Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

"Look Mum, No Hands!": The effects of increasing opportunities for choice-making and independence for children with disabilities when using a Riding for the Disabled programme.

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of
Master of Arts
in
Psychology
at Massey University, Palmerston North,
New Zealand.

Amie Olga Bingham

2005

ABSTRACT

Many non-disabled people take for granted their ability to choose when they receive help from others. Those with disabilities are often denied this choice, having minimal control over their lives. Increasing literature and research advocate that children with disabilities should be allowed choice-opportunities, as this is an essential component of becoming self-determined. Increasing access to choice has many benefits, including increasing enjoyment, confidence, assertiveness, motivation, and performance. Furthermore, it has been shown to decrease challenging and undesirable behaviour. The current project investigated the effects of providing choice to children with disabilities while participating in riding sessions at the Riding for the Disabled. Furthermore, it investigated whether the children could become more independent when completing riding related tasks. The mastery of two routines (mounting and dismounting) was analysed. All participants improved in their mastery of routines, and thus their independence increased. The hypothesis that with the provision of choice and increase in independence, the children would express higher levels of enjoyment was supported. Those who see providing choice as a deleterious concept fear that children with disabilities will make poor decisions. The project investigated the effect of choice opportunities on the level of risk that the children engaged in when performing riding activities. It was found that the children did not expose themselves to any unnecessary risk despite the increase in control they experienced while riding. An alternating treatment design was used for eight single-case studies. Dependent variables measured were expressions of enjoyment, inattention, undesirable behaviour, level of risk, mastery of routines, number of prompts needed, and incidents of crying.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Firstly I would like to thank the Manawatu RDA for allowing me to take over for a term. Margaret and David, I am in constant awe of your dedication to the RDA, and have the up-most respect for what you have achieved. I would also like to thank the volunteers, your input is crucial to the success of the RDA. A huge thanks must go to the riders and their parents who participated in the study. I have learnt so much from you and really enjoyed the time we have spent together. I hope the RDA continues to prosper, as I believe it is a great opportunity and experience.

Next I would like to thank Ian Evans, my supervisor. I know it's been a long journey, slightly laced with scepticism, but it is finally complete. I can not even begin to say how much I have learnt off you, and the appreciation I have for the help you have given me. And to Robyn Knuth, without your help many deadlines would never have been met, or meetings arranged, thank you so much.

Thanks to my research assistants, Rebecca and Fran. You turned up, video in hand rain, hail or shine. I would recommend you to Peter Jackson any day.

My family and friends have supported me throughout my time at Massey. Though many doubted I would ever finish this thesis, they kept the positive comments coming, or in the case of my parents, threats. Mum and Dad, thank you so much for the opportunities you have given me while I have studied, I hope you know how much I appreciate your help and ideas. To Jane, Zac, Alice, and Blossom, thanks for keeping me real and putting things in perspective when I was getting carried away. Finally, Moira and Brownie, thanks for all the meals, paddocks for my horses, accommodation, friendship, and parental-type advice.

Finally, to the ponies at the RDA, without you the RDA would not exist. Your enduring patience amazes me, and the joy you bring those riders endless. I hope I do something as purposeful in my retirement!

"There is something about the outside of a horse that is good for the inside of a man"

Winston Churchill

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page
Abstract
Acknowledgementsii
Quoteiii
List of Figuresvi
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION AND LITERATURE REVIEW 1
1.1 Personal Prologue
1.2 Working with Children with Disabilities
1.3 Choice
1.4 Independence and Autonomy
1.5 Risk
1.6 Routines
1.7 The Riding for the Disabled
1.8 The Present Study
CHAPTER 2: METHOD20
2.1 Design
2.2 Recruitment and Selection of Participants
2.3 Participant Characteristics
2.4 Measures
2.5 Procedure
2.6 Interobserver Agreement
2.7 Ethical Considerations
CHAPTER 3: RESULTS32
3.1 Trevor
3.2 Helen

3.3 Sally	38
3.4 Jane	39
3.5 David	.41
3.6 Harry	42
3.7 Daniel	43
3.8 Lisa	.45
3.9 Comparisons across Participants	.47
3.10 Graphed Representations of the Data	48
CHAPTER 4: DISCUSSION	58
4.1 Summary of Results	58
4.2 Implications for Theory, Research, and Practice	60
4.3 Limitations of the Present Study	.62
4.4 Implications for the RDA	63
4.5 Recommendations for Future Research.	64
4.6 Conclusion	65
REFERENCES	.67
APPENDICIES	71
Appendix A- Information Sheet to the RDA	.72
Appendix B- Information Sheet to Riders	75
Appendix C- Information Sheet to Parents	77
Appendix D- Information Sheet to Schools	80
Appendix E- Information Sheet to Volunteers	.83
Appendix F- Routine Record	85
Appendix G- Confidentiality Contract for Research Assistants	86

LIST OF FIGURES

	Page
Figure 1. Trevor's frequency of expressions of enjoyment	48
Figure 2. Trevor's frequency of incidents of inattention	48
Figure 3. Trevor's mean level of risk.	48
Figure 4. Trevor's mastery of routines	48
Figure 5. Helen's frequency of expressions of enjoyment	49
Figure 6. Helen's frequency of incidents of inattention.	49
Figure 7. Helen's mean level of risk	49
Figure 8. Helen's mastery of routines	49
Figure 9. Mean number of prompts needed by Helen	50
Figure 10. Sally's frequency of expressions of enjoyment	51
Figure 11. Sally's mean level of risk	51
Figure 12. Sally's mastery of routines	51
Figure 13. Jane's frequency of expressions of enjoyment	52
Figure 14. Jane's mean level of risk	52
Figure 15. Jane's mastery of routines	52
Figure 16. David's frequency of expressions of enjoyment	53
Figure 17. David's frequency of incidents of inattention	53
Figure 18. David's mean level of risk	53
Figure 19. David's mastery of routines.	53
Figure 20. Harry's frequency of expressions of enjoyment	54
Figure 21. Harry's frequency of incidents of undesirable behaviour	54
Figure 22. Harry's mean level of risk.	54
Figure 23. Harry's mastery of routines.	54
Figure 24. Daniel's frequency of expressions of enjoyment	55
Figure 25. Daniel's frequency of incidents of inattention	55
Figure 26. Daniel's mean level of risk	55
Figure 27. Daniel's mastery of routines	55
Figure 28. Lisa's frequency of expressions of enjoyment	56

Figure 29.	Lisa's mean level of risk
Figure 30.	Lisa's mastery of routines
Figure 31.	Mean number of prompts needed by Lisa56
Figure 32.	Lisa's frequency of incidents of crying while riding56