Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

PHENOTYPIC AND GENOTYPIC CHARACTERISATION OF NEISSERIA GONORRHOEAE ISOLATES FROM NEW ZEALAND WITH REDUCED SUSCEPTIBILITY TO CEFTRIAXONE

A Thesis Submitted to the College of Health in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the Master of Science in Microbiology

at

Massey University

New Zealand

By

Norshuhaidah bt Mohd Jamaludin

ABSTRACT

Objectives

Currently, ceftriaxone is the last remaining drug recommended for empirical treatment of gonorrhoea. *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* with reduced susceptibility to ceftriaxone have been isolated worldwide in countries such as Japan, France, Spain, Slovenia, Australia and Sweden. These have led to treatment failures and the emergence of ceftriaxone-resistant *N. gonorrhoeae*. Various mutations in *penA* (mosaic and nonmosaic), which encodes the penicillin-binding protein 2 (PBP2), have been reported to be the primary reason for reduced ceftriaxone susceptibility, but it can be reduced further by mutations in *mtrR*, *porB_{IB}* and *ponA*. In this study, we aimed to determine the antimicrobial resistance patterns of New Zealand isolates of *N. gonorrhoeae* with reduced susceptibility to ceftriaxone and to characterise the *penA*, *mtrR*, *porB_{IB}* and *ponA* in the isolates.

Methods

A total of 28 N. gonorrhoeae isolates with elevated ceftriaxone MIC (0.03 to 0.12 mg/L), collected from 2012 to 2015 and obtained from the Institute of Environmental Science and Research (ESR), were examined in this study. Samples came from laboratories in Auckland (26), Wellington (1) and Taranaki (1). The antimicrobial resistance of penicillin G, tetracycline, ciprofloxacin, azithromycin and ceftriaxone were determined through antimicrobial susceptibility test, using minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) test strips. Polymerase chain reactions (PCRs) and sequencing to identify specific mutations in penA, mtrR, porB_{IB} and ponA, that are associated with elevated minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) to ceftriaxone, were undertaken. The association between the phenotypic and genotypic results was investigated by comparing the presence of the number of mutated genes and the MIC level of ceftriaxone.

Results

Based on the AST results using MIC test strips and interpreted using The European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST) criteria, 23 out of 28 isolates (82%) showed reduced susceptibility to ceftriaxone, with MICs of 0.03 to 0.06 mg/L. All of the isolates were resistant to ciprofloxacin, while 36%, 25% and 7% were resistant to penicillin G, tetracycline and azithromycin, respectively. Two azithromycin-resistant N. gonorrhoeae isolates were observed, and isolate 264 (azithromycin MIC: 4mg/L) also exhibited reduced susceptibility to ceftriaxone (MIC: 0.03 mg/L). A total of 21% (6/28) of the isolates produced βlactamase. The 23 isolates that conveyed reduced ceftriaxone susceptibility were found to harbour three or four mutated genes (penA, mtrR and/or porB_{IB} and ponA). Reduced susceptibility to ceftriaxone among N. gonorrhoeae isolates in this study was associated with mosaic PBP2 (encoded by penA) with G545S/A501V mutations, with nonmosaic PBP2 with an A501V mutation, plus the presence of mutation in mtrR promoter with G120 and A121 alterations in PorBIB. A total of 65% (15/23) of the N. gonorrhoeae isolates with reduced susceptibility to ceftriaxone harboured mosaic PBP2 XXXIV, a pattern found in N. gonorrhoeae associated with ceftriaxone treatment failures in Europe and Australia. The current study also revealed that the partial sequences of four mosaic PBP2 (M-2, M-3, M-4, M-5) were different from the common mosaic PBP2 sequences reported in various studies.

Conclusion

There is an association between the phenotypic and genotypic character of *N. gonorrhoeae* isolates expressing reduced susceptibility to ceftriaxone in this study population. Furthermore, the presence of important mosaic PBP2 that link to ceftriaxone treatment failure might be circulating among *N. gonorrhoeae* isolates in New Zealand.

Keywords: *Neisseria gonorrhoeae*, ceftriaxone, reduced susceptibility, New Zealand

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Alhamdulillah, all praises to Allah S.W.T and to Him alone for the strengths and His blessings in completing this thesis.

I would like to express the deepest gratitude to my main supervisor Dr. Mary Nulsen for her full support, expert guidance, understanding, and encouragement throughout my stay in New Zealand, my study and research. Without her incredible patience and timely wisdom and counsel, my thesis work would not be successful. In addition, I express my deepest appreciation to Dr. Jackie Benschop and Dr. Julie Collins-Emerson for the support, passion in this project and having served on my committee. Their thoughtful questions and comments were valued greatly.

I would also like to thank Dr. Kristene Gedye for her hard work, valuable advice and dedication that makes this project successful. I am so grateful to have you on the team.

To New Zealand Aid programme, I express thanks from deep within my heart and show my gratitude for granting me the New Zealand Aid Commonwealth Scholarship. I sincerely thank you for providing me the chance to pursue my postgraduate studies.

Special thanks go to my numerous beloved friends for the never ending support and encouragement and make my life in New Zealand is one of the amazing experience. You know who you are.

Finally, I would like to thank my parents, brothers and sisters, the rest of my families, and friends back home for their unconditional love and support during the last two years. I would not have been able to complete this thesis without their endless love and encouragement.

Table of Contents

List of	Tables		ix
List of I	Figures	3	xiv
Abbrev	riations	;	xvii
Nucleo	tides a	bbreviations	xix
Amino	acid al	obreviations	.xx
1 CL	JADTE	R ONE : INTRODUCTION	2
1.1.		norrhoea: Epidemiology and Health Impact	
1.2.		sseria gonorrhoeae morphology and traits	
1.3.		thods to diagnose gonorrhoea	
1.4.		onology of multidrug-resistant (MDR) Neisseria gonorrhoeae	
1.5. the e		ended-spectrum cephalosporins (ESCs), the current treatment for gonorrhoea, ence of <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> with reduced susceptibility to ESCs	
1.6. susc		ty to ESCsty to ESCs	
1.6	3.1.	Penicillin-binding protein 2 (penA) gene	29
1.6	5.2.	The role of mosaic penA (PBP2) in causing reduced susceptibility to ESCs	30
1.6	6.3.	Significant involvement of mosaic PBP2 (I312M, V316T and G545S alteration 33)	ons)
1.6	3.1.	Other PBP2 alterations and their role in reduced susceptibility to ESCs	35
1.7.	Intro	oduction to the multiple transferable resistance (mtr) locus	42
	7.1. ents, p	Mutations in the <i>mtrR</i> cause <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> to become resistant to hydrophoenicillin and azithromycin	
	7.2. gonori	Mutations in the <i>mtrR</i> region enhanced the reduced susceptibility to ceftriaxon	
1.8.	Intro	oduction to penB and porB _{IB}	47
1.8	3.1.	The alterations of loop 3 area of PorB (porB _{IB})	48
1.8	3.2.	Mutations in the $porB_{IB}$ cause reduced susceptibility to ESCs in N . $gonorrho$	oeae

1.9.	Introduction to ponA
1.9.1	1. Mutation in <i>ponA</i> which causes <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> to become resistant to ESCs. 54
1.10. ceftriax	Treatment failure of infections due to <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> with reduced susceptibility to xone
1.11.	Susceptibility of New Zealand isolates of <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> to ceftriaxone
2. CHA	APTER TWO: METHODS AND MATERIALS63
2.1.	Ethical Approval
2.2.	Isolates 63
2.2.1	
2.2.2	·
2.2.3	
2.3.	Phenotypic Characterisation
2.3.1	
2.3.2	
2.3.3	
	den)72
2.3.4 to Et	4. Determination of the effect of using different media to culture <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> prior test on the MIC result
2.3.5	5. Statistical analysis
2.4.	Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) for mosaic <i>penA</i> , <i>penA</i> , <i>mtrR</i> , <i>porB</i> _{IB} and <i>ponA</i> . 74
2.4.1	1. DNA extraction
2.4.2	2. DNA concentration and purity
2.4.3	3. Primers for <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> mosaic <i>penA, mtrR, porB_{IB}</i> and <i>ponA</i> PCR
2.4.4	4. N. gonorrhoeae PCR protocol development
2.4.5	5. Preparing the gel and visualising the PCR product
2.5.	Sequencing
2.5.1	1. Preparation of DNA template for sequencing
2.5.2	2. Sequence comparison to confirm variations in amino acid sequence

3.	CHAPT	ER THREE: RESULT	84
3	3.1. Ph	nenotypic Result of <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> isolates	84
	3.1.1. and biol	Comparison between MIC results of ceftriaxone from ESR, Liofilchem Etest stri Mérieux Etest strips	•
	3.1.2. and biol	Comparison between MICs of penicillin G determined by Liofilchem Etest stri	
	•	Antimicrobial susceptibility testing (AST) result of penicillin G, tetracyclin kacin, azithromycin and ceftriaxone of <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> with reduced susceptibility one	to
		Antimicrobial susceptibility testing (AST) result comparison between Europeatee of Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST) Guideline and Clinical ory Standards Institute (CLSI) guideline	&
	3.1.5. prior to	Effect of using GC II agar, chocolate supplemented agar, and GC saponin ag Etest for penicillin G and ceftriaxone Etest result	
	3.1.6. with 3%	Comparison of ceftriaxone MIC of isolate 963 and WHO K control strain incubate CO ₂ and 5% CO ₂	
3	3.2. Pe	enA (PBP2) analysis of N. gonorrhoeae isolates	00
	3.2.1.	Mosaic penA PCR and sequencing	00
	3.2.2.	Mosaic penA in N. gonorrhoeae isolates	02
	3.2.3. publishe	Comparison of mosaic <i>penA</i> (PBP2) of <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> isolates with varioned <i>penA</i> (PBP2) sequence	
	3.2.4. negative	Confirmation of nonmosaic <i>penA</i> for six <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> isolates that we for mosaic <i>penA</i> PCR	
	3.2.5. associa	Identification of various PBP2 alterations in part D PBP2 (residues 430 to 55 ted with elevated MIC of ceftriaxone	
	3.2.6.	The overall pattern of PBP2 in <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> isolates	18
	3.2.7. isolates	Association of different PBP2 patterns with MIC of ceftriaxone of <i>N. gonorrhoe</i> in New Zealand	
	3.2.8.	Analysis of full length of <i>penA</i> of WHO K, WHO L, ATCC 49226 and strain 133	
3	3.3. <i>M</i> i	trR analysis of N. gonorrhoeae isolates	32
	3.3.1.	MtrR PCR and sequencing1	32
	3.3.2. region.	Identification of adenine (A) deletion in <i>mtrR</i> promoter, G45D in the MtrR codinand other alterations in MtrR protein	_

	3.3.3 susc		Association of alterations in <i>mtrR</i> promoter and MtrR coding region ility level of ceftriaxone	
;	3.4.	Port	B _{IB} analysis of <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> isolates	139
	3.4.1	١.	PorB _{IB} amplification & sequencing	139
	3.4.2	2.	PorBIB alterations and association ceftriaxone susceptibility of N. gonorrho	oeae
	isola	tes		141
;	3.5.	Pon	A analysis of <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> isolates	141
	3.5.1	١.	PonA amplification and sequencing	147
	3.5.2 level		Identification of L421P alteration in PBP1 and the association with susceptileftriaxone.	•
	3.6. suscep		ociation of various combination of mutated penA, mtrR, porB _{IB} and ponA y level of ceftriaxone	
4.	СНА	PTE	R FOUR: DISCUSSION	160
	4.1.	Effe	ct of Media and Etest strips on MIC results	160
	4.2.	Antii	microbial resistance in <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i>	162
	4.3.	N. g	onorrhoeae DNA leaching using elution buffer (10mM TrisHCl, pH 8.0)	166
	4.4. suscep		ociation of mosaic PBP2 alterations in <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> isolates with redu y to ceftriaxone	
	4.5. suscep		ociation of nonmosaic PBP2 alterations in <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> isolates with redu y to ceftriaxone	
			ociation of <i>mtrR</i> alterations in <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> isolates with reduced susceptine	,
	4.7. reduce		ociation of G120 and A121 alterations of PorBIB with <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> isolates sceptibility to ceftriaxone	
	4.8. gonorr		ociation of <i>ponA</i> (L421P) mutation with decreased susceptibility of ceftriaxone is in New Zealand	
	4.9. gonorr		nbinations of mutated <i>penA</i> , <i>mtrR</i> , <i>porB</i> _{IB} and <i>ponA</i> as markers to identifing e with reduced susceptibility to ceftriaxone	-
5.	CHA	PTE	R FIVE: CONCLUSION	181
6.	RFF	FRFI	NCES	185

7.	APP	ENDIX	212
	7.1.	APPENDIX A: Massey University Human Ethics Committee (MUHEC) application	212
	7.2.	APPENDIX B: The Hawkes Bay Medical Research Foundation (HBMRF) Funding	213
	7.3.	APPENDIX C: School of Food Nutrition, Massey University Funding	214
	7.4.	APPENDIX D: Institute of Veterinary, Animal and Biomedical Sciences (IVA	BS)
	Fundin	g	215
	7.5.	APPENDIX E: Methods and Materials	216
	7.6.	APPENDIX F: Phenotypic Testing	222
	7.7.	APPENDIX G: PenA (PBP2) analysis	234
	7.8.	APPENDIX H: MtrR analysis	251
	7.9.	APPENDIX I: PorBIB analysis	252

LIST OF TABLES

CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION
Table 1-1 Classification of antibiotics used to treat <i>N. gonorrhoeae.</i>
Table 1-2 The development antimicrobial resistance in <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i>
Table 1-3 Stepwise transformation of N. gonorrhoeae FA19 strains with mosaic penA, mtrR,
porB _{IB} and ponA of N. gonorrhoeae 35/02 (adapted from Zhao et al. (2009))
Table 1-4 Susceptibility to ceftriaxone and mutated <i>penA</i> , <i>mtrR</i> , <i>porB_{IB}</i> and <i>ponA</i> observed in <i>N</i> .
gonorrhoeae in published journals
Table 1-5 Verified mutations within PBP2 that contribute the decreased susceptibility to
ceftriaxone
Table 1-6 Mutations within the mtrR region that contribute to the decreased susceptibility to
hydrophobic agents, penicillin and ceftriaxone
Table 1-7 Published PorBIB mutations that contribute to reduced susceptibility to ESCs (e.g.
ceftibuten, cefpodoxime, cefuroxime, cefotaxime, and ceftriaxone)51
Table 1-8 Published ceftriaxone-resistant N. gonorrhoeae strains, and N. gonorrhoeae isolates
with reduced susceptibility to ceftriaxone associate with ceftriaxone treatment failures 58
Table 1-9 N. gonorrhoeae with reduced susceptibility to ceftriaxone in New Zealand and the
presence of mosaic <i>penA</i>
CHAPTER TWO: METHODS AND MATERIALS
Table 2-1 List of <i>Neisseria gonorrhoeae</i> isolates from the Institute of Environmental Science and
Table 2-1 List of <i>Neisseria gonorrhoeae</i> isolates from the Institute of Environmental Science and
Table 2-1 List of <i>Neisseria gonorrhoeae</i> isolates from the Institute of Environmental Science and Research Limited (ESR) and <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> control strains
Table 2-1 List of <i>Neisseria gonorrhoeae</i> isolates from the Institute of Environmental Science and Research Limited (ESR) and <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> control strains
Table 2-1 List of <i>Neisseria gonorrhoeae</i> isolates from the Institute of Environmental Science and Research Limited (ESR) and <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> control strains
Table 2-1 List of <i>Neisseria gonorrhoeae</i> isolates from the Institute of Environmental Science and Research Limited (ESR) and <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> control strains
Table 2-1 List of <i>Neisseria gonorrhoeae</i> isolates from the Institute of Environmental Science and Research Limited (ESR) and <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> control strains
Table 2-1 List of <i>Neisseria gonorrhoeae</i> isolates from the Institute of Environmental Science and Research Limited (ESR) and <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> control strains
Table 2-1 List of <i>Neisseria gonorrhoeae</i> isolates from the Institute of Environmental Science and Research Limited (ESR) and <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> control strains
Table 2-1 List of <i>Neisseria gonorrhoeae</i> isolates from the Institute of Environmental Science and Research Limited (ESR) and <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> control strains
Table 2-1 List of Neisseria gonorrhoeae isolates from the Institute of Environmental Science and Research Limited (ESR) and N. gonorrhoeae control strains
Table 2-1 List of <i>Neisseria gonorrhoeae</i> isolates from the Institute of Environmental Science and Research Limited (ESR) and <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> control strains
Table 2-1 List of <i>Neisseria gonorrhoeae</i> isolates from the Institute of Environmental Science and Research Limited (ESR) and <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> control strains
Table 2-1 List of <i>Neisseria gonorrhoeae</i> isolates from the Institute of Environmental Science and Research Limited (ESR) and <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> control strains
Table 2-1 List of <i>Neisseria gonorrhoeae</i> isolates from the Institute of Environmental Science and Research Limited (ESR) and <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> control strains

CHAPTER THREE: RESULTS

Table 3-1 MIC of ceftriaxone and penicillin for N. gonorrhoeae isolates and control strains 88
Table 3-2 MICs of tetracycline, ciprofloxacin, azithromycin, and nitrocefin results for A
gonorrhoeae isolates and control strains90
Table 3-3 Antibiotic susceptibility of N. gonorrhoeae isolates based on The European Committee
of Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST) guidelines92
Table 3-4 Comparison of antibiotic susceptibility of N. gonorrhoeae isolates using EUCAST and
CLSI guideline
Table 3-5 Optimised PCR conditions for mosaic penA (Protocol 2)
Table 3-6 Optimised PCR master mix for mosaic penA PCR (Protocol 2)
Table 3-7 Mosaic <i>penA</i> PCR for <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> isolates
Table 3-8 Summary of mosaic penA PCR results for N. gonorrhoeae isolates
Table 3-9 Nucleotides comparison between mosaic penA of N. gonorrhoeae isolates with NG-3
and LM306 strain110
Table 3-10 Amino acid changes detected in the mosaic PBP2 sequence of N. gonorrhoead
isolates (consensus sequence) compared to wild type N. gonorrhoeae LM306 11
Table 3-11 Amino acid differences between the consensus mosaic PBP2 sequence of A
gonorrhoeae isolates and with NG-3 and LM306 strain
Table 3-12 Amino acid variation detected in part B of PBP2 (residues 183 to 347) for A
gonorrhoeae isolates that were negative for mosaic penA
Table 3-13 Summary of PBP2 patterns in <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> isolates
Table 3-14 Comparison of PBP2 sequence observed in N. gonorrhoeae isolates with published
mosaic PBP2 sequences in <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> isolates
Table 3-15 PBP2 patterns observed in <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> isolates
Table 3-16 Summary of ceftriaxone susceptibility and different types of alterations in PBP2 of A
gonorrhoeae isolates used in the study
Table 3-17 Amino acid alterations of PBP2 of WHO K, NG-3 N. gonorhoeae strain, WHO L
ATCC 49226 and 1380 isolate
Table 3-18 Alterations in <i>mtrR</i> promoter and MtrR coding region of <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> isolates. 136
Table 3-19 Summary of alterations in <i>mtrR</i> promoter and MtrR coding region of <i>N. gonorrhoea</i>
isolates
Table 3-20 PCR conditions for amplification of the porB _{IB} gene of N. gonorrhoeae (protocol 4
Table 3-21 Optimised PCR master mix for porB _{IB} PCR (protocol 4)
Table 3-22 G120 and A121 PorBIB alterations of <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> isolates
Table 3-23 PorBIB alterations in <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> isolates
Table 3-24 <i>PonA</i> (PBP1) alteration in <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> isolates
Table 3-25 Summary of mutations <i>penA, mtrR, porB_{IB}</i> and <i>ponA</i> that are associated with elevated
ceftriaxone MIC in N. gonorrhoeae with reduced susceptibility to ceftriaxone

Table 3-26 Susceptibility to ceftriaxone, and key alterations identified in PBP1, PBP2, MtrR and
PorBIB in <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> isolates
Table 3-27 Association of mutated $penA$, $mtrR$, $porB_{IB}$ and $ponA$ with N . $gonorrhoeae$ isolates
Table 3-28 Association of mutated $penA$, $mtrR$, $porB_{IB}$ and $ponA$ with ceftriaxone MIC level of N .
gonorrhoeae isolates
APPENDIX E
Table E1 Storage of <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> isolates in BHI + 20% glycerol broth
Table E2 Preparation of 1L of 1X Phosphate Buffered Saline (PBS)
Table E3 Preparation of 20% Chelex® 100 suspensions for DNA extraction
Table E4 DNA purity and concentration measurement using Nanodrop ND-1000 spectrophotometer
219
Table E5 Preparation of working solution for primers (10µM)
Table E6 Preparation of working solution dNTPs (10mM) (Promega NZ)
Table E7 Preparation of 1% agarose gel with safranin O
Table E8 Published control sequence used to identify variations in amino acid sequences in each
resistance gene
APPENDIX F
Table F1 Estimated fold difference in dilution (log ₂) between Liofilchem s.r.l (ltaly) and bioMérieux
(Sweden) for ceftriaxone (CRO) and penicillin G
Table F2 Susceptibility classification of penicillin G and ceftriaxone for 21 N. gonorrhoeae isolates
using Liofilchem and bioMérieux
Table F3 MIC results agreement between Liofilchem and bioMérieux Etest strips of N.
gonorrhoeae isolates plus WHO K, WHO L, WHO F and ATCC 49226
Table F4 Estimated fold difference in dilution (log ₂) between ceftriaxone MIC test result from the
current study and the Institute of Environmental Science and Research (ESR)226
Table F5 Agreement between MIC of ceftriaxone of the current study and result from ESR 228 $$
Table F6 Association of $\beta\mbox{-lactamase}$ expression with susceptibility level of penicillin G 229
$ \label{thm:continuous} \textbf{Table F7 MIC results of penicillin G where isolates were grown on GC II agar, GC saponin agar } \\$
and chocolate supplemented agar prior to MIC test
Table F8 MIC results of ceftriaxone where isolates were grown on GC II agar, GC saponin agar $\frac{1}{2}$
and chocolate supplemented agar prior to MIC test
Table F9 Evaluation of the use of GC saponin agar and chocolate supplemented agar to grow $\it N.$
gonorrhoeae prior to Etest for penicillin G and ceftriaxone
Table F10 Evaluation of the used of GC II agar and chocolate supplemented agar to grow N .
gonorrhoeae prior to Etest for penicillin G and ceftriaxone

Table F11 Ceftriaxone MIC (mg/L), colony forming unit (CFU) and colony morphologies of strain
963 incubated in candle jar and car carbon dioxide (CO ₂) incubator234
APPENDIX G
Table G1 Mosaic penA PCR method development (Protocol 1 and Protocol 3)
Table G2 Master Mix for mosaic penA PCR (Protocol 1 and Protocol 3)
Table G3 Fragment migration and estimated size of <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> mosaic <i>penA</i> PCR product
Table G4 Amino acid differences between mosaic PBP2 (residues 276 to 329) of 22 N. gonorrhoeae isolates and other Neisseria species
Table G5 Comparison of PBP2 alterations in consensus sequence with <i>N. perflava/sicca</i> , <i>N. cinerea</i> and <i>N. polysacchareae</i>
Table G6 Summary of amino acid alterations in part D of PBP2 sequence of <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> isolates with reduced susceptibility to ceftriaxone
Table G7 Summary of PBP2 alterations of <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> isolates with reduced susceptibility to ceftriaxone
Table G8 Comparison of part D PBP2 (residues 430 to 560) of mosaic sequences M-1, M-2, M-
3, M-4 and M-5 with mosaic PBP2 pattern XXXIV and wildtype PBP2 of <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> LM306
Table G9 Susceptibility to ceftriaxone and PBP2 alterations in <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> isolates 249
APPENDIX H
Table H1 Types of alterations observed in <i>mtrR</i> promoter and MtrR coding region of <i>N</i> .
gonorrhoeae isolates with reduced susceptibility to ceftriaxone
APPENDIX I
Table I1 PorB _{IB} PCR protocol development
Table I2 Summary of various amino acid alterations in PorBIB protein sequence of N.
gonorrhoeae isolates

STORED IN DVD

Table I Summary of sequencing results OF mosaic *penA* amplicons from *N. gonorrhoeae* isolates and WHO K control

Table II Summary of sequencing result for part B *penA* (nonmosaic confirmation) amplicons for nonmosaic *N. gonorrhoeae* isolates and ATCC 49226 control strain

Table III Summary of sequencing result for part D of *penA* (reverse sequence) amplicons for *N. gonorrhoeae* isolates, WHO K and WHO L control strains

Table IV Summary of sequencing result for full length of *penA* (forward sequence) amplicons for WHO K, WHO L, ATCC 49226 and isolate 1380

Table V Summary of sequencing results for *mtrR* amplicons from 28 *N. gonorrhoeae* isolates and WHO K control

Table VI Summary of sequencing results for *porB_{IB}* amplicons 757 bp products for *N. gonorrhoeae* isolates and WHO K control strain

Table VII Summary of sequencing results for *ponA* amplicons for *N. gonorrhoeae* isolates and WHO K control strains

LIST OF FIGURES

CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION

Figure 1-1 Alignment of published mosaic PBP2 patterns X, XXXV and XXXVIII, XXXIV and XXXI
with the wild-type sequence of N. gonorrhoeae LM30632
Figure 1-2 PBP2 structure consists of a dimerisation domain (residues 71 to 221) and a
transpeptidase domain (residues 263 to 557) [adapted from Ameyama et al. (2002), Ito et al.
(2004), Osaka et al. (2008)
Figure 1-3 Organisation of the mtrCDE region in gonococci, adapted from Kayla et al. (1995
1997), Veal et al. (1998) and Warner et al. (2008)
Figure 1-4 Amino acid sequences from PorBIB of N. gonorrhoeae strains adapted from Gill et al
(1998) and Olesky <i>et al.</i> (2002)51
Figure 1-5 Organisation of ponA in gonococci, adapted from Ropp and Nicholas (1997), and Ropp
et al. (2001)
Figure 3-1Penicillin G MIC distributions with EUCAST and CLSI guidelines96
Figure 3-2 Tetracycline MIC distributions with EUCAST and CLSI guidelines96
Figure 3-3 Azithromycin MIC distributions with EUCAST and CDC breakpoints established for
GISP
Figure 3-4 Gel electrophoresis of mosaic penA amplicons from DNA extracted from N
gonorrhoeae isolates
Figure 3-5 Alignment of mosaic penA of N. gonorrhoeae isolates and WHO K control (from 843
bp to 972 bp)
Figure 3-6 Comparison of consensus sequence for mosaic penA amplicons (843 bp to 977 bp
with wildtype N. gonorrhoeae LM306 and N. gonorrhoeae NG-3
Figure 3-7 Comparison of the mosaic PBP2 sequence (residues 276 to 329) of N. gonorrhoeae
isolates with the PBP2 sequence of wildtype <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> LM306
Figure 3-8 Alignment of part B of PBP sequence (residues 183 to 347) of six N. gonorrhoeae
isolates that were negative for mosaic $penA$ (consensus sequence), ATCC 49226 strain and N
gonorrhoeae NG-3
Figure 3-9 Alignment of part D of PBP2 sequence (residues 430 to 520) of N. gonorrhoeae
isolates, WHO K and WHO L control isolates, with wild-type N. gonorrhoeae LM306 116
Figure 3-10 Ceftriaxone MIC distribution between isolates of N. gonorrhoeae with mosaic PBP2
or nonmosaic PBP2
Figure 3-11 Gel electrophoresis of full length of penA PCR products amplified from DNA extracted
from <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> WHO K, WHO L, ATCC 49226 control strain, and NZ isolate 1380 126
Figure 3-12 Alignment of PBP2 sequence (residues 1 to 390) of 1380, ATCC 49226, WHO L and
WHO K, with N. gonorrhoeae LM306 and NG-3 strain. The numbering of the sequence is based
on the sequence of N. gonorrhoeae LM306

Figure 3-13 Gel electrophoresis of mtrR PCR products from DNA extracted from N. gonorrhoeae
isolates
Figure 3-14 Alignment of partial mtrR sequences (1 to 130 bp residues) of N. gonorrhoeae
isolates, published mtrR of N. gonorrhoeae (GenBank accession no.: Z25796.1), WHO K and
WHO F control with 13 bp inverted repeat mtrR promoter sequence
Figure 3-15 Alignment of MtrR protein sequences (1 to 130 residues) of N. gonorrhoeae isolates
with published MtrR protein sequence of N. gonorrhoeae (protein ID: CAA81045.1), positive
control WHO K and wildtype WHO F135
Figure 3-16 Gel electrophoresis of porB _{IB} PCR products consists of four protocols, amplified from
DNA extracted from N. gonorrhoeae isolates WHOK, ATCC 49226, isolate 729 and 824 140
Figure 3-17 Alignment of partial PorBIB amino acid sequence (81 to 210 residues) of N.
gonorrhoeae isolates, published wild-type PorBIB sequence of N. gonorrhoeae H1-2 (Genbank
Protein ID: CAA06234.1) and WHO K control strain
Figure 3-18 Gel electrophoresis of the <i>ponA</i> PCR products amplified from DNA extracted from <i>N</i> .
gonorrhoeae isolates
Figure 3-19 Alignment PBP1 amino acid sequence (residues 361 to 650) of N. gonorrhoeae
isolates, the published wild-type PBP1 sequence of N. gonorrhoeae NG00085 (GenBank
accession no.: AB727713.1, Protein ID: BAM21172.1) and the WHO K control strain 149
Figure 3-20 Effect of different groups of gene mutations on the susceptibility of ceftriaxone 158
APPENDIX C
APPENDIX G
Figure G1 Gel electrophoresis of mosaic <i>penA</i> amplicons (Protocol 1)
Figure G2 Gel electrophoresis of mosaic <i>penA</i> amplicons (Protocol 3)
Figure G3 Standard curve for migration of mosaic penA PCR product
Figure G4 Comparison of the mosaic PBP2 amino acid sequence of N. gonorrhoeae isolates with
the PBP2 sequence of wildtype <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> strain LM306 and published PBP2 sequences
Figure G5 Comparison of the mosaic PBP2 sequence of N. gonorrhoeae isolates with the PBP2
sequences of other <i>Neisseria</i> species
Figure G6 Comparison of partial mosaic PBP2 M-1, M-2, M-3, M-4 and M-5 with mosaic PBP2 X
and XXXIV
Figure G7 Comparison of part D PBP2 of mosaic PBP2 pattern M-1, M-2, M-3, M-4 and M-5 with
mosaic PBP2 pattern XXXIV and <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> LM306 strain

STORED IN DVD

Figure I Alignment of the part B *penA* nucleotide sequence of six nonmosaic *N. gonorrhoeae* isolates, ATCC 49226 strain and *N. gonorrhoeae* NG-3

Figure II Alignment of part D *penA* sequence of 28 *N. gonorrhoeae* isolates, WHO K, WHO L, and *N. gonorrhoeae* LM306

Figure III Alignment of *penA* nucleotide sequence of WHO L, ATCC 49226, 1380 and WHO K strain, with *N. gonorrhoeae* LM306 and NG-3 strain. The numbering of the sequence is based on *N. gonorrhoeae* LM306

Figure IV Alignment of *mtrR* sequences of *N. gonorrhoeae* isolates, *mtrR* sequence of published *N. gonorrhoeae*, WHO K and WHO F control strain

Figure V Alignment of partial $porB_{IB}$ nucleotide sequence of N. gonorrhoeae isolates with published wild-type $porB_{IB}$ sequence of N. gonorrhoeae HI-2 and WHO K control strain using Multalin

Figure VI Alignment of partial *ponA* nucleotide sequences of *N. gonorrhoeae* isolates, published wild-type *ponA* sequence of *N. gonorrhoeae* NG00085 and WHO K control strain

Abbreviations

AMR Antimicrobial resistance

AST Antimicrobial susceptibility testing
ATCC American type culture collection

BHI Brain Heart Infusion

BLAST Basic Local Alignment Search Tool

CDC Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

CFU Colony forming unit

CLSI Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute

CMRNG Chromosomally-mediated resistant Neisseria gonorrhoeae

CO₂ Carbon dioxide

CRO Ceftriaxone

DDBJ DNA Data Bank of Japan

DHB District health board

DNA Deoxyribonucleic acid

dNTP Deoxynucleotide triphosphate

ESCs Extended-Spectrum Cephalosporins

ESR Institute of Environmental Science and Research

EtBr Ethidium Bromide

EUCAST The European Committee on Antimicrobial Testing

FDA Food and Drug Administration

GISP Gonococcal Isolate Surveillance Project

HIV Human Immunodeficiency Virus

HLR High-level resistance

HTH Helix-turn-helix

IM Intramuscular injection

MDR Multidrug-resistant
MgCl₂ Magnesium Chloride

MGS Massey Genome Service

MIC Minimum Inhibitory Concentration

MLST Multi-locus sequence typing

MSM Men who have sex with men mtr Multiple transferable system

MUHEC Massey University Human Ethics Committee

NAAT Nucleic Acid Amplification Test

NCBI National Center for Biotechnology Information

NETs Neutrophil Extracellular Traps

NG-MAST Neisseria gonorrhoeae Multi-antigen Sequence Typing

NPV Negative predictive value

NZSHS The New Zealand Sexual Health Society Incorporation

PBP Penicillin Binding Protein
PBS Phosphate-buffered saline
PCR Polymerase chain reaction

PEN Penicillin

PHE Public Health England

PID Pelvic Inflammatory Disease

PMNs Polymorphonuclear leukocytes

PPNG Penicillinase-producing Neisseria gonorrhoeae

PPV Positive predictive value

qPCR Quantitative Polymerase Chain Reaction

STIs Sexually transmitted infections
TMP-SMX Trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole

TAE Tris-Acetate-EDTA

TrisHCL Tris hydrochloride

TRNG Tetracycline-resistant Neisseria gonorrhoeae

WHO World Health Organization
XDR Extensively drug resistant

Nucleotides Abbreviations

A Adenine

G Guanine

C Cytosine

U Uracil

T Thymine

Amino Acids Abbreviations

A Alanine

R Arginine

N Asparagine

D Aspartic Acid

C Cysteine

E Glutamic Acid

Q Glutamine

G Glycine

H Histidine

I Isoleucine

L Leucine

K Lysine

M Methionine

F Phenylalanine

P Proline

T Threonine

V Valine

Y Tyrosine

S Serine

W Tryptophan