bark and soil columns 8 weeks after NH_3 absorption and 6 weeks after reincubation with fresh soil inoculum	178
7.8	pH values of the sections of bark and soil columns after 8 weeks NH_3 absorption. Dotted lines show the initial pH values	180
7.9	Distribution of NO_3^- -N in the sections of bark and soil columns after 6 weeks reincubation with fresh soil inoculum	182
7.10	Relationship between PR dissolution based on the residual apatite P (HCl-extractable P) and the resin plus NaOH-extractable P	184
7.11	Dissolution of NCPR as measured from the increases in resin plus NaOH-extractable P in bark and soil columns in the presence and absence of NH_3 absorption and after reincubation	185

CHAPTER 8

8.1a	General view of the experimental site	195
8.1b	Experimental field immediately after compost and fertilizer application . .	195
8.2	General view of winter cabbage in the field	197
8.3	General view of summer maize in the field	197
8.4	Changes in temperature in the compost piles during composting	201

Fig		Page
8.5	Monthly rainfall and predicted net drainage for the experimental period (May 1990-February 1991)	202
8.6	Effect of composts, fresh manure mixtures (Fmm) and urea on yield of cabbage and nitrogen use efficiency (NUE)	203
8.7	Difference in growth of cabbage in the control (1), phosphocompost (2), and sulphocompost (3) treated plots at 60 days after transplanting	205
8.8	Effect of composts, fresh manure mixtures (Fmm) and urea on N uptake by cabbage	208
8.9	Relationship between N uptake and fresh head yield of cabbage	209
8.10	Effect of composts, fresh manure mixtures (Fmm) and urea on P uptake by cabbage	212
8.11	Relationship between P uptake and fresh head yield of cabbage	213
8.12	Relationship between N and P uptake by cabbage	214
8.13	Distribution of (a) NH_4^+ -N and (b) NO_3^- -N in the soil profile at 60 and 120 days after transplanting (DAT)	216
8.14	Effect of composts, fresh manure mixtures (Fmm) and urea on green yield of maize and nitrogen use efficiency (NUE)	217
8.15	Relative agronomic effectiveness (RAE) of composts, fresh manure mixtures (Fmm) over urea application	218

CHAPTER 9

9.1	Role of elemental sulphur (S^0) in improving the agronomic effectiveness of poultry manure composts	227
-----	--	-----