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Hydrolytic enzymes degrade macromolecules into smaller components. These enzymes are important in fungal nutrition and have been implicated in the pathogenicity and virulence of pathogenic fungi towards their hosts. However, it is unknown if hydrolytic enzymes play important roles in mutualistic symbioses. In this study, the function of two different classes of hydrolytic enzymes was examined in the mutualistic symbiosis between the fungal endophyte Epichloë festucae and perennial ryegrass (Lolium perenne cv. Nui).

Nine members of a gene family encoding subtilisin-like proteases were identified in E. festucae. The prt2, prt3 and prt5 genes encode putative extracellular proteins belonging to the proteinase K subfamily 1 , and prtl and prt6 encode putative extracellular proteins belonging proteinase K subfamily 2 . The prt7 and prt8 genes encoded pyrolysin-like enzymes from subfamilies 1 and 2 . The prt4 gene encodes a putative vacuolar protease, while the kex2 gene encodes a putative proprotein convertase. Expression analysis showed that the prtl, prt3, prt5, prt4 and kex2 genes, but not the prt 2 gene, were expressed in culture. The prtl and prt 3 genes appeared to be up-regulated in planta compared to culture.

The function of prtl and prt 2 in the symbiotum between E. festucae and perennial ryegrass was characterised by expressing these genes under the control of the Aspergillus nidulans gpdA or the E. festucae Fll ltmM promoters. No major differences in hyphal or plant morphology were observed between symbioses containing wild type E. festucae or endophyte strains containing the prtl or prt2 transgenes.

The gcnl gene, which encodes a $\beta-1,6$-glucanase, was identified immediately downstream of the prt2 gene. The function of the gcnl gene was characterised by gene replacement and testing the phenotype during growth in culture and in planta. E. festucae $\Delta g c n l$ strains grew normally on glucose-containing media. On media containing the $\beta$-1,6-glucan pustulan, $\Delta g c n l$ strains did not form aerial hyphae or hydrolyse pustulan, which the wild type strain did. This phenotype was partially
complemented by growth of the $\Delta g \mathrm{cnl}$ mutant in close proximity to wild type strains, and fully complemented by insertion of the gcnl gene. This suggests that the gcnl gene encodes the major $\beta-1,6$-glucanase activity of $E$. festucae.
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CHAPTER 1
Introduction

### 1.1 FUNGAL LIFESTYLES

Fungi play important roles in natural ecosystems, agriculture, horticulture, medicine and industry. In ecosystems, fungi act as nutrient recyclers by decomposing components of dead plants and other organisms. Fungi cause disease in many plants and animals, and are important as opportunistic pathogens of immunocompromised individuals. Many industrial, food and pharmaceutical products use the metabolic abilities of fungi.

As heterotrophs, fungi cannot fix their own carbon and nitrogen, so they obtain these elements by breaking down molecules from other organisms. Fungi obtain nutrients by three different means: by growing as saprotrophs, parasites or mutualists. Saprotrophic fungi decompose dead and decaying matter by growing through the substrate, then secreting hydrolytic enzymes to degrade the substrate into smaller soluble molecules that the fungus can absorb. These molecules enter hyphae by diffusion or specific highaffinity transport systems (Jennings, 1995).

Parasitic fungi obtain nutrients from living organisms by growing as necrotrophs or biotrophs. Necrotrophic fungi invade their host, secreting enzymes and/or toxins that cause host cell death (Govrin and Levine, 2002). The fungus degrades the dead cells, providing nutrients to support fungal growth. Biotrophic fungi live in their host, obtaining nutrients without causing host cell death (Schulze-Lefert and Panstruga, 2003). Parasitic fungi may use hydrolytic enzymes degrade host macromolecules to provide nutrients or to break down physical and chemical barriers to infection of their host.

Mutualistic fungi form a symbiosis, or "common life", with their host. Mutualistic symbiota benefit both the fungal species and their hosts. Common examples of fungi in mutualistic symbiota include mycorrhizal fungi (Buscot et al., 2000), lichen fungi (Nash III, 1996) and some fungal endophytes (Carroll, 1988). Mutualistic symbiota all share a common factor: the host provides nutrients (in the form of carbon) to the fungus, while the fungus benefits the host through improved nutrient absorption and/or bioprotective metabolites.

### 1.2 EPICHLOË AND NEOTYPHODIUM ENDOPHYTES

### 1.2.1 Relationships between Epichloë and Neotyphodium endophytes and their hosts

Epichloë and Neotyphodium spp. fungi grow as endophytes in the intercellular spaces of cool season grasses (Siegel and Schardl, 1991). Epichloë spp. are part of tribe Balansiae from the family Clavicipitaceae (Clay, 1988; Siegel and Schardl, 1991). Tribe Balansiae includes grass pathogens that are epibiotic, forming reproductive stromata on leaves or inflorescences, or endophytic, forming systemic infections in the host as well as external stromata, which are masses of fungal tissue on which reproductive structures such as perithecia form.

Epichloë species grow systemically during host vegetative growth. However, fungal stromata can develop when the sexual stage of the Epichloë life cycle initiates (Figure 1.1). Neotyphodium species, which do not form external stromata, appear to be asexual derivatives of Epichloë species. Similarities in morphology, secondary metabolites, host ranges, nuclear DNA sequences, isozymes and serology studies support a relationship between the two genera (Glenn et al., 1996; Leuchtmann and Clay, 1990; Schardl et al., 1991; Tsai et al., 1994). Some Neotyphodium species may have arisen by loss of the sexual cycle, potentially after infecting a host with which the endophyte has not coevolved (Moon et al., 2004). Other asexual Neotyphodium endophytes have complex evolutionary histories, often with one or two interspecific hybridisations. For instance, Lolium perenne taxonomic group 2 (LpTG-2) is the result of a hybridisation between E. typhina and N. lolii (Schardl et al., 1994).

The association of Epichloë and Neotyphodium spp. with their grass hosts can benefit both partners (Schardl et al., 2004). The fungus gains nutrients from its host, protection from the external environment, and a means of dissemination through the seed, which is particularly important for the asexual Neotyphodium species. The grass host benefits through improved drought tolerance and field persistence, resistance to nematodes and


Figure 1.1 Life cycles of Epichloë and Neotyphodium species within their grass hosts
The lifecycles represented show the sexual and asexual stages of the life cycles of Epichloë and Neotyphodium spp. endophytes. The sexual life cycle initiates when mycelia emerge from the leaf intercellular spaces. Conidioma develop on the surface of flag leaves, causing choke disease on immature inflorescences. This prevents the host from flowering, and renders the grass tiller infertile. Epichloë species are heterothallic, meaning mating can only take place between conidia of two different mating types. Female flies (Botanophila sp.) mediate transfer of conidia between infected plants (Bultman et al, 1998). After mating has taken place, sexual stromata are formed. The stromata contain perithecia, which bear ascospores. The ascospores are transferred to the stigma of a grass flower, where they germinate. Conidiophores are formed, releasing conidia, which germinate and infect the ovary and developing ovule of the grass flower.

During the asexual phase of the endophyte life cycle, growth within the grass is asymptomatic. The endophyte is initially found within the apical meristem of vegetative plants. After the floral meristem develops, mycelia invade the ovaries and ovules, before eventual incorporation into the seed. If seeds from the infected plant germinate, they will almost certainly be infected with the same endophyte as the matemal parent plant. The asexual phase of the life cycle is also known as vertical transmission. Vertical transmission is a highly efficient means of endophyte transmission, with nearly all the seeds of a host plant infected.

Dashed lines indicate spore transfer. Diagram prepared by Liz Grant, Department of Ecology, Massey University.
some fungal pathogens, and fungal secondary metabolites that act as feeding deterrents to mammalian and insect herbivores.

### 1.2.2 Endophyte secondary metabolites

Epichloë and Neotyphodium species produce a wide range of secondary metabolites (Lane et al., 2000). The four major classes of metabolites produced are lolitrems (indole diterpenes), ergot alkaloids, lolines (pyrrolizidines) and peramine (a pyrrolopyrazine). Lolitrems act as potent neurotoxins in a syndrome called ryegrass staggers, which causes loss of co-ordination due to tetanic muscle spasms in livestock (Siegel and Bush, 1997). Lolitrems also act as feeding deterrents for some insect species (Dymock et al., 1989). Three linked gene clusters appear to contain the genes involved in lolitrem biosynthesis (Young, 2005; Young et al., 2005). The ltmM gene, encoding a putative FAD-dependent mono-oxygenase, is necessary for lolitrem biosynthesis (Young et al., 2005).

Ergot alkaloids are highly toxic to mammalian herbivores. These alkaloids cause tall fescue toxicosis, a syndrome associated with poor weight gain, hormonal imbalances, reduced levels of fertility and milk production, vasoconstriction and gangrene of limbs (Strickland et al., 1993). The activity of ergot alkaloids may be due to their high affinity for amine receptors such as dopamine, epinephrine and serotonin receptors. Three endophyte genes essential for ergot alkaloid biosynthesis have been identified. The dmaW gene from Neotyphodium sp. Lpl encodes a dimethylallyltryptophan (DMAT) synthetase thought to act at an early, rate-limiting step in ergot alkaloid biosynthesis (Wang et al., 2004a). Two non-ribosomal peptide synthetase (NRPS) genes, $\operatorname{lps} A$ (Panaccione et al., 2001) and $\operatorname{lps} B$ (D. Fleetwood, personal communication) are also essential for ergot alkaloid biosynthesis. Deletion of the $d m a W, \operatorname{lps} A$ or $\operatorname{lps} B$ genes blocks ergovaline production, but does not affect the symbiosis between the fungus and its host.

Peramine is a pyrrolpyrazine alkaloid that may be derived from a precursor of proline, 1 -pyrroline-5-carboxylate, and arginine (Tanaka et al., 2005). Peramine promotes grass persistence in the presence of the Argentine stem weevil, which is a major grass parasite
in New Zealand pastures (Rowan and Gaynor, 1986). Tanaka et al. (2005) identified a two-module non-ribosomal peptide synthetase gene, perA, which is essential for peramine biosynthesis in planta. Deletion of perA increases grass susceptibility to herbivory by the Argentine stem weevil.

Lolines are pyrrolizidine alkaloids that act against insects as feeding deterrents or toxins depending on the insect species (Bush et al., 1997). Lolines may be allelopathic towards competing dicotyledonous and monocotyledonous species, thus improving competitiveness of their host grass (Bush et al., 1993). Loline production has been demonstrated in Neotyphodium uncinatum, where the fungus produces lolines in culture under certain conditions (Blankenship et al., 2001; Wilkinson et al., 1997). N. uncinatum contains two related gene clusters LOL-1 and LOL-2, each of which contain nine genes putatively associated with loline biosynthesis (Spiering et al., 2005). RNA knockdown (RNAi) of one of these nine genes, lolC, which encodes a putative $O$-acetylhomoserine(thiol) lyase, reduces lol $C$ mRNA levels and loline production.

### 1.2.3 Endophyte growth and colonisation within their hosts

The Epichloë and Neotyphodium spp. endophytes grow exclusively within the intercellular spaces of grass aerial tissues. Unlike other fungal species, the Epichloë/Neotyphodium species do not penetrate or destroy host cells or produce specialised feeding structures such as haustoria or arbuscles. The endophytes grow mainly in the leaf sheath, seeds and crown of the host, all of which are sink tissues that tend to import carbohydrates.

The symbiota between Epichloë/Neotyphodium spp. and their hosts cover a continuum ranging from antagonism to mutualism (Schardl et al., 2004). In type I associations where the fungus is antagonistic towards its host, most host inflorescences abort development due to production of stromata that allow the endophyte to reproduce sexually (horizontal transmission; Figure 1.1). In type II associations, some host inflorescences abort due to stromata production (horizontal transmission), while other inflorescences fully develop and seeds are colonised by the endophyte, allowing clonal
propagation of the fungus (vertical transmission). Fungi are vertically transmitted through the seed in the type III mutualistic associations that are typical of the asexual Neotyphodium species.

During growth in vegetative host tissues, the association is usually asymptomatic (Koga et al., 1993). The endophyte grows near the apical meristem during vegetative growth. After the grass switches to reproductive growth, the apical meristem becomes a floral meristem and produces floral structures. At this point, the endophyte is in position to infect the developing ovaries and ovules (Philipson and Christey, 1986). Although the endophyte heavily infects the diploid nucellus (megasporangium), it does not grow in the ovule integuments or in the haploid embryo sac (gametophyte) (Majewska-Sawka and Nakashima, 2004; Philipson and Christey, 1986). After the grass floret is fertilised, endophyte hyphae are most abundant in an area that Majewska-Sawka and Nakashima (2004) describe as the "infection layer". This area contains the remains of the nucellus with numerous hyphae. During early stages of seed development, the embryo contains no endophyte hyphae. At the notch stage of embryo development when organs begin to differentiate, the endophyte begins to colonise the embryo.

During horizontal transmission of the Epichloë species, the endophyte aborts host reproduction and flowering. During grass reproduction, the fungus begins to proliferate when the grass forms inflorescence primordia. Just before the inflorescence emerges from within the flag leaf surrounding it, hyphal proliferation increases in the inflorescence and hyphae emerge and grow epiphytically to cover the exterior of the leaf sheath. The mass of fungal tissue surrounding the inflorescence, described as a stroma (plural stromata), is covered by conidia.

Epichloë species are heterothallic, with two different mating types. Once stromata form, female anthomyiid flies (Botanophila spp.) can transfer conidia from the opposite mating type to the stroma while laying eggs on the stroma surface (Bultman et al., 1998). Fly eggs then hatch, and the larva feed on the stroma before dropping to pupate in the soil. After fertilisation of the stroma by conidia from the other mating type, ascospore-containing fruiting bodies called perithecia form. Ascospores can be ejected
from perithecia and colonise new host plants either by infecting seeds or neighbouring plants. Chung and Schardl (1997) suggested ascospores may colonise grass hosts through a mechanism similar to that used by the closely related fungus Claviceps purpurea during grass floret infection, where ascospores germinate to produce conidia, and hyphae from germinated conidia invade the ovule.

### 1.2.4 Endophyte-host compatibility

Grasses resist infection by most potential endophytes through non-specific resistance mechanisms that protect the plant from potential pathogens. Plants achieve non-specific resistance to infection through constitutive mechanisms, such as physical or chemical barriers to infection (Osbourn, 1995; Park et al., 2004), or by induced mechanisms, such as production of antimicrobial proteins and metabolites (Broekaert et al., 1997; Maor and Shirasu, 2005).

Natural associations show no obvious response by the grass host to the endophyte's presence, suggesting the endophyte has developed means of overcoming host nonspecific resistance. However, artificial inoculations of some endophytes into new grass hosts produce incompatible interactions characterised by either fungal or plant cell death (Christensen, 1995; Koga et al., 1993). In associations causing fungal cell death, the hyphae collapse and become distorted, with degenerated cytoplasm and electron-dense cell walls. This phenotype resembles hyphae from plant pathogenic fungi that have penetrated resistant hosts (Koga et al., 1993). In artificial inoculations resulting in host cell death, stunted tillers form. Stunted tillers are associated with necrosis resembling a hypersensitive response (HR) in the cells of the apical meristem. Healthy tillers produced by the grass are uninfected, suggesting these associations are incompatible (Christensen, 1995).

Endophyte-host compatibility is affected by both endophyte and grass genotypes. Chung et al. (1997) showed that in E. typhina multiple genes that act additively or synergistically to determine host specificity during seedling infection. Host and endophyte genotypes also affect the persistence of associations. Christensen et al. (1997)
showed that in artificial inoculations, some genotypes of E. festucae cause stunting and chlorotic lesions in a non-host grass. These artificial associations did not show any of the host cell death observed by Christensen (1995). Instead, hyphae were located in the vascular bundles, often in direct contact with the sieve tube elements (Christensen et al., 1997). Endophyte and host genotypes also control secondary metabolite production by the endophyte, which may affect the field persistence of endophyte-infected plants under herbivore grazing (Easton et al., 2002).

### 1.2.5 Endophyte nutrition within its host grass

Epichloë and Neotyphodium spp. endophytes grow within the intercellular spaces of their hosts without penetrating the cell wall or cytoplasm. Therefore, the endophyte must obtain amino acids, simple sugars, vitamins and vitamin precursors required for growth from within the intercellular spaces. In culture, Epichloë and Neotyphodium species utilise a range of different carbon and nitrogen sources (Naffaa et al., 1998). Carbon sources used by endophytes include pentoses, hexoses, disaccharides and polysaccharides. Ammonium, nitrate, asparagine, glutamine, glycine and alanine, as well as complex nitrogen sources such as peptone and soytone provide nitrogen sources to support fungal growth. Some endophyte strains can use tryptophan and methionine as nitrogen sources, but most strains were unable to use these amino acids.

Endophyte hyphae are normally restricted to basal parts of plant aerial tissues, typically in the host leaf sheath and meristematic zones, but occasionally are found in the leaf blade (Neill, 1940). The leaf sheaths and meristematic zones are sink tissues within plants, where carbohydrates are unloaded from the phloem (Allard and Nelson, 1991). As the major sugar transported in the phloem, sucrose and its derivatives glucose and fructose are the most common carbohydrates available to the endophyte (Amiard et al., 2004).

The endophyte has two different mechanisms for sucrose uptake (Lam et al., 1995). The first involves direct uptake by a sucrose carrier, while the second mechanism involves an invertase activity that breaks down sucrose into glucose and fructose before uptake by
separate glucose and fructose carriers. Accumulation of mannitol, other sugar alcohols and trehalose produced by the fungus may affect uptake of sucrose and other carbohydrates (Bacon and White, 2000). The plant cannot utilise fungal carbohydrates such as mannitol, so the concentration gradient between plant sources and sinks needed to promote carbohydrate movement in the phloem increases. Sugar alcohols lower water potential in the endophyte, promoting water diffusion into the fungus. Mannitol \& other polyols may also act as drought protective osmolytes within infected plants (Richardson et al., 1992).

Host amino acids are important sources of carbon and nitrogen for endophyte nutrition. Endophyte infection significantly affects host nitrogen metabolism (Bacon and White, 2000). Large increases in carbon assimilation into amino acids in the leaf blade were associated with significantly higher glutamine synthetase activity within the leaf blade. Ammonium, a key precursor in amino acid biosynthesis, is present at double the concentration in the leaf sheaths (but not in leaf blades) of endophyte-infected plants compared to uninfected plants. Amino acids are also important precursors for alkaloid biosynthesis, so variation in nitrogen metabolism could influence the types and levels of alkaloids in endophyte-grass associations (Clay, 1988).

Various Epichloë and Neotyphodium endophytes require vitamins such as thiamine for growth (Kulkarni and Nielsen, 1986; Zhang, 2004). Thiamine is a cofactor for many enzymes involved in fungal metabolism. When the thil gene (the orthologue of Saccharomyces cerevisiae THI4) in a thiamine-autotrophic E. typhina strain was deleted, hyphal density and branching were reduced in thiamine-free media (Zhang, 2004). Thiamine and its precursor thiazole complemented these growth defects. The mutant strain can still colonise and infect the host, and still form stromata. However, the $\Delta$ thil mutant did not cause as many detrimental effects, branching less within the host and not containing the abundant glycogen deposits found in the wild-type E. typhina strain.

Hydrolytic enzymes may also be involved in endophyte growth within their host. In the association between a Neotyphodium sp. endophyte and its Poa ampla host, subtilisinlike protease, $\beta-1,6$-glucanase, chitinase and $N$-acetylglucosaminidase activities have
been identified (Li et al., 2005; Li et al., 2004; Lindstrom et al., 1993; Moy et al., 2002). The activities of all of these hydrolytic enzymes could affect the growth of the endophyte in both culture and in planta, possibly through autolysis, the self-digestion of old hyphae through the activity of hydrolytic enzymes (White et al., 2002). The protease, glucanase and chitinase activities detected in endophytes are also similar to the hydrolytic enzyme system produced by Trichoderma species to lyse fungal cell walls (Section 1.5.2) (Moy et al., 2002). Loosening of the rigid structure of the fungal cell wall is required to enable fungal branching, so enzymes degrading structural components (e.g. chitin) and cross-links ( $\beta-1,6$-glucans) in the wall may be important for fungal growth. The endophyte $N$-acetylglucosaminidase may enable the endophyte to circumvent host defence responses by degrading chitin oligomers that induce hypersensitive responses to phytopathogenic fungi in some plant species ( Li et al., 2005).

### 1.2.6 Epichloë and Neotyphodium sp. endophytes as experimental systems

Epichloë and Neotyphodium spp. fungi are extremely well adapted to growth within their hosts. However, compared to the model fungal systems such as Neurospora crassa and Aspergillus nidulans, Epichloë and Neotyphodium spp. fungi grow much more slowly in culture. Some endophyte species such as Neotyphodium occultans do grow out of their host plant after lengthy incubations, but cannot be sub-cultured (Moon et al., 2000). Generally, the sexual Epichloë endophytes grow more quickly than the asexual Neotyphodium endophytes (M, Christensen, personal communication), which are often interspecific hybrids (Section 1.2.1). Consequently, Epichloë endophytes recover and grow more quickly from processes such as genetic transformation.

Differences between Epichloë and Neotyphodium endophytes are also seen when these fungi are artificially inoculated into grasses. A higher infection rate is usually obtained with the more quickly growing Epichloë endophytes compared to the Neotyphodium endophytes (Zhang and Christensen, personal communication). This means that in experiments where genetically modified endophytes are introduced into grasses by
artificial inoculation, a higher infection rate will be obtained when the modified strains are Epichloë spp..

Using E. festucae as a model endophyte system was first suggested by Schardl (2001). E. festucae strains form stable associations with Festuca and Lolium spp. grasses, where the fungus can either be efficiently vertically transmitted through the seed, or produce reproductive structures such as stromata (Section 1.2.3). As a sexual species, E. festucae is amenable to Mendelian and molecular genetic analysis. Many asexual interspecific Neotyphodium hybrids appear to be derived from E. festucae. Strains of E. festucae produce different combinations of the four major anti-herbivore secondary metabolites (Section 1.2.2), making them suitable for analysis of endophyte toxin production. E. festucae infection of grasses has also been associated with enhanced host fitness. All of these characteristics, along with the relatively quick growth in culture and high rate of infection in artificial inoculations, make E. festucae ideal as a model endophyte system.

### 1.3 HYDROLYTIC ENZYMES

Hydrolytic enzymes break down large macromolecules into smaller compounds that can be reutilised by other organisms. These proteins catalyse the splitting of covalent bonds between building blocks of macromolecules by adding a water molecule. As described in Section 1.1, hydrolytic enzymes allow fungi to recycle macromolecules found in their environment. Table 1.1 shows a list of common hydrolytic enzymes and the product of the reactions they catalyse. Pathogenic fungi can potentially break down physical or chemical barriers to host infection using hydrolytic enzymes (Osbourn, 1995; St Leger et al., 1987).

Hydrolytic enzymes have two possible modes of action: an endo- action, resulting in internal cleavage of macromolecules, or an exo- action, resulting in sequential cleavage from the end of the macromolecule (Figure 1.2). Exohydrolytic enzymes can completely degrade macromolecules (Figure 1.2 A ), but endohydrolytic enzymes cannot (Figure 1.2B). The fastest means of degrading a macromolecule involves endo- and
Table 1.1 Degradation of macromolecules by hydrolytic enzymes

| Macromolecule | Subunit | Enzyme |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Starch | Glucose | Amylase |
| Cellulose | Glucose | Cellulase |
| $\beta$-1,3-glucan (callose) | Glucose | B-1,3-glucanase |
| $B-1,6$-glucan | Flucose | Fatty acids |
| Lipid | Amino acids | Lipase |
| Protein | Deoxyribonucleotides <br> Ribonucleotides |  |
| DNA | Deoxyribonuclease <br> RNA | Esterase |
| Ester | Cutin (monomer) <br> Mainly C16 and C18 interesterified <br> hydroxy, and epoxy-hydroxy fatty <br> acids | Cutinase |
| Cutin (polymer) | N-acetylglucosamine |  |
| Chitin | Glucosamine | Chitinase |
| Chitosan | Avenacin (phytoanticipin) | mono- and bis-deglucosylated <br> avenacin (non-toxic derivative) |
| Toxin | Avenacinase |  |



## Figure 1.2 Exo- and endohydrolytic cleavage of molecules

Hydrolytic enzymes cleave molecules by exo- or endo-acting mechanisms. Polymers and oligomers are indicated by dark green circles joined by a dark green line. Monomers are indicated by a light green circle. Sites of exohydrolytic cleavage are indicated by dark blue arrows, while endohydrolytic cleavage sites are indicated by dark red arrows. (A) An exoaction cleaves individual monomers sequentially from the end of polymers. (B) An endoaction cleaves within polymers, but does not cleave monomers from the ends of polymers. (C) Exo- and endo- actions can act together to fully degrade a polymer.
exohydrolytic enzymes working together, with the endohydrolytic enzymes breaking down the macromolecule into smaller pieces, then the exohydrolytic enzyme breaking down each of these smaller pieces into their individual building blocks (Figure 1.2C).

### 1.4 SUBTILISIN-LIKE PROTEASES

Proteases catalyse the cleavage of polypeptides (proteins) to oligopeptides or amino acids. In fungi, there are six major classes of proteases, grouped as aspartic, cysteine, metallo-, serine, threonine or unknown proteases according to the amino acid residues required for enzyme activity (Rawlings et al., 2004). As hydrolytic enzymes, proteases break the covalent bond between amino acid residues in polypeptides by adding a water molecule (Figure 1.3). Proteases can act as endopeptidases, which cleave within a polypeptide to produce oligopeptides, or exopeptidases, which cleave from the end of polypeptide or oligopeptide to release amino acids.


Figure 1.3 Hydrolytic reaction catalysed by subtilisin-like proteases
Endoproteolytic cleavage of polypeptides is catalysed by subtilisin-like proteases. The names of amino acid residues are shown in red, with each amino acid residue surrounded by a black box. The remainder of the polypeptide chain is designated by pp chain. Groups added during hydrolytic cleavage are shown in blue.

Experimental evidence implicates the subtilisin-like proteases, a class of serine proteases, in fungal-host interactions (Section 1.5). Figure 1.3 shows an example of the hydrolytic cleavage of a polypeptide chain catalysed by subtilisin-like proteases. Phylogenomic analysis suggests three families of subtilisin-like proteases are present in fungi (Hu and St Leger, 2004). The first family, known as the pyrolysins, encodes relatively large proteins whose function is currently unknown. The second family, named for its similarity to the widely known Tritirachium album proteinase K, consists of three subfamilies. Two of these subfamilies (subfamilies 1 and 2 ) contain secreted proteases, while the remaining subfamily (subfamily 3 ) contains intracellular proteases normally localised to the vacuole. The third family, kexins (proprotein convertases), are involved in post-translational protein processing.

### 1.4.1 Family I of the fungal subtilisin-like proteases: pyrolysin-type proteases

The pyrolysin family encodes relatively large proteases in comparison to other subtilisin-like proteases, generally of 700-1000 amino acid residues in size. A characteristic feature of the pyrolysins is a protease-associated (PA) domain, found in some proteases and receptors. Mahon and Bateman (2000) suggested the PA domain could be a protein-protein interaction domain involved in protease binding to their substrates and in determining substrate specificity.

Very few members of the pyrolysin family have been described in fungi, although multiple copies of genes encoding these proteases have been found in the genomes of Magnaporthe grisea and Fusarium graminearum (Gibberella zeae) (Hu and St Leger, 2004). The only characterised family I subtilisin-like protease is PoSl from the basidiomycete Pleurotus ostreatus, a fungus that causes white rot in woody trees (Faraco et al., 2005). PoSl activates a proteolytic cascade regulating degradation of laccase isoenzymes, which are involved in lignin degradation.

### 1.4.2 Family II of the fungal subtilisin-like proteases: proteinase $K$-type proteases

Family II of the fungal subtilisin-like proteases contain the well-known proteinase K from T. album. Phylogenetic analysis shows family II forms three subfamilies (Hu and St Leger, 2004). Subfamilies 1 and 2 both contain extracellular enzymes that have broad substrate specificities, acting as both proteases and esterases (Ebeling et al., 1974; Lim et al., 2005; Velasco et al., 2001). Proteases in subfamilies 1 and 2 play roles in the interactions of fungal pathogens of insects, nematodes and other fungi with their hosts (Section 1.5) (Hu and St Leger, 2004). As extracellular enzymes, enzymes in subfamilies 1 and 2 may break down proteins to provide nutrients to support fungal growth, and to break down physical and chemical barriers to host infection (Reddy et al., 1996).

Subfamily 3 contains a group of closely related proteases that are localised to the vacuole. Proteinase B (encoded by the PRBI gene) from the yeast $S$. cerevisiae was the first identified protease in this subfamily (Zubenko et al., 1979; Zubenko et al., 1980). Deletion of PRBI causes autophagic bodies to accumulate in the yeast vacuole during nitrogen starvation (Zubenko and Jones, 1981). Autophagic bodies are the product of autophagy, a membrane-trafficking process that leads to breakdown and recycling of cytoplasmic components in the vacuole. This suggests that $S$. cerevisiae proteinase B plays a role in degrading autophagic bodies within the vacuole and recycling macromolecules.

In $S$. cerevisiae, nitrogen starvation induces sporulation and autophagy. When the $\triangle P R B 1$ mutant grows under nitrogen starvation conditions, developmental defects are observed (Zubenko and Jones, 1981). $\triangle P R B 1$ cells do not complete sporulation or produce normal asci. $\triangle P R B 1$ sporulative cells, which are much smaller than asci produced by wild-type cells, are embedded in a thick matrix. Nitrogen starvation also induces sexual differentiation and expression of the isp6 gene (the homologue of the S. cerevisiae PRB1 gene) in Schizosaccharomyces pombe. Deletion of isp6 results in cell cycle arrest prior to conjugation and drastically blocks sporulation (Sato et al., 1994).

Protein degradation during nitrogen starvation-induced autophagy may be necessary to provide nitrogen sources for the manufacture of new proteins needed for sporulation.

The Podospora anserina PRBI homologue, pspA (also known as idi6), was identified during a screen for genes up regulated during vegetative incompatibility (Paoletti et al., 2001). Sequence comparisons suggested the PspA protease might have similar functions as $S$. cerevisiae proteinase B, with roles in autophagy and developmental processes. Autophagic bodies accumulated in the $\triangle p s p A$ mutant during nitrogen starvation, just as they did in the $S$. cerevisiae $\triangle P R B 1$ mutant. Nitrogen starvation, vegetative incompatibility and cellular development induce autophagy in P. anserina (Paoletti et al., 2001; Pinan-Lucarre et al., 2003). $\Delta p s p A$ mutants have reduced hyphal density, aerial hyphae and pigmentation, very few protoperithecia and female sterility compared to wild-type P. anserina.

Deletion of the Aspergillus fumigatus PRBI homologue, alp2, also caused developmental defects (Reichard et al., 2000). The A. fumigatus colony changed colour from greyish-green in wild-type colonies to white or light green in the $\Delta a l p 2$ strain. While conidial numbers were greatly reduced, conidial size was unchanged. The conidiophore in the $\Delta a l p 2$ strain was much smaller than in the wild-type strain, largely due to a reduction in the diameter of conidiophore vesicles. In addition, the rate of vegetative growth was slightly slower in the alp 2 deletion strain.

Vacuolar subtilisin-like proteases play roles in the recycling of proteins during autophagy, a type of programmed cell death (PCD) conserved across all eukaryotes that is induced in response to cellular stress (Wang and Klionsky, 2003). In the fungal vacuole, this particular type of subtilisin-like protease breaks down the autophagosomes. This enables the cell to recycle cytoplasmic components to provide nutrients to support survival during growth under stressful conditions like nutrient limitation.

### 1.4.3 Fungal subtilisin-like protease family III: kexin-type proteases

Kexins are a conserved group of calcium-dependent subtilisin-like proteases also known as prohormone or proprotein convertases (Fuller et al., 1989; Henrich et al., 2005). Kexin-like enzymes contain a subtilisin-like catalytic domain, a $P$ domain important for protein stability and substrate specificity, a transmembrane domain, and sometimes a cytoplasmic carboxyl terminal domain (Henrich et al., 2005). Kexins hydrolyse the covalent bond of the carboxyl-terminal side of a dibasic sequence, generally KR or a RR motif.

Eukaryotes often produce secreted proteins as preproproteins or zymogens. At least two proteolytic cleavage events are required for processing of preproprote ins to their mature forms. The first proteolytic step takes place in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER), where a signal peptidase cleaves the signal peptide (prepeptide) directing the preproprotein to enter the secretory pathway (Conesa et al., 2001). Once proteins have entered the ER, they fold and undergo modifications such as phosphorylation and glycosylation before correctly folded proteins move to the Golgi body in transport vesicles. The second proteolytic event takes place in the Golgi body, where kexins cleave the propeptide from the proprotein to give the active, fully processed protein. After other modifications such as further glycosylation have taken place, proteins either are secreted to the exterior of the cell, remain in the membrane or become targeted to the vacuole.

Kexins cycle between the trans Golgi network (TGN) and the endosome, but are returned to the Golgi body due to a Golgi retention motif within the cytoplasmic carboxyl-terminal domain (Redding et al., 1991; Wilcox et al., 1992). As kexins are localised to the TGN, any potential target proteins cleaved by kexin must pass through the Golgi network with their amino terminus within the Golgi lumen. Membrane, vacuolar, cell wall and secreted proteins are all potential targets of kexins, while cytoplasmic proteins are not. Kexins process a large number of proteins; thus, mutations in kexin-like genes are pleiotropic, resulting in a large number of potential changes in protein secretion and activity.

The $S$. cerevisiae $K E X 2$ gene was identified during a screen for genes interfering with proteolytic processing of the K1 killer toxin (Leibowitz and Wickner, 1976). Mutants in KEX2 incorrectly processed the K 1 killer toxin and had mating defects. In $\alpha$-mating type $\triangle K E X 2$ strains, incorrect processing of the $\alpha$-mating factor propeptide results in poor secretion of $\alpha$-factor. This means the $\alpha$-mating type $\triangle K E X 2$ strain cannot successfully mate with a-mating type cells not carrying a $K E X 2$ deletion. However, deletion of $K E X 2$ in a-mating type strains does not affect the ability to mate with wild type $\alpha$ mating type strains. This suggests Kex2 activity is required for correct processing and secretion of $\alpha$ mating type, but not a-mating type, pheromones. Experimental evidence suggests the $\alpha$ mating pheromone of Candida albicans, the B-type mating pheromone of Yarrowia lipolytica and the Map2 pheromone of S. pombe are processed by kexin-like proteins in a similar manner (Davey et al., 1994; Enderlin and Ogrydziak, 1994; Newport and Agabian, 1997).

Deletion of KEX2 homologues causes phenotypic changes in yeast and filamentous fungal species. In the dimorphic yeasts C. albicans and Y. lipolytica, deletion of the kexin-like genes KEX2 and XPR6 resulted in strains unable to switch to hyphal growth (Enderlin and Ogrydziak, 1994; Newport and Agabian, 1997). Deletion of KEX2 homologues resulted in abnormal yeast cell morphology in S. cerevisiae, C. albicans, Y. lipolytica and Candida glabrata (Bader et al., 2001; Enderlin and Ogrydziak, 1994; Komano and Fuller, 1995; Leibowitz and Wickner, 1976; Newport and Agabian, 1997; Newport et al., 2003). In these species, large cell aggregates formed after cells did not separate after budding. In $S$. pombe, a temperature-sensitive mutation in the $K E X 2$ homologue, krpl, caused cell division to cease, non-polarised cell growth and lemonshaped cells (Davey et al., 1994). Deletion of the KEX2 homologue kexB in Aspergillus oryzae resulted in shrunken colonies with no conidiophores or conidia (Mizutani et al., 2004). However, conidiophore and conidia production took place in Aspergillus nidulans and Aspergillus niger $\Delta k e x B$ deletion strains (Jalving, 2005; Jalving et al., 2000; Kwon et al., 2001). A. oryzae and $A$. niger $\Delta k e x B$ deletion strains have a hyperbranching phenotype resulting in increased hyphal density in colonies.

Some of these phenotypic changes after loss of kexin activity are associated with cell surface changes. The cell walls of $C$. albicans $\triangle K E X 2$ deletion strains have an abnormal chitin distribution (Newport and Agabian, 1997). C. glabrata KEX2 mutants were hypersensitive to any treatment that affected the surface of hyphae, suggesting changes in the cell surface had made the yeast more sensitive to these agents (Bader et al., 2001).

Disruptions in the cell wall or membrane activate cell integrity signalling, which regulates gene expression by signalling through a MAP kinase cascade containing the Mpk1 MAP kinase (Levin, 2005). In S. cerevisiae, hypo-osmotic stress, heat shock, exposure to mating pheromone and treatments perturbing the cell wall activate this signalling cascade. Deletion of KEX2 and the MAP kinase gene MPKI in S. cerevisiae is lethal. This suggests Mpkl normally signals through the cell integrity pathway in response to cell surface changes caused by the $K E X 2$ deletion, allowing the cell to compensate for these changes (Roelants et al., 2002). In A. oryzae, kexB deletion results in increased expression of the MPKl homologue, mpkA (Mizutani et al., 2004). Activation of the cell integrity-signalling pathway may lead to increased expression of the cell wall biosynthesis genes chsB, chsC and gelB in $\Delta k e x B A$. oryzae.

Loss of kexin activity results in incorrect processing of other secreted proteins. Deletion of KEX2 homologues lead to defects in processing and secretion of members of the SAP family of aspartic proteases in C. albicans (Newport and Agabian, 1997) and the AEP alkaline extracellular protease of Y. lipolytica (Enderlin and Ogrydziak, 1994). Loss of kexin activity is also associated with pathogenicity changes in C. albicans and C. glabrata (Bader et al., 2001; Newport et al., 2003). In C. albicans, the SAP aspartic proteases act as pathogenicity/virulence factors (Naglik et al., 2003), so any change in the distribution or activity of these proteases could compromise C. albicans pathogenicity or virulence.

### 1.4.4 Distribution of subtilisin-like proteases in fungal genomes

The distribution of genes encoding subtilisin-like proteases differs between fungal lineages (Table 1.2). The distribution pattem of the protease genes is consistent with a
model where genes have been lost in some lineages, but have diversified in others (Hu and St Leger, 2004). Genes encoding pyrolysin family and proteinase K subfamilies 1 and 2 enzymes were the most commonly duplicated. Multiple vacuolar proteaseencoding genes may be present in yeast lineages, or filamentous ascomycetes may have subsequently lost a vacuolar protease gene copy. The only fungus known to contain duplications of the kexin gene family is Pneumocystis carinii f. sp. carinii, where the kexin gene is part of a duplicated sub-telomeric region (Lugli et al., 1997). Higher numbers of genes encoding subtilisin-like proteases are found in pathogenic fungi, such as the phytopathogens $M$. grisea and $F$. graminearum, compared to saprotrophs such as A. nidulans. Phylogenetic relationships of fungi discussed in this paper are shown in Figure 1.4

Table 1.2 Distribution of subtilisin-like protease encoding genes in fungal genomes

| SPECIES | OTHER | PYROLYSIN (CLASS 1) |  | PROTEINASE K (CLASS 2) |  |  |  | KEXIN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Subfam 1 | Subfam 2 | Subfam 1 | Subfam <br> 2 | Subfam 3 (vacuolar) | Other |  |
| Aspergillus nidulans ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  | - | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
| Magnaporthe grisea ${ }^{1}$ | 1 | 6 | 8 | 2 | 3 | 1 |  | 1 |
| Neurospora crassa ${ }^{1}$ |  | 1 |  | 1 | 2 | 1 |  | 1 |
| Fusarium graminearum ${ }^{1}$ | 5 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Stagonospora nodorum ${ }^{1}$ | 1 | 2 |  | 4 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
| Chaetomium globosum ${ }^{1}$ |  | 1 |  | 3 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
| Metarhizium anisopliae ${ }^{2}$ | ? | 1 | ? | 5 | 4 | 1 | ? | ? |
| Sclerotinia sclerotiorum ${ }^{1}$ |  | 1 |  | - | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
| Botrytis cinerea ${ }^{1}$ |  | 1 |  | - | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
| S.cerevisiae ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  | 1 | - | 2 |  | 1 |
| S. pombe ${ }^{4}$ | 1 |  |  | - | - | 2 |  | 1 |
| Ustilago maydis ${ }^{\dagger}$ | 1 | 1 |  | - | - | 1 |  | 1 |

Gene numbers were derived from analysis of the peptidase S 8 family identified during HMMR analysis of these genomes at http://www.broad.mit.edu/annotation/fgi/
${ }^{2}$ These sequences were derived during analysis of ESTs from $M$. anisopliae grown on cockroach cuticle. The numbers for this organism are shaded in grey because they do not represent a full genomic analysis of genes encoding subtilisin-like proteases. There may be more subtilisin-like protease-encoding genes in the $M$. anisopliae genome.
${ }_{4}$ S. cerevisiae sequences were obtained from http://www. yeastgenome.org
${ }^{4}$ S. pombe sequences were obtained from http://www.genedb.org/genedb/pombe/index.jsp

Phylogenomic analysis by Hu and St Leger (2004) suggests that members of the pyrolysin gene family of $M$. grisea have been duplicated many times. The large number of members of this gene family in the M. grisea genome suggests that these genes offer some benefit in terms of pathogenicity or virulence towards the host. However, the role of these genes in M. grisea remains to be determined. F. graminearum appears to


## Figure 1.4 Phylogenetic relationships of fungal species

Phylogenetic relationships between fungi used for comparisons in this study based on ITS1, 5.8 S rRNA and ITS2. The phylogenetic tree was prepared in the MacVector 7.2.3 program, using Neighbour Joining and bootstrapping (1000 replicates) of sequences aligned using the ClustalW module of MacVector to form the tree. For details of sequences used in this alignment, see Appendix A13.1.
contain duplications of the proteinase K subfamily 2 , which may benefit the fungus in colonisation or virulence towards its host.

### 1.5 ROLE OF PROTEASES IN INTERACTIONS WITH THEIR HOSTS

### 1.5.1 Insect pathogenic fungi

Metarhizium anisopliae var. anisopliae infects a broad range of insect hosts (Freimoser et al., 2005). The fungus enters the insect by using enzymatic degradation and physical forces to break through the cuticle, which consists of approximately $70 \%$ protein and $30 \%$ chitin (Griesch, 1998). A cocktail of hydrolytic enzymes secreted by M. anisopliae, which include subtilisin-like proteases, trypsin-like proteases, carboxypeptidases and chitinases, acts to degrade the insect cuticle (da Silva et al., 2005; Goettel et al., 1989; Joshi and St Leger, 1999; Joshi et al., 1997; St Leger et al., 1994; St Leger et al., 1996b). Proteases produced by M. anisopliae act together with secondary metabolites such as destruxins to suppress the host immune system by suppressing the phagocytic activity, attachment, spreading and cytoskeleton formation of host plasmatocytes (Griesch, 1998).

The hydrolytic enzyme best characterised in host colonisation by M. anisopliae is the subtilisin-like protease $\operatorname{PrlA}$. The surface of the insect cuticle is low in available nitrogen, which triggers appressorium formation. $\operatorname{PrlA}$ in the appressorium breaks down proteins in the cuticle and enables hyphae to penetrate through the cuticle (Goettel et al., 1989). Once hyphae are in the insect haemolymph, a circulatory fluid surrounding the cells of the insect exoskeleton, $\operatorname{Prl} A$ expression is down regulated (Freimoser et al., 2005). When nutrients in the haemolymph are exhausted, PrlA expression is upregulated, with the $\operatorname{Prl}$ A protease degrading the cuticle to allow hyphae to emerge from the insect and conidiate (Small and Bidochka, 2005).

Constitutive expression of $\operatorname{PrlA}$ by $M$. anisopliae increases fungal virulence and host melanisation but decreases host food intake (St Leger et al., 1996c). Prl A protein is not
present in the haemolymph of insects infected with wild type M. anisopliae. In transformants with constitutive prlA expression, the presence of the $\operatorname{PrlA}$ protease in the haemolymph was associated with degradation of haemolymph proteins. Pure PrlA activates a trypsin cascade in the host haemolymph that activates prophenol oxidase, an enzyme involved in melanin production that is an important part of the insect immune response. PrlA over expression also reduces fungal sporulation. As the host dies more quickly when infected with PrlA-over expressing strains, the fungus will have fewer nutrients available to complete sporulation and less time to complete its life cycle.

Expressed sequence tag (EST) analysis identified eleven subtilisin-like protease genes expressed during host infection by M. anisopliae var. anisopliae (Freimoser et al., 2003). Phylogenetic studies confirm the $\operatorname{PrlA}, \operatorname{PrlB}, \operatorname{PrlG}, \operatorname{PrlI}$ and $\operatorname{PrlK}$ genes belong to subfamily 1 of the proteinase K family of subtilisin-like proteases, while $\operatorname{PrlD}, \operatorname{PrlE}$, PrlF and PrlJ belong to subfamily 2 (Hu and St Leger, 2004). The Prl H gene encodes a vacuolar type protease from proteinase K subfamily 3 , while the $\operatorname{PrlC}$ gene encodes a member of the pyrolysin family.

The Prl subtilisin-like protease genes differ in their response to nutrient limitation, different host insect cuticles, insect haemolymph and saprotrophic growth (Freimoser et al., 2005; Wang et al., 2005). The Prl genes are temporally regulated during growth on
 Manduca sexta cuticle, while another subset of genes ( $\operatorname{PrlE}$ and K ) are expressed at later stages. Other genes ( $\operatorname{PrlB}, \mathrm{F}$ and I) are induced at particular time points, suggesting these proteases could act at certain stages to break down particular protein substrates. Expression of genes encoding subtilisin-like proteases in M. anisopliae also differed in response to M. sexta haemolymph. Expression of PrlB, PrlC, PrlF, PrlG, PrlI and $\operatorname{PrlK}$ genes is repressed in response to haemolymph, while expression of the other $\operatorname{Prl}$ genes is unaffected.

Homology modelling suggests different members of the Prl gene family have different substrate specificities. Gron and Breddam (1992) suggested that the S1 and S4 active site pockets determine the substrate specificities of subtilisin-like proteases. Based on
this and sequence comparisons with a closely related subtilisin like protease with known structure (proteinase K from Tritirachium album), differences in amino acid sequence between Prl proteases were identified that could potentially change the substrate specificity and catalytic ability of these enzymes. The differences in substrate specificity may also affect the inhibition of these proteases by protease inhibitors found in the host haemolymph (Frobius et al., 2000; Samuels and Reynolds, 2000).

The Pr2 gene family encodes trypsin-like proteases (St Leger et al., 1996a). Unlike the $\operatorname{Pr} 1$ proteases, the $\operatorname{Pr} 2$ gene(s) are almost inactive against insoluble proteins in the cuticle. However, they are highly active against soluble proteins released from the cuticle by the action of the $\operatorname{Pr} 1$ proteases. The $\operatorname{Pr} 2$ trypsin activity appears to be complementary to Prl enzyme activity in degrading host proteins. In M. anisopliae, trypsin activity is associated with appressoria produced by the fungus to enable penetration of the host during the early stages of colonisation. Like the subtilisinlike proteases, trypsins are up regulated during growth in cuticle (Freimoser et al., 2005). Carboxypeptidase, metalloprotease, chymotrypsin and aspartic protease genes are also expressed during host infection. Like the subtilisin and trypsin-like protease genes, expression of these genes is also up regulated during growth on cuticle (Freimoser et al., 2005).

While the subtilisin-like protease genes and one of the two trypsin-encoding genes are down regulated in the insect haemolymph, the expression of the chymotrypsin, carboxypeptidase, metalloprotease and aspartic protease genes was unchanged (Freimoser et al., 2005). Repressing expression of some protease genes may prolong the life of the fungus within the host by preventing the triggering of the host trypsin cascade activating prophenol oxidase. If these subtilisin-like protease-encoding genes were expressed in the haemolymph, their products could potentially trigger melanisation in the same manner as over expression of $\operatorname{PrlA}$. This would result in premature death of the host, complicating the completion of the fungal life cycle.

### 1.5.2 Trichoderma species

Trichoderma species are saprophytic fungi that grow in the rhizosphere. In some situations, they can act as symbionts of plants and as pathogens towards phytopathogenic fungi and nematodes (Harman et al., 2004; Suarez et al., 2004). Species of Trichoderma positively influence plant growth and induce plant resistance towards some phytopathogenic fungi. During antagonism, Trichoderma spp. hyphae grow towards phytopathogenic fungi (Lu et al., 2004). Trichoderma hyphae form coils around the host fungus, then penetrate the host mycelium after partially degrading the host cell wall (Cortes et al., 1998).

Several varieties of hydrolytic enzymes have been associated with the lytic activity of Trichoderma species towards the cell walls of other fungi. Extracellular enzymes such as $\beta$-1,3-glucanases, $\beta$-1,6-glucanases, chitinases, and proteases act either additively or synergistically together to promote cell wall degradation (De la Cruz et al., 1995; El-Katatny et al., 2001; Suarez et al., 2004). The expression of many of the hydrolytic enzymes appear to be co-ordinately regulated (Geremia et al., 1993; Montero et al., 2005).

Proteases are required to lyse fungal cells (Scott and Schekman, 1980). Proteases may degrade the external protein matrix of the cell wall, allowing access to the glucan and chitin structural polysaccharides below. Three protease families are associated with antagonism by Trichoderma species: subtilisin-like proteases (Prbl from T. harzianum and Tvspl from T. virens), trypsin-like proteases (Pral from T. harzianum) and aspartic proteases (Geremia et al., 1993; Suarez et al., 2004; Viterbo et al., 2004).

Subtilisin-like proteases play roles in antagonism towards soil and phytopathogenic fungi (Flores et al., 1997) and towards nematodes (Sharon et al., 2001). Over expression of prbl or tvspl improves the ability of Trichoderma spp. fungi to acts as biocontrol agents towards phytopathogenic fungi on plants, suggesting both these proteases are involved in mycoparasitism (Flores et al., 1997; Pozo et al., 2004).
T. harzianum coils around nematodes, and can penetrate second-stage juvenile (J2) nematodes and free nematode eggs. Treatment of nematode-infested soils with T. harzianum resulted in a reduction in root galling caused by the root nematode fungus Meloidogyne javanica, and an increase in plant fresh weight (Sharon et al., 2001). T. harzianum strains over expressing prbl (Flores et al., 1997) caused even larger reductions in galling and increases in plant fresh weight, and were even able to penetrate nematode egg masses, unlike wild-type strains.

Trypsin-like proteases produced by $T$. harzianum may be involved in mycoparasitic and nematicidal activity (Suarez et al., 2004). Like Prbl and other lytic enzymes produced by $T$. harzianum, the trypsin-like protease Pral is induced by fungal cell walls and chitin, suggesting that these proteases may play a role in degrading fungal cell walls during the lytic process. Pral is also directly toxic to nematodes, dramatically reducing the hatching rate of nematode eggs.

The aspartic proteases PapA and PapB from T. asperellum are induced in response to hyphal attachment to plant roots, and both papA and papB are expressed in planta (Viterbo et al., 2004). The papA gene is up regulated prior to contact in direct plate confrontation assays with the phytopathogenic fungus Rhizoctonia solani, suggesting this protease may play a role in lysing fungal hyphae. Another aspartic protease, P6821, is induced during growth of T. harzianum on fungal cell walls (Suarez et al., 2005). P6821 is not an orthologue of PapA or PapB, due to its differences in sequence and biochemical properties. P6821 has a similar expression profile to Prbl and Pral, with expression within four hours of growth on cell walls.

### 1.5.3 Nematode pathogenic fungi

### 1.5.3.1 Arthrobotrys oligospora

Arthrobotrys oligospora lives in the rhizosphere, where it forms special structures called traps to capture and parasitize nematodes. The nematode cuticle consists mainly of protein. In culture, A. oligospora produces serine, metallo-, aspartic and cysteine proteases (Tunlid and Jansson, 1991). Hyphal treatment with inhibitors active against
each of these protease classes does not affect hyphal adhesion to the nematode. However, treatment with serine protease and metalloprotease inhibitors does affect nematode immobilisation, suggesting serine and metalloproteases are important in virulence of $A$. oligospora.

Two orthologous protease genes, PII and aozl, which share $97 \%$ identity at the nucleotide level, play a role in this parasitism (Åhman et al., 1996; Zhao et al., 2004). The PII protease was first identified in A. oligospora as an abundant protease found when the fungus was cultured in conditions that induce the formation of fungal traps (Åhman et al., 1996), while Aozl was isolated as the major protease activity in a different $A$. oligospora strain (Zhao et al., 2004). The PII and Aozl subtilisin-like proteases immobilize nematodes and degrade proteinaceous components of the nematode cuticle. The PII gene may also influence trap development. Although PII deletion mutants had reduced proteolytic activity and a lower number of traps than wild type $A$. oligospora, there was little difference in the numbers of trapped nematodes or in the immobilisation of nematodes ( $\AA$ hman et al., 2002). When PII was over expressed, not only were a higher number of traps formed, but a higher percentage of nematodes were immobilised compared to wild type.

Recombinant PII itself is directly toxic to nematodes, as it could immobilise nematodes. Hybridisation results obtained by $\AA$ Ahman et al. (1996) and PCR results obtained by Zhao et al. (2004) suggest more PII-related sequences are present in the $A$. oligospora genome, some of which could partially compensate for the loss of PII activity in strains where the PII gene has been replaced.

### 1.5.3.2 Verticillium chlamydosporium

The nematode pathogen Verticillium chlamydosporium produces a subtilisin-like protease, VCP1, which degrades the protein components of the nematode eggshell. VCP1 hydrolyses proteins in the outer layer of the nematode eggshell to expose the inner chitin layer (Segers et al., 1994), which is degraded by a chitinase that acts synergistically with VCP1 (Tikhonov et al., 2002).

### 1.5.3.3 Paecilomyces lilacinus

P. lilacinus, a fungus pathogenic towards nematode eggs, produces a protease activity (P32) induced by chitin, vitellin and intact eggs of root-knot nematode (Bonants et al., 1995). While immature eggs are very sensitive to the subtilisin-like protease activity, older eggs are more resistant and hatched larvae appear to be unaffected. The purified P32 protease binds to nematode eggs, suggesting the P32 protease may play a role in $P$. lilacinus penetration of the egg. Purified P32 can also degrade vitellin, a component of the nematode egg yolk.

### 1.5.4 Candida albicans

Candida albicans is the most common fungal pathogen of humans (Naglik et al., 2003). Under normal conditions, C. albicans is present in the body as part of the normal microflora; however, if microflora is disturbed or the immune system compromised, systemic infection can occur, leading to disease. Extracellular hydrolytic enzymes such as phospholipase B, lipases and secreted aspartic proteinases (SAPs) produced by C. albicans have been identified as potential virulence factors (Naglik et al., 2003).

Ten SAP encoding genes are present in the genome of C. albicans (Naglik et al., 2004). SAP proteinases may provide nutrients, to facilitate invasion and penetration of the host, and to help C. albicans evade host immune responses. The SAPI to SAP8 genes encode secreted enzymes, while the SAP9 and SAP10 proteins contain glycophosphoinositidol (GPI) anchors that localise SAP9 and SAP10 to the cell membrane.

Members of the SAP gene family are differentially expressed (Staib et al., 2000). SAPl, $S A P 2$ and SAP3 are expressed throughout yeast and hyphal forms of the dimorphic $C$. albicans, and are associated with virulence in superficial infections. Deletion of the $S A P 1, S A P 2$ or SAP3 genes results in attenuated virulence towards the host (Hube et al., 1997). The SAP4, SAP5 and SAP6 gene subfamily are expressed only in hyphal cells closely attached to host cells, and are associated with systemic infections resulting in organ damage in animals. Triple deletions of the SAP4, SAP5 and SAP6 genes resulted in reduced virulence (Sanglard et al., 1997). Single, double and triple mutants lacking
functional SAP6 showed significantly reduced tissue damage compared to wild-type $C$. albicans (Felk et al., 2002; Kretschmar et al., 2002). SAP9 and SAP10 are required for cell surface integrity in the same manner as S. cerevisiae yapsins (Albrecht et al., 2005; Krysan et al., 2005). Deletion of SAP9 and SAP 10 decreased C. albicans adhesion and virulence towards their host.

The SAP aspartic proteases also have different pH optimums and substrate specificities (Naglik et al., 2003). SAP1, SAP2 and SAP3 are most active at lower pH values of 3-5, SAP4, SAP5 and SAP6 have optimal activity at $\mathrm{pH} 5-7$. SAP1, SAP2, SAP3 and SAP6 cleave peptide bonds between larger hydrophobic amino acids. SAP1, SAP2 and SAP6 prefer to cleave after phenylalanine, while SAP3 prefers to cleave after leucine. The SAP9 and SAP10 proteases, which appear to contain GPI anchor sequences, cleave distinct substrates in a similar manner to kexins and yapsins (Albrecht et al., 2005).

### 1.5.5 Dermatophytic fungi

Dermatophytic fungi are the most common agents of superficial mycoses in animals (Weitzman and Summerbell, 1995). These fungi grow between keratinised cells in hair, nails and the stratum corneum, the outermost layer of the epidermis. All of these structures contain keratin, a structural protein found in the skin epidermis, hair, wool, horns, hoofs, nails/claws, beaks, feathers and scales. Secreted protease activity has been associated with virulence of dermatophytic fungi, with a strong correlation observed between high keratinase activity and development of mycosis symptoms (Viani et al., 2001). Families of metalloprotease (Brouta et al., 2002; Jousson et al., 2004a), subtilisinlike protease (Descamps et al., 2002; Jousson et al., 2004b), aminopeptidase and dipeptidylpeptidase (Monod et al., 2005) encoding genes have been identified in dermatophytic fungi.

Five secreted fungalysin-type metalloproteases that form a single gene family are present in each of the dermatophyte species Trichophyton rubrum, Tricophyton mentagrophytes and Microsporum canis (Jousson et al., 2004a). At least two of these metalloprotease genes, MEP2 and MEP3, are expressed during infection of the host (Brouta et al., 2002). Monod et al. (2005) also identified expression of genes encoding
two aminopeptidases and two dipeptidylpeptidases during growth on keratin as the sole carbon and nitrogen source, suggesting the enzymes encoded by these genes may degrade keratin during infection by dermatophytic fungi.

Seven subtilisin-like protease genes were identified in T. rubrum. With the exception of SUB2 (a member of proteinase K subfamily 2), all appear to have arisen by recent gene duplication events. Of these seven genes, $S U B 1, S U B 2$ and $S U B 3$ are expressed during dermatophyte infection. $S U B 3$ is the major polypeptide secreted by fungus in minimal keratin-enriched medium. Activity studies have shown that at least SUB3 and SUB4 are highly active against a keratin substrate (Jousson et al., 2004b). However, the closely related SUB5 protein is not active against either keratin or casein, suggesting this protease has different substrate specificity. The SUB6 protein (previously identified as Tri r 2; (Woodfolk et al., 1998)) induces immediate and delayed skin hypersensitive reactions, as does the SUB3 protein (Descamps et al., 2002)

### 1.5.6 Plant pathogenic fungi

### 1.5.6.1 Magnaporthe grisea

Sequencing of the M. grisea genome revealed many protease-encoding genes (Table 1.2; http://www.broad.mit.edu/annotation/fungi/magnaporthe/). To date, only two subtilisinlike proteases from this organism have been characterised. The Mpl gene, encoding an enzyme of the proteinase K subfamily 1 , is present in M. grisea as a multigene family, as shown by Southern blot analysis. The Mpl gene is expressed during infection of Kentucky bluegrass (Poa pratensis) roots, with immunoblot analysis showing correlation of Mpl levels with the increasing severity of disease symptoms (Sreedhar et al., 1999). However, this result is likely to be due to increased biomass of the phytopathogen within the plant.

The Spml gene encodes a protease that shares significant sequence homology with PspA (Section 1.4.2). Like PspA, the Spml protease is probably localised to the fungal vacuole (Fukiya et al., 2002). In M. grisea, the vacuole is important in fungal pathogenicity as it is involved in the lytic system of the developing appressorium (Weber et al., 2001). The lytic system is involved in degrading lipid droplets in the
vacuole of the appressorium. As vacuolar proteases are involved in degrading autophagosomes in the vacuole, they may play a role in releasing the lipid droplets from autophagosomes in the appressorium.

### 1.5.6.2 Botrytis cinerea

Aspartic proteases have been implicated in the virulence of the necrotrophic B. cinerea towards their plant hosts, with the aspartic protease inhibitor pepstatin drastically reducing infection caused by B. cinerea (Movahedi and Heale, 1990). A gene family of at least five aspartic protease-encoding genes (BcAPs) has been identified in B. cinerea, all of which were expressed in all host tissues studied (ten Have et al., 2004). The BcAPl protein is unusual compared to the other members of the family in that it does not have a signal peptide. Like the C. albicans SAP9 and SAP10 and S. cerevisiae yapsins, BcAP3 and BcAP4 have GPI anchors. None of the BcAP genes is temporally regulated during host infection. Like the SAP proteases, the BcAP proteases differ in expression levels in different host tissues. Consequently, differential expression of members of this gene family may help $B$. cinerea adapt to different host niches.

### 1.5.6.3 Sclerotinia sclerotiorum

S. sclerotiorum is a necrotrophic pathogen that causes tissue maceration and cell death in its host. Polygalacturonase (pectin-degrading) enzymes produced by S. sclerotiorum are associated with the extensive damage caused to the host tissue (Fraissinet-Tachet et al., 1995; Kasza et al., 2004). However, proteases may still play a role in degrading host antifungal, cell wall and membrane proteins released during pathogenesis. Two acid proteases are produced during growth on sunflower cell walls. acpl is an acid nonaspartic protease-encoding gene expressed at low levels during the initial stages of infection (Poussereau et al., 2001a). At later stages of infection, when fungal-induced necrosis begins to spread, the acpl gene is expressed at higher levels. The aspS gene encodes an aspartic protease expressed throughout infection of sunflowers (Poussereau et al., 2001b). The expression of the $a s p S$ gene increases during the stage where mycelia begin to colonise the sunflower's cotyledons. When the cotyledons are completely colonised and degraded, aspS expression decreases.

### 1.5.6.4 Fusarium species

A single subtilisin-like protease gene has been identified in $F$. oxysporum f. sp. lycopersici, a fungus that causes vascular wilt disease in tomatoes (Di Pietro et al., 2001). This subtilisin-like protease gene, prtl, is expressed constitutively at low levels both in culture and in planta. Deletion of the prtl gene did not alter total protease production or alter fungal pathogenicity, virulence or colonisation of the host.

Fusarium solani f . sp. eumartii is a potato pathogen that enters the potato tuber through wounds, and colonises intercellular spaces. This fungus produces a subtilisin-like protease that degrades at least three proteins from intercellular wash fluid (IWF) (Olivieri et al., 2002). Two proteins degraded by the protease were basic chitinase and $\beta$ 1,3 -glucanase, antifungal proteins produced by the potato plant. If the protease degrades the same proteins during potato infection, it would allow $F$. solani f . sp. eumartii to nullify some of the host's natural defences against fungal infections.

A large number of protease-encoding genes are present in the genome of F. graminearum (Section 1.4.4, Table 1.2), although the role of these proteases has not been identified. F. graminearum shows high levels of synteny with Epichloë and Neotyphodium spp. (S. Foster, A. Tanaka and G. Bryan, personal communication) to which it is closely related. This could suggest large numbers of protease genes are also present in the genomes of Epichloë and Neotyphodium spp. fungi.

### 1.5.6.5 Verticillium dahliae

Verticillium dahliae, a fungal pathogen that causes vascular wilt in a wide range of plant species, produces a VTP1 trypsin-like activity (Dobinson et al., 2004). Deletion of the vtpl gene did not change either fungal pathogenicity towards its host or growth in culture. Significant protease activity remained in the $\Delta v t p l$ strain, which may be due to the presence of at least two subtilisin-like protease genes, vspl and $v s p 2$, also identified in $V$. dahliae (Neumann and Dobinson, 2003). Two other proteases encoding a pyrolysin subtilisin-like protease and an aspartic protease have been identified in V. dahliae (Wang et al., 2004b).

### 1.5.6.6 Stagonospora nodorum

S. nodorum, a fungal pathogen of wheat, expresses the trypsin-like protease gene snpl during early stages of hyphal growth on host leaf surface and during leaf penetration (Carlile et al., 2000). The proteolytic action of SNP1 releases hydroxyproline from wheat cell walls. However, deletion of snpl does not affect the pathogenicity of S. nodorum towards its host (Bindschedler et al., 2003). Like the $V$. dahliae $\Delta v t p l$ mutant, the $S$. nodorum $\Delta s n p l$ mutant still maintained significant levels of protease activity, with a residual subtilisin-like protease activity possibly compensating for loss of the trypsin activity.

### 1.5.6.7 Cochliobolus carbonum

Murphy and Walton (1996) detected three different protease activities in C. carbonum, a pathogen of maize. Two of these activities were trypsin-like, while the third was subtilisin-like. Deletion of the $A L P 1$ gene, which encoded both the two trypsin-like protease activities, reduced protease activity by $35-40 \%$. However, the in vitro growth of the $\triangle A L P 1$ strain and its disease phenotype were very similar to wild-type C. carbonum. Again, the subtilisin-like protease activity identified in C. carbonum may have partially compensated for the loss of the $A L P 1$ gene.

### 1.5.6.8 Glomerella cingulata

Glomerella cingulata causes bitter rot disease in pip fruit such as apples and pears. G. cingulata contains a single gene encoding an aspartic protease, not a family of related genes as seen in B. cinerea and C. albicans. The gcsap gene, which encodes the only aspartic protease detected in culture, is expressed by G. cingulata during appressorium formation, but not in germinated conida (Plummer et al., 2004). When the gcsap gene was disrupted, mutant strains were still able to infect undamaged apples. This indicates GcSAP is not required for pathogenicity on apple hosts or for penetration of the apple epidermis. GcSAP was also not required for appressorium formation in vitro. The growth rate of the gcsap disruption strain on defined media containing protein was not affected, suggesting the mutant still had residual protease activity. Subsequent studies showed that GcSAP contained residual serine protease activity.

### 1.5.6.9 Ophiostoma piliferum

O. piliferum is a wood sap-staining fungus that produces proteases that hydrolyse wood proteins. Deletion of the albinl gene, which encodes the major subtilisin-like protease activity from $O$. piliferum, caused significant loss of protease activity that correlated with much slower growth in BSA media, and significantly reduced growth in wood (Hoffman and Breuil, 2004b). This suggests the albinl-encoded subtilisin-like protease activity is important in the ability of $O$. piliferum to acquire nitrogen from wood proteins.

### 1.5.6.10 Epichloë sp. protease

An Epichloë sp. endophyte infecting big bluegrass (Poa ampla) produces a subtilisinlike protease, Atl, which forms up to $2 \%$ of the total protein in infected leaf sheaths (Lindstrom and Belanger, 1994). The Atl-encoding gene is highly expressed in the association between Poa spp. grasses and their Epichloë/Neotyphodium spp. endophytes, but the corresponding gene is expressed at much lower levels in the interaction between Epichloë festucae and Festuca rubra subsp. rubra and the interaction between N. lolii and Lolium perenne. It is unclear whether the difference in $A t 1$ expression is due to higher expression in the Poa spp.-endophyte associations, or if this difference is due to differences in fungal biomass (Reddy et al., 1996). The potential role of the Atl protease during endophyte growth within its host is unclear, but like most hydrolytic enzymes it may play a role in degrading host macromolecules (in this case, proteins) to provide nutrients to support fungal growth.

## $1.6 \beta-1,6-G L U C A N A S E S$

### 1.6.1 $\beta-1,6$-glucans: role in the fungal cell wall

The cell wall is critical to the survival of fungal cells. Fungal cell walls provide structural rigidity, protecting cells from lysis during osmotic stress. The cell wall is also critical in the interaction of the fungus with its environment, with factors affecting fungal adhesion and host recognition often located in the wall. The porosity of the cell wall also determines the access of compounds present in the extemal environment to the
fungal cell. The cell wall also provides a surface matrix for fungal proteins that may be involved in fungal growth or nutrition.

The fungal cell wall is a complex array of biological polymers. Chitin, a fibrous polymer of $N$-acetyl-D-glucosamine, is at the innermost part of the fungal cell wall. Chitin is linked to $\beta-1,3$-linked glucans, which are linked to mannoproteins in the outer cell wall by $\beta$-1,6-glucans (Odds et al., 2003). Mannoproteins may be linked covalently to the wall through glycophosphoinositidyl (GPI) anchors or Pir linkages, or be held at the wall through electrostatic interactions (Kapteyn et al., 1999). As extracellular proteins, cell wall proteins are often glycosylated during passage through the secretory pathway (Conesa et al., 2001).
$\beta-1,6$-glucans act as anchor or branch points within the cell wall. In the yeast $S$. cerevisiae, $\beta-1,6$-glucan has been described as the "glue" that holds all the components of the cell wall together (Kollar et al., 1997). Chitin and $\beta$-1,3-glucan are directly attached to $\beta-1,6$-glucan, while mannoproteins are attached to $\beta$-1,6-glucan through part of a GPI anchor. In filamentous fungi such as Aspergillus niger, Penicillium roqueforti and $F$. oxysporum, $\beta-1,6$-glucans are also implicated in attaching GPIanchored mannoproteins to the $\beta$-1,3-glucan backbone of the cell wall (Brul et al., 1997; Schoffelmeer et al., 1999).

Hydrolytic enzymes may be involved in maintaining wall plasticity or remodelling the wall during development. Selective degradation of cell wall components could permit hyphal branching. Hydrolytic enzymes may also influence wall porosity, thus affecting the interaction of the hyphae with its external environment. As $\beta-1,6$-glucans are implicated as branch points within the wall, the action of enzymes that hydrolyse this compound, such as $\beta-1,3-1,6$-glucanases and $\beta-1,6$-glucanases, may play important roles in wall plasticity and wall porosity.

### 1.6.2 $\beta$-1,6-glucanases: enzymatic activity and roles in pathogenicity

Enzymes with $\beta-1,6$-glucanase activity catalyse the hydrolytic cleavage between two molecules of glucose linked together by a $\beta$-1,6-glucan linkage (Figure 1.5).

Filamentous fungi have at least two different types of enzymes with $\beta-1,6$-glucanase activity. The first group, members of glycosyl hydrolase family 30 , are closely related to glucosylceramidases (Oyama et al., 2002). Little information is available regarding the biological role of these enzymes in fungi. Some fungal species also contain a second endo- $\beta-1,6$-glucanase activity, associated with glycosyl hydrolase family 5 (Lora et al., 1995). $\beta$-1,6-glucanases from this family are related to enzymes with exo- $\beta-1,3-$ glucanase activity.

Three different $\beta-1,6$-glucanase activities have been identified in the mycoparasitic fungus Trichoderma harzianum (de la Cruz and Llobell, 1999; De la Cruz et al., 1995; Montero et al., 2005). All three classes, BGN16.1, BGN16.2 and BGN16.3, are potentially involved in mycoparasitism through their ability to break down $\beta$-1,6-glucan linkages in in the cell wall


While all three activities act as endo- $\beta-1,6$-glucanases, they differ in their substrate specificities towards glucans with mixed $\beta-1,3-\beta-1,6$-linkages. BGN16.1 and BGN16.3 can both degrade yeast glucan ( $\beta-1,3: \beta-1,64: 1$ ) and laminarin ( $\beta-1,3: \beta-1,67: 1$ ).

BGN16.2 can degrade yeast glucan, but not laminarin. The enzymes also differ in their catalytic rate constants for pustulan, which contains only $\beta-1,6$-linkages. BGN16.2 had the highest catalytic rate constant towards pustulan, followed by BGN16.3 and BGN16.1. The proteins also differ in their regulation, with both BGN16.1 and BGN16.2 induced by chitin, but BGN1 6.3 is induced by pustulan and fungal cell walls.

The role of $\beta-1,6$-glucanases in the interaction between a mycoparasite and its host has been characterised in the Verticillium fungicola - Agaricus bisporus interaction (Amey et al., 2003). Disruption of the BGN16.2 homologue of V. fungicola, VfGlu1, reduced virulence of $V$. fungicola towards $A$. bisporus. This reduction was associated with the reduced growth rate of $\Delta V f G l u l$ strains on chitin, suggesting disruption of the VfGlul gene reduces virulence of $V$. fungicola by impairing its ability to degrade components of the fungal cell wall.
$\beta-1,6$-glucanase activity of the glycosyl hydrolase 5 family is present in the interaction between a fungal endophyte and its grass host. The endophyte Neotyphodium sp. FCB2002 produces a $\beta-1,6$-glucanase enzyme that it secretes into the plant apoplast in and on its host, Poa ampla (Moy et al., 2002). As this fungus forms epiphyllous nets on the surface of grass leaves, Moy et al. (2002) suggested this enzyme activity might be involved in niche exclusion. The antifungal activity of the $\beta-1,6$-glucanase could potentially lyse cell walls of competing fungi in co-operation with proteases and chitinases produced by the Neotyphodium endophyte.

### 1.7 FUNCTIONAL CHARACTERISATION OF HYDROLYTIC ENZYMES IN HOST/FUNGAL INTERACTIONS

The function of hydrolytic enzymes in interactions with their hosts have been analysed in various ways. The most common way of determining the function of these enzymes
has been gene replacement, where a selectable marker replaces the gene of interest. While this approach has worked well for analysing the roles of some hydrolytic enzymes in pathogenicity and/or virulence (Amey et al., 2003), the presence of hydrolytic enzyme-encoding genes in gene families can complicate these analyses. Often, this means that single gene replacements do not show a detectable phenotype, while double, triple or quadruple replacements may show a phenotype.
An example of this is the THI5 gene family from S. cerevisiae, which consists of the THI5, THI11, THI12 and THI13 genes (Wightman and Meacock, 2003). The members of the THI5 gene family are functionally redundant in biosynthesis of hydroxymethylpyrimidine (HMP), a precursor of thiamine. Deletion of one, two or three members of the gene family resulted in strains that were prototrophic for thiamine. When all four THI5 family members were disrupted, the resulting strain was auxotrophic for thiamine. This suggested that all four members were involved, but functionally redundant, in HMP (and thus thiamine) biosynthesis.

In filamentous fungi, which are less genetically tractable than the yeast $S$. cerevisiae, deletion of multiple members of the same gene family may prove difficult due to the large numbers of genes involved and the limited availability of suitable selectable markers. For example, in M. anisopliae, M. grisea and F. graminearum, the gene family of subtilisin-like proteases can consist of up to 22 genes (Table 1.2).

Another means used to analyse the function of genes is gene knockdown, or an RNAi strategy. Gene knockdown using a construct driving expression of an antisense RNA can reduce mRNA levels for a gene, generally giving a similar phenotype to a gene replacement. Potentially, the formation of double stranded RNAs produced by the annealing of the antisense RNA to the mRNA could also reduce RNA levels from multiple genes with similar sequences (for an example, see Spiering et al. (2005)). However, in gene families, genes do not necessarily share the high degree of sequence similarity necessary for silencing multiple genes with a single antisense RNA. It may be necessary to again introduce multiple constructs, each with a different selectable marker (as for gene replacements), or make single constructs with expressing multiple antisense RNAs. While this approach may be less time-consuming than constructing and
analysing gene replacements, the limited availability of suitable selectable markers could make this strategy difficult to use in many fungal systems.

Gene function is often demonstrated by complementation in another organism. This mechanism may be useful for showing the function of hydrolytic enzymes with a particular well-known action conserved amongst most fungi, such as kexins and vacuolar subtilisin-like proteases. However, expression of a hydrolytic enzyme-encoding gene in a different organism may not reflect the substrate specificity of the enzyme towards specific components found in the environment. For instance, the $\operatorname{Prl}$ A enzyme of M. anisopliae has a particular substrate specificity favouring the hydrophobic proteinaceous components of the insect cuticle, which it digests much more rapidly than proteinase K from T. album does (St Leger et al., 1992).

Biochemical characterisation is another means of identifying the possible role of a hydrolytic enzyme within its host. These analyses allow the determination of the substrate specificity, subunit arrangement, kinetic parameters and stability of an enzyme, along with cofactors that may be required for enzyme activity. Two approaches can be used to biochemically characterise an enzyme: the enzyme can be purified directly from the organism, or obtained through heterologous expression in another organism. Purification of the enzyme where the organism was originally identified can be time consuming and labour intensive, especially in the case of large multigene families where some enzymes may be produced at low levels. When enzymes are heterologously expressed in another organism, they can be easier to purify, especially if expressed with a tag to facilitate purification such as the His tag. However, enzymes may be abnormally processed or distributed in the new organism compared to their normal cellular background, which could affect the enzyme activity. Addition of a tag for purification may also change some of the characteristics of the enzyme.

Gene over-expression is also a means of examining the function of genes encoding hydrolytic enzymes. This technique circumvents the difficulties of determining gene function in large gene families, because the observed phenotype is not necessarily affected by the presence of other similar genes. Over expression has been used to
successfully determine the function of subtilisin-like protease genes in M. anisopliae, $T$. harzianum and $A$. oligospora in the interactions of these fungi with their hosts (Åhman et al., 2002; Flores et al., 1997; Pozo et al., 2004; St Leger et al., 1996c), despite these fungi containing other related subtilisin-like protease genes.

### 1.8 AIMS OF THIS PROJECT

Hydrolytic enzymes are often important in the interaction between pathogenic fungi and their plant, insect, nematode or fungal hosts. While several hydrolytic enzymes have been identified in the interaction between Epichloë endophytes and their grass hosts, the role of these enzymes in the symbiotic relationship between endophyte and host is unknown. While the function of hydrolytic enzymes in pathogenic fungi has been extensively studied, the function of hydrolytic enzymes in mutualistic associations between fungi and their hosts is poorly understood.

Subtilisin-like proteases have been identified as pathogenicity or virulence factors in several fungi. The first aim of this study was to confirm the presence and determine the identity of subtilisin-like proteases in the genome of the endophytic fungus, E. festucae. The second aim was to examine the role of subtilisin-like proteases in the symbiotic interaction between E. festucae and perennial ryegrass (Lolium perenne cv. Nui). In order to do this, the hypothesis was tested that over expression of fungal subtilisin-like protease genes would alter the interaction of an endophyte with its host grass. Two subtilisin-like genes were overexpressed under the control of the constitutive gpdA (glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase) promoter from A. nidulans or the plantinduced $\operatorname{ltmM}$ (FAD-dependent monooxygenase for lolitrem biosynthesis) promoter from E. festucae Fll. The phenotypes of symbiota containing strains with altered expression of the $p r t l$ and $p r t 2$ genes were examined.

The third aim of this study was to examine the role of a $\beta-1,6$-glucanase in the symbiotic interaction between E. festucae and perennial ryegrass (Lolium perenne $c v$. Nui). The hypothesis was tested that a loss of endophyte $\beta-1,6$-glucanase activity would affect
endophyte growth within the host plant. The gcnl gene encoding a $\beta-1,6$-glucanase enzyme was disrupted, and the phenotype of the $\Delta g c n l$ strain was examined in culture and in planta.

## CHAPTER 2

Materials and methods

### 2.1 BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL

Fungal, bacterial and plant strains, $\lambda$ clones and plasmids used in this study are listed
in Table 2.1.

| 2.1 Biological material |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strains | Relevant Characteristics | References |
| Fungal strains |  |  |
| E. festucae Fl1 | Host grass Festuca longifolia | Christensen et al. (1993) |
| E.festucae Frr1 | Host grass Festuca rubra subspecies rubra | Christensen et al. (1993) |
| E. typhina PN2311 | Host grass Poa ampla | Lindstrom and Belanger (1994) |
| N. Iolii Lp19 | Host grass Lolium perenne | Christensen et al. (1993) |
| N. Iolii AR1 | Host grass L. perenne | - |
| N. Iolii Lp5 | Hostgrass L. perenne | Christensen et al. (1993) |
| MM4.1, MM4.2, MM4.3, MM4.4, MM4.5, MM4.6, MM4.7, MM4.8, MM4.9, MM4.10, MM4.11, MM4.12 | E. festucae FI1/pMM26, $\mathrm{Hyg}^{\text { }}$ | This study |
| MM5.1, MM5.2, MM5.3, MM5.4, MM5.5, MM5.6, MM5.7, MM5.8, MM5.9, MM5.10, MM5.11, MM5.12 | E. festucae FI1/pMM27; $\mathrm{Hyg}^{\text {K }}$ | This study |
| MM8.1, MM8.2, MM8.3, MM8.4, MM8.5, MM8.6, MM8.7, MM8.8 | E. festucae FI1/pMM32; $\mathrm{Hyg}^{\text {R }}$ | This study |
| MM9.1, MM9.2, MM9.3, MM9.4, MM9.5 | E. festucae FI1/pMM33; Hyg ${ }^{\text { }}$ | This study |
| MM18.3 | E. festucae FI1/pAN7-1; Hyg ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | This study |
| MM19.1 | E. festucae FI1 regenerated after protoplasting | This study |
| MM20.1, MM20.3 | E. festucae FI1/5' gen1-hph-3' gcn1; Hyg ${ }^{\text {R }}$, ectopic | This study |
| MM20.2, MM20.15 |  | This study |
| MM22.1, MM22.2, MM22.3, MM22.4, MM22.5, MM22.6, MM22.7, MM22.8, MM22.9, MM22.10, MM22.11, MM22.12 MM22.13, MM22.14 MM22.15, MM22.16 MM22.17, MM22.18, MM22.19, MM22.20 | MM20.15/pl199, pMM44; $\mathrm{Hyg}^{\text {² }} \mathrm{Gen}^{\text {r }}$ | This study |
| Escherichia coli strains |  |  |
| KW251 | F supE44 ga/K ga/T22 metB1 hsdR2 marB1 marA [argA81:Tn10]recD1014 | Promega Corp. |
| XL-1 | supE44 hsdR17 recA1 endA1 gyrA46 thi relA1 lac $^{-} \mathrm{F}^{\prime}$ [proAB ${ }^{+}$lacl ${ }^{9}$ lacZ $\mathrm{IMM}^{\prime} 15$ $\left.\mathrm{Tn} 10\left(\mathrm{Tet}^{2}\right)\right]$ | Bullock et al. (1987) |
| PN1671 | XL-1/PMM2 | This study |


| PN1672 | XL-1/pMM3 | This study |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PN1673 | XL-1/pMM4 | This study |
| PN1674 | XL-1/pMM7 | This study |
| PN1806 | XL-1/pMM26 | This study |
| PN1807 | XL-1/pMM27 | This study |
| PN1821 | XL-1/pMM32 | This study |
| PN1812 | XL-1/pMM33 | This study |
| PN1824 | XL-1/pMM44 | This study |
| PN1825 | XL-1/pMM45 | This study |
| PN1900 | XL-1/pMM46 | This study |
| PN1889 | XL-1/pMM61 | This study |
| PN1890 | XL-1/pMM62 | This study |
| PN1891 | XL-1/pMM65 | This study |
| Plant symbiota |  |  |
| MF-FI1 | Festuca pratensis/E. festucae FI1 | Young (2005) |
| G1056 | L. perenne cv. Nui/N. Iolii Lp19 | Young (2005) |
| G1057 | L. perenne cv. Nui | Young (2005) |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { G1206, G1207, } \\ \text { G1209 } \end{gathered}$ | L. perenne cv. Nui/MM4.2 | This study |
| G1210, G1213 | L. perenne cv. Nui/MM5.2 | This study |
| G1214, G1215 | L. perenne cv. Nui/MM8.1 | This study |
| G1217, G1218 | L. perenne cv. Nui/MM9.1 | This study |
| G1220, G1222 | L. perenne cv. Nui/MM4.3 | This study |
| G1227, G1229 | L. perenne cv. Nui/MM5.6 | This study |
| G1233 | L. perenne cv. Nui/MM8.3 | This study |
| G1245, G1248 | L. perenne cv. Nui/MM9.2 | This study |
| G1250, G1251 | L. perenne cv. Nui/E. festucae Fl1 | This study |
| G1255, G1256 | L. perenne cv. Nui/MM4.5 | This study |
| G1264, G1268 | L. perenne cv. Nui/MM5.7 | This study |
| G1274, G1277 | L. perenne cv. Nui/MM8.4 | This study |
| G1284, G1286 | L. perenne cv. Nui/MM9.3 | This study |
| G1291. G1297 | L. perenne cv. Nui/MM4.9 | This study |
| G1300, G1301 | L. perenne cv. Nui/MM5.9 | This study |
| G1312, G1314 | L. perenne cv. Nui/MM8.5 | This study |
| G1317, G1318 | L. perenne cv. Nui/MM9.4 | This study |
| G1326, G1327 | L. perenne cv. Nui/MM4.10 | This study |
| G1331, 1332 | L. perenne cv. Nui/MM5.11 | This study |
| G1335, G13367 | L. perenne cv. Nui/MM8.8 | This study |
| G1339, G1340 | L. perenne cv. Nui/MM9.5 | This study |
| G1444 | L. perenne cv. Nui/E. typhina PN2311 | This study |
| Plasmids |  |  |
| pUC118 | 3.2 kb Amp ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | Vieira and Messing (1987) |
| pGEM-T Easy | 3.0 kb Amp ${ }^{\text {R }}$ | Promega Corp. |
| pPN1688 | pUC118 +PtrpC-hph fragment from pCB1004 | Young et al. (2005) |
| pAN7-1 | $6.8 \mathrm{~kb} \mathrm{Hyg}^{\mathrm{K}} \mathrm{Amp}^{\mathrm{K}}$ | Punt et al. (1987) |
| pLM1 | 9.2 kb PgpdA-uidA $\mathrm{Hyg}^{\kappa} \mathrm{Amp}^{\text {r }}$ | L. McMillan, unpublished |
| pXZ56 | 8.2 kb PltmM-uidA $\mathrm{Hyg}^{R} \mathrm{Amp}^{\text {K }}$ | X. Zhang, unpublished |
| phGFP2 | sGFP-Tyg-Nos; $\mathrm{Hyg}^{\mathrm{K}} \mathrm{Amp}^{\text {R }}$ | X. Zhang, unpublished |
| pFunGus | 5 kb , uidA Amp ${ }^{\text {® }}$ | McGowan (1996) |
| phFunGus | pFunGus + 1.3 kb HindIII fragment from phGFP2, Hyg $^{R} \mathrm{Amp}^{R}$ | This study |
| pll99 | $n p t / I, A m p^{R}$ | Namiki et al. (2001) |
| pMM2 | pUC19 +3.3 kb EcoRI fragment ex $\lambda$ MM30.4, Amp ${ }^{R}$ | McGill (2000) |
| pMM3 | $\mathrm{pUC} 19+1.8 \mathrm{~kb}$ EcoRI fragment ex $\lambda$ MM30.4, Amp ${ }^{\text {R }}$ | McGill (2000) |
| pMM4 | pUC19 + 1.4 kb EcoRI fragment ex 1MM30.4, Amp ${ }^{R}$ | McGill (2000) |


| pMM7 | pUC19＋ 2.0 kb BamHI fragment ex $\mathrm{AMM}^{\text {a }}$ ．3，Amp ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | McGill（2000） |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| pMM26 | $8.2 \mathrm{~kb} \mathrm{PgpdA-prt2} \mathrm{Hyg}^{\text {² }} \mathrm{Amp}^{\text {K }}$ | This study |
| pMM27 | 7.2 kb PltmM －prt2 $\mathrm{Hyg}^{\mathrm{R}} \mathrm{Amp}^{\text {R }}$ | This study |
| pMM32 | 8.2 kb PgpdA －prt1 Hyg ${ }^{\text {R }}$ Ampr ${ }^{\text {R }}$ | This study |
| pMM33 | 7.3 kb PltmM－prt1 Hyg ${ }^{\text {Ampr }}$ | This study |
| pMM38 | pGEM－T Easy +0.5 kb Lp19 MM75－MM76 PCR | This study |
| pMM44 | pUC118＋4．0 kb BamHI fragment ex 13B2 cosmid | This study |
| pMM45 | pUC118＋ 4.6 kb BamHI fragment ex 13B2 cosmid | This study |
| pMM46 | pGEM T Easy＋MM93－MM94 degenerate PCR product from $E$ ．festucae | This study |
| PMM47 | pUC118＋ 2.6 kb Sall fragment ex 46F6 cosmid | This study |
| pMM48 | pUC118＋4．6 kb Pstl fragment ex 13B2 cosmid | This study |
| PMM49 | pUC118＋4．0 kb Pstl fragment ex 13B2 cosmid | This study |
| pMM51 | pUC118＋4．6 kb Pstl fragment ex 3F7 cosmid | This study |
| pMM52 | pUC118＋ 2.8 kb EcoRI－Sstl fragment from $E$ ． festucae MM96－MM97 PCR product | This study |
| pMM53 | pUC118＋ 2.8 kb Xbal－Hindlll fragment from E．festucae MM98－MM99 PCR product | This study |
| PMM54 | 10.2 kb gcn $1:: \mathrm{hph} \mathrm{Hyg}^{\mathrm{R}} \mathrm{Amp}^{\text {R }}$ | This study |
| pMM61 | pUC118＋ 3.8 kb Hindlll fragment ex 38 H 10 cosmid | This study |
| pMM62 | pUC118＋5．6 kb Xhol fragment ex 1A1 cosmid | This study |
| pMM65 | pUC118 +7.7 kb Sphl fragment ex1D6 cosmid | This study |
| pMM66 | pGEM－T Easy＋MM5－MM8 cDNA product | This study |
| pMM67 | pGEM－T Easy＋MM70－MM25 cDNA product | This study |
| pMM68 | pGEM－T Easy＋MM141－MM192 cDNA product | This study |
| pMM69 | pGEM－T Easy＋MM141－MM192 cDNA product | This study |
| pMM71 | pGEM－T Easy＋MM141－MM192 cDNA product | This study |
| $\lambda$ clones |  |  |
| 入MM30．2 | 入GEM－12 clone from N．lolii Lp19 genomic library | McGill（2000） |
| 入MM30．4 | \GEM－12 clone from N．lolii Lp19 genomic library | McGill（2000） |
| \MM3．3 | \GEM－12 clone from N．lolii Lp19 genomic library | McGill（2000） |
| Cosmids |  |  |
| 1A1 | pMO－cosX clone FI1 genomic DNA cosmid library containing the prt 5 and prt 1 genes | This study |
| 3F7 | pMO－cosX clone F11 genomic DNA cosmid library containing the prt 5 and $p r t l$ genes | This study |
| 13B2 | pMO－cosX clone F11 genomic DNA cosmid library containing the prt2，gcnl，cyc1 and ptn1 genes | This study |
| 32E4 | pMO－cosX clone Fl1 genomic DNA cosmid library containing the prt4 gene | This study |
| 38H10 | pMO－cosX clone FI1 genomic DNA cosmid library containing the prt 4 gene | This study |
| 46F6 | pMO－cosX clone FI1 genomic DNA cosmid library containing the prt 3 and gao1 genes | This study |
| 1 10 | pMO－cosX clone Fl1 genomic DNA cosmid library containing the kex2 gene | This study |

### 2.2 GROWTH OF BACTERIAL AND FUNGAL CULTURES

### 2.2.1 Bacterial cultures

Escherichia coli cultures were grown at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight in LB broth or on LB agar. When E. coli was grown in broth, cultures were incubated with shaking at 150-200 rpm.

### 2.2.2 Fungal cultures

Neotyphodium and Epichloë spp. endophytes were grown at $22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on PD agar or in PD broth with shaking at 150 rpm . Cultures used for DNA or RNA extraction were ground in $500 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of PD broth, and the homogenised mycelia were used to inoculate either 25 or 50 mL of PD broth in a 125 mL flask. Liquid cultures were incubated at $22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with shaking at 150 rpm for 5-14 days. After harvesting, mycelia were washed twice in double-distilled water, then snap frozen in liquid nitrogen.

### 2.3 MEDIA

All media were prepared using distilled water and sterilized by autoclaving at $121^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 15 psi for 15 min before use. Liquid media were cooled to room temperature before inoculation or addition of supplements. Solid media were cooled to $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ before antibiotics were added and plates poured. Uninoculated plates were stored at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

### 2.3.1 Aspergillus complete medium (ACM)

ACM broth contained (per litre): 20 g malt extract (Difco), 10 g mycological peptone and 20 g glucose. To make solid medium, agar was added to ACM broth at $15 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$.

### 2.3.2 Luria-Bertani medium (LB)

LB broth contained (per litre): 10 g tryptone, 5 g yeast extract and 5 g NaCl . The pH was adjusted to between 7 and 7.5 prior to autoclaving. For solid medium, agar or agarose was added to LB broth at $15 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$.

### 2.3.3 Potato dextrose medium (PD)

Potato dextrose broth (PDB) contained (per litre): 24 g dehydrated potato dextrose broth (Difco). The pH was adjusted to 6.5 prior to autoclaving. For solid medium (PD agar), agar was added to PD broth at $15 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$.

### 2.3.4 Pustulan or glucose media

Pustulan medium contained $1 \%$ (w/v) pustulan (Calbiochem), $0.1 \%$ (w/v) yeast extract and $20 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$. Solid media was made by adding Noble agar to $15 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$. Glucose media contained the same reagents except that $1 \%$ pustulan was ecxchanged for $1 \%$ glucose.

### 2.3.5 Regeneration medium (RG)

Regeneration (RG) medium contained (per litre): 24 g dehydrated potato dextrose broth and 273.8 g sucrose. The pH was adjusted to 6.5 prior to autoclaving. To make solid media, agar was added at $15 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ to make agar suitable for plates, or at $8 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ to make an overlay.

### 2.3.6 SOC medium

SOC medium contained 20 g tryptone, 5 g yeast extract, $0.6 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NaCl}, 0.2 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{KCl}$, $0.95 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{MgCl}_{2}, 2.5 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{MgSO}_{4} .7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and 3.6 g glucose per litre of water.

### 2.3.7 TOP agarose medium

TOP agarose contained 10 g tryptone, 5 g NaCl and 8 g agarose 15 per litre of water. The media was cooled to $45-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ after autoclaving, and supplemented with $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4} .7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to a concentration of 10 mM before use.

### 2.3.8 Water agar medium

Water agar contained distilled water (RO water) with agar added to $30 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$.

### 2.3.9 Media additions

Table 2.2 Supplements added to media

| Supplement | Stock concentration | Final concentration |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ampicillin | $100 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ | $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ |
| Geneticin |  | $200 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ |
| Hygromycin | $50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ | $150 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}, 50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ |
| IPTG | $24 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ |  |
| Tetracycline | $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ | $10-15 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ |
| X-Gal (in dimethylformamide) | $20 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ |  |

### 2.4 BUFFERS AND SOLUTIONS

### 2.4.1 Buffers

### 2.4.1.1 Byrd extraction buffer

Byrd extraction buffer contained 150 mM EDTA, 50 mM Tris $\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{pH} 8.0)$ and $1 \%$ (w/v) sodium lauryl sarcosine (SLS).

### 2.4.1.2 20\% PEG solution

$20 \%$ PEG solution contained $20 \%$ PEG 8000 and 2 M NaCl dissolved in SM buffer (Section 2.4.1.3) containing no gelatin.

### 2.4.1.3 SM buffer

SM buffer contained $0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaCl}, 8 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgSO} 4.7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 50 \mathrm{mM}$ Tris- $\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{pH} 7.5)$ and $0.01 \%$ gelatin.

### 2.4.1.4 20x SSPE buffer

20x SSPE buffer contained $3 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaCl}, 200 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaH} \mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and 25 mM $\mathrm{Na}_{2}$ EDTA.

### 2.4.1.5 STE (100/10/1) buffer

STE ( $100 / 10 / 1$ ) buffer contained $100 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{Tris-HCl}(\mathrm{pH} 8.0)$ and 1 mM Na 2 EDTA.

### 2.4.1.6 STET buffer

STET buffer contained $8 \%$ sucrose ( $\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v}$ ), $5 \%$ ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) Triton X-100, $50 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{Na} \mathrm{N}_{2}$ EDTA and 50 mM Tris- HCl ( pH 8.0 ).

### 2.4.1.7 Taha lysis buffer

Taha lysis buffer contained 40 mM of Tris Acetate buffer (Section 2.4.1.10), 20 mM sodium acetate, $1 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{Na} 2_{2}$ EDTA and $1 \%$ SDS.

### 2.4.1.8 TE (10/0.1) buffer

TE (10/0.1) buffer contained 10 mM Tris- $\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{pH} 8.0)$ and 0.1 mM Na EDTA.

### 2.4.1.9 TES buffer

TES buffer contained 100 mM Tris ( pH 8.0 ), 10 mM Na 2 EDTA and $2 \%$ SDS.

### 2.4.1.10 Tris acetate buffer

Tris acetate buffer contained 0.4 M Tris, with acetic acid added to adjust the pH to 7.8.

### 2.4.2 Enzymes

### 2.4.2.1 DNase I

DNase I (Sigma) was prepared at $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ in double-distilled water.

### 2.4.2.2 Lysozyme

Lysozyme was prepared at $50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ in alkaline lysis solution I. (Section 2.5.6.1).

### 2.4.2.3 Proteinase K

Proteinase $K$ (Roche) was prepared at $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ in TES buffer (Section 2.4.1.9).

### 2.4.2.4 RNase A (DNase free)

DNase-free RNase was prepared using RNase A (Sigma) at $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ in doubledistilled water. The solution was heated to $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min , then allowed to cool to room temperature, dispensed into aliquots and stored at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

### 2.4.3 Commonly used stock solutions

## Table 2.3 Stock solutions

| Stock | Concentration | pH |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ammonium acetate | 5 M |  |
| Cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB, Sigma) | $10 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v})$ |  |
| Ethanol | $70 \%, 95 \%, 100 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ |  |
| Ethidium bromide | $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ |  |
| Heparin | 5 mM |  |
| Maltose | $20 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v})$ |  |
| $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4} .7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 1 M |  |
| $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{EDTA}$ | 250 mM | 8.0 |
| PEG solution | $20 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v})$ |  |
| Sodium acetate | 3 M | 7.0 |
| Sodium chloride | $10 \%(\mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{v})$ |  |
| Sodium dodecyl sulphate (SDS) | 50 mM |  |
| Spermidine | 1 M | $7.5,8.0$ |
| Tris- HCl |  |  |

### 2.4.4 Stains

### 2.4.4.1 Aniline Blue stain

Aniline blue stain contained $22 \%$ (w/v) lactic acid, $50 \%$ glycerol and $0.1 \%$ aniline blue.

### 2.4.4.2 Congo Red stain

Congo Red stain contained $0.1 \%$ Congo Red.

### 2.5 DNA ISOLATION AND PURIFICATION

### 2.5.1 Phenol-chloroform purification

Equal volumes of Tris-equilibrated phenol (Invitrogen) and chloroform were added to DNA samples and mixed before centrifugation for 5 min in a microcentrifuge at $16,060 \times \mathrm{g}$. Two volumes of chloroform were added to the aqucous phase of the phenol/chloroform extraction. The tube was mixed before centrifugation for 3 min at $16,060 \times \mathrm{g}$. The DNA in the aqueous phase from the chloroform extraction was precipitated with either ethanol or isopropanol (Section 2.5.2).

### 2.5.2 Precipitation of DNA with ethanol or isopropanol

DNA samples were precipitated by adding $1 / 10$ volume of 3 M sodium acetate (Section 2.4.3) and either 2.5 volumes of $95 \%$ ethanol or 0.6 volumes of isopropanol. Samples were mixed gently and left at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min to 2 h . The DNA was pellcted by centrifugation at $16,060 \times \mathrm{g}$ for 10 min , then washed in $70 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ ethanol. The pellet was left to dry at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 to 30 min before resuspension in an appropriate volume of double-distilled water or 10 mM Tris $\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{pH} 8.0)$.

### 2.5.3 Gel purification

### 2.5.3.1 Freeze-thaw extraction

DNA was recovered from $0.7 \%$ to $2 \%$ Seaplaque agarose gels by the phenol-freezethaw method (Thuring et al., 1975). The agarose was prepared using $1 \times$ TAE (Section 2.8.1.1) and 1 x TAE was also used as the electrophoresis buffer. Gels were viewed under long-wave UV light and fragments of the appropriate size were excised. Each fragment was placed into a 1.5 mL Eppendorf tube, and melted at
$65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a heating block. An equal volume of Tris-equilibrated phenol (Invitrogen) was added before the tube was vortexed and left at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for at least 2 h . The tube was centrifuged for 10 min in a microcentrifuge at $16,060 \times \mathrm{g}$ and the aqueous phase was phenol/chloroform extracted (Section 2.5.1) and ethanol precipitated (Section 2.5.2) to purify the DNA.

### 2.5.3.2 Extraction from agarose using the QiaQuick ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ gel extraction kit (Qiagen)

DNA was recovered from $0.7 \%$ to $2 \%$ Seaplaque agarose gels. The gels were prepared and run, and the fragment of the desired size was excised from the gel as described in Section 2.5.3.1. Three volumes of QG buffer (where the weight of the fragment in mg is equivalent to one volume in mL ) were added to the agarose, and the mixture was incubated at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min . One volume of isopropanol was added to the sample and mixed. To bind DNA, the sample was applied to a QiaQuick ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ spin column and centrifuged at $16,060 \times \mathrm{g}$ for 1 min . The column was washed with 0.75 mL of PE buffer and centrifuged at $16,060 \times \mathrm{g}$ for 1 min . DNA was cluted using $30-50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of elution buffer or double-distilled water.

### 2.5.4 PCR product purification

PCR products were purified using the MinElute ${ }^{T M}$ PCR purification kit (Qiagen). Five volumes of PB buffer were added to the amplified PCR product. The mixture was added to a MinElute ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ column, and DNA was bound during centrifugation at $16,060 \times \mathrm{g}$ for 1 min . The DNA bound to the column was washed with $750 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of PE buffer. DNA was eluted with $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of either EB buffer or double-distilled water.

### 2.5.5 Plasmid DNA isolation

### 2.5.5.1 Rapid boil plasmid isolation

E. coli cells were grown overnight at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in LB broth (Section 2.3.2) supplemented with antibiotic (Section 2.3.9). The cells were pelleted by centrifugation and resuspended in STET buffer (Section 2.4.1.6). Lysozyme (Section 2.4.2.2) was added and the solution was boiled for 40 s . The pellet was removed after centrifugation in a microcentrifuge for 10 min . An equal volume of isopropanol was added to the supernatant, mixed and left at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 to 20 min . The plasmid DNA was
pelleted by centrifugation for 10 min , and washed once with $70 \%$ ethanol. The pellet was left to dry at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for approximately 20 min , and resuspended in $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of double-distilled water. This method is based on that of Holmes and Quigley (1981).

### 2.5.5.2 $\quad$ High Pure ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ plasmid isolation kit (Roche)

E. coli cells containing the plasmid of interest were grown overnight at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in 5 mL of LB broth (Section 2.3.2) supplemented with antibiotic (Section 2.3.9). Half a mL of cells in culture was pelleted by centrifugation for 30 s . The pelleted cells were resuspended in $250 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of cell resuspension buffer (containing RNase). Cells were lysed by the addition of $250 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of lysis buffer, mixed by inversion, then incubated at room temperature for 5 min . The mixture was neutralised by the addition of $350 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of binding buffer, mixed by inversion, and incubated on ice for 5 min . Tubes were centrifuged at $16,060 \times \mathrm{g}$ to pellet cellular debris. The supernatant was placed in a spin filter, and centrifuged at $16,060 \times g$ for 1 min . The filter was washed with 700 $\mu \mathrm{L}$ of wash solution II and centrifugation at $16,060 \times g$ for 1 min . DNA was cluted from the filter with $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of clution buffer and centrifugation at $16,060 \times g$ for 1 min. DNA concentration was measured as described in Section 2.6.

### 2.5.5.3 $\quad$ Quantum ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ plasmid miniprep kit (Bio-Rad)

E. coli cells containing the plasmid of interest were grown overnight at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in 5 mL of LB broth (Section 2.3.2) supplemented with antibiotic (Section 2.3.9). Two to five mL of culture was pelleted by centrifugation for 30 s , and the supernatant was discarded. The pelleted cells were resuspended in cell resuspension buffer. Cell lysis solution was added to the tube, followed by ncutralisation solution. The tube was spun for 5 min , and the supernatant mixed with Quantum preparation matrix. The supernatant was washed through a spin filter, and the matrix washed twice in wash solution. DNA was eluted from the matrix using double-distilled water and the DNA concentration was measured as described in Section 2.6.

### 2.5.5.4 $\quad$ Quantum ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ plasmid midiprep kit (Bio-Rad)

E. coli cells containing the plasmid of interest were grown overnight at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in 50 mL of LB broth (Section 2.3.2) supplemented with antibiotic (Section 2.3.9). Forty mL of culture was pelleted by centrifugation at $3000 \times g$ for 5 min . The pelleted cells were resuspended in 5 mL of cell resuspension buffer. Cells were lysed by adding 5 mL of cell lysis solution, followed by 5 mL of neutralisation solution. The tube was
centrifuged at $7500 \times g$ for 10 min , and the supernatant mixed with 1 mL of Quantum preparation matrix. The tube was then centrifuged at $7500 \times g$ for 2 min . The pelleted matrix was mixed with 10 mL of wash buffer, and centrifuged at $7500 \times$ $g$ for 2 min . At this stage, the pelleted matrix was resuspended in 0.6 mL of wash buffer and transferred to a spin filter, and centrifuged at $16,060 \times g$ for 30 s . The matrix was then washed with 0.5 mL of wash buffer, and centrifuged at $16,060 \times g$ for 2 min . DNA was eluted from the matrix using double-distilled water and the DNA concentration was measured as described in Section 2.6.

### 2.5.6 Alkaline lysis purification of plasmids and cosmids

### 2.5.6.1 Alkaline lysis solutions

### 2.5.6.1.1 Alkaline lysis solution 1

Alkaline lysis solution I contained 50 mM glucose, 25 mM Tris- $\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{pH} 8.0)$ and 10 mM Na 2 EDTA.

### 2.5.6.1.2 Alkaline lysis solution II

Alkaline lysis solution II contained 0.2 N NaOH and $1 \%$ SDS.

### 2.5.6.1.3 Alkaline lysis solution III

Alkaline lysis solution III contained 3 M potassium acetate and 2 M acetic acid.

### 2.5.6.2 Alkaline lysis preparation of plasmid and cosmid DNA for sequencing

E. coli cells containing the plasmid or cosmid of interest were grown overnight at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in 5 mL of LB broth (Section 2.3.2) supplemented with an appropriate antibiotic (Section 2.3.9). Cells were pelleted by centrifugation at $16,060 \times g$ for 1 min , and resuspended in $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of Solution I (Section 2.5.6.1.1). Cells were treated with $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of lysozyme (Section 2.4.2.2) at room temperature for 5 min . In order to lyse the cells, $300 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of alkaline lysis Solution II (Section 2.5.6.1.2) was added, and tubes were incubated on ice for 5 min . To neutralise the solution, $300 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of Solution III (Section 2.5.6.1.3) was added, and the tubes were incubated on ice for a further 5 min . Samples were centrifuged at $16,060 \times g$ for 10 min to pellet cellular debris. The supernatant was treated with $1.6 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of RNase $\left(10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}\right.$; Section 2.4.2.4) at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
for 20 min . The supernatant was chloroform extracted twice, then DNA was precipitated by adding an equal volume of isopropanol. The tubes were centrifuged at $16,060 \times \mathrm{g}$ for 10 min to pellet the DNA, which was then washed with $70 \%$ ethanol. The DNA pellet was resuspended in water, and the DNA concentration was measured as described in Section 2.6.

### 2.5.6.3 Large scale cosmid DNA isolation by alkaline lysis

E. coli cells containing the cosmid of interest were grown overnight at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in 50 mL of LB broth (Section 2.3.2) supplemented with an appropriate antibiotic (Section 2.3.9). Bacterial cells were harvested by centrifugation at 20201 g for 5 min , and resuspended in 1.5 mL of alkaline lysis solution I (Section 2.5.6.1.1). One hundred and fifty $\mu \mathrm{L}$ of lysozyme were added, and the tubes were incubated at room temperature for 5 min . Three mL of Alkaline lysis solution II (Section 2.5.6.1.2) were added, then samples were mixed and incubated on ice for 5 min . To neutralise the mixture, 2.5 mL of alkaline lysis solution III was added, samples were mixed, then incubated on ice for 5 min . Samples were centrifuged at 20201 g for 10 min to remove cellular debris and genomic DNA. Cosmid DNA was precipitated with 8 mL of $95 \%$ cthanol and $600 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of 3 M NaOAc , and incubated at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $10-20 \mathrm{~min}$. To pellet the DNA, samples were centrifuged at 16060 g for 30 min . The pellet was washed with $70 \%$ ethanol during centrifugation at 20201 g for 2 min . The dried pellet was resuspended in $500 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of double-distilled water or 10 mM Tris pH 8.0 .

### 2.5.7 $\lambda$ DNA isolation

### 2.5.7.1 Plating $\lambda$ phage

E. coli strain KW251 was used as a host for phage $\lambda$. Fifty $\mu \mathrm{L}$ of the $\lambda$ population to be screened, diluted to approximately $10^{6}$ plaque forming units (PFU) per plate, was diluted in $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of SM buffer (Section 2.4.1.3). The diluted phage was combined with $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $E$. coli KW251 cells grown overnight in LB broth (Section 2.3.2) supplemented with 10 mM MgSO 4 and $0.2 \%$ (w/v) maltose. The phage-E. coli mixture was incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min . The mixture was combined with 3 mL of supplemented TOP agarose (Section 2.3.7) and overlaid on a LB agarose plate (Section 2.3.2). The plates were incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until confluent lysis of the $E$. coli cells was obtained.

### 2.5.7.2 Isolation of $\lambda$ phage DNA

Phage was plated as described in Section 2.5.7.1. Once confluent lysis had been obtained, 5 mL of SM buffer (Section 2.4.1.3) was added to each plate. The plates were left at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. The lysate was collected and centrifuged at $3,020 \mathrm{~g}$ for 10 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. DNase (Section 2.4.2.1) and RNase (Section 2.4.2.4) were added to the supematant at a concentration of $1 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ each. Reactions were incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min . Five mL of $20 \%$ PEG solution (Section 2.4.1.2) was added and the tubes were left to stand on ice for 1 hour. The phage was pelleted by centrifugation at $7,710 \mathrm{~g}$ for 15 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and resuspended in 0.4 mL of SM buffer, $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $10 \%$ SDS and $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of 250 mM Na 2 EDTA ( pH 8.0 ). The tubes were incubated at $68^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min , then briefly vortexed. An equal volume of phenol was added, vortexed for 10 s , and left to stand at room temperature for 5 min . Samples were vortexed for another 10 s and the aqueous phase was phenol-chloroform extracted (Section 2.5.1) and ethanol precipitated (Section 2.5.2). The DNA was resuspended in $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of double-distilled water and $10 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of RNase (Section 2.4.2.4) was added. The DNA concentration was measured as described in Section 2.6.

### 2.5.8 Fungal and plant genomic DNA isolation

### 2.5.8.1 Isolation of genomic DNA from fungal protoplasts

Fungal protoplasts were prepared as described in Section 2.15.2. Protoplasts were resuspended in 5 mL of Byrd extraction buffer (Section 2.4.1.1), and treated with 50 $\mu \mathrm{L}$ of RNase (Section 2.4.2.4) before being incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $2-5 \mathrm{~min}$. An equal volume ( 5 mL ) of Tris-equilibrated phenol (Invitrogen) was added, and the sample was mixed well. The sample was centrifuged at 11953 g for 15 min . The aqueous phase was transferred to a new tube and 3 mL each of Tris-equilibrated phenol and chloroform were added. The sample was mixed well, then centrifuged at 11953 g for 15 min . The aqueous phase was then extracted with 5 mL of chloroform by centrifugation at 11953 g for 15 min . The aqueous phase from this stage was transferred to a new tube, the precipitated with 0.1 volumes of 3 M sodium acetate $(\mathrm{pH} 7.0)$ and an equal volume of isopropanol. The mixture was incubated at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for at least 2 h . Samples were centrifuged at 11953 g for 30 min to pellet the DNA. The DNA pellet was washed in $70 \%$ ethanol and air dried before being resuspended in 10 mM Tris $\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{pH} 8.0)$. The DNA concentration was measured as described in Section 2.6.

### 2.5.8.2 Isolation of fungal or plant genomic DNA using modified Taha

 methodThis method is based on that of Al-Samarrai and Schmid (2000). Freeze dried mycelia ( 30 mg ) or grass tissue ( 15 mg ) was ground to a powder in liquid nitrogen. The powder was resuspended in $500 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of Taha lysis buffer (Section 2.4.1.7), and mixed by vigourous pipetting that caused the solution to froth. NaCl was added to a final concentration of 1.24 M , and samples were centrifuged at $16,060 \times g$ for 10 min to pellet cellular debris and polysaccharides. The supematant was transferred to a fresh tube and mixed with an equal volume of chloroform by pipetting. Samples were centrifuged at $16,060 \times g$ for 5 min , and the aqueous phase was transferred to a new tube. The chloroform extraction step was repcated twice in total. Ammonium acetate was added to the aqucous phase at a final concentration of 1.2 M . Samples were spun at $16,060 \times g$ for 10 min , and the supematant transferred to a fresh tube. DNA was precipitated with an equal volume of isopropanol, and centrifuged at $16,060 \times \mathrm{g}$ for 15 min . DNA pellets were washed with 1 mL of $70 \%$ ethanol during centrifugation at $16,060 \times g$ for 3 min . The dried pellet was resuspended in $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of double-distilled water for fungal samples, or $25 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ double-distilled water for plant samples. The DNA concentration was measured as described in Section 2.6.

### 2.5.8.3 Isolation of genomic DNA using the plant-fungal method

This method is based on that of Moller et al. (1992). Freeze-dried fungal tissue (50 mg ) was ground to a powder in liquid nitrogen, then resuspended in 10 mL of TES buffer (Section 2.4.1.9). Two mg of proteinase K (Section 2.4.2.3) was added, and samples were incubated at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min to $1 \mathrm{~h} . \mathrm{NaCl}$ and CTAB (Section 2.4.3) were added to final concentrations of 1.4 M and $1 \%$ respectively. Samples were incubated at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min to allow polysaccharides to precipitate in the presence of CTAB and the high salt concentration. The sample was then mixed with an equal volume of chloroform and incubated on ice for 30 min . Samples were centrifuged at 11953 g for 10 min , and the aqueous phase transferred to a new tube. $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Ac}$ was added to the aqueous phase to a final concentration of 1.2 M . Samples were incubated on ice for 30 min , then centrifuged at 11953 g for 10 min . The supematant was transferred to a new tube, and DNA precipitated with 0.6 volumes of isopropanol. Samples were mixed and left of ice for 15 to 30 min . DNA was pelleted by centrifugation at 11953 g for 10 min . The pellet was washed twice in $70 \%$
ethanol, then air dried. The DNA pellet was resuspended in double-distilled water or 10 mM Tris pH 8.0. The DNA concentration was measured as described in Section 2.6 .

### 2.5.8.4 Isolation of fungal genomic DNA using modified Byrd method

 Fungal cultures were grown as described in PD broth that was not pH adjusted. Mycelia was harvested by filtration through nappy liner, washed in sterile doubledistilled water and blotted dry. Approximately 0.3 g of mycelia was ground to a powder in liquid nitrogen, then resuspended in $800 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of Byrd extraction buffer (Section 2.4.1.1). Proteinase K (Roche) was added to a concentration of $2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$, and the sample was incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 min . The samples were centrifuged at $16,060 \times g$ for 10 min to pellet cellular debris. The supernatant was phenolchloroform extracted three times with half volumes each of both phenol and chloroform, then chloroform extracted once (Section 2.5.1). DNA was precipitated with an equal volume of isopropanol (Section 2.5.2). DNA was pelleted by centrifugation at $16,060 \times g$ for 10 min . The DNA pellet was washed in $70 \%$ ethanol, then airdried at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The DNA was resuspended in approximately $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of sterile double-distilled water.
### 2.6 DNA QUANTIFICATION

### 2.6.1 Fluorometric quantitation with Hoescht dye

### 2.6.1.1 Solutions for fluorometric quantitation

### 2.6.1.1.1 Hoescht dye solution

Hoescht dye solution contained $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ of Hoechst 33258 dye (Sigma).

### 2.6.1.1.2 $10 \times$ TNE buffer

TNE buffer ( $10 \times$ ) contained 0.1 M Tris base, $10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{Na}_{2}$ EDTA and 1 M NaCl .

### 2.6.1.1.3 Calf thymus DNA stock

For low concentration assays, calf thymus DNA was resuspended at $100 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{L}$ in $1 \times$ TNE buffer (Section 2.6.1.1.2). For high concentration assays, calf thymus DNA was resuspended at $1000 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{L}$ in $1 \times \mathrm{TNE}$ buffer.

### 2.6.1.1.4 Assay solution $\mathbf{A}$ (for low range assays)

Assay solution A used for low range assays (i.e. concentrations of $10-500 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{L}$ ) contained $1 \times$ TNE buffer (Section 2.6.1.1.2) and $0.01 \%$ Hoescht dye solution (Section 2.6.1.1.1).

### 2.6.1.1.5 Assay solution $B$ (for high range assays)

Assay solution B used for high range assays (i.e. concentrations of $100-5000 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{L}$ ) contained $1 \times$ TNE buffer (Section 2.6.1.1.2) and $0.1 \%$ Hoescht dye solution (Section 2.6.1.1.1).

### 2.6.1.2 Quantitation using the fluorometer

### 2.6.1.2.1 Low concentration assays of DNA concentration

To measure lower DNA concentrations, the fluorometer was blanked against solution A (Section 2.6.1.1.4). To calibrate the instrument, calf thymus DNA ( $100 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{L}$ ) (Section 2.6.1.1.3) was diluted 1000 fold in assay solution A, and the fluorometer was calibrated to $100 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$. To measure DNA concentrations in other samples, the sample was diluted 1000 fold in assay solution A, and measured on the fluorometer, which showed the concentration of the sample in $\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$. Allowing for the 1000 fold dilution of the sample for quantitation, the concentration of DNA in the sample was then determincd in $\mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{L}$.

### 2.6.1.2.2 High concentration assays of DNA concentration

To measure higher DNA concentrations, the fluorometer was blanked against solution B (Section 2.6.1.1.5). To calibrate the instrument, calf thymus DNA (1000 $\mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{L}$ ) (Section 2.6.1.1.3) was diluted 1000 fold in assay solution B, and the fluorometer was calibrated to $1000 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$. To measure DNA concentrations in other samples, the sample was diluted 1000 fold in assay solution B, and measured on the fluorometer, which showed the concentration of the sample in ng/mL. Allowing for the 1000 fold dilution of the sample for quantitation, the concentration of DNA in the sample was then determined in $\mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{L}$.

### 2.6.2 Quantitation by ethidium bromide staining

A sample of the DNA solution was separated by agarose gel electrophoresis (Section 2.8.2) with a series of standard DNA solutions. This method was used for plasmid DNA and $\lambda$ DNA. Smaller DNA fragments were quantitated against a low DNA mass ladder (Invitrogen) that consisted of a ladder of fragments, each of a different known concentration. Once the SDS loading dye front had migrated at least twothirds of the way down the gel, the gel was stained with ethidium bromide and then photographed (Section 2.8.3). The intensity of ethidium bromide staining from the unknown DNA sample was compared to that of the known standards.

### 2.7 RESTRICTION ENDONUCLEASE DIGESTION OF DNA

Restriction digests were carried out using the manufacturer's recommended buffer supplied the restriction endonuclease. An excess of enzyme was used to cut the DNA. Digestions of plasmid and $\lambda$ DNA were performed at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h and stored at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until an aliquot was checked by agarose electrophoresis (Section 2.8). Digestions of cosmid and genomic DNA were performed in the presence of 0.1 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ BSA, and incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $3-12 \mathrm{~h}$.

### 2.8 AGAROSE GEL ELECTROPHORESIS

### 2.8.1 Agarose gel electrophoresis solutions

### 2.8.1.1 $1 \times$ TAE electrophoresis buffer

$1 \times$ TAE buffer contained 40 mM Tris-acetate $(\mathrm{pH} 8.5)$ and 2 mM Na 2 EDTA.

### 2.8.1.2 $1 \times$ TBE electrophoresis buffer

$1 \times$ TBE buffer contained 89 mM Tris ( pH 8.2 ), 89 mM boric acid and 2.5 mM $\mathrm{Na}_{2}$ EDTA.

### 2.8.1.3 SDS loading dye

SDS loading dye contained $1 \%$ (w/v) SDS, $0.02 \%$ (w/v) bromophenol blue, $20 \%$ ( $\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v}$ ) sucrose and 5 mM Na 2 EDTA ( pH 8.0 ).

### 2.8.1.4 Ethidium bromide staining solution

Ethidium bromide staining solution contained $1 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ethidium bromide dissolved in double-distilled water.

### 2.8.2 Agarose gel electrophoresis

Agarose electrophoresis was performed either in a minigel apparatus for 1-2 h, or in a Bio-Rad Sub-Cell apparatus for several $h$ to overnight. Agarose gels were made of agarose (Roche) or Seaplaque agarose (FMC) at either $0.7 \%, 1 \%, 1.2 \%, 1.5 \%$ or $2 \%$ $(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ in either $1 \times$ TAE (Section 2.8.1.1) or $1 \times$ TBE buffer (Section 2.8.1.2). Agarose was melted in either a pressure cooker or microwave and allowed to equilibrate to $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ before the gel was poured. SDS loading dye (Section 2.8.1.3) was added to samples before loading. Electrophoresis was performed at $80-120 \mathrm{~V}$ for minigels and Bio-Rad subcell short runs, or at $30-40 \mathrm{~V}$ overnight in the Bio-Rad subcell.

### 2.8.3 Staining and photographing gels

Once the loading dye had moved at least half way down the gel, it was stained with ethidium bromide (Section 2.8.1.4) before destaining in double-distilled water. Bands on the gel were visualised using an UV transilluminator and photographed using either an gel documentation system from Alpha Innotech or Bio-Rad.

### 2.8.4 Sizing DNA bands

DNA fragment sizes were determined after electrophoresis by using Alpha Innotech gel documentation system software or through a semi-logarithmic graph of mobility (distance travelled in cm ) vs. fragment size (in kb ). The program compares the distance travelled by molecular markers of known size, such as the $\lambda /$ HindIII ladder, with fragments of unknown size.

### 2.9 SOUTHERN BLOTTING

### 2.9.1 Southern blotting solutions

### 2.9.1.1 Solution 1

Solution 1 contained 0.25 M HCl .

### 2.9.1.2 Solution 2

Solution 2 contained 0.5 M NaOH and 0.5 M NaCl .

### 2.9.1.3 Solution 3

Solution 3 contained 2.0 M NaCl and $0.5 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Tris-HCl}(\mathrm{pH} 7.4)$.

### 2.9.1.4 $20 \times$ SSC

$20 \times \mathrm{SSC}$ contained 5 M NaCl and 0.3 M sodium citrate.

### 2.9.1.5 $2 \times$ SSC

$2 \times \mathrm{SSC}$ contained 0.5 M NaCl and 0.03 M sodium citrate.

### 2.9.1.6 $10 \times$ Denhardt's Solution

$10 \times$ Denhardt's solution contained (per litre): 50 mL of 1 M Hepes (Sigma) ( pH 7.0 ), $150 \mathrm{~mL} 20 \times$ SSC, 18 mg phenol-extracted herring sperm DNA (Sigma), 1.0 g SDS, 20 mg Escherichia coli tRNA, 2 g Ficoll (Sigma), 2 g bovine serum albumin (Sigma) and 2 g polyvinylpyrrolidone (Sigma PVP-10).

### 2.9.1.7 Library hybridisation solution

Library hybridisation solution contained $360 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{Na} 2 \mathrm{HPO}_{4}, 50 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaH} 2 \mathrm{PO}_{4}, 7 \%$ SDS (w/v), $1 \%$ BSA (w/v) and 1 mM EDTA pH 8.0.

### 2.9.1.8 Alkaline stripping solution

Alkaline stripping solution contained $0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOH}, 10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{Na} 2$ EDTA pH 8.0 and $0.1 \%$ SDS (w/v).

### 2.9.2 Southern (capillary) blotting

Overnight gel electrophoresis was used to separate the DNA to be transferred to the membrane, and the gel was stained, visualised and photographed as described in Section 2.8.3. The gel was placed in a tray containing Solution 1 (Section 2.9.1.1)
and gently agitated for 15 min . The solution was poured off and replaced with Solution 2 (Section 2.9.1.2) and gently agitated for 30 min . This solution was replaced with Solution 3 (Section 2.9.1.3) and agitated for 30 to 60 min . The gel was then washed for 2 min in 2 x SSC (Section 2.9.1.5).

Before the blotting apparatus was set up, a plastic trough with wells at both ends was prepared so two sheets of Whatman 3 MM chromatography paper soaked in $20 \times$ SSC (Section 2.9.1.4) protruded into the wells, which were then filled with $20 \times$ SSC. Plastic wrap was placed over the trough and pressed flat. A grid 2 mm smaller than the gel size was removed from the plastic wrap and the treated gel was placed so it overlapped the edges of the grid. A piece of positively charged nylon membrane (Roche) was cut to 2 mm greater than the gel size, pre-soaked in $2 \times$ SSC (Section 2.9.1.5) and laid on the gel. Four sheets of Whatman 3 MM chromatography paper were cut to 2 mm less than the gel size. Two of these sheets were pre-soaked in $2 \times$ SSC and then laid on the membrane, followed by the other two dry sheets. A pile of paper towels was placed at the top of the blotting apparatus and weighed down. The apparatus was left to blot overnight. On the next day, the blot apparatus was disassembled and the DNA was crosslinked to the membrane using $120,000 \mu \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ of energy in an ultraviolet crosslinker (Ultra-Lum, Claremont, CA, USA).

### 2.9.3 Radiolabelling of DNA probes

DNA to be labelled ( 30 ng ) was diluted to an $11 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ volume, denatured by boiling for 3 min , then placed immediately on ice to cool. Four $\mu \mathrm{L}$ of High Prime solution (Roche) and $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of [ $\left.\alpha^{32} \mathrm{P}\right]-\mathrm{dCTP}$ ( $3000 \mathrm{Ci} / \mathrm{mmol}$, Amersham) was added. Reactions were incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $30 \mathrm{~min}-1$ hour before the reaction was stopped by adding $30 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of STE buffer (Section 2.4.1.5) or $10 \times$ TNE buffer (Section 2.6.1.1.2). Unincorporated nucleotides were removed from the mixture using a Sephadex G-50 column (ProbeQuant). The vortexed column was prespun at 735 g for 1 min to remove the void volume from the column. The probe sample was applied to the column, and the column was spun for 2 min at 735 g . Before use, the purificd probe was boiled for 3 min to denature the probe, then left on ice to cool.

### 2.9.4 Hybridisation of radiolabelled DNA probes

A Southerm blot (Section 2.9.2) membrane was prehybridised for at least 2 h in $10 \times$ Denhardt's solution (Section 2.9.1.6) at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The denatured [ $\alpha^{32} \mathrm{P}$ ]-dCTP labelled probe (Section 2.10.3) was added and left to hybridise at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ovemight. Following removal from the tube, the blot was washed with $2 \times \mathrm{SSC}, 0.1 \%$ SDS at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for at least 15 min . Two subsequent washes of 15 min were performed with either the $2 \times$ SSC, $0.1 \%$ SDS wash solution or more stringent wash solutions ( $1 \times$ SSC, $0.1 \%$ SDS or $0.5 \times \mathrm{SSC}, 0.1 \%$ SDS).

### 2.9.5 Autoradiography

The hybridised blot was wrapped in plastic and exposed to a sheet of Fuji Medical Xray film in the presence of a Cronex intensifying screen in an X-ray cassette at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for an appropriate period of time, which varied according to the incorporated radioactivity in the probe and the type of DNA on the blot. The film was developed using a 100 Plus Automatic X-ray film processor (All-Pro Imaging Group) using 100 Plus developer and fixative solutions.

If the blot was to be visualised using a phosphoimager, the hybridised blot was incubated overnight at room temperature with the imaging plate (IP). The imaging plate was read using the Fujifilm FLA-5000 scanner with Image Reader FLA-5000 v2.1 software. The Profile/MW mode of Science Lab 2001 Image Gauge v4.0 software was used to assess signal intensity.

### 2.9.6 Stripping of Southern blots

Southerm blots were stripped either by the use of boiling sodium dodecyl sulphate (SDS) or alkaline hydrolysis. For gels stripped by boiling SDS, a solution of boiling $0.1 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v})$ SDS was poured over the hybridised membrane and gently agitated until the solution had cooled to room temperature. This process was repeated several times to ensure all probe DNA was removed from the filter. Alkaline stripping of blots was performed using washing the filter twice in alkaline stripping solution (Section 2.9.1.8) for 10 min with shaking. The filter was then washed twice in 5 x SSPE
(prepared by 4 fold dilution of 20x SSPE described in Section 2.4.1.4) for 10 min with shaking.

For both methods, the stripped membranes were checked by autoradiography (Section 2.9.5) to ensure no signal from the probe remained. If stripping was incomplete, the stripping process was repeated.

### 2.10 LIBRARY SCREENING

An E. festucae Fll genomic DNA cosmid library was prepared as described previously (Tanaka et al., 2005). DNA from a selection of clones from this cosmid library were arrayed onto a membrane filter at a six-by-six density with double offset by the Australian Genome Research Facility (AGRF), Melboume, Australia. The library was prehybridised in library hybridisation solution (Section 2.9.1.7) at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for a minimum of 2 h . Denatured radiolabelled probe prepared as described in Section 2.9 .3 was hybridised to the library filter at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ovemight. The filter was rinsed with $2 \times$ SSC, $0.1 \%$ SDS wash buffer, then incubated with the same wash buffer for 30 min at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Successive washes were performed with increased stringency using $1 \times$ SSC, $0.1 \%$ SDS buffer then $0.1 \times$ SSC, $0.1 \%$ SDS buffer each at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min . Autoradiography was performed as described in Section 2.9.5. Filters were stripped by alkaline lysis as described in Section 2.9.6. After library screening was complete, bacterial clones containing the cosmid of interest were isolated by streaking for single colonies from the bacterial stocks stored in 96 well plates at $-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Cosmid DNA was isolated from bacterial strains as described in Section 2.5.6.2.

### 2.11 DNA SEQUENCING

DNA sequencing was performed using BigDye ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ fluorescent dye-labelled terminators based on the didcoxy chain termination method (Sanger et al., 1977) by the Allan Wilson Centre Genome Service at Massey University, Palmerston North. Primers used for sequencing were synthesised by Invitrogen or Sigma Genosys. DNA intended for sequencing was purified either by the use of a commericial plasmid kit (as described in Section 2.5.5), through $\lambda$ DNA isolation as described in

Section 2.5.7.2, or through alkaline lysis/PEG precipitation (as described in Section 2.5.6.2). Products were labelled using the BigDye ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ terminator Version 3.1 Ready Reaction cycle sequencing kit (Applied Biosystems). For sequencing of plasmids, 300 ng of DNA was mixed with 3.2 pmol of primer in a volume of $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ reaction. For $\lambda$ and cosmid sequencing, 500 ng of DNA was mixed with 5 pmol of primer in a $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ reaction. For PCR products, $2 \mathrm{ng} / 100 \mathrm{bp}$ of PCR product was mixed with 3.2 pmol of primer in a volume of $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$. For detection, fluorescently labelled sequencing reactions were run on the capillary ABI3730 Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems). DNA sequence was analysed as described in Section 2.21.

### 2.12 DNA LIGATION

### 2.12.1 CAP treatment of vector DNA

Approximately $5 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of vector DNA was digested with an excess of restriction enzyme. Where possible, the restriction enzyme was inactivated by heat. A small DNA sample was removed as a pre-treatment control. Half a unit of calf intestine alkaline phosphatase (CAP, Roche) and $1 / 10$ volume of $10 \times$ phosphorylation buffer were added and the reaction was incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min . At this stage, the samples were purified using one of two different means.

The first purification method used proteinase K to remove residual CAP activity, followed by phenol-chloroform extraction and ethanol precipitation. $\mathrm{Na}_{2}$ EDTA and SDS were added to concentrations of 5 mM and $0.5 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v})$ respectively. Proteinase K (Section 2.4.2.3) was added to a final concentration of $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ and the reaction mixture was incubated at $56^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min . The reaction mix was phenol-chloroform extracted (Section 2.5.1) and ethanol precipitated (Section 2.5.2). The second purification method used QiaQuick gel extraction kit (Qiagen, Section 2.5.3.2) to purify the reactions. After purification, control ligations were performed using before and after ligation samples of vector from pre-CAP treatment and after CAP treatments to determine the degree of vector self-ligation.

### 2.12.2 DNA ligation

Ligations were perforned in two different ways. The first way involved ligation mixtures that contained $1 \times$ T4 DNA ligation buffer (diluted from $10 x$ stock, NE Biolabs), $10-20 \mathrm{ng}$ of plasmid DNA, insert DNA (if appropriate) and 160 U of T4 DNA ligase (NE Biolabs). The second method involved using I $\times$ T4 DNA ligase buffer (diluted from $2 \times$ or $10 \times$ stocks, Promega), 10 ng of plasmid DNA, insert DNA (where appropriate) and 3 Weiss units of T4 DNA ligase (Promega).

Ligation of PCR products into the pGEM-T Easy ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ vector (Promega) was performed using the pGEM-T Easy kit (Promega). The $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ reaction mix contained 10 to 50 ng PCR products, 25 ng of the pGEM-T Easy vector, $1 \times$ ligation buffer supplied with the kit and 3 Weiss units of T4 DNA ligase (Promega). Reactions were incubated at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight.

For three or four-way ligations, 1:1:1or 1:1:1:1 molar ratios of vector to insert fragments were used to promote ligation. Three and four way ligations were performed in reaction mixtures containing $1 \times T 4$ DNA ligation buffer (diluted from 10x stock, NE Biolabs), 10-20 ng of plasmid DNA, equimolar amounts of each insert DNA fragment and 160 U of T4 DNA ligase ( $400 \mathrm{U} / \mu \mathrm{L}$, NE Biolabs).

### 2.12.3 Shot gun cloning of $\lambda$ and cosmid DNA fragments

In order to perform "shotgun" cloning of DNA fragments, $\lambda$ or cosmid DNA was digested with the appropriate restriction endonuclease. The products of this digestion were purified by phenol-chloroform extraction (Section 2.5.1) and ethanol precipitation (Section 2.5.2). The resulting DNA was ligated into a vector cut with the same restriction enzyme (or an enzyme that produces compatible ends), using standard ligation protocols as described in Section 2.12.2. The resulting colonies were screened by blue-white selection, restriction endonuclease digestion of resulting vectors or colony PCR screening (Section 2.14.3.3).

### 2.13 VECTOR CONSTRUCTION

### 2.13.1 Construction of vectors to give heterologous prt1 or prt2 expression

### 2.13.1.1 Construction of the phFunGus vector

The phFunGus vector (Figure 2.1) was constructed by cloning an NcoI-free hph fragment (from the vector phGFP2) into the HindIII site of the pFunGus vector (Appendix Al.1.1; McGowan, 1996). The phFunGus vector was used as a backbone for vectors created to transform E. festucae Fll with heterologous copies of prtl or prt2.

### 2.13.1.2 Construction of vectors to give heterologous prt1 expression

The pMM32 and pMM33 vectors direct expression of E. festucae Fl1 prtl under the control of the $A$. nidulans gpdA or E. festucae Fll ltmM genes respectively. Two independent PCR amplifications were used to amplify the E. festucae Fll prtl coding region (Figure 2.2). The 5 ' region of the prtl gene (amplified with the MM63NcoMM74 primers) was digested with NcoI and SalI, while the 3' region of the prtl gene (amplified with the MM1-MM67Pst primers) was digested with SalI and PstI. The NcoI/SalI and Sall/PstI digested fragments were purified by PCR product purification as described in Section 2.5 . 4 before being used in ligations.

The pMM32 vector contained the E. festucae Fll prtl coding region fused to the promoter and 5' untranslated region (UTR) of the $A$. nidulans gpdA gene at the translation start codon. The vector backbone was a 4.2 kb EcoRI/PstI fragment from phFunGus (Figure 2.1) containing the hph gene, which confers hygromycin resistance. The $g p d A$ promoter and $5^{\prime}$ UTR were derived from the pLM1 vector (Appendix 1) as a 2.3 kb EcoRI/NcoI fragment. The phFunGus EcoRI/PstI and pLMl EcoRI/NcoI fragments were gel purified before use (Section 2.5.3.2). The pMM32 vector was constructed by means of a four way ligation, ligating the phFunGus EcoRI/PstI, pLM1 EcoRI/NcoI, and prtl NcoI/SalI and SalI/PstI fragments together in a single reaction. PCR screening was performed to ensure that pMM32 contained the fragments in the expected order. The prtl fragments in pMM32 were sequenced to check for PCR misincorporation errors in the prtl coding sequence.


Figure 2.1 Construction of the phFunGus vector


#### Abstract

A schematic showing how the phFunGus vector was constructed. (A) The phFunGus vector was created by ligation of a 1.3 kb Hindlll fragment from the phGFP2 vector into the Hindlll site of the pFunGus vector. Restriction sites are designated as follows: BamHI (B), EcdRI (E), HindIII (H), Ncol (N) and Pstl (P). (B) The phFunGus vector was digested with EcoRI/PstI or BamHIIPstl to create the backbone of the prt1 or prt2 heterologous expression vectors. The 4.2 kb EcoRI/Pstl or BamHI/Pstl fragments were gel purified before use in DNA ligations.


The pMM33 vector contained the E. festucae Fll prtl coding region fused to the promoter and 5' untranslated region (UTR) of the E. festucae Fll ltmM gene at the translation start codon. The vector backbone was a $4.2 \mathrm{~kb} \mathrm{BamHI} / P s t I$ fragment from phFunGus (Figure 2.1) containing the hph gene, which confers hygromycin resistance. The $\operatorname{ltmM}$ promoter and 5' UTR were derived from pXZ56 (Appendix A1.1.7) as a 1.3 kb BamHI/NcoI fragment. The phFunGus BamHI/Pstl and pXZ56 BamHI/NcoI fragments were gel purified before use (Section 2.5.3.2). The pMM33 vector was constructed by means of a four way ligation, ligating the phFunGus

BamHI/PstI, pXZ56 BamHI/NcoI, and prtl NcoI/SalI and SalI/PstI fragments together in a single reaction. PCR screening was used to ensure that pMM33 contained the fragments in the expected order. The prtl fragments in pMM33 were sequenced to check for PCR misincorporation errors in the prtl coding sequence.

### 2.13.2 Construction of vectors to give heterologous prt2 expression

The pMM26 and pMM27 vectors direct expression of E. festucae Fll prt2 under the control of the $A$. nidulans gpdA or E. festucae Fll ltmM promoters respectively. The E. festucae Fll prt 2 coding region was amplified from E. festucae Fll genomic DNA using the MM65Rca and MM67Pst primers (Figure 2.3A and B). The resulting PCR product was digested with RcaI and PstI. Restriction digestion by the RcaI enzyme (recognition site $\mathrm{T} \downarrow \mathrm{CATGA}$ ) produces overhanging ends that are cohesive to those produced by NcoI (recognition site C $\downarrow$ CATGG).

The pMM26 vcctor contained the E. festucae Fll prt2 coding region was fused to the promoter and $5^{\prime}$ untranslated region (UTR) of the $A$. nidulans $g p d A$ gene at the position of the translation start codon. The backbone of the vector was a 4.2 kb EcoRI/PstI fragment from phFunGus (Figure 2.1) containing the hph gene, which confers hygromycin resistance. The gpdA promoter and 5' UTR were derived from the pLMl vector (Appendix 1) as a 2.3 kb EcoRI/NcoI fragment. The phFunGus EcoRI/PstI and pLM1 EcoRI/NcoI fragments were gel purified before use (Section2.5.3.2). The pMM26 vector was constructed by means of a three way ligation, ligating the phFunGus EcoRI/PstI, pLMl EcoRI/NcoI, and prt2 RcaI/PstI fragments together in a single reaction. The expected fragment order was confirmed by PCR screening. pMM26 was sequenced to check for PCR misincorporation errors in the prt2 coding sequence.

The pMM27 vector contained the E.festucae Fll prtl coding region was fused to the promoter and 5' untranslated region (UTR) of the E. festucae Fll ltmM gene at the position of the translation start codon. The backbone of the vector was a 4.2 kb BamHI/PstI fragment from phFunGus (Figure 2.1) containing the hph gene, which confers hygromycin resistance. The ltmM promoter and 5' UTR were derived from the pXZ56 vector (Appendix 1) as a 1.3 kb BamHI/NcoI fragment. Both the phFunGus BamHI/PstI and pXZ56 BamHI/NcoI fragments were gel purified before


Schematic diagrams showing how the pMM32 (PgpdA-prt1) and pMM33 (P/tmM-prt1) vectors were created. (A) The E. festucae Fl1 prt1 gene. The coding sequence is indicated by a blue arrow. Positions of the primers used to amplify the prt1 coding region are indicated by heavy black arrows with primer names in bold black text. Sall and Ncol restriction sites within the coding region are indicated by light black lines with names above. The green box indicates a microsatellite sequence in the 3' UTR of the prt1 gene. (B,C) Strategies used to amplify the 5' and 3' regions respectively of the prt1 coding sequence. Primers are indicated as teal arrows with the nucleotide sequence of the primer shown in teal text. Nucleotide differences between the primer and the corresponding genomic DNA sequence are indicated by underlined text. Nucleotides of the E. festucae FI1 genomic DNA are shown in black text. Sequences complementary to the primers that were amplified during PCR are shown in grey text, with nucleotide differences from the corresponding genomic DNA sequences indicated by underlined text. Restriction sites are indicated by a black line positioned at the recognition site. (D) Schematic diagram showing how the four way ligations used to create the pMM32 (PgpdA-prt1) and pMM33 (PltmM-prt1) vectors were performed. Maps of the resulting pMM32 and pMM33 vectors are shown.


## Figure 2.3 Construction of vectors directing heterologous expression of prt2

Schematic diagrams showing how the pMM26 (PgpdA-prt2) and pMM27 (PltmM-prt2) vectors were created. (A) The E. festucae FI1 prt2 gene. The coding sequence is indicated by a blue arrow. Positions of the primers used to amplify the prt1 coding region are indicated by heavy black arrows with primer names in bold black text. Sall and Ncol restriction sites within the coding region are indicated by light black lines with names above. (B) Strategy used to amplify the prt2 coding sequence. Primers are indicated as orange arrows with the nucleotide sequence of the primer shown in orange text. Nucleotide differences between the primer and the corresponding genomic DNA sequence are indicated by underlined text. Nucleotides of the E. festucae FI1 genomic DNA are shown in black text. Sequences complementary to the primers that were amplified during PCR are shown in grey text, with nucleotide differences from the corresponding genomic DNA sequences indicated by underlined text. Restriction sites are indicated by a black line positioned at the recognition site. (C) Schematic diagram showing how the four way ligations used to create the pMM26 (PgpdA-prt2) and pMM27 (PltmM-prt2) vectors were performed. Maps of the resulting pMM26 and pMM27 vectors are shown.
use (Section 2.5.3.2). Construction of the pMM27 vector was performed by means of a three-way ligation, ligating the phFunGus BamHI/PstI, pXZ56 BamHI/NcoI, and prt2 RcaU/PstI fragments together in a single ligation reaction. The expected fragment order was confirmed by PCR screening. pMM33 was sequenced to check for PCR misincorporation errors in the prtl coding sequence.

### 2.13.3 Construction of the gcn1 gene replacement vector

The gcnl replacement vector consisted of 2.8 kb 5 ' and $3^{\prime}$ fragments flanking the gcnl gene separated by a hygromycin cassette derived from the vector pPN1688 (Figure 2.4). The flanking sequences were amplified by long-template PCR (Section 2.17.4) from the cosmid 13B2 using the MM96-MM97 and MM98-MM99 primer pairs. The MM96, MM97, MM98 and MM99 primers introduce EcoRI, SstI, XbaI and HindIII sites respectively. The MM96-MM97 PCR product was digested with EcoRI and SstI, then subcloned into pUC118 to give the vector pMM52. The plasmid was sequenced to ensure the fragment contained no PCR misincorporation errors. The pMM53 vector was created by subcloning of the MM98-MM99 PCR product digested with XbaI/HindIII into pUC118. Again, the MM98-MM99 PCR product was sequenced to check for misincorporation errors. Error-free versions of the 5 , EcoRI/SstI and 3' XbaI/HindIII flanking fragments were ligated together with a 3.2 kb EcoRI/HindIII pUCl 18 fragment and a 1.3 kb SstIVXbaI fragment from pPN1688 containing the hph cassette in a four-way ligation. PCR screening confirmed the resulting vector, pMM54, contained all of the desired fragments in the correct order. The linear DNA fragment containing the gcn $1:: h p h$ used for transformation of E. festucae Fll was amplified from pMM54 with the M13F and M13R primers (Table 2.4) using long template PCR (Section 2.17.4).

### 2.14 BACTERIAL TRANSFORMATION

### 2.14.1 Preparation of electro-competent E. coli cells

One litre of LB broth (Section 2.3.2) was inoculated with E. coli XL-1 cells and grown with shaking at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until an optical density ( $\mathrm{A}_{600}$ ) of the culture was between 0.5 and 1.0. The cells were chilled on ice for 20 min , then harvested by centrifugation at 4000 g for 10 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The cells were washed in ice cold water, firstly in 1000 mL , then 500 mL ), then in 20 mL of ice cold $10 \%$ glycerol. The


## Figure 2.4 Construction of the gcn1::hph vector pMM54

Construction of the pMM54 vector. (A) Map of the gcn1 locus. The primers used to amplify the 5' and 3 flanking sequences (represented by teal and orange boxes respectively) are indicated by thick black arrows, with primer names in bold text. Each of the four primers introduces a different enzyme restriction site, which is listed in brackets next to the primer name. The $\rho r t 2, g c n 1, c y c 1$ and $\rho t n 1$ coding sequences are indicated by blue arrows. The ruler underneath the sequences is shown in kb. (B, C) Amplification of the 5' flanking sequence with the MM96 and MM97 primers and the 3' flanking sequences with the MM98 and MM99 primers. For the 5' flanking sequence, primer sequences are shown in teal, while for the 3' flanking sequence, primer sequences are indicated in orange. The genomic sequence is shown in black text and sequence amplified during PCR in grey text. Nucleotides that differ to the corresponding genomic sequence at the same position are indicated by underlined text. The recognition sites introduced in the primers used to amplify these fragments are indicated by a line corresponding to the position where these enzymes cut the DNA. (D) Strategy used to used to create the pMM54 vector. A 3.2 kb HindIII/EcoRI fragment was used to create the backbone of the vector (indicated by i). The EcoRI/Ssi $5^{\prime}$ flanking sequence (ii) was amplified from E. festucae FI1 genomic DNA as described in B. The PtrpChph cassette (ii) was derived from pPN1688 as a 1.4 kb SsNXbal cassette. The XbaVHindIII 3' flanking sequence (v) was amplified from E. festucae FI1 genomic DNA as described in C. All four fragments were ligated together in a single ligation reaction to create the pMM54 vector.
pelleted cells were resuspended in 4 mL of ice cold $10 \%$ glycerol and stored in $40 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ aliquots at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

### 2.14.2 Transformation of DNA by electroporation

Electrocompetent E. coli XL-1 cells prepared as described in Section 2.14 .1 were gently thawed, then left on ice. The Gene Pulser (Bio-Rad) was set at $25 \mu \mathrm{~F}$ and 2.5 kV , and the pulse controller to $200 \Omega$ resistance. One to $2 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of DNA ligation (Section 2.12.2) was mixed with the electrocompetent cells, which were then incubated on ice for 1 min . The cell-DNA mixture was transferred and shaken to the bottom of an ice cold 0.2 cm cuvette, then pulsed at the settings described above. If the time constant for the electroporation pulse was between 4 and 5 ms , the electroporated cells were resuspended in 1 mL of SOC medium (Section 2.3.6). For each set of electroporation experiments, a cells only control (which contained no DNA) and a positive control (which contained 20 ng of pUC118 DNA) were included. Electroporated cells were incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for one hour, and then suitably diluted before being plated on LB agar plates (Section 2.3.2) containing ampicillin (Section 2.3.9).

### 2.14.3 Screening of transformants

### 2.14.3.1 Blue-white selection

Transformants where DNA was inserted into the lacZ gene of pUC118 or derived vectors were identified by screening using blue-white selection. One hundred $\mu \mathrm{L}$ of transformed cells were aliquoted onto the agar surface and mixed with $40 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ each of $24 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ IPTG and $20 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ X-Gal (Section 2.3.9). The cell mixture was spread over the agar surface with a glass spreader and incubated overnight at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. White colonies, which contain a plasmid where the lacZ $\alpha$ gene was disrupted by insertion of a DNA fragment, were selected for further analysis.

### 2.14.3.2 $\quad$ Clone Checker ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ analysis (Invitrogen)

CloneChecker ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ analysis was performed in one of two ways. For both procedures, a colony of transformed bacteria were picked from freshly grown agar plate and resuspended in $6 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of LB broth (Section 2.3.2). For restriction endonuclease analysis, $3 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the resuspended colony was mixed with $8 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of Green solution.

The sample was incubated at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 s , then cooled to room temperature. A mix containing $1 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $10 \times$ restriction endonuclease buffer and $10 \mathrm{U}(1 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ of restriction endonuclease was added to each sample. The samples were incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 $\min$. Two $\mu \mathrm{L}$ of loading dye was added to the sample before electrophoresis on a $0.7 \%$ agarose in $1 \times$ TBE gel (Section 2.8.2).

For supercoiled DNA analysis, $3 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the resuspended colony were mixed with 5 $\mu \mathrm{L}$ of red solution. Five $\mu \mathrm{L}$ of yellow solution was added to each sample, and mixed by vortexing. Four $\mu \mathrm{L}$ of loading buffer was added to each sample, and samples were vortexed immediately before electrophoresis on a $0.7 \%$ agarose in $1 \times$ TBE gel (Section 2.8.2).

### 2.14.3.3 Colony PCR

Reactions for colony PCR contained $1 \times$ Taq polymerase buffer $\left(2.5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl}{ }_{2}\right.$, Roche), $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of each dNTP, 200 nM each of both forward and reverse primers and 0.5 U Taq polymerase (Roche). A very small amount of cells directly from bacterial colonies were introduced into the PCR reaction as a template. The following PCR program was used: $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $3 \mathrm{~min}, 30$ cycles of $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $30 \mathrm{~s}, 55-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 s , $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $x \min$ (where $1 \mathrm{~kb}=1 \mathrm{~min}, x$ is dependent on the size of the fragment being amplified), followed by 5 min at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

### 2.15 FUNGAL PROTOPLAST PREPARATION AND CHEF ELECTROPHORESIS

### 2.15.1 Protoplasting solutions

All protoplasting solutions were sterilised by autoclaving before use.

### 2.15.1.1 OM buffer

OM buffer contained $1.2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{MgSO} 4.7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{Na} 2_{2} \mathrm{HPO}_{4}$. The pH was adjusted to 5.8 with $100 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaH} 2 \mathrm{PO}_{4} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.

### 2.15.1.2 Glucanex

Glucanex (Novo Nordisk) was dissolved in OM buffer (Section 2.15.1.1) at a concentration of $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ and filter sterilised before use.

### 2.15.1.3 ST buffer

ST buffer contained 0.6 M sorbitol and 100 mM Tris- $\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{pH} 8.0)$.

### 2.15.1.4 STC buffer

STC buffer contained 1 M sorb itol, $50 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{CaCl}_{2}, 50 \mathrm{mM}$ Tris- HCl ( pH 8.0 ).

### 2.15.1.5 40\% PEG buffer

PEG buffer contained $40 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v})$ PEG $4000,50 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{CaCl} 2,1 \mathrm{M}$ sorbitol, 40 mM Tris-HCl ( pH 8.0 ).

### 2.15.1.6 GMB buffer

GMB buffer contained 0.9 M sorbitol and 125 mM Na 2 EDTA (pH7.5).

### 2.15.1.7 LMP in GMB

LMP in GMB contained $1.4 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v})$ low melting point agarose (Sigma) in GMB buffer (Section 2.15.1.6).

### 2.15.1.8 SE buffer

SE buffer contained $2 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v})$ SDS and 250 mM Na 2 EDTA ( pH 8.0 ).

### 2.15.1.9 $\quad 10 \times$ ET buffer with SLS

$10 \times$ ET buffer contained 10 mM Tris and 500 mM Na 2 EDTA ( pH 8.0 ), along with $1 \%$ SLS.

### 2.15.1.10 $1 \times$ ET buffer

$1 \times$ ET buffer contained 1 mM Tris and $50 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{Na}_{2}$ EDTA ( pH 8.0 ).

### 2.15.2 Protoplast preparation

Fungi were inoculated into PD broth (Section 2.3.3) as described in Section 2.2.2, and grown at $22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with shaking at 150 rpm for varying lengths of time. E. typhina PN2311 was grown for five days and E. festucae Fll for seven days, while N. lolii strain Lpl 9 was grown for twelve days. Four 50 mL cultures were pooled for each protoplast preparation. Mycelia were harvested from cultures by filtering through a nappy liner, and washed three times in sterile double-distilled water. Mycelia were rinsed with OM buffer (Section 2.15.1.1) and resuspended in 30 mL of Glucanex (Section 2.15.1.2). Hyphae were incubated overnight at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with shaking at $80-100$
rpm . At this stage, the numbers of protoplasts generated were checked by viewing a small sample under the microscope.

Protoplasts were collected by filtering the treated mycelia through a nappy liner into sterile centrifuge tubes, with each tube containing approximately 5 mL . Each sample was overlaid with 2 mL of ST buffer (Section 2.15.1.3), and tubes were centrifuged at 2210 g for 5 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Protoplasts, which collect at the interface between the Glucanex and ST buffer solutions, were removed and transferred to a fresh tube. Protoplasts were resuspended in 5 mL of STC buffer (Section 2.15.1.4) and centrifuged at 2210 g for 5 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. This process was repeated three times to wash protoplasts. Protoplasts were resuspended in 0.5 mL of STC buffer, then the concentration of protoplasts was assessed using a haemocytometer. At this point, protoplasts were either used for the isolation of fungal genomic DNA (Section 2.5.8.1), used for fungal transformation (Section 2.16) or to make protoplast plugs for CHEF (Section 2.15.3).

### 2.15.3 Preparation of protoplast plugs for CHEF

Protoplasts were resuspended at a concentration of $1 \times 10^{9}$ protoplasts $/ \mathrm{mL}$ in GMB buffer (Section 2.15.1.6). Protoplasts were mixed with an equal volume of low melting point (LMP) agarose (Invitrogen) in GMB buffer (Section 2.15.1.7) to give a final concentration of $5 \times 10^{8}$ protoplasts $/ \mathrm{mL}$ into plug moulds, and left to set at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min . The set plugs were incubated in 10 mL of SE buffer (Section 2.15.1.8) and incubated at $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $16-20 \mathrm{~h}$. Plugs were transferred into $10 \times$ ET buffer with SLS (Section 2.15.1.9) and 20 mg proteinase K (Roche) and incubated at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h. Plugs were washed three times in $1 \times$ ET buffer (Section 2.15.1.10) to remove SLS, with changes over several hours. Protoplasts were stored at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in $1 \times$ ET buffer.

### 2.15.4 CHEF electrophoresis

CHEF gels were made of $0.6 \%$ chromosomal grade agarose (Bio-Rad) in $0.5 \times$ TBE buffer (diluted 2 fold from $1 \times$ TBE buffer, Section 2.8.1.2). The running buffer was used was also $0.5 \times$ TBE buffer. The apparatus pump was set to 75 , and the buffer
temperature was set to $14^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Electrophoresis took place at 60 V with a run program of 100 s for $15 \mathrm{~h}, 450 \mathrm{~s}$ for $13 \mathrm{~h}, 1600 \mathrm{~s}$ for 35 h and 2000 s for 35 h . After electrophoresis, the gel was stained in ethidium bromide (Section 2.8.1.4, Section 2.8.3). The gel was Southern blotted as described in Section 2.9.

### 2.16 FUNGAL TRANSFORMATION

### 2.16.1 Transformation of fungal protoplasts

Protoplasts (prepared as described in Section 2.15.2) were diluted to $1.25 \times 10^{8}$ protoplasts $/ \mathrm{mL}$ in STC buffer (Section 2.15.1.4). Eighty $\mu \mathrm{L}$ of the diluted protoplast stock was added to $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $40 \%$ PEG buffer (Section 2.15.1.5). Two $\mu \mathrm{L}$ of spermidine (Section 2.4.3), $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of heparin (Section 2.4.3) and $5 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of DNA were added to the samples, which were then mixed by gentle vortexing. For each transformation, a "protoplast only" control to which no DNA was added, and a positive control with a known plasmid such as pAN7-1 or pII99. Samples were incubated on ice for 30 min . Nine hundred $\mu \mathrm{L}$ of $40 \%$ PEG buffer (Scction 2.15.1.5) were added, and samples were mixed and incubated on ice for $15-20 \mathrm{~min}$. One hundred $\mu \mathrm{L}$ of the sample was mixed by vortexing with $0.8 \%$ RG agar (Section 2.3.5) equilibrated to $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The sample-agar mix was overlaid onto a regeneration medium agar (Section 2.3.5) plate.

For the protoplasts only control (where no DNA was added), two plates were prepared with the undiluted protoplast mix, and one plate each prepared for 10,100 and 1000 fold dilutions. Four plates were prepared for the positive control, and ten plates for the transformed DNA sample. Plates were incubated overnight before another overlay with antibiotic selection (hygromycin to a final concentration of 150 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ or geneticin to a final concentration of $200 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, Section 2.3.9). Protoplasts were regenerated by growing at $22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for at least fourteen days. To purify transformants and ensure transformants werc stable for antibiotic resistance, a small square of agar containing hyphae was subcultured onto a fresh agar plate with a hygromycin concentration of $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ or a geneticin concentration of $75 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$. Plates were incubated to allow hyphac to form a larger colony, and a new sample was subcultured. This process was repeated thrce times to ensure that transformants
were not heterokaryons. Transformants were then screened using the PCR-based screening approaches used in Section 2.16.2 or by Southern blot approaches (Section 2.9).

### 2.16.2 Screening of fungal transformants

### 2.16.2.1 Screening using alkaline lysis of fungal hyphae

A small amount of mycelia were scraped from the surface of a fungal colony on an agar plate and placed in lysis solution ( $400 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{KOH}, 10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{Na} 2_{2}$ EDTA). Samples were incubated on ice for 10 min . One hundred $\mu \mathrm{L}$ of neutralisation solution ( 1 M $\mathrm{HCl} / 1 \mathrm{M}$ Tris- $\mathrm{HCl} \mathrm{pH} 7.5(4: 6 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}))$ was added, and the solution was stored at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Onc to two $\mu \mathrm{L}$ of the sample was used in a standard PCR reaction, as described in Section 2.17.2.

### 2.16.2.2 Screening using the plant Extract-N-Amp ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ PCR kit (Sigma)

A small scraping of mycelia from the surface of a fungal colony was placed into 50 $\mu \mathrm{L}$ of extraction solution. The sample was mixed by vortexing and incubated at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min . An equal volume of dilution solution was added to the extracted hyphae. The solution was then diluted five fold with dilution solution. For screening, each 10 $\mu \mathrm{L}$ PCR reaction was contained $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of Extract-N-Amp ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ PCR reaction mix, 40 nM of each primer, and $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the diluted extracted DNA. The PCR program used was as follows: $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $3 \mathrm{~min}, 35$ cycles of $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $30 \mathrm{~s}, 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $30 \mathrm{~s}, 72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 min , followed by $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min .

### 2.17 PCR

### 2.17.1 PCR reagents

### 2.17.1.1 Oligonucleotide primers

Oligonucleotide primers were synthesised by Invitrogen or Sigma Genosys. Each primer was resuspended to a final concentration of $100 \mathrm{pmol} / \mu \mathrm{L}$. For PCR reactions, primer stocks were diluted to $10 \mathrm{pmol} / \mu \mathrm{L}$. For sequencing reactions, primer stocks were diluted to $3.2 \mathrm{pmol} / \mu \mathrm{L}$ or $5 \mathrm{pmol} / \mu \mathrm{L}$. Primers were stored at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until needed. Primer used in this study are shown in Table 2.4.

## Table 2.4 Primers used in this study

|  |  | Lerevs | Aperficeitions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M13F | GCC AGG GTT TTC CCA GTC ACG A | M13 lacZ | Sequencing |
| M13R | AGC GGA TAA CAA TTT CAC ACA GGA | M13 lacZ | Sequencing |
| T7 | TAA TAC GAC TCA CTA TAG GG | pGEM T Easy | Sequencing |
| SP6 | CCA TTT AGG TGA CAC TAT AG | pGEM T Easy | Sequencing |
| T1.1 | GAG AAA ATG CGT GAG ATT GT | tub2 | PCR |
| T1.2 | TGG TCA ACC AGC TCA GCA CC | tub2 | PCR |
| 1011 | TGG ATC ATT CGC AGA TAC | ttmG | PCR |
| Iol3 | ACC GAC GCC ATT AAT GAG | HmG | PCR |
| Iol14 | ATT AGA GGC ACC GAA CGC | ItmM | PCR |
| 10128 | GCT CCT TGC CCA TTA TTT | ItmM | PCR |
| 101107 | CTA TAA CcA CTC TCC TAT C | ItmM | PCR |
| 101148 | TGC GTG AGA GAT AAA GCA AG | ItmM | PCR |
| 101238 | AGG AAA GCC ACG GGA TAA CC | ItmM | PCR |
| pUChph5 | TCA GGC AGG TCT TGC AAC | hph | PCR |
| pUChph6 | ACT TCG AGC GGA GGC ATC | hph | PCR |
| MM1 | CAA CGA CAT CGT CCG AAA G | prt5-prt1 | Sequencing, PCR |
| MM2 | GTG ATC CAG TCG AGA GTC | prt5-prt1 | Sequencing, PCR |
| MM3 | AAG TCT CGC CAT GAC CAC | prt5-prt1 | Sequencing |
| MM4 | CAG GTC GAG GTT GTT GAG | prt5-prt1 | Sequencing, PCR |
| MM5 | TGA TGC CTG GAC ATG TTG | prt5-prt1 | Sequencing, PCR |
| MM6 | TCG TTC AGC GAC TGC GAG | prt2-gcn1 | Sequencing, PCR |
| MM7 | GCC TCC CAG TTA GCA TTC | prt5-prt1 | Sequencing |
| MM8 | CGG ACG CGT GTG ACT GAC | prt5-prt1 | Sequencing, PCR |
| MM9 | CCA AAC CAA CAT GTC CAG | prt5-prt1 | Sequencing |
| MM10 | TGG GCA ACG ACA AGG ATG | prt5-prt1 | Sequencing |
| MM12 | AGC AGC GCG ATG CTC CTC | prt2-gen1 | Sequencing |
| MM13 | ATC AAG GTA CTC AGC GAC | prt2-gen1 | Sequencing |
| MM14 | GAC TTC TTT GAG CCC GAG | prt5-prt1 | Sequencing |
| MM15 | GTG ACA TTG GTG GCT ACG | prt2-gen1 | Sequencing, PCR |
| MM16 | GAT CGA ACA TCA CCT CTG | prt5-prt1 | Sequencing |
| MM20 | GTG ATC GAG AAC AAG TAC | prt2-gen1 | Sequencing, PCR |
| MM21 | CTC GAT GTA GTC AAC CTG | prt2-gcn1 | Sequencing |
| MM22 | TGG AAC CGA CAC GGC AGG | prt2-gcn1 | Sequencing |
| MM25 | TGC GAG ACC AAC AAT GTG | prt2-gen1 | Sequencing, PCR |
| MM26 | CCA CAT TGT TGG TCT CGC | prt2-gen1 | Sequencing, PCR |
| MM27 | CGC ATC TCT TCA AAG TGC | prt5-prt1 | Sequencing |
| MM28 | GCA CTT TGA AGA GAT GCG | prt5-prt1 | Sequencing |
| MM29 | GAT GGG TCT TCA GAT GAC | prt2-gcn1 | Sequencing, PCR |
| MM30 | GTC ATC TGA AGA CCC ATC | prt2-gen1 | Sequencing, PCR |
| MM32 | TAG CAT GAG GAA ATG ACG | prt5-prt1 | Sequencing |
| MM33 | GGT GTA ACG GTT CTT TGC | prt2-gcn1 | Sequencing |
| MM34 | CGT TAC GCA CAA GAT GAG | prt2-gen1 | Sequencing |
| MM37 | TGT AGA GTC TGC TCT GCC | prt2-gcn1 | Sequencing |
| MM38 | TAG GGC ACC AAG GCT GGC | prt2-gen1 | Sequencing |
| MM39 | CTC TGA ATG CTA ACT GGG | prt5-prt1 | Sequencing |
| MM40 | TCG TGA CAA GGT TGG CAG | prt2-gcn1 | Sequencing |
| MM41 | TGA ATC AGT CCG TCC CAC | prt2-gcn1 | Sequencing |
| MM42 | TGG GAC GGA CTG ATT CAC | prt2-gen1 | Sequencing |
| MM43 | GCC ATT TGG TGG TCA TGG | prt5-prt1 | Sequencing |
| MM44 | CGA ACC AAG ATG TAT GCC | prt2-gen1 | Sequencing |
| MM45 | GCA AAT CGG GAA GGT GTC | prt2-gcn1 | Sequencing |
| MM48 | GCT GCA GCC TTC ATA ACG | prt5-prt1 | Sequencing |
| MM51 | TTG AGT CGA CAA GTC TGC | prt2-gen1 | Sequencing |
| MM52 | AGA TCA AAG CTC ATC CTG | prt5-prt1 | Sequencing |
| MM53 | TCT GCA AAC CTT GTC ACG | prt2-gcn1 | Sequencing |
| MM54 | ATC TGT GAG CCG TGG ATG | prt2-gcn1 | Sequencing |
| MM55 | AAC GTC GTG GGC TGA CTG | prt2-gcn 1 | Sequencing, PCR |
| MM56 | TCA CTC CAT CCT TGT CCC | prt2-gcn1 | Sequencing, PCR |
| MM61 | GGC ATG ATT GAG GTT CTC | prt2-gen1 | Sequencing |
| MM63Nco | GAA CCA CCA TGG TGA ACG TCA AG | prt5-prt1 | Cloning |
| MM65Rca | CAG CCA TCA TGA GTC CTG GTC TTC | prt2-gen1 | Cloning |


| MM67Pst | ACC TTC CTG CAG CCG AGA TCT ACC | prt5-prt1 | Cloning |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MM68Pst | TAC GAT CTG CAG TCC GGT GGC TAG C | prt2-gcn1 | Cloning |
| MM69 | GGA TCT TAA CCA GAT TCG | PgpdA | PCR |
| MM70 | TTC ATC TTC CCA TCC AAG | PgpdA | PCR |
| MM71 | CGT ATC AAT AGA CCT CAT C | prt2-gcn1 | Cloning |
| MM72 | TCA CGT GGA GCT TCT TGC C | prt2-gcn1 | Sequencing, PCR |
| MM74 | ACG TTG GAG GCA TCC TGG | prt5-prt1 | Sequencing, PCR |
| MM75 | GGC TCG AAC GAG TAC GTC | prt3-gao1 | Sequencing, PCR |
| MM76 | TGG TTT GTG GCC TTG GAG | prt3-gao1 | Sequencing, PCR |
| MM82 | CAT GAT GCA CCT TGC TCG TC | prt3-gao1 | Sequencing, PCR |
| MM83 | ATG CCA GCA GAT TGA CCG | prt3-gao1 | Sequencing, PCR |
| MM84 | TTG GCT TGG AAA GGA ATG TG | prt2-gcn1 | Sequencing |
| MM85 | TAG TTG TAC TCT GCG TCG | prt2-gcn1 | Sequencing |
| MM86 | GTA GAG GAC ACG ATC AAG | prt2-gen1 | Sequencing, PCR |
| MM87 | AAC CTG CCG TTC ATC AGC | prt2-gcn1 | Sequencing |
| MM88 | TGA GTC TCG AAC TTG TCG | prt2-gcn1 | Sequencing |
| MM89 | CGG TGG AAT TGT CGC TCG | prt3-gao1 | Sequencing |
| MM90 | CGA GCG ACA ATT CCA CGG | prt3-gao1 | Sequencing, PCR |
| MM91 | ACC AGG TTG CAG GCA CGG | prt3-gao1 | Sequencing |
| MM92 | ACC GTG CCT GCA ACC TGG | prt3-gao1 | Sequencing, PCR |
| MM93 | GAT CAY GTA GAT TTY GAR GG | prt4 | Cloning |
| MM94 | GCA TCA GCG TTA TCR TTN CC | prt4 | Cloning |
| MM95 | AGC ATC ACA AGT CGA CCA GG | prt2-gcn1 | Sequencing |
| MM96 | GTC TTG AAT TCC TCC AGC TGC TCC | prt2-gcn1 | Cloning |
| MM97 | AGC GAG CTC TCT GGT TGA AAG CAG C | prt2-gcn1 | Cloning |
| MM98 | GGT GTT CTA GAC GTG GAA GAC GCA G | prt2-gcn1 | Cloning |
| MM99 | GAT ATT AAG CTT CGA CTG GGA GGC | prt2-gcn1 | Cloning |
| MM100 | CGT CAG AGT AGG TCC AGC | prt2-gcn1 | PCR |
| MM101 | CTG ATC TTG ACG TCG ATG | prt2-gcn1 | Sequencing, PCR |
| MM102 | TCG TGA ATA CTG ACT AGG | prt3-gao1 | Sequencing |
| MM103 | TCT ACC ACA GCA TCG CTC | prt3-gao1 | Sequencing |
| MM104 | TCA CCA GCC AAG TCA TAC | prt3-gao1 | Sequencing |
| MM105 | TGG AGC CGA AAC AAT GAG | prt2-gcn1 | Sequencing |
| MM106 | ATG GTA CCT GAG CAA TGC | prt4 | Sequencing, PCR |
| MM107 | CGA ACA TGT CGC TTG GTC | prt4 | Sequencing |
| MM120 | TGT GAG GAG ATA TTG TGG | prt3-gao1 | Sequencing |
| MM121 | GCA ACG TTC ATG TCA GCC | prt3-gao1 | Sequencing, PCR |
| MM122 | TCT GAG GAG ATA TTG TGG | prt5-prt1 | Sequencing, PCR |
| MM123 | GAC AAA GAC ACC ACC AAC | prt5-prt1 | Sequencing |
| MM124 | TAT CTC GAC AAT CTC AAT C | prt2-gcn1 | Sequencing, PCR |
| MM125 | AGC TTC AGG TGT CAA CAG | prt2-gcn1 | PCR |
| MM126 | TCC GAA ATC AAG ATC CAG | prt2-gcn1 | Sequencing, PCR |
| MM127 | GAT CGC CTC GGT CTC TGT AAC | prt2-gcn1 | Sequencing, PCR |
| MM128 | CTT GATCTG TGC TACGTG AC | prt2-gcn1 | Sequencing, PCR |
| MM129 | TCG CAA GTG ACT TTC CAT C | prt3-gao1 | Sequencing |
| MM130 | TAG TCC ATG CCA GCA ATG | prt5-prt1 | Sequencing, PCR |
| MM131 | CCA TTG GAT TTG TGT GTC | prt5-prt1 | Sequencing |
| MM135 | GAA CGT TTC CGT GGA ACG | prt2-gen1 | PCR |
| MM136 | GAA CCA TCA ACT CAA GAC | prt5-prt1 | Sequencing |
| MM138 | GAA CTT TGC TGG TGA TGG | prt5-prt1 | Sequencing |
| MM139 | GTA CGT CTA CGA CAA TAG | prt3-ga01 | Sequencing |
| MM141 | ATG TAC AGC CAC GAT TGG | kex2 | Sequencing |
| MM142 | CAT AGT AGT AAG ACA ACG | kex2 | Sequencing |
| MM143 | AAC GAC TGT GTC CTT CTC | prt4 | Sequencing |
| MM144 | CTG CCA AGG ATG GAA AGC | prt4 | Sequencing |
| MM145 | GTC GAA AGC AGG ACT TCC | prt5-prt1 | Sequencing |
| MM146 | GCA AAC TTG GAT CAA GGC | prt5-prt1 | Sequencing |
| MM149 | GGN CAY GGN ACN CAY GTN GC | other | Degenerate PCR |
| MM150 | GGN SWN GCC ATN GAN GTN CC | other | Degenerate PCR |
| MM151 | AGG TGC ATT GCT GAT TGG | prt5-prt1 | Sequencing |
| MM152 | TTC TGC AAC GGA CGC TAG | prt5-pr11 | Sequencing |
| MM155 | TAC AGC CAC TCC TTC AAC | prt5-prt1 | Sequencing, PCR |
| MM156 | CCA TCA CCA GCA AAG TTC | prt5-prt1 | Sequencing |
| MM157 | GAC CAG AGC ATA GGC ATC | kex2 | Sequencing |


| MM158 | TCG TCG TAT GTG GCT TGG | kex2 | Sequencing |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MM159 | TGT CGA GAT ACA CGT CTG | prt2-gcn1 | Sequencing |
| MM160 | GAA AGT CAC TTG CGA CAG | prt3-gao1 | Sequencing, PCR |
| MM161 | GGT TAC ATT GGG ATT CTC GC | prt3-gao1 | Sequencing |
| MM164 | CGT GAT TGA GAA AGT CCG | prt4 | Sequencing, PCR |
| MM165 | TCC TCT CCA TCT CAC AAC | prt4 | Sequencing |
| MM166 | ATC AAA CGA GGT CGT AGC | prt4 | Sequencing |
| MM167 | GAT GCG AAG ACA CTC AG | prt4 | Sequencing |
| MM168 | AAG CTC CGA CAA GTC AAC | prt4 | Sequencing |
| MM170 | TGG AAT AGT GGC TAC GAC | prt4 | Sequencing |
| MM171 | CTG AAC ATG AACGCT CTC | prt4 | Sequencing |
| MM172 | TAG GAC TTG AGC TGG TGG | prt3-gao1 | Sequencing |
| MM175 | ACA TGT TGG CGA CGC TGC | prt5-prt1 | PCR |
| MM176 | GGA CAA GTT CGA CAA TCG | prt3-gao1 | Sequencing |
| MM177 | AGA ACT CAT CCT TCG TCC | prt2-gcn1 | Sequencing |
| MM178 | AAG GGG ACA AAC ACT GTG C | prt4 | Sequencing |
| MM179 | GAG AGC ATT CGG ATT CCC | kex2 | Sequencing |
| MM180 | GAA TAC ACG AGG GAA CTG | kex2 | Sequencing |
| MM181 | TGG AGA ATC ATT GTC AAG | kex2 | Sequencing |
| MM183 | GCT TAC TGC TGG TAT TTC | prt3-gao1 | Sequencing |
| MM184 | AAG AAT GGT TGG GGT TGG | prt5-prt1 | Sequencing |
| MM185 | GTG AAC TCG TGA GAA CAG | prt5-prt1 | Sequencing |
| MM186 | GCA CTA TCG TCG TAG ATG | prt5-prt1 | Sequencing |
| MM187 | AAG TTC CAG ATG GTG CAG | kex2 | Sequencing |
| MM188 | CAA CGA CCT CAA TGT ATC | kex2 | Sequencing |
| MM189 | TAC TGC ACC ATC TGG AAC | kex2 | Sequencing |
| MM190 | TAC TGC ACC ATC TGG AAC | kex2 | Sequencing |
| MM191 | AGA GCA AAG CAC ATG CAG | kex2 | Sequencing |
| MM192 | CAA GCC ACA TAC GAC GAC | kex2 | Sequencing, PCR |
| MM199 | GTG ACC GAT TTT CCT TCC TC | kex2 | Sequencing |
| MM200 | CAC TTG GTA CGA CGC TAA TC | kex2 | Sequencing |
| MM201 | ATG GTG TTG GAA GTT GGG | kex2 | Sequencing |
| MM205 | ACG TTC ACC ATG CAG TTC | kex2 | Sequencing, PCR |
| MM206 | TGT GAA GCG TTA TTG GAG | kex2 | Sequencing, PCR |
| MM207 | GAA CTC TGA GAT AAC AAG | kex2 | Sequencing |
| MM208 | GAT GAT GAG GGA ATG AAC | kex2 | Sequencing, PCR |
| MM209 | CGC AAG TAT CGG CAT TCC | kex2 | Sequencing, PCR |
| MM212 | CAG AGT CAT CAA AAT GCC | prt5-prt1 | Sequencing, PCR |
| MM213 | AGG TTG AGG CTT GTT TCC | prt3-gao1 | PCR |
| MM214 | CCA GAA CTA ATC CAC AAG | kex2 | PCR |
| MM215 | GTG GCG AGC AAG GCG TCC | kex2 | PCR |

### 2.17.1.2 dNTPs

dNTPs (Roche) were prepared at concentrations of either 1.25 mM or 10 mM each dNTP.

### 2.17.2 Standard PCR

Standard PCR reactions were performed using reactions containing approximately 1.25 ng of plasmid or $5-10 \mathrm{ng}$ of genomic DNA template, $1 \times$ Taq polymerase buffer ( 2.5 mM MgCl 2 , Roche), $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of each dNTP, 200 nM each of both forward and reverse primers and 0.5 U Taq polymerase (Roche). The following PCR program was used: $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $2 \mathrm{~min}, 30-35$ cycles of $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $30 \mathrm{~s}, 55-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $30 \mathrm{~s}, 72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for
$x \min$ (where $1 \mathrm{~kb}=1 \mathrm{~min}, x$ is dependent on the size of the fragment being amplified), followed by 5 min at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

### 2.17.3 Gradient PCR

Gradient PCR was performed using the same reaction components as standard PCR (Section 2.17.2). During the annealing phase of the PCR program, the PCR machine (PC960G gradient thermal cycler, Corbett Research) introduced a temperature gradient across the PCR block, generally varying from a low of $49-51^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to a high of $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

### 2.17.4 PCR using Expand ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Long Template (Roche)

Long-template PCR was performed using PCR reactions containing approximately 1.25 ng of template plasmid, $1 \times$ Expand $^{\mathrm{TM}}$ Long Template buffer $1(1.75 \mathrm{mM}$ $\mathrm{MgCl}_{2}$ ), $350 \mu \mathrm{M}$ each dNTP, 300 nM each of both forward and reverse primers and 3.75 U of Expand ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ Long Template enzyme mix. The following PCR program was used: $93^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $2 \mathrm{~min}, 10$ cycles of $93^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $10 \mathrm{~s}, 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $30 \mathrm{~s}, 68^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $x$ min (where $1 \mathrm{~kb}=1 \mathrm{~min}, x$ is dependent on the fragment size being amplified), 17 cycles of $93^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $10 \mathrm{~s}, 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $30 \mathrm{~s}, 68^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $x \mathrm{~min}+20 \mathrm{~s}$ per cycle, followed by 10 min at $68^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

### 2.17.5 PCR using Expand ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ High Fidelity (Roche)

High-fidelity PCR was performed using PCR reactions containing either 1.25 ng of plasmid or $5-10 \mathrm{ng}$ of genomic DNA template, $1 \times$ Expand $^{\text {TM }}$ High Fidelity buffer ( 1.5 mM MgCl 2 ), $200 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of each dNTP, 300 nM each of both forward and reverse primers, and 2.6 U of Expand ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ High Fidelity enzyme mix. The following PCR program was used: $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $2 \mathrm{~min}, 28$ cycles of $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $15 \mathrm{~s}, 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $30 \mathrm{~s}, 72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $x \min$ (where $1 \mathrm{~kb}=1 \mathrm{~min}, x$ is dependent on the fragment size being amplified), followed by 5 min at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

### 2.17.6 Inverse PCR

Inverse PCR was performed to obtain the 5' region of the $N$. lolii Lp19 prt2 promoter. Genomic DNA from N. lolii Lp19 was digested with BamHI and a small aliquot was ligated as described in Section 2.12. Circular DNA molecules that are formed by intrAmolecular ligation of BamHI-digested ends act as a template during inverse PCR. The ligation mix was amplified by the primers MM26 and MM38 to obtain the unknown DNA sequence that was contained on the 4 kb BamHI fragment. The sequence was amplified by Taq polymerase as for a standard PCR reaction (Section 2.17.2).

### 2.17.7 TripleMaster ${ }^{\circledR}$ PCR

TripleMaster ${ }^{\circledR}$ PCR reactions were performed using reactions containing approximately 50 ng of genomic DNA template, $1 \times$ high fidelity buffer $(2.5 \mathrm{mM}$ $\mathrm{MgCl}_{2}$, Eppendorf), $200 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of each dNTP, 300 nM each of both forward and reverse primers and 0.05 U TripleMaster ${ }^{\circledR}$ polymerase mix (Eppendorf). The following PCR program was used: $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $2 \mathrm{~min}, 30$ cycles of $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $20 \mathrm{~s}, 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $20 \mathrm{~s}, 72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $x \mathrm{~min}$ (where $1 \mathrm{~kb}=1 \mathrm{~min}, x$ is dependent on the size of the fragment being amplified), followed by 5 min at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

### 2.17.8 RT-PCR

RT-PCR reactions were performed as for standard PCR reactions using cDNA (Section 2.18.5) as a template.

### 2.18 RNA ISOLATION AND PURIFICATION

Standard precautions were taken before experiments involving RNA were started. All glassware was washed in chromic acid ovemight and oven baked at $180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h. Solutions were made with reagents used only for RNA work only with DEPCtreated water, and placed in acid-washed oven-baked glassware. Mortars and pestles were soaked in $0.3 \%$ hydrogen peroxide overnight before use. DNase and RNase free barrier tips were used to reduce the potential for RNase contamination of RNA samples. Gloves were wom while experiments involving RNA were performed.

### 2.18.1 Purification of total RNA using Trizol

A sample of grass or fungal tissue ( $1-2 \mathrm{~g}$ ) in liquid nitrogen was ground to a powder using a mortar and pestle. The powdered tissue was mixed to a paste with 10 mL of Trizol (Invitrogen), and left to thaw. The Trizol-tissue mixture was transferred to a 14 mL Falcon tube, and centrifuged at 9682 g at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min to pellet cellular debris. The supernatant was mixed with 2 mL of chloroform, and left to sit at room temperature for 3 min . The mixture was centrifuged at 9682 g at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min . The aqueous phase was mixed with 2.5 mL each of isopropanol and sodium citrate/sodium chloride solution to precipitate the RNA. The mixture was incubated at 10 min , then centrifuged at 9682 g at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min . The RNA pellet was washed with 10 mL of $70 \%$ ethanol and centrifuged at 5365 g at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min . The pellet was air dried, then resuspended in 100-300 $\mu \mathrm{L}$ of DEPC-treated water.

### 2.18.2 Purification of polyA RNA from total RNA

One hundred to two hundred $\mu \mathrm{g}$ of total RNA isolated using Trizol (Section 2.18.1) was taken up to a volume of $250 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of DEPC-treated water, mixed with $250 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $2 \times$ binding solution and vortexed to mix. $15 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of oligo dT beads were added to the total RNA, and mixed by vortexing. The sample was incubated at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 min to allow RNA to denature, then incubated at room temperature for 10 min to allow polyA RNA to bind to the oligo dT beads. The beads were then collected by centrifugation at $16,060 \times \mathrm{g}$ for 1 min . The supernatant was discarded, and the pellet resuspended in $500 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of wash buffer. The mixture was transferred to a spin filter, and centrifuged for 1 min to remove the wash buffer. The filtrate was discarded, and an additional $500 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of wash buffer added to the spin filter. The wash buffer was removed by centrifugation at $16,060 \times \mathrm{g}$ for 2 min . The spin filter was transferred to a new tube. Fifty $\mu \mathrm{L}$ of elution buffer preheated to $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added to the spin filter, and the sample was incubated at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 min , before poly A RNA was eluted by centrifugation at $16,060 \times \mathrm{g}$ for 1 min . The elution process was repeated twice.

### 2.18.3 RNA quantitation by measuring absorbance and $A_{260} / A_{280} \mathbf{n m}$

The concentration and purity of the isolated RNA was assessed by measuring the absorbance of the RNA at 260 and 280 nm . The concentration of RNA was assessed
by measuring the absorbance of a 100 -fold dilution of the RNA in TE buffer (Section 2.4.1.8, prepared with DEPC-treated double-distilled water). For RNA, an absorbance of 1 at 260 nm is equivalent to a concentration of $40 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$. To calculate the RNA concentration, the absorbance at 260 nm was multiplied by the dilution factor and by $40 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$. The purity of the RNA was assessed by measuring the ratio between the absorbances at 260 and 280 nm , with a ratio of 1.8 to 2.2 indicating pure RNA had been obtained.

### 2.18.4 DNase I treatment of RNA

Before cDNA synthesis, RNA was treated with Amplification grade DNase I (Invitrogen). Each $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ reaction contained $1 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of RNA ( or 100 ng of mRNA), $1 \times$ DNase I reaction buffer (Invitrogen) and one unit of DNase I, amplification grade (Invitrogen). Reactions were scaled up as necessary, and incubated at room temperature for 15 min . The DNase I was inactivated by the addition of $1 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of 25 mM EDTA solution followed by treatment at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min .

### 2.18.5 cDNA synthesis

cDNA was prepared using either $1 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of total RNA or 100 ng of poly A RNA along with 0.09 OD units of random hexamer primer (Roche) per $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ cDNA reaction. The RNA/primer mix was incubated at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min to denature the RNA. After this step, components were added so the final $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ reaction volume contained $1 \times$ Expand ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ RT buffer (Rochc), 10 mM DTT, 1 mM dNTPs and 8 U RNascOUT ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ RNase inhibitor (Invitrogen). For a reactions to make cDNA, 50 U of Expand ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ reverse transcriptase (Roche) was added. If reactions were to be used as no RT controls, the reverse transcriptase was omitted. Reactions were incubated at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min to allow the random hexamer primers to anneal, then incubated at $42^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 45 min to allow primer extension. cDNA was normally diluted before use.

### 2.19 PLANT-ENDOPHYTE SYMBIOTA GROWTH AND MAINTENANCE

### 2.19.1 Plant maintenance

Plant symbiota created in this study were maintained at the GMO grass glasshouse at AgResearch Grasslands, Palmerston North. Plants were grown in potting mix containing the slow release fertiliser Osmacote ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$. Plants were maintained by regularly cutting the plants back to several cm above the leaf base. Plants were treated for fungal infections by spraying with antifungal agents and insect infestations by spraying with insecticides. Plants were occasionally repotted to reduce the root mass of grass plants.

### 2.19.2 Inoculation of grass seedlings with endophyte hyphae

### 2.19.2.1 Surface sterilisation of grass seeds

Grass seeds were soaked in $50 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ for 30 min to remove traces of fungicide on the seeds, then washed three times in water. The seeds were then soaked in $50 \%$ chlorine bleach for 30 min and washed three times in sterile double-distilled water. The seeds were air-dried on sterlised filter paper before use.

### 2.19.2.2 Inoculation of grass seedlings with endophytes

Grass seeds were germinated on water agar (Section 2.3.8) at $22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for seven days. Seedlings were grown in the dark to ensure the seedling was etiolated, making the location of the meristematic zone (which appears as a slight bulge in the pseudostem) easier to find. Looking at the seedlings under a dissecting microscope, a small incision was cut in the meristematic zone. A small square of agar containing fungal hyphae was then placed over the incision. The inoculated grass seedlings were then incubated for a further seven days in the dark, followed by at least two days under bright light before the seedlings were transferred into root trainers.

### 2.19.2.3 Root training of inoculated seedlings

Seedlings were placed in potting mix containing fertiliser in root training pots in the GMO glasshouse at AgResearch. Seedlings were grown for approximately one month before being assessed for the presence of endophyte by aniline blue staining (Section 2.19.3.1) or immunoblot detection (Section 2.19.3.2).

### 2.19.3 Detection of infected seedlings after endophyte inoculation

### 2.19.3.1 Aniline blue staining

The outermost leaf sheath was removed from a grass tiller and epidermal strips were scraped off using a scalpel blade and placed into a drop of aniline blue stain (Section 2.4.4.1) on a slide and covered by a coverslip. The slide was heated to remove air bubbles and hasten staining. The slides were examined by microscopy as described in Section 2.20.

### 2.19.3.2 Immunodetection by immunoblotting

### 2.19.3.2.1 Immunoblotting blocking solution

Blocking solution contained 20 mM Tris (hydroxymethyl) methylamine, 50 mM $\mathrm{NaCl}, 0.5 \%$ non fat milk powder and 10 mM HCl in distilled water at pH 7.5 .

### 2.19.3.2.2 Immunoblotting Tris buffer

Tris buffer contained 200 mM Tris (hydroxymethyl) methylamine in distilled water at pH 8.2.

### 2.19.3.2.3 Fast Red chromogen

Fast Red was dissolved at $0.6 \%$ (w/v) in immunoblotting Tris buffer (Section 2.19.3.2.2) and naphthol AS-MX phosphate was dissolved at $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ in immunoblotting Tris buffer. The Fast Red and naphthol AS-MX phosphate solutions were then combined at a $1: 1$ ratio.

### 2.19.3.2.4 Immunoblot detection of endophyte in grass tissues

This method is based on that of Gwinn et al. (1991). Freshly cut grass tillers were pressed onto $0.45 \mu \mathrm{M}$ Electran Nitocellulose membrane (BDH). The membrane was incubated in immunoblotting blocking buffer (Section 2.19.3.2.1) for at least 2 h at room temperature. Fresh blocking solution containing 1000 fold dilution of primary antibody (a rabbit polyclonal antibody prepared against homogenised endophyte mycelia) was added, and incubated with shaking overnight at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The primary antibody/blocking solution was removed, and a 2000 fold dilution of anti-rabbit
enzyme-conjugated secondary antibody was added to the membrane. The membrane was then incubated at room temperature for 2 h . The secondary antibody-blocking solution was removed, and the membrane was rinsed in fresh blocking solution. The membrane was incubated in Fast Red chromogen (Section 2.19.3.2.3)

### 2.20 MICROSCOPY AND PHOTOGRAPHY

Microscopic analysis was performed at the Confocal Microscope facility, IMBS, Massey University using bright field microscopy on an upright fluorescent microscope with DIC optics and bright field with image capture using an attached digital camera. Photos of fungal hyphae in culture were taken by a digital camera using bright field microscopy on a stereo dissecting microscope. All other plate photos were taken using a Olympus OM camera.

### 2.21 BIOINFORMATICS

DNA sequences were assembled into contigs using Sequencher ${ }^{\text {TM }} 4.5$ (Genetic Codes Corporation). Sequences were annotated and diagrammatically represented using the MacVector ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ 4.2.3 program (Accelrys). Sequences were analysed for similarities to other nucleotide or peptide sequences by BlastN, BlastX and BlastP (Altschul et al., 1997). Open reading frames were identified by similarity to other fungal sequences detected by BlastX or by FGENESH HMM-based gene structure prediction using the F. graminearum model at http://www.softberry.com (Salamov and Solovyev, 2000). Sequences from fungal genomes were obtained as follows: $A$. nidulans, C. globosum, F. graminearum, M. grisea, N. crassa, S. nodorum, U. maydis, Coprinus cinereus and Cryptococcus neoformans serotype A were obtained from http://www.broad.mit.edu/annotation/fgi/. P. anserina sequences were obtained from http://podospora.igmors.u-psud.fr/facts.html, and Phanerochaete chrysosporum sequences from http://genome.jgi-psf.org/whiterotl/whiterotl.home.html.

Alignments of nucleotide and peptide sequences were performed using the ClustalW module of MacVector тм 4.2.3. Phylogenetic analyses were performed using Neighbour Joining (with tie breaking resolved randomly) with Poisson distribution of
distances with gaps distributed proportionally, with bootstrapping analysis performed with 1000 repetitions.

MEME (Multiple EM for Motif Elicitation) analysis of promoter DNA sequences to search for frequently occurring motifs was performed as described in Bailey and Elkan (1994) at the website http://meme.sdsc.edu/meme/meme.html. SignalP analysis of peptide sequences was performed at http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/SignalP/ using the SignalP3.0 server, which is optimised for the detection of eukaryotic signal peptides and signal anchors typically found in secreted and membrane proteins (Bendtsen et al., 2004).

## CHAPTER 3

Results:
E. festucae subtilsin-like protease gene family

### 3.1 E. FESTUCAE AND N. LOLII PROTEINASE K FAMILY GENES (SUBFAMILIES 1 AND 2)

The first aim of this study was to characterise members of the subtilisin-like protease gene family in E. festucae Fll. Previous studies identified three different subtilisinlike protease genes from Epichloë and Neotyphodium spp. closely related to E. festucae Fll (McGill, 2000; Reddy et al., 1996). The prtl and prt2 genes were identified in $N$. lolii Lp19 by library screening using PCR products amplified using primers based on the Atl gene from E. typhina. The prtl, prt2 and Atl genes all encoded distinct subtilisin-like proteases. The characterisation of homologues of these threc genes, along with additional subtilisin-like protease genes, is reported.

### 3.1.1 The prt1 and prt5 genes

The N. lolii Lp19 prtl gene was previously identified within a $\lambda$ GEM-12 N. lolii Lp19 genomic DNA library (McGill, 2000) using the prtl probe described in McGill (2000). The corresponding prtl gene was identified within a E. festucae Fll genomic DNA cosmid library described in Tanaka et al. (2005) using a [ $\left.{ }^{32} \mathrm{P}\right]$-dCTP-labelled PCR product amplified from N. lolii Lp19 prtl with the primer pair MM5-MM2 as a probe (Section 2.10). Six independent cosmids from the library hybridised to this probe. Cosmids 1 Al and 3 F 7 were used for subcloning and sequencing.

Comparison of Southern hybridisation and sequence data for the $N$. lolii Lp19 and E. festucae Fll prtl genes showed differences in restriction fragment lengths between the two genes at the 3' end, but not at the 5 ' end (Figures 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3; Table 3.1). Based on the Southern hybridisation, a 4.6 kb PstI fragment from Fll, chosen because it contained the complete prtl gene (Figures 3.1 and 3.3, isolated from cosmid 3F7), was subcloned into pUC118 to create the pMM51 plasmid and then sequenced. Sequencing of pMM51 and direct scquencing of the cosmids 1 Al and 3F7 showed another subtilisin-like protease gene, prt5, was directly upstream of prt1 in the E. festucae Fll genome (Figure 3.3). Southern blotting demonstrated that the E. festucae Fll prt 5 and prtl genes were on a common 3 kb RcaI band (Figures 3.1 and 3.4; Tables 3.1 and 3.2).

Further sequencing upstream of the $N$. lolii Lp19 prtl gene was performed using the plasmids pMM3 and pMM4, which contained EcoRI fragments from $\lambda \mathrm{MM} 30.4$ (previously identified within a $\lambda$ GEM-12 N. lolii Lp19 genomic DNA library, as described in McGill (2000). pMM3 contained a 1.9 kb EcoRI fragment, which was identified by PCR screening with the primer pair MM131-MM48 as containing part of the prt 5 gene. pMM4 contained a 1.5 kb EcoRI fragment, which may have been truncated in comparison to the genomic band as some $\lambda$ vector sequence was found in this insert (data not shown). Sequencing from the pMM3 and pMM4 plasmids demonstrated that $N$. lolii also contained a gene with strong identity to the $E$. festucae Fll prt5 gene directly upstream of the $N$. lolii prtl gene.

The N. lolii Lp19 and E. festucae Fll prtl genes contain two exons, separated by a single intron at a conserved position (confirmed by sequencing of a cDNA product). Both prtl genes encode 434 amino acid residue-prcproproteins with high levels of similarity to the Metarhizium anisopliae protcases PrlD, PrlE and PrlF (Bagga et


Figure 3.1 Southern analysis of N. Iolii Lp19 and E. festucae FI1 prt1


#### Abstract

Southern analysis of N. Iolii Lp19 and E. festucae F11 prt1. (A) N. Iolii Lp19 (lanes 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12 and 14) and E. festucae FI1(lanes 3,5, 7, 9, 11, 13 and 15) genomic DNA ( $1 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ ) digested with EcoRI (lanes 2 and 3), Ncol (lane 4 and 5), Pstl (lanes 6 and 7), Rcal (lanes 8 and 9), Sall (lanes 10 and 11), Sstl (lanes 12 and 13) and Xhol (lanes 14 and 15). Lane 1 contains $\lambda$ Hindlll ladder. Sizes of marker fragments are shown in kb. (B) Autoradiograph of the gel from A hybridised with a [ ${ }^{32}$ P]-labelled $N$. Iolii Lp19 prt1 fragment amplifed with primers MM5 and MM2.


| Endophyte strain | EcoRI | Ncol | Psti | Rcal | Sah | Sst | Xhol |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N. lolii Lp19 | 3.3 kb | 4.8 kb | >23 kb | 3.0 kb | 2.6 kb | 22.0 kb | $\begin{gathered} 20.6 \mathrm{~kb} \\ 5.6 \mathrm{~kb} \end{gathered}$ |
| E. festucae Fl1 | 5.6 kb | 6.0 kb | 4.6 kb | 3.0 kb | 2.6 kb | 5.0 kb | $\begin{aligned} & 4.9 \mathrm{~kb} \\ & 5.6 \mathrm{~kb} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |



## Figure 3.2 Structure of the $N$. Iolii Lp19 prt5 and prt1 genes

Structure and restriction map of the $N$. Iolii Lp19 prt5 and prt1 genomic region. (A) Restriction map of N. Iolii Lp19 prt5 and prt1 genomic region. Sizes of restriction fragments are shown in kb . (B) Structure of the $\mathbf{N}$. Iolii Lp19 prt5 and prt1 genomic region. The N. Iolii Lp19 prt5 and prt1 coding regions are shown in blue. Primers are shown by arrows ( 5 ' to $3^{\prime}$ ). The exon-intron structure of the genes is shown beneath, with exons and introns indicated by red and yellow boxes respectively. Microsatellite regions downstream of N. Iolii Lp19 prt1 are indicated by green boxes. The position that the probe used in Figure 3.1 would hybridise to is indicated by a grey box.


Figure 3.3 Structure of the E. festucae Fl1 prt5 and prt1 genes


#### Abstract

Structure and restriction map of the $E$. festucae Fl 1 prt5 and prt1 genomic region. (A) Restriction map of $E$. festucae Fl1 prt5 and prt1 genomic region. Sizes of restriction fragments are shown in kb. (B) Structure of the E. festucae Fl1 prt5 and prt1 genomic region. The E. festucae FI1 prt5 and prt1 coding regions are shown in blue. Primers are shown by arrows (indicating direction of amplification from the primers). The exon-intron structure of the genes is shown beneath, with exons and introns indicated by red and yellow boxes respectively. The positions where the probes used in Figures 3.1 and 3.4 would hybridise are indicated by grey boxes labelled prt1 and prt5 respectively.




Table 3.2 Fragments homologous to E. festucae FI1 prt5

| Enzyme | Hybridising fragment size (kb) |
| :---: | :---: |
| BamHI | $>23.0$ |
| Bg/ll | $9.0,2.8$ |
| EcoRI | $11.5,1.8$ |
| HindIII | 8.4 |
| KpnI | 20.0 |
| Ncol | 5.5 |
| Pstl | 8.6 |
| Sall | $0.8,0.7$ |
| Ral | $3.0,1.2$ |
| Sphl | 5.1 |
| Sstl | 2.5 |
| Xbal | 12.0 |
| Xhol | 5.0 |

al., 2004), and Fusarium graminearum hypothetical proteins FG00806.1, FG11405.1 and FG08464.1 (http://www.broad.mit.edu) (Figure 3.18). However, the bootstrap value for this clade is relatively low (Figure 3.18). Analysis of the N. lolii Lp19 and E. festucae Fll Prtl preproprotein sequences showed a putative signal peptide cleaved between amino acid residues 21 and 22 (Section 2.21, SignalP3.0 prediction, Bendtsen et al., 2004; Appendix 17). The N. lolii Lp19 and E. festucae Fll Prtl preproproteins are $99 \%$ identical, differing only at amino acid residucs 164 (N. lolii Lp19 I > E. festucae FlI V) and 431 ( N. lolii Lpl 9 S > E. festucae Fll P).

The N. lolii Lp19 and E. festucae F11 prt5 gencs contain four exons. The intervening introns, which were confirmed by direct sequencing of cDNA, are 64 bp in
E. festucae Fll (63 bp in N. lolii Lp19), 78 bp in E. festucae Fll (81 bp in N. lolii Lp19) and 74 bp in E. festucae Fll and N. lolii Lpl9 in length respectively. Both the N. lolii Lp19 and E. festucae Fll prt5 genes encode identical preproproteins of 395 amino acid residues in length. Again, both the N. lolii Lp19 and E. festucae Fll Prt5 preproproteins appeared to contain a signal peptide cleaved between amino acid residues 18 and 19 (Section 2.21, SignalP 3.0 prediction, Bendtsen et al., 2004).


## 'Figure 3.5 Gene structure of the E. festucae F11 prt5 and prt1 genes

Gene structure of the E. festucae F11 prt5, prt1 and orf4 genes. RT-PCR analysis for the prt5 and prt1 genes was performed with total RNA isolated from E. festucae Fl1 cultures grown in PD broth for 7 days, while poly A RNA was used for orf4. cDNA was reverse transcribed from DNase I-treated total RNA or poly-A RNA using the Expand RT enzyme (Roche) (Section 2.18.5). All fragment sizes shown adjacent to gels are indicated in bp. On the schematic diagram of each gene, the position within the prt5-prt1 locus is indicated in bp. Exons (confirmed by sequencing of cDNA products) are indicated by red boxes. Primers are positioned above or below the sequence for forward or reverse direction respectively. Introns are numbered in blue text between exons. The position within the prt5-prt1 locus is indicated below the sequence schematic in bp. (A) The E. festucae Fl1 prt5 gene. Lane 1: E. festucae Fl1 genomic DNA, lane 2: 10 fold dilution of E. festucae FI1 cDNA, lane 3: negative control. B) The E. festucae Fl1 prt1 gene. Lane 1: E. festucae FI1 genomic DNA, lane 2: 10 fold dilution of E. festucae F11 cDNA, lane 3: negative control. C) The 3' region of the E. festucae FI1 orf4 coding region. Lane 1: no RT control, lane 2: E. festucae Fl1 genomic DNA, lane 3: 10 fold dilution of $E$. festucae Fl 1 cDNA , lane 4: negative control.

The following PCR conditions were used: 5 ng genomic DNA or $5 \mu \mathrm{~L} 20$ fold diluted cDNA, $1 \times$ Taq polymerase buffer (Roche), $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ each dNTP, 200 nM of each primer, and 0.5 U Taq polymerase in a volume of $25 \mu \mathrm{~L}$. The PCR amplification conditions were as follows: $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 min , followed by 35 cycles of $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $30 \mathrm{~s}, 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $30 \mathrm{~s}, 72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 min , then one cycle of $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min .

FGENESH analysis also identified another incomplete open reading frame, orf4, directly downstream of $E$. festucae Fll prtl. The orf4 gene encodes a protein that shares some identity with a small gene family of unknown function from F. graminearum, consisting of the FG10477, FG02198 and FG10456 genes. Related sequences are present in the genome of the closely related Trichoderma reesei, but not in the genomes of the more distantly related species M. grisea, N. crassa or A. nidulans (Appendix A3). The orf4 gene is expressed in culture (Figure 3.5).

The promoter regions of both the E. festucae Fll prt5 and prtl genes were analysed for the presence of sequences known to bind the fungal global transcription factors CreA, AreA/Nit2/AreA, PacC and Sebl. CreA represses gene expression in response to the presence of glucose (Dowser and Kelly, 1989), while AreANit2/AreA activates gene expression in the absence of a preferred nitrogen source such as glutamine or ammonium (Marzluf, 1997). PacC regulates the expression of genes in response to pH (Mingot et al., 2001), while the role of Sebl in gene regulation is unclear (Peterbauer et al., 2002).

The 1688 bp intergenic region between the E. festucae Fll prt5 and prtl coding regions (containing the promoter for the E.festucae Fll prtl gene) contained seven putative CreA binding sites, while only two putative binding sites were found within the gene itself. Within this region, there were two closely spaced putative CreA binding sites. However, the 1655 bp region downstream of prtl contained 10 putative binding sites. This is in contrast with the E. festucae Fll prt5, which contained 10 putative CreA binding sites in its promoter, but also contained 12 putative CreA binding sites in the prt5 coding region (Figure 3.6A).

The E. festucae Fll prtl promoter contained no strong affinity sites for the AreA/Nit2/AreA transcription factor, but did contain six weaker affinity sites (Figure 3.6B). Again, only two weak affinity binding sites were present in the E. festucae Fll prtl coding region. However, the E. festucae Fll prt 5 promoter containcd seven high affinity binding sites for ArcANit2/AreA (HGATAR), with three weak affinity binding sites (GATA). The E. festucae Fll prt5 coding region, however, only contained one strong and one weaker affinity AreA/Nit2/AreA binding sites. These
results could suggest that E. festucae Fll prt5 may be regulated by availability of a preferred nitrogen source.

Both E. festucae Fll prt5 and prtl promoters contained 4 putative PacC binding sites, while the coding regions contained 6 and 7 putative binding sites for prt5 and prtl respectively (Figure 3.6C). The distribution of Sebl binding sites was most interesting for the Fll prt5 gene, which contained 5 putative Sebl binding sites


Figure 3.6 Potential binding sites for fungal global transcription regulators in E. festucae FI1 prt5 and prt1

Analysis for putative binding sites for the fungal transcription factors CreA (carbon regulation), Nit2/AreA (nitrogen regulation), PacC (pH regulation) and Seb1 (transcriptional regulator) in the $E$. festucae F11 prt5 and prt1 genes.(A) Putative binding sites for CreA (SYGGRG) are indicated by blue lollipops containing the letter C. (B) Putative binding sites for Nit2/AreA (HGATAR) are indicated by green lollipops containing the letter N. Weaker binding sites (GATA) are indicated by light green lollipops containing the letter $n$. (C) Putative binding sites for PacC (GCCARG) are indicated by red lollipops containing the letter P. (D) Putative binding sites for Seb1 (AGGGG) are indicated by purple lollipops containing the letter S .
within a very small region of the promoter, but three putative binding sites spread throughout the E. festucae Fll prt 5 coding region. This could indicate some role for Scbl (or other transcription factors binding to this sequence) in regulating expression of the E.festucae Fll prt5 gene. Meanwhile, the E. festucae Fll prt5 promoter and coding region both contained only a single putative binding site for Sebl.

The prtl promoter contained more CreA, strong affinity AreA/Nit2, PacC and Sebl binding sites, but less weak affinity AreA/Nit2 binding sites, than expected if the binding site sequences occurred randomly throughout the promoter ${ }^{1}$. The prtl promoter contained approximately the same number of CreA binding sites if the sequence occurred at random through the promoter. However, less of both the strong and weaker affinity AreA/Nit2 binding sites and the Scbl binding sites were scen than expected in this promoter sequence. Slightly more PacC binding sites were observed than expected for a random occurrence through the promoter.

MEME (Multiple EM for Motif Elicitation) analysis was also carried out on the promoter to look for any sequences over-represented in the promoter sequence (Section 2.21, Figure 3.7). All of the resulting MEME motifs are listed in Appendix All). The most common sequence identified in the E. festucae Fll prtl promoter was a poly A sequence (MEME motif 1). However, the prt 5 promoter did not contain this motif. Both the prt5 and prtl promoters contained MEME motifs 2, 12 and 18. The prt5 promoter also contained multiple copies of MEME motifs $4,6,10$ and 15 , which were also found as motifs in the promoters of the prt2 and prt 3 genes (Figure 3.7, Section 3.1.2 and 3.1.3).

### 3.1.2 The prt2 gene

The prt2 gene from N. lolii Lp19 was also identified and partially sequenced in a previous study (McGill, 2000). The gene was identified within a $\lambda$ GEM-12 N. lolii Lp19 genomic DNA library using the prt2 probe described in McGill (2000). In this

[^0]
previous study, a 2 kb truncated BamHI fragment (from $\lambda \mathrm{MM} 3.3$ ) had been subcloned into pUC118 (to give the vector pMM7) and sequenced. In this study, the remainder of the coding region of $N$. lolii prt2 along with further downstream sequence was obtained by directly sequencing $\lambda \mathrm{MM} 3.3$.

Southern blotting of N. lolii Lp19 (with related strains $N$. lolii AR1 and E. typhina $\mathbf{x}$. lolii hybrid Lpl) was performed in order to develop a restriction map of the $N$. lolii Lpl 9 prt2 locus (Figure 3.8, Table 3.3). This showed that pMM7 did indeed contain a truncated BamHI fragment, with the genomic BamHI digest giving a fragment of 3.6 kb (Figure 3.8D). Direct sequencing of $\lambda$ MM3.3 using the MM14


Southern analysis of prt2. (A) Endophyte genomic DNA ( $2 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ ) from N. Iolii Lp19 (lanes 2-5) digested with EcoRI (lane 2), Hindlll (lane 3), Sall (lane 4) and Sall/Sstl (lane 5); N. Iolii $\times$ E. typhina strain Lp1 (lanes 6-19) digested with EcoRI (lane 6), HindIII (lane 7), Sall (lane 8) and Sstl (lane 9); N. Iolii strain AR1 (lanes 10-13) digested with EcoRI (lane 10), Hindlll (lane 11), Sall (lane 12) and SstI (lane 13); Lanes 1 and 15 contain $\lambda$ HindIII and 1 kb plus (Invitrogen) ladders respectively. Sizes of marker fragments are shown in kb. (B) Autoradiograph of the gel from $\mathbf{A}$ hybridised with a [ ${ }^{32} \mathrm{P}$ ]-labelled EcoRI-Sstl fragment from the vector pGH3, containing the larger PCR product shown in Appendix 1. (C) Endophyte genomic DNA (2 $\mu \mathrm{g})$ from $N$. Iolii Lp19 (lanes $2,4,6,8,10,12$ and 14) and N. Iolii $\times$ E. typhina strain Lp1 (lanes 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13 and 15) digested with BamH (lanes 2 and 3), Clal (lanes 4 and 5), EcoRV (lanes 6 and 7), Ncol (lanes 8 and 9), Pstl (lanes 10 and 11), Smal (lanes 12 and 13) and Xhol (lanes 14 and 15). Lanes 1 and 15 contain $\lambda$ HindIII and 1 kb (Invitrogen) plus ladders respectively. Sizes of marker fragments are shown in kb. (D) Autoradiograph of the gel from C hybridised with a [ ${ }^{32} \mathrm{P}$ ]-labelled EcoRi-Sstl fragment from the vector pGH3 (McGill, 2000).

| Table 3.3 Fragments homologous to N . Iolif Lp19 prt2 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Enzyme | Lp19 | Lp1 | AR1 |
| EcoRI | 8.5 kb | $8.5 \mathrm{~kb}, 4.0 \mathrm{~kb}$ | 8.5 kb |
| HindIII | 0.8 kb | 0.8 kb | 0.8 kb |
| Sall | 3.8 kb | $3.8 \mathrm{~kb}, 0.9 \mathrm{~kb}$ | 3.8 kb |
| Sstl | 3.8 kb | $8.2 \mathrm{~kb}, 7.8 \mathrm{~kb}$ | 7.8 kb |
| Enzyme | Lp19 | Lp1 |  |
| BamHI | 3.6 kb | $3.6 \mathrm{~kb}, 10.0 \mathrm{~kb}$ |  |
| Clal | 10.0 kb | 10.0 kb |  |
| EcoRV | 0.8 kb | 0.8 kb |  |
| Ncol | 2.3 kb | $2.6 \mathrm{~kb}, 2.3 \mathrm{~kb}$ |  |
| Pstl | 3.10 kb | $4.0 \mathrm{~kb}, 3.10 \mathrm{~kb}$ |  |
| Smal | 4.2 kb | $4.2 \mathrm{~kb}, 3.4 \mathrm{~kb}$ |  |
| Xhol | 6.4 kb | 6.4 kb |  |

primer showed that the only lambda sequence was upstream of 2.0 kb BamHI fragment containing the prt2 gene, so it was necessary to perform inverse PCR to obtain sequence upstream of prt2 (as described in Section 2.17.6). A BamHI digest of $N$. lolii Lp19 genomic DNA was self-ligated, then amplified with the primer pair MM38 and MM26 (Table 2.4, Figure 3.9). The inverse PCR product was subcloned into pGEM-T Easy (Promega) (to give the vector pMM12), then sequenced. This region was also sequenced by direct sequencing from a PCR product amplified from N. lolii Lp19 genomic DNA with the primers MM1 19 and MM38.

Sequencing downstream of the prt2 coding region (i.e. in the gcnl region) was carried out by designing primers based on a closely related sequence from the endophyte Neotyphodium sp. FCB2002 (Moy et al., 2002). This region was amplified with the primer pair MM56 and MM71, and the PCR product sequenced. The $N$. lolii Lp19 gcnl gene encodes a putative $\beta-1,6$-glucanase, with high similarities to the Neotyphodium sp. FCB2002 glucanase, and also to related $\beta-1,6-$ glucanases from Trichoderma harzianum and Verticillium fungicola.

The E. festucae Fll prt2 gene was identified in the same E. festucae Fll genomic DNA cosmid library described in Section 3.1.1 by probing with a MM15-MM6 PCR product amplified from N. lolii Lp19. Library screening identified fifteen cosmids that contained the prt2 gene. Cosmid 13B2 was digested with BamHI, and then shotgun cloned into pUC118. PCR screening using primer pairs MM15-MM6 (prt2) and MM56-MM55 (gcnl) identified transformants containing the 4 kb BamHI


#### Abstract



\section*{Figure 3.9 Structure of the N. Iolii Lp 19 prt 2 locus}

Structure and restriction map of the N. Tolit Lp19 prt2 genomic region. (A) Restriction map of N. Tolit Lp19 prt2 genomic region. Sizes of restriction fragments are shown in kb. (B) Structure of the N. Iolii Lp19 prt2 genomic region. The N. Iolii Lp19 prt2 and gcn1 coding regions are shown in blue. Primers are shown by black arrows ( $5^{\prime}$ to $3^{\prime}$ ). The exon-intron structure of the genes is shown beneath, with exons and introns indicated by red and yellow boxes respectively. The probe used in Figure 3.7 is indicated by a grey box. The origin of each fragment is indicated by black double arows above the sequence. The inverse PCR (I-PCR) fragment was amplified from a BamHI digested genomic DNA ligation, amplified with primers MM38 and MM26. The PCR fragment at the end of the gcn 1 gene was amplified using the primers MM56 and MM71. Sequence of the MM71 primer was based on the Neotyphodium sp. FCB2002 B-1,6-glucanase mRNA (accession AF535131). The annealing site of MM71 primer is not shown as it is not present in the sequence obtained.




Figure 3.10 Structure of the E. festucae Fl1 prt2 locus
Structure and restriction map of the E. festucae FI1 prt2 genomic region. (A) Restriction map of the E. festucae Fl1 prt2 genomic region. Sizes of restriction fragments are shown in kb. The positions of the inserts in pMM44 and pMM45 (BamH inserts), and pMM48 and pMM49 (Pstl inserts) plasmids are shown above the restriction map. (B) Structure of the E. festucae FI1 prt2 genomic region. The E. festucae Fl1 prt2, gcn1, cyc1 and ptn1 coding regions are shown in blue. Primers are shown by black arrows ( $5^{\prime}$ to $3^{\prime}$ ). The exon-intron structure of the genes is shown beneath, with exons and introns indicated by red and yellow boxes respectively.
(pMM45) or 4.6 kb BamHI (pMM44) fragments respectively (Figure 3.10). In order to obtain overlapping clones giving further sequence downstream of the prt2 and gcnl genes, the 13B2 cosmid was also digested with PstI, then shotgun cloned into pUC118. PCR screening of transformants was performed to identify clones containing the prt2, gcnl and cycl genes using the MM15-MM6, MM56-MM55 and MM86-MM101 primer pairs respectively (Table 2.4, Figure 3.10).

Through sequencing of pMM44, pMM49 and direct sequencing of cosmid 13B2, two further genes were identified downstream of the E. festucae Fll prt2 and gcnl genes. These genes were named cycl and ptnl. The cycl gene encodes a putative C-type cyclin similar to the product of the $S$. cerevisiae ctk2 gene (Appendix A4). Based on sequence identity, the cycl gene is predicted to contain one intron (Figure 3.11C). However, this gene is expressed at low levels in culture, so the presence of this intron has not been experimentally confirmed. In S. cerevisiae, Ctk2 forms a divergent cyclin-CDK (cyclin dependent kinase) complex (CTDK-I) with two other subunits (Hautbergue and Goguel, 2001; Stemer et al., 1995), which regulates RNA polymerase I and II by phosphorylating a serine residue in the carboxy-terminal domain (CTD).

The ptnl gene encodes a putative phosphoinositide 3-phosphatase, a homologue of the Schizosaccharomyces pombe ptnl gene and the vertebrate PTEN gene (Maehama et al., 2001; Mitra et al., 2004). The ptnl gene appears to contain one intron based on sequence identitity with related fungal sequences; however, due to low expression levels introns have not been experimentally confirmed (Figure 3.11D). The Ptnl protein contains the protein tyrosine phosphatase (PTP) superfamily catalytic motif of HCxxGxxR found in PTEN homologues. All of the catalytic residues required for phosphoinositide 3-phosphatase activity are conserved in Ptnl (Appendix A5), suggesting that the ptnl gene could encode a functional phosphoinositide 3-phosphatase.

The N. lolii Lp19 and E. festucae Fll prt2 genes both appear to consist of four exons (Figure 3.11, expcrimentally confirmed in Section 4.3.1). This exon-intron arrangement is identical to that of the prt5 gene in intron number. Putative introns 1, 2 and 3 are 72 bp in E. festucae Fll ( 72 bp in N. lolii Lp19), 83 bp in E. festucae Fll


Gene structure of the E. festucae FI1 prt2, gcn1, cyc1 and ptn1 genes. RT-PCR analysis was performed with RNA isolated from E. festucae Fl1 cultures grown in PD broth for 7 days. cDNA was reverse transcribed from poly-A RNA using the Expand RT enzyme (Roche) (Section 2.18.5). All fragment sizes shown adjacent to gels are indicated in bp. On the schematic diagram of each gene, the position within the prt2 locus is indicated in bp. Exons (confimed by sequencing of cDNA products) are indicated by red boxes. Primers are positioned above or below the sequence for forward or reverse direction respectively. Introns are numbered in blue text between exons. The position within the prt5-prt1 locus is indicated below the sequence schematic in bp. (A) The E. festucae Fl1 prt2 gene. Lane 1: E. festucae FI1 genomic DNA, lane 2: 10 fold dilution of E. festucae FI1 cDNA, lane 3: negative control. B) The E. festucae FI1 gcn1 gene. Lane 1: E. festucae Fl1 genomic DNA, lane 2: 10 fold dilution of $E$. festucae FI1 cDNA, lane 3: negative control. C) The E. festucae FI1 cyc1 gene. Lane 1: E. festucae FI1 genomic DNA, lane 2: 10 fold dilution of E. festucae FI1 cDNA, lane 3: negative control. D) The E. festucae Fl1 ptn1 gene. Lane 1: E. festucae FI1 genomic DNA, lane 2: 10 fold dilution of $E$. festucae FI1 cDNA, lane 3: negative control.

The following PCR conditions were used: 5 ng genomic DNA or $5 \mu$ diluted cDNA, 1 x Taq polymerase buffer (Roche), $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ each dNTP, 200 nM of each primer, and 0.5 U Taq polymerase in a volume of $25 \mu \mathrm{~L}$. The PCR amplification conditions for the primer pairs MM125MM25, MM56-MM72, MM126-MM127, MM159-MM105 and MM128-MM124 were as follows: $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 min , followed by 30 cycles of $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $30 \mathrm{~s}, 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $30 \mathrm{~s}, 72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 min , then one cycle of $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min .
(85 bp in N. lolii Lp19) and 71 bp in E. festucae Fll (73 bp in N. lolii Lp19) respectively. Putative introns were determined based on homology with closely related fungal subtilisin-like protease genes, as no expression of this gene has been detected in culture or in planta (Section 3.5, Section 4.3). Exon-intron boundaries for
the prt2 gene were confirmed by sequencing a prt2 cDNA expressed under the control of the $A$. nidulans gpdA promoter as described in Section 4.3.

The E. festucae Fll and N. lolii Lpl9 prt2 genes encode highly similar preproproteins of 389 amino acid residues in length. The only differences between the N. lolii Lp19 and E. festucae Fll Prt2 proteins arise at positions 124 (N. lolii Lp19 Q > E. festucae Fll K) and 134 (N. lolii Lp19 A $>$ E.festucae Fll E). Like the $\operatorname{Prt5}$ and Prtl preproproteins, the Prt2 preproprotein also contains a signal peptide probably cleaved between residues 16 and 17 (Section 2.21, SignalP 3.0 prediction, Bendtsen et al., 2004), indicating that the prt2 genes encode putative extracellular proteins. Comparisons between the E. festucae F1l and N. lolii Lp19 gcnl genes and their encoded proteins are shown in Figures 5.1 and 5.2 (Section 5.1).

The prt2 promoter contained multiple binding sites for both CreA and AreA/Nit2 (Figure 3.12). The distribution of the CreA binding sites was noteworthy, as there were two pairs, each containing two very closely spaced CreA sites. However, less CreA sites were observed than expected by chance for this region. The number of AreA/Nit2, PacC and Sebl binding sites was similar to that expected for a random occurrence of these sequences within the 2340 bp promoter region.

MEME analysis was also carried out on the E. festucae Fll prt 2 promoter to look for any sites over-represented in the promoter sequence (Figure 3.7, Section 2.21, Appendix All). The prt2 promoter contained MEME motifs 2, 12 and 18 found in both the prtl and prt 5 promoters, MEME motif 1 found in the prtl promoter, and MEME motifs $3,4,5,6,7,8,10,15$ and 25 found in the prt 5 promoter. The prt 2 promoter contains multiple copies of MEME motifs $4,6,8,10$ and 23.

### 3.1.3 The prt3 gene

### 3.1.3.1 Isolation of the N. Iolii Lp19 and E. festucae FI1 prt3 genes

None of the $N$. lolii or E. festucae prt5, prtl or prt2 gene products is similar enough to the E. typhina Atl gene product to suggest these genes are the Atl homologues. Based on this, the primer pair MM75-MM76 (Table 2.4) was designed based on the


Figure 3.12 Potential binding sites for fungal global transcription regulators at the E. festucae FI1 prt2 locus

[^1]Atl nucleotide sequence. A 463 bp product was amplified from N. lolii Lp19 genomicDNA (Figure 3.13), and subcloned into pGEM-T Easy (Promega) to give the plasmid pMM38. Sequencing demonstrated that the MM75-MM76 PCR product in pMM38 was $90 \%$ identical to $A t 1$ at the nucleotide level (compared to prtl, prt2 and prt5 with nucleotide identities with Atl of $46 \%, 58 \%$ and $59 \%$ respectively over the same region). This suggested this product, designated prt3, is the $N$. lolii Lp19 homologue of the E. typhina Atl gene.


```
At1 GGCTCGAACGAGTACGTCTACGACAATAGTGGCGGCAAAGGTGCTTGCGTCTATGTCATCGACACGGGCGTAGAT
    |||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |||| ||||| |||||||||||||||||||||||||
GGCTCGAACGAGTACGTCTACGACAATAGTGGCGGCAA -GGTGTTTGCGCCTATGTCATCGACACGGGCGTAGAT
    LP19 G
At1 GATCGCCACCCGgtgagaaa-caccctt---cttgtccctttttttccacaactcactcggcccggttcacccga
    | |||||||||||||||||| ||||| ||||| ||||||||||||||||||||||||||| | | | | | | | |
pMM38 GCTCGCCACCCGgtgagaaaaatcccttttccttgt--ctttttttccacaactcgctcggcccgcttgacccga
At lp
At 1
pMM3 8
At1p
At 1 CTGATGACACGGCCACGGCACCCACGCGCCGGCACAATCGGCAGCCGCACCTACGGCGTAGCGAAGCGGGT
pMM3 8
At 1p
At 1
pMM3 8
Atlp
At1 CGTGCAC-GCGATGCCCAGCGGCGTAAATGCCCCCACGGACGTCGTGGTCAACATGTCCCTCGGCGGAGGCTACT
DMM3
-
Atlp K A T N
At1 CCAAGGCCACAAACCA
    CCAAGGCCACAAACCA
pMM38 CCAAGGCCACAAACCA
```


## Figure 3.13 Sequence of the At1 homologue from N. Iolif Lp19

The N. Iolii Lp19 homologue of the At1 gene was identified by PCR amplification with primers MM75 and MM76 (indicated in blue shading), which were designed based on the At1 nucleotide sequence. The N. Iolii Lp19 MM75-MM76 PCR product was subcloned into pGEM-T Easy to give the vector pMM38. Identity between the two DNA sequences is shown by a grey line connecting the two nucleotide residues. Sequence identity between the amino acid residues is indicated in red Exon sequences are shown in uppercase and intron sequences in lowercase text.
The pMM38 insert was reamplified with the MM75-MM76 primer pair, and used to screen a E. festucae Fll genomic DNA Southern blot (Figure 3.14; Table 3.4) and an. festucae Fll genomic DNA cosmid library (Sections 3.1.1 and 3.1.2). Twelve independent cosmids with homology to the prt3 gene, including 46F6, were identified. pMM47 contained a 4.3 kb SalI fragment with homology to prt 3 from the cosmid 46F6 subcloned into pUC118. Sequencing of pMM47 and the 46 F 6 cosmid gave the complete sequence of the E.festucae Fll prt3 gene (Figure 3.15).

The E. festucae Fll prt3 gene exon-intron structure is identical to that of the prt 2 gene, consisting of 4 exons with introns at conserved postions (Figures 3.11, 3.15, and 3.16). At the nucleotide level, the E. festucae Fll prt3 gene had $87 \%$ identity at the nucleotide level with the E. typhina Atl gene. The prt3 gene encodes a putative preproprotein of 388 amino acid residues in length, with a predicted signal peptide of


Figure 3.14 Southern analysis of E. festucae FI1 prt3
Southern analysis of E. festucae Fl1 prith. (A) E. festucae Fli genomic DNA ( $1 \mathrm{\mu g}$ ) digested with BamHI (lane 2), BgIll (lane 3), EcoRI (lane 4), HindIII (lane 5), Kpnl (lane 6), Ncol (lane 7), Pstl (lane 8), Sal (lane 9), Rcal (lane 10), Sphl (lane 11), Sstl (lane 12), Xbal (lane 13) and Xhol (lane 14). Lanes 1 and 15 contain $\lambda$ HindIII and 1 kb plus (Invitrogen) ladders respectively. Sizes of marker fragments are shown in kb . (B) Autoradiograph of the gel from A hybridised with a $\left.{ }^{32} \mathrm{P}\right]$-labelled N. lolii Lp19 prt3 fragment amplifed with primers MM75 and MM76.

| Table 3.4 Fragments homologous to E. festucae Fl1 prt3 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Enzyme | Hybridising fragment length (kb) |
| BamHI | $3.4,3.0$ |
| BgAl | 15.0 |
| EcoRI | 8.6 |
| HindIII | 2.9 |
| Kpnl | 18.0 |
| Ncol | $6.0,0.8$ |
| Pstl | $13.0,0.6$ |
| Sall | 4.3 |
| Rcal | 8.0 |
| Sphl | 10.5 |
| Sstl | 4.0 |
| $X$ bal | 12.0 |
| $X h o l$ | 4.3 |

19 amino acid residues (SignalP 3.0 prediction, Bendtsen et al., 2004). The E. festucae Fll Prt3 protein is most similar to the Atl protease, with $83 \%$ identity at the amino acid level.

The promoter of E. festucae Fll prt 3 contained 8 putative CreA binding sites, with 5 putative binding sites found in the coding region (Figure 3.17). For the global nitrogen regulator AreANit2/AreA, 5 strong affinity binding sites and 2 weak affinity binding sites were found in the prt3 promoter. However, only 5 weak affinity binding sites were found in the coding region. This raises the possibility that the E. festucae Fll prt3 gene may be subject to regulation by AreANit2/AreA. Six PacC binding sites were found in the E. festucae Fll prt 3 promoter, compared to 3 in the


Figure 3.15 Structure of the E. festucae FI1 prt3 genomic region
Structure and restriction map of the $E$. festucae FI1 prt3 genomic region. (A) Restriction map of the E. festucae F11 prt3 genomic region. Sizes of restriction fragments are shown in kb. (B) Structure of the E. festucae Fl1 prt3 genomic region. The E. festucae Fl1 prt3 coding regions are shown in blue. Primers are shown by arrows (indicating direction of amplification from the primers). The exon-intron structure of the genes is shown beneath, with exons and introns indicated by red and yellow boxes respectively. The position where the probe used in Figure 3.11 would hybridise is indicated by a grey box just below the restriction map.


## Figure 3.16 Gene structure of the E. festucae FI1 prt3 and gao1 genes

Gene structure of the E. festucae FI1 prt3 and gao1 genes. RT-PCR analysis was perforrned with RNA isolated from E. festucae FI1 cultures grown in PD broth for 7 days. cDNA was reverse transcribed from poly-A RNA using the Expand RT enzyme (Roche) (Section 2.18.5). All fragment sizes shown adjacent to gels are indicated in bp. On the schematic diagram of each gene, the position within the prt3 locus is indicated in bp. Exons (confirmed by sequencing of cDNA products) are indicated by red boxes. Primers are positioned above or below the sequence for forward or reverse direction respectively. Introns are numbered in blue text between exons. The position within the prt3 locus is indicated below the sequence schematic in bp. (A) The E. festucae Fl1 prt3 gene. Lane 1: E. festucae FI1 genomic DNA, lane 2: 10 fold dilution of $E$. festucae FI1 cDNA, lane 3: negative control. B) The E. festucae FI1 gao1 gene. Lane 1: no RT control, lane 2: E. festucae FI1 genomic DNA, lane 3: 10 fold dilution of $E$. festucae FI1 cDNA, lane 4: negative control.

The following PCR conditions were used: 5 ng genomic DNA or $5 \mu$ diluted cDNA, $1 \times$ Taq polymerase buffer (Roche), $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ each dNTP, 200 nM of each primer, and 0.5 U Taq polymerase in a volume of $25 \mu \mathrm{~L}$. The PCR amplification conditions for the primer pairs MM90-MM92 and MM160-MM121 were as follows: $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 min , followed by 30 cycles of $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $30 \mathrm{~s}, 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 $\mathrm{s}, 72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 min , then one cycle of $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min .
coding sequence, while 3 Sebl binding sites were found in each of the promoter and coding region. The prt3promoter contained numbers of CreA, strong affomotu AreA/Nit2, and Sebl binding sites similar to those expected if the binding sites occurred randomly throughout the promoter. However, more PacC sites were observed than would be expected by random occurrence through the promoter. Less weak affinity AreA/Nit2 binding sites were observed than expected throughout the prt 3 promoter region.

MEME analysis was also carricd out on the promoter to look for any sites overrepresented in the prt3 promoter sequence (Appendix All). The prt3 promoter contained MEME motifs $1,2,12$ and 18 , which were also found in the prtI, prt5 and $p r t 2$ promoters. MEME motifs $3,4,5,6,7,8$ and 10 , which are found in the prt5 and prt2 promoters, were also present in the prt3 promoter (Figure 3.7). MEME motifs 2 , 3 and 7 were present in multiple copies.

Putative genes were found both upstream and downstream of $E$. festucae Fll prt3. Part of a open reading frame for a gene designated ats $I$ was found directly upstrcam of $E$. festucae Fll prt3. The atsl gene encodes a protein similar to those of yeast asparaginyl-tRNA synthetascs. The gene downstream of Fll prt3, named gaol, encodes a putative galactose oxidase. The protein encoded by gaol is similar to the galactose oxidase from Fusarium spp. (McPhcrson et al., 1992; Ögel ct al., 1994), which has been extensively studied in terms of its interesting catalytic mechanism, its use in industry and research, and its ability to generate free radicals. Galactose oxidase converts a primary alcohol (e.g. D-galactose) to the corresponding aldehyde (c.g. galactohexodialdose), in the process converting oxygen to hydrogen peroxide, thus generating free radicals (Machado and Kemmclmeier, 2001). Genes encoding similar proteins are also found in other fungal species (Appendix A6).

### 3.1.3.2 The N. Iolii Lp19 and Lp5 prt3 genes encode non-functional proteins

During sequencing of the $N$. lolii Lpl9 prt3 gene PCR product, the PCR product was noted to contain a single base pair deletion relative to the Atl gene (Figure 3.13). However, the E.festucae Fll prt3 sequence did not contain this deletion. To confirm
that $N$. lolii Lp19 prt3 did have this deletion, the primer pair MM82-MM83 was used to amplify most of the prt3 coding region from several related endophyte strains. Three N. lolii strains (Lp19, Lp5 and AR1) and two E. festucae strains (Fll and Frl) were amplified with the primer pair MM82-MM83, and the PCR products were directly sequenced. N. lolii strains Lp19 and Lp5 both had a single base deletion

shortly before intron 2, while $N$. lolii AR1, E. festucae Fll and E. festucae Frl did not (Appendix A2.3).

The consequence of this single base pair deletion in N. lolii strains Lpl9 and Lp5 is a frame shift (at amino acid residue 140 relative to Atl), which results in premature truncation of the protein (Figure 3.13). As the N. lolii Lp19 and Lp5 truncated Prt3 protein does not contain any of the conserved catalytic residucs (D147, H178 or S332 numbered relative to Atl; Reddy et al, 1996), they will be non-functional.

### 3.1.4 Phylogenetic analysis of E. festucae Fl1 and N. Iolii Lp19 prt1, prt2, prt3 and prt5 genes

The relationship between the E. festucae Fll and N. lolii Lp19 prtI, prt2, prt3 and prt5 genes and their relationships to other fungal proteases was studied using a phylogenctic approach (source of sequences listed in Appendix A13.1). Polypeptide sequences encoded by these genes were aligned using the ClustalW module of MacVector 7.2.3 as described in Section 2.21. The alignment was then subjected to Neighbour Joining (NJ) analysis (with ties being resolved randomly), with Poisson correction. Trees were also bootstrapped to give an indication of the reliability of individual branches of the tree. The resulting tree (with bootstrap identities) is shown in Figure 3.18.

As expected, the E. festucae Fll and N. lolii Lp19 copies of each of the protcins cluster together in the tree, normally with $100 \%$ bootstrap support (except in the case of $N$. lolii Lpl9 Prt3, due to the truncated nature of this protein). In accordance with the nomenclature suggested by St Leger and Hu (2004), the Prt2, Prt3 and Prt5 proteins group with subfamily 1 of the proteinase K family, while Prtl groups with subfamily 2 of the proteinase K family. These results indicate Prtl, Prt2, Prt3 and Prt5 arc putative extracellular enzymes that are secreted, and are related to enzymes involved in fungal-host interactions (references listed in Appendix A13.1).

The exon structure of these genes also suggests how these genes may have evolved. The prtl, 2, 3 and 5 genes contained a conserved intron at the first position (Appendix A14.1). However, prtl (the sole member of subfamily 2 isolated in this


Figure 3.18 Phylogenetic relationships of Prt1, Prt2, Prt3 and Prt5
Phylogenetic relationships of the N. Iolii Lp19 and E. festucae FI1 Prt1, Prt2, Prt3 and Prt5 proteins with other related fungal proteins. The phylogenetic tree in this figure was prepared in the MacVector 7.2 .3 program, using Neighbour joining and bootstrapping (1000 replicates) of sequences aligned using the ClustalW module of MacVector to form the tree. For details of the sequences used in this alignment, see Appendix A13.2. In this phylogenetic tree, endophyte protein names are shown in blue text, with all other protein names in black text. Proteinase K protease subfamily 1 and 2 ( Hu and St Leger 2004) are indicated by green and red lines respectively.
study) did not contain a conserved intron at position 2 found in prt2, prt3 and prt5. The presence of these two shared introns in the subfamily 1 genes supports the phylogenetic data. However, the prt5 gene differed from the prt2 and prt 3 genes in the position of its final intron. The prt5 gene had its third intron at position 3, while prt 2 and prt 3 both had an intron at a common site, position 4 . Conserved intron positions suggest prt2 and prt3 are more closely related to each other than to prt5.

### 3.2 E. FESTUCAE FL1 PROTEINASE K FAMILY GENE (SUBFAMILY 3)

### 3.2.1 The prt4 gene

Degenerate PCR was used to identify the E. festucae FIl vacuolar protease gene. The degenerate primers MM93 and MM94 were designed based of an alignment of fungal vacuolar proteases (Appendix A7.1; Figure 3.19A). When these primers were used to amplify E. festucae Fll genomic DNA at an annealing temperature of $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, a single product of 398 bp was detected (Figure 3.19B). This product was subcloned into pGEM-T Easy (Promega) to create the vector pMM46 and subsequently sequenced.


The sequence of the degenerate PCR product was highly similar to the PrlH vacuolar protease gene from M. anisopliae, with $83 \%$ identity at the nucleotide level and $90 \%$ identity at the amino acid level (Figure 3.20). This suggested that the E.festucae Fll degenerate PCR product was amplified from a gene encoding a vacuolar protease with strong similarities to related vacuolar protease-encoding genes from other fungal species.


Figure 3.20 Sequence of the prt4 degenerate PCR product
The prt4 fragment was amplified from E. festucae FI1 genomic DNA with the degenerate PCR primers MM93 and MM94. The annealing sites of the primers are highlighted in blue. The sequence of the prt4 fragment is shown aligned to the gene pr1H from Metarhizium anisopliae var. anisopliae (nucleotide accession AJ421473, protein accession CAD13274). Identity between the two DNA sequences is shown by a grey line connecting the two nucleotide residues. Sequence identity between the amino acid residues is indicated in red.

In order to isolate the vacuolar protease gene (designated as prt4) from E. festucae F11, the degenerate PCR product described above was used to probe an E. festucae Fll genomic cosmid library (Section 2.10). Eight independent cosmids that contained the prt4 gene were identified.

Southern blotting was performed to develop a restriction map of the E. festucae Fll prt4 locus (Figure 3.21, Table 3.5). In order to sequence the prt4 gene, a 3.9 kb HindIII fragment was subcloned into pUC118 to give the vector pMM61. Sequencing revealed this HindIII fragment contained the complete sequence of the prt4 genc.


## Figure 3.21 Southern analysis of the E. festucae FI1 prt4

Southem analysis of E. festucae FI1 prt4. (A) E. festucae FI1 genomic DNA $(1 \mu \mathrm{~g})$ digested with BamHI (lane 2), BgIll (lane 3), EcoRI (lane 4), HindIII (lane 5), Kpml (lane 6), Ncol (lane 7), Pstl (lane 8), Sall (lane 9), Rcal (lane 10), Sphl (lane 11), Sstl (lane 12), Xbal (lane 13) and Xhol (lane 14). Lanes 1 and 15 contain $\lambda$ HindIII and 1 kb plus (Invitrogen) ladders respectively. Size standards are shown in kb. (B) Autoradiograph of the gel from $\mathbf{A}$ hybridised with a [ ${ }^{32} \mathrm{P}$ ]-labelled prt 4 fragment amplifed with primers MM93 and MM94.

| Table 3.5 Fragments homologous to E. festucae FI1 prt4 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Enzyme | Hybridising fragment length (kb) |
| BamHI | 9.4 |
| Bg/ll | 9.4 |
| EcoRI | $12.5,2.9$ |
| HindII | 3.9 |
| Kpnl | $4.5,0.5$ |
| Ncol | 5.8 |
| Pstl | 9.5 |
| Sall | 2.2 |
| Rcal | 15.0 |
| Sphl | 5.0 |
| Sstl | 4.5 |
| Xbal | 14.5 |
| Xhol | 5.1 |



## Figure 3.22 Structure of the E. festucae FI1 prt4 gene

Structure and restriction map of the E. festucae Fl1 prt4 gene. (A) Restriction map of the E. festucae Fl1 prt4 gene. Sizes of restriction fragments are shown in kb . (B) Structure of the E. festucae Fl1 prt4 genomic region. The E. festucae Fl1 prt 4 coding region is shown in blue. Primers are shown by arrows (indicating direction of amplification from the primers). The exon-intron structure of the genes is shown beneath, with exons and introns indicated by red and black boxes respectively. The position of the probe used in Figure 3.17 (corresponding to the degenerate PCR product amplified with the MM93-MM94 primer set) is indicated by a black box just below the restriction map.


MM164-MM166


## Figure 3.23 Gene structure of the E. festucae Fl1 prt4 gene

Gene structure of E. festucae FI1 prt4. RT-PCR analysis was performed with RNA isolated from E. festucae FI1 cultures grown in PD broth for 7 days. cDNA was reverse transcribed from poly-A RNA using the Expand RT enzyme (Roche) (Section 2.18.5). Fragment sizes are shown adjacent to gels in bp. The position within the prt 4 locus is indicated in bp. Exons (confirmed by sequencing of cDNA products) are indicated by red boxes. Primers are positioned above or below the sequence for forward or reverse direction respectively. Introns are numbered in blue text between exons. The position within the prt4 locus is indicated below the sequence schematic in bp. Lane 1: E. festucae Fl1 genomic DNA, lane 2: 10 fold dilution of E. festucae FI1 cDNA, lane 3: negative control.
The following PCR conditions were used: 5 ng genomic DNA or $5 \mu$ L diluted cDNA, $1 \times$ Taq polymerase buffer (Roche), $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ each dNTP, 200 nM each primer, and 0.5 U Taq polymerase in a volume of $25 \mu \mathrm{~L}$. PCR amplification conditions for the primer pair MM164-MM166 were as follows: $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 min , followed by 30 cycles of $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $30 \mathrm{~s}, 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $30 \mathrm{~s}, 72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 min 30 s , then one cycle of $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min .

The E. festucae Fll prt4 gene consists of two exons separated by a single intron, which is in a conserved position with other fungal vacuolar protease genes (Figures 3.22 and 3.23, Appendix A14.2). The prt4 gene is most similar to the prlH gene from the entomopathogenic fungus Metarhizium anisopliae, which like E. festucae Fll is a member of the Clavicipitaceae. The prt4 gene shares $74 \%$ identity with $\operatorname{PrlH}$ at the nucleotide level, and $78 \%$ identity at the amino acid level.


The promoter of the E. festucae Fll prt4 gene was analysed for the presence of putative binding sites for fungal global transcriptional regulators as described in Section 3.1 (Figure 3.24). Nine putative binding sites were found for the CreA transcription factor. For the AreA/Nit2/AreA transcription factor, six strong affinity and three weak affinity binding sites were found. Only two and one binding sites were found for the PacC and Sebl transcription factors respectively. Analysis showed the promoter contained higher numbers of CreA and strong AreA/Nit2 binding sites than expected if these sites occurred at random in the promoter sequence. The number of PacC binding sites was similar to that expected if the sequence occurred randomly, while less binding sites than expected were observed for weak affinity AreA/Nit2 sites and for Sebl sites.

MEME analysis showed the E. festucae Fll prt4 promoter contained MEME motif 18, found in the prtl, prt2, prt 3 and prt5 promoters (Figure 3.7). The prt4 promoter also contained the MEME motifs $3,6,7,8,10$ and 15 , also found in the prt2, prt 3 and prt5 promoters. MEME motifs $3,6,8$ and 15 were present in multiple copies.

### 3.2.2 Phylogenetic analysis of proteinase K subfamily 3 genes

The relationship between the E. festucae Fll Prt4 protein and other fungal vacuolar proteases was studied using a phylogenetic approach (sequences listed in Appendix A13). Polypeptide sequences were aligned as described in Section 2.21. The alignment was then subjected to Neighbour Joining (NJ) analysis (with ties being resolved randomly), with Poisson correction. The reliability of individual branches of the tree was analysed by bootstrapping (1000 repetitions). The resulting tree (with bootstrap identities) is shown in Figure 3.25. As expected for a protein encoded by a gene present in a single copy in the genomes of filamentous ascomycetes, the


Figure 3.25 Phylogenetic relationship of E. festucae FI1 Prt4 to fungal vacuolar proteases
Phylogenetic relationships of the E. festucae FI1 Prt4 proteins with other related fungal proteins. The phylogenetic tree in this figure was prepared in the MacVector 7.2 .3 program, using Neighbour joining and bootstrapping ( 1000 replicates) of sequences aligned using the ClustalW module of MacVector to form the tree. For details of the sequences used in this alignment, see Appendix A13.3. In this phylogenetic tree, the endophyte protein name are shown in blue text, with all other protein names in black text.

Prt4 protein shows strongest similarity to homologues from the most closely related fungal species, M. anisopliae and $F$. graminearum. The position of the prt4 intron was conserved across all the filamentous ascomycete genomes examined. The single intron observed in prt4 was also conserved in position in prtl, and with the first introns of prt2, prt3 and prt5 (Appendix A13).

### 3.3 THE E. FESTUCAE KEX2 GENE

A kexin homologue sequence was isolated based on a partial sequence from N. lolii Lp19 with homology to fungal kexins from R. Johnson (AgResearch Grasslands). The primer pair MM141/MM142 (Figure 3.27) was designed to this sequence and used to amplify a PCR product from N. lolii Lp19 genomic DNA. The N. lolii Lpl 9 MM141-MM142 PCR product was used as a probe for an E. festucae Fll genomic DNA blot (Figure 3.26, Table 3.6) and for screening the E. festucae Fll cosmid genomic DNA library described in Sections 3.1 and 3.2 (Section 2.10).


Figure 3.26 Southern analysis of E. festucae Fl1 kex2
Southern analysis of E. festucae Fl1 kex2. (A) E. festucae Fl1 genomic DNA ( $1 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ ) digested with BamHI (lane 2), BgIII (lane 3), EcoRI (lane 4), HindIII (lane 5), Kpnl (lane 6), Ncol (lane 7), Pstl (lane 8), Sall (lane 9), Rcal (lane 10), Sphl (lane 11), Sstl (lane 12), Xbal (lane 13) and Xhol (lane 14). Lanes 1 and 15 contain $\lambda$ HindIII and 1 kb plus (Invitrogen) ladders respectively. Size standards are shown in kb (B) Autoradiograph of the gel from A hybridised with a [ ${ }^{32}$ P]-labelled $N$. Iolii Lp19 kex2 fragment amplifed with primers MM141 and MM142.

| Table 3.6 Fragments homologous to E. festucae Fl1 kex2 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Enzyme | Hybridising fragment size (kb) |
| BamHI | 1.7 |
| BgIII | 9.6 |
| EcoRI | 5.2 |
| HindllI | 6.6 |
| Kpnl | $6.6,12.0$ |
| Ncol | 19.0 |
| Pstl | 2.4 |
| Sall | 1.4 |
| Rcal | 1.9 |
| Sphl | 8.6 |
| Sstl | $1.3,1.8$ |
| $X b a l$ | 13.0 |
| Xhol | 9.8 |

Library screening identified eight cosmids containing homology to the kex2 gene. Of these, cosmid 1D6 was selected for further analysis. An 8.6 kb SphI fragment containing the kex2 gene (Figurcs 3.26 and 3.27) was isolated and subcloned into pUC118, giving the plasmid pMM65. Double stranded sequencing of this fragment revealed there were four putative open reading frames on this $S p h \mathrm{I}$ fragment, including the kex2 gene (Figure 3.27). Gene structures for the four putative open reading frames are shown in Figure 3.28.

The first open reading frame on this fragment, orf2, showed homology to part of the 5' region of the $F$. graminearum FG07967.1 gene and several bacterial genes encoding products of unknown function. The second open rcading frame, orf3, contained some homology to the $N$. crassa NCU01050.1. The third open reading frame, Nc25, is $87 \%$ identical at the amino acid level to a Neotyphodium coenophialum protein (accession AAO92022) differentially expressed when associated with its host grass (Johnson et al., 2003).The kexin gene, kex2, is the last gene found on the SphI fragment. The kex2 gene encodes a putative preproprotein of 742 amino acid residues in length. The Kex2 protein is most similar to kexins from A. niger, (46\%), A. fumigatus (46\%), A. nidulans and A. oryzae (both $45 \%$ ) at the amino acid level.

The intron position within the kex2 gene was highly conserved with other fungal kexin genes (Appendix A14.3). The position of the intron in the E. festucae Fll gene was conserved with the only introns in the Aspergillus spp. and N. crassa kexins, and also with the second intron of the $F$. graminearum kexin gene. However,


## Figure 3.27 Structure of the E. festucae FII kex2 gene

Structure and restriction map of the E. festucae FI1 kex2 genomic region. (A) Restriction map of E. festucae FI1 kex2 genomic region. Sizes of restriction fragments are shown in kb. (B) Structure of the $E$. festucae FI1 kex2 genomic region. Coding regions are shown in blue. Primers are shown by arrows (indicating direction of amplification from the primers). The exon-intron structure of the genes is shown beneath, with exons and introns indicated by red and yellow boxes respectively. The position the N. Iolii Lp19 probe used in Figure 3.25 would anneal is indicated by a grey boxes just below the restriction map.


Structure of the E. festucae F11 orf2, orf3, Nc25 and kex2 genes. RT-PCR analysis was performed with RNA isolated from E. festucae FI1 cultures grown in PD broth for 7 days. cDNA was reverse transcribed from poly-A RNA using the Expand RT enzyme (Roche) (Section 2.18.5). Fragment sizes are shown adjacent to the gel in bp. On the schematic diagram of each gene, the position within the kex2 locus is indicated in bp. Exons (confirmed by sequencing of cDNA products) are indicated by red boxes. Primers are positioned above or below the sequence for forward or reverse direction respectively. Introns are numbered in blue text between exons. (A) Proposed structure of the orf2 gene. (B) Proposed structure of the orf3 gene. (C) Proposed structure of the Nc25 gene. (D) The kex2 gene. Lane 1: E. festucae Fl1 genomic DNA, lane 2: 10 fold dilution of $E$. festucae FI1 CDNA, lane 3: negative control. The following PCR conditions were used: 5 ng genomic DNA or $5 \mu$ diluted cDNA, $1 \times$ Taq polymerase buffer (Roche), $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ each dNTP, 200 nM of each primer, and 0.5 U Taq polymerase in a volume of $25 \mu \mathrm{~L}$. The PCR amplification conditions for the primer pair MM141-MM192 were as follows: $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 min , followed by 30 cycles of $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $30 \mathrm{~s}, 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $30 \mathrm{~s}, 72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 min 30 s , then one cycle of $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min .
while the M. grisea and F. graminearum shared a common first intron, no conservation was observed in the second intron in M. grisea. This suggests the intron position in the kex2 gene is conserved in most, but not all, fungal kexin genes.

Many CreA, AreA and Sebl binding sites were found in the kex2 promoter (Figure $3.29 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~B}$ and D). In contrast, there were very few binding sites for PacC within the kex2 promoter (Figure 3.29C). In the kex2 promoter, more binding sites were observed than expected for a random distribution for CreA and Scb1, whereas lower


Analysis for putative binding sites for the fungal transcription factors CreA (carbon regulation), Nit2/AreA (nitrogen regulation), PacC ( pH regulation) and Seb1 (transcriptional regulator) at the $E$. festucae FI1 kex2 locus.(A) Putative binding sites for CreA (SYGGRG) are indicated by blue lollipops containing the letter C. (B) Putative binding sites for Nit2/AreA (HGATAR) are indicated by green Iollipops containing the letter $N$. Weaker binding sites (GATA) are indicated by lighter green lollipops containing the letter $n$. (C) Putative binding sites for PacC (GCCARG) are indicated by red lollipops containing the letter P. (D) Putative binding sites for Seb1 (AGGGG) are indicated by purple lollipops containing the letter S .
than expected numbers were observed for AreA/Nit2. The number of PacC binding sites in the promoter was consistent with the number expected for a random distribution. These observations suggest kex2 expression could be regulated by carbon availability.

Phylogenetic analysis of the E. festucae Fll Kex2 protein showed this protein grouped with kexins from other filamentous ascomycete fungi (Figure 3.30). As a single gene in most fungal genome, the Kex2 protein would be expected to group with its closest phylogenetic relative, FG09156.1. However, Kex2 did not group with sequences from the most closely related species. It is unclear if this is due to gene duplication and subsequent loss, or divergent evolution.


### 3.4 E. FESTUCAE FL1 CONTAINS OTHER GENES ENCODING SUBTILISIN-LIKE PROTEASES

A degenerate PCR approach was used to identify other subtilisin-like protease genes in the E. festucae Fll genome. The degenerate primers MM149 and MM150 were designed based on highly conserved polypeptide sequences flanking histidine and serine residues required for catalytic activity (Figure 3.31A, Appendix A7.2). Degenerate PCR gave at least eight distinct products (Figure 3.31B), four of which were characterised (Table 3.8). Three of these products shared identity with subtilisin-like protease-encoding genes.


Figure 3.31 Degenerate PCR amplification of subtilisin-like proteaseencoding sequences from E. festucae FI1
Amplification of subtilisin-like protease-encoding sequences from E. festucae FI1 by degenerate PCR. (A) The degenerate primers MM149 and MM150 were designed based on conserved regions surrounding the histidine and serine catalytic site residues of subtilisin-like proteases. The alignment used to design these primers is shown in Appendix A7.2. (B) Degenerate PCR products amplified with the MM149 and MM150 primers. Sizes are indicated in bp. Amplified fragments of different sizes are indicated by roman numerals.

The following PCR conditions were used: 50 ng genomic DNA, 1x Taq polymerase buffer (Roche), $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ each dNTP, 400 nM of each primer, and 0.5 U Taq polymerase in a volume of $25 \mu \mathrm{~L}$. The PCR amplification conditions for the primer pairs MM149-MM150 were as follows: $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 min , followed by 30 cycles of $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $30 \mathrm{~s}, 47^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $30 \mathrm{~s}, 72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 min , then one cycle of $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min .

Table 3.7 Characterised products from degenerate PCR with theMM149- MM150 primers

| Fragment | Size (bp) | Named | Highest similarity | Family |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{I}^{*}$ | $\sim 1000$ | prt8 | Pr1C (M. anisopliae) | Pyrolysin subfamily 1 |
| II | $\sim 850$ | orf5 | FG09135.1 F. graminearum) | WDD4 repeat |
| $\mathrm{III*}$ | 718 | prt7 | FG06332.1 F. graminearum) | Pyrolysin subfamily 2 |
| $\mathrm{I}^{*}$ | 611 | prt6 | Pr1J (M. anisopliae) | Proteinase K subfamily 2 |
| ${ }^{*}$ |  |  |  |  |

[^2]

B


## Figure 3.32 The E. festucae FI1 prt6 gene

(A) Schematic diagram showing the most similar sequence to prt6, the pr1J gene from M. anisopliae. The pr1J open reading frame is shown by a blue arrow, with the exons and intron indicated by red and yellow boxes respectively. The sequences encoding conserved catalytic residues are indicated by black circles. The position of the amplified prt6 product relative to pr1J is indicated by a green box. (B) Alignment of the pr1J and prt6 nucleotide sequences (shown in black text) and the Pr1J and Prt6 polypeptide sequences (shown in blue text). For the nucleotide sequence, exons are shown in uppercase text, with the intron sequence in lower case text. Sequence identity at the nucleotide level is indicated by black or yellow shading in exons or introns respectively. Sequence identity at the amino acid level is indicated by blue shading.


## Figure 3.33 The E. festucae FI1 prt7 gene

(A) Schematic diagram showing the most similar sequence to prt7, the FG06332.1 gene from F. graminearum. The FG06332.1 open reading frame is shown by a blue arrow, with the exons and intron indicated by red and yellow boxes respectively. The sequences encoding conserved catalytic residues are indicated by black circles. The position of the amplified prt7 product relative to FG06332.1 is indicated by a green box. (B) Alignment of the FG06332.1 and prt7 nucleotide sequences (shown in black text) and the FG06332.1 and Prt7 polypeptide sequences (shown in blue text). For the nucleotide sequence, exons are shown in uppercase text, with the intron sequence in lower case text. Sequence identity at the nucleotide level is indicated by black or yellow shading in exons or introns respectively. Sequence identity at the amino acid level is indicated by blue shading.


## Figure 3.34 The E. festucae Fl1 prt8 gene

(A) Schematic diagram showing the most similar sequence to prt8, the pr1C gene from M. anisopliae. The pr1C open reading frame is shown by a blue arrow, with the single exon indicated by a red box. The sequences encoding conserved catalytic residues are indicated by black circles. The position of the amplified prt8 product relative to pr1C is indicated by a green box. (B) Alignment of the pr1C and prt8 nucleotide sequences (shown in black text) and the Pr1C and Prt8 polypeptide sequences (shown in blue text). Sequence identity at the nucleotide level is indicated by black or yellow shading in exons or introns respectively. Sequence identity at the amino acid level is indicated by blue shading.

The largest product, I (Figure 3.31B), was designated prt8, and was most similar to the prlC gene from M. anisopliae (Figure $3.34 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~B}$ ). This suggests the prt8 gene encodes a subtilisin-like protease from pyrolysin subfamily 1. Product II (Figure 3.31B), designated orf5, appears to encode a protein similar to FG09135.1 from $F$. graminearum, a protein that contains a WD40 repeat domain. Product III (Figure 3.31 B ), designated prt7, was most similar to FG06332.1, a pyrolysin subfamily 2 subtilisin-like protease (Figure 3.33A,B). Product IV, which was designated prt6, was most similar to prlJ from M. anisopliae (Figure 3.32A,B). The PrlJ protease belongs to proteinase K subfamily 2, suggesting that the protease encoded by prt6 also belongs to this group.

The smaller uncharacterised fragments amplified by degenerate PCR may have been amplified from genes that have already been characterised, such as the prtl, 2, 3, or 5 genes. Fragment V is the size expected for the prt2, prt3 and prt5 products, while fragment VII is the expected size for the prtl gene.

### 3.5 CHROMOSOMAL LOCALISATION OF THE PRT AND KEX2 GENES

The chromosomal location of the prtl, prt2, prt3, prt4, prt5 and kex2 genes was assessed using Southern blotting of chromosomal DNA. Protoplast plugs were prepared for endophyte strains N. lolii Lp19, E. festucae F1l and E. typhina PN2311 as described in Section 2.15.3. The N. lolii Lpl 9 and E. festucae Fll strains were selected as they were strains used throughout this study, while the E. typhina PN2311 strain was selected as it is the Poa ampla-infecting endophyte strain from which the Atl protease was first characterised. Protoplast plugs from $S$. cerevisiae and $S$. pombe were used as molecular weight standards, as they contained chromosomal DNA of known size. Chromosomal DNA was separated by contour-clamped homogeneous electrical field (CHEF) electrophoresis as described in Section 2.15.4 (Figures 3.35A and 3.36A).

Hybridisation of chromosomal DNA with prtl and prt5 probes (Figure 3.35B and C) showed that the prt5 and prtl genes were both located on the same chromosome in each of the three endophyte strains. The prt2 gene (Figure 3.35D) in N. lolii Lpl 9


Figure 3.35 Chromosomal location of the prt genes
(A) Separation of chromosomal DNA from N. Iolii Lp19, E. festucae F11 and E. typhina PN2311 by CHEF electrophoresis as described in Section 2.15.4. The size standards are indicated in Mb . Red boxes represent prt1 and prt5, blue boxes prt2, green boxes prt3, and yellow boxes represent prt4 hybridising fragments. The purple boxes indicate the chromosomal DNA band that hybridises to the kex2 probe (as shown in Figure 3.35).
(B) Autoradiograph of a Southern blot from the gel in $\mathbf{A}$ hybridised with a [ $\left.{ }^{32} \mathrm{P}\right]$-labelled prt1 fragment amplifed with primers MM5 and MM2. Hybridising chromosomal DNA is surrounded by a red box in panel $A$. (C) Autoradiograph of a Southern blot from the gel in A hybridised with a [ ${ }^{32} \mathrm{P}$ ]-labelled prt5 fragment amplifed with primers MM15 and MM6. Hybridising chromosomal DNA is surrounded by a red box in panel $A$. (D) Autoradiograph of a Southern blot from the gel in A hybridised with a [ $\left.{ }^{32} \mathrm{P}\right]$-labelled $p r t 2$ fragment amplifed with primers MM75 and MM76. Hybridising chromosomal DNA is surrounded by a blue box in panel $A$ (E) Autoradiograph of a Southern blot from the gel in A hybridised with a [ $\left.{ }^{32} \mathrm{P}\right]$-labelled prt3 fragment amplifed with primers MM93 and MM94. Hybridising chromosomal DNA is surrounded by a green box in panel $A$ (F) Autoradiograph of a Southem blot from the gel in A hybridised with a [ $\left.{ }^{32} \mathrm{P}\right]$-labelled $p r t 4$ fragment amplifed with primers MM155 and MM130. Hybridising chromosomal DNA is sumounded by a yellow box in panel $A$.

kex2
Figure 3.36 Chromosomal location of the kex2 gene
(A) Separation of chromosomal of N. Iolii Lp19, E. festucae Fl1 and E. typhina PN2311 by CHEF electrophoresis as described in Section 2.15.4. The size standards are indicated in Mb. Purple boxes indicate chromosomal DNA bands that hybridise to the kex2 probe. Bands that hybridise to prt1 and prt5 (red boxes), prt2 (blue boxes), prt3 (green boxes), and prt4 (yellow boxes) are also shown (see Figure 3.30). The purple boxes indicate the chromosomal DNA band that hybridise to the kex2 probe (as shown in Figure 3.31). (B) Autoradiograph of a Southem blot from the gel in A hybridised with a [ ${ }^{32}$ P]-labelled kex2 fragment amplifed with primers MM141 and MM192. Hybridising chromosomal DNA is surrounded by a purple box in panel $A$.
and E. typhina PN2311 strains was located on a different chromosome to that containing prt5 and prtl genes (Figure 3.35D). In E. festucae Fll, the prt 2 and prt 3 genes appeared to be on the same chromosome as prt5 and prtl, or on a chromosome of the same size. The E. festucae Fll prt4 gene is located on a different chromosome to the prt1, prt5, prt2 and prt3 genes. In E. typhina PN2311, the prt3 and prt4 genes appeared to be on the same chromosome as prt2, or on a chromosome of a similar size. In N. lolii Lp19, the prt2, prt3 and prt4 genes were located on independent chromosomes to each other and distinct to that containing the prtl and prt5 genes. The kex2 gene is located on chromosomes that do not contain any of the prt genes in all of the three endophyte strains tested (Figure 3.36B).

### 3.6 EXPRESSION OF THE PRT AND KEX2 GENES IN CULTURE AND IN PLANTA

The kex2 gene and all of the prt genes with the exception of prt2 were previously shown to be expressed in culture (Figures 3.5 A and $\mathrm{B}, 3.11 \mathrm{~A}, 3.16 \mathrm{~A}, 3.23$ and 3.28D). To examine whether expression of these genes were differed during endophyte growth in planta when compared to rich culture conditions (in PDB medium; Section 2.3.3), a cDNA equalisation approach was used. cDNA pools were synthesised from polyA mRNA extracted from either the symbiotum G1251 (L. perenne cv. Nui infected with E. festucae Fll) or from E. festucae Fll grown in PD broth for seven days. Expression levels of the constitutively expressed tub2 gene in the plant and culture samples were analysed by comparing a 10 -fold dilution of G1251 cDNA (hereafter called P10, Figure 3.37) with various dilutions of the cDNA pool from E. festucae Fll grown in culture where amplification of the product is similar (Figure 3.34). In this equalisation experiment, the amount of the tub2 cDNA product in the G1251 10-fold dilution was approximately equal to that from the 200fold cDNA dilution from E. festucae Fll grown in culture. No DNA contamination was observed in no RT cDNA controls for either the symbiotum or culture samples.

After the two cDNA pools were equalised for tub2 expression, the expression of other genes could be compared in culture and in planta. The G1251 symbiotum 10fold cDNA dilution was amplified together with the equalised culture 200 -fold cDNA dilution and a 10 -fold more concentrated culture 20 -fold cDNA dilution

(Figure 3.38). prtl expression was detected in the G1251 10-fold cDNA dilution, but not in the equalised 200 -fold cDNA dilution from E. festucae Fll grown in culture. However, prtl expression was detected in the 20 -fold cDNA dilution from culture. This suggests that prtl expression is up regulated in planta. As expected based on the results of previous experiments, prt 2 expression was not detected either in culture or in planta. prt 3 expression was detected in the G1251 10 fold dilution, but not in either the 200 -fold or 20 -fold cDNA dilutions from E. festucae Fll grown in culture. This suggests that under the conditions assayed, prt 3 expression in culture was below the limit of detection of the RT-PCR conditions used. It also suggests that expression of the prt3 gene, like prtl, is up regulated in planta. The prt4 gene was strongly expressed both in culture and in planta. Like prtl and prt3, prt4 expression also appeared to be up regulated in planta.

Expression of prt5 and kex2 was not detected in either the symbiotum or culture samples, suggesting that the expression of these two genes is below the detection level of the RT-PCR system used in this experiment. However, unlike the prt2 gene, expression of these two genes has previously been detected in culture (Figures 3.28D and 3.5A). The gcnl gene appeared to be expressed at similar levels in culture and in planta. Expression of the lolitrem biosynthetic gene $\operatorname{ltm} M$ has previously been shown to be upregulated during endophyte growth in planta (Young, 2005). In this

experiment, $\operatorname{ltmM}$ expression was detected in the G1251 symbiotum, but not in either the 200 -fold or 20 -fold cDNA dilutions from E. festucae F1l grown in culture.

This equalisation experiment was also repeated using cDNA from four different grass-endophyte associations (Figure 3.39). tub2 expression from E. festucae Fll grown in culture for seven days was equalised with tub2 expression from symbiota of

E. festucae Fll with L. perenne cv. Nui or meadow fescue (F. pratensis). tub2 expression was also equalised between $N$. lolii grown in culture for twelve days and a symbiotum of $L$. perenne cv . Nui infected with $N$. lolii Lp19, and for E. typhina PN2311 grown for five days compared to a symbiotum of $L$. perenne cv . Nui infected with E. typhina PN2311. The different incubation periods for the fungal strains in culture were selected based on different growth rates in an attempt to harvest equivalent fungal biomass for each culture. While E. typhina PN2311 grows very quickly in culture, E. festucae Fll grows slightly more slowly. N. lolii Lpl 9 grows very slowly in culture: if cultures of this fungus are harvested after five or seven days growth, there will not be enough fungal tissue for RNA isolation.

The analysis of the $p r t 1, p r t 2, p r t 3$ and $g c n l$ genes was repeated using the equalised symbiota and culture cDNA dilutions. For N. lolii and E. festucae, prtl and prt3 expression was up-regulated in planta (Figure 3.39) as seen in the previous experiment (Figure 3.38). The up-regulation of prtl and prt3 was also seen in E. festucae Fll infecting meadow fescue. However, the homologue of the prtl gene in E. typhina PN2311 was expressed at the same level in culture and in planta.

Expression of the E. typhina PN2311 homologue of prt3, Atl, was not detected either in culture or in planta. The prt 2 gene was not expressed by any of the fungal strains either in culture or in planta. As a control, expression of the lolitrem biosynthetic gene $\operatorname{ltm} G$, which is induced in planta, was included. As expected, the ltmG gene was induced in planta for N. lolii Lp19 and E. festucae Fll. However, no expression of $\operatorname{ltm} G$ was detected in E. typhina PN2311. As genomic DNA from E. typhina PN2311 did not amplify with the $\operatorname{ltm} G$ primers, this may mean that a homologue of the $\operatorname{ltmG}$ gene is not present in the E. typhina genome.

## CHAPTER 4

## Results:

Functional characterisation of prt1 and prt2

### 4.1 CONSTRUCTION OF VECTORS TO GIVE ALTERED EXPRESSION OF THE PRT1 OR PRT2 GENES

In order to investigate the function of the E. festucae Fll prtl and prt2 genes, a strategy was developed to alter the expression of these two genes. Vectors were created that contained fusions at the translation initiation codon of the prtl or prt2 coding region (including introns) with cither the $A$. nidulans gpdA promoter and 5' UTR or the E. festucae Fll ltmM promoter and 5' UTR. The $A$. nidulans gpdA promoter directs constitutive expression of the uidA (GUS) reporter gene during growth in planta (Saunders, 1997), while the E. festucae Fll ltmM genc is highly expressed during growth in planta (Young, 2005). The construction of these vectors is described in Section 2.13.1. These resulting vectors, pMM32 (PgpdA-prtl), pMM33 (PltmM-prt1), pMM26 (PgpdA-prt2) and pMM27 (PltmM-prt2), are shown in Figure 4.1.


## Fig 4.1 Constructs for altered expression of prt1 and prt2

Constructs for altered expression of the prt1 and prt2 genes were created as described in Section 2.13. Translational fusions were created between the E. festucae FI1 prt1 or prt2 coding regions and the $A$. nidulans gpdA promoter or the E. festucae FI1 ItmM promoter. (A) The pMM32 vector consisted of the A. nidulans gpdA promoter fused to the FI1 prt1 coding region. (B) The pMM22 vector consisted of the FI1 ItmM promoter fused to the FI1 prt1 coding region. (C) The pMM26 vector consisted of the $A$. nidulans gpdA promoter fused to the FI1 prt2 coding region. (B) The pMM27 vector consisted of the FI1 ItmM promoter fused to the FI1 prt2 coding region.

### 4.2 TRANSFORMATION OF E. FESTUCAE FL1 WITH THE ALTERED EXPRESSION VECTORS

Protoplasts of E. festucae Fll prepared as described in Section 2.14.2 were transformed with circular DNA corresponding to the vectors pMM32, pMM33, pMM26 or pMM27 (Section 2.15). Transformation frequencies for the four vectors are shown in Table 4.1. Transformants were nuclear purified before further analysis. As endophyte hyphae contain only single nuclei in cells toward the hyphal tips (Spiering, 1999), transformants were purified three times by successive subculturing from the edge of a fungal colony on media containing hygromycin.

Table 4.1 Transformation frequency for different plasmid constructs

| Treatment | Viable protoplasts after transformation ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | HygR transformants (colonies per $5 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ DNA) | Freq HygR transformants (freq/5 $\mu \mathrm{g}$ DNA) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cells only | $1.84 \times 10^{5}$ | 0 | 0 |
| pAN7-1 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | $17^{\text {c }}$ | $9.24 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| pMM32 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | $8^{\text {d }}$ | $4.35 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| pMM33 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | $5^{\text {a }}$ | $2.72 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| pMM26 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | $85^{\text {d }}$ | $4.62 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| pMM27 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | $57^{\text {a }}$ | $3.10 \times 10^{-4}$ |

${ }^{a}$ Circular DNA used in these transformations was isolated using the Quantum plasmid midiprep kit (Section 2.5.6)
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Viable protoplasts per mL
c Extrapolated from colonies obtained for 3 plates
d Number of colonies obtained for 10 plates

Copy number of the vectors within the genome of transformants was assessed by a Southern blot approach. A schematic showing how this strategy was used is shown in Figure 4.2. Digesting genomic DNA from wild type E. festucae Fll and various transformants digested with restriction enzymes that generate different expected fragment sizes for the wild type and transgene copies of prtl or prt2 makes it possible to distinguish between the two genes (Figure $4.2 \mathrm{~A}, 4.2 \mathrm{~B}$ ). As the vectors were transformed into E. festucae Fll as circular DNA, they had the potential to recombine into genomic DNA at any point within the vector sequence, which potentially could result in copies of the constructs inserting in such a way as to disrupt the introduced prtl or prt 2 genes. If the construct inserted in a way that disrupted the prtl or prt 2 coding region, other sized fragments would be obtained (Figure 4.2C).


## Figure 4.2 Strategy for assessing the number of intact transgene copies in transformant genomes

Diagrammatic explanation for screening functional copy number of transgenes in transformant genomes. Screening for transformants of pMM32 is shown as an example, with genomic DNA from E. festucae FI1 and selected transformants digested with Xhol and Pstl. (A) Restriction map of the native copy of E. festucae FI1 prt1. (B) Restriction map of the pMM32 vector containing the PgpdA-prt1 fusion gene. (C) Schematic of a potential Southem blot for these transformants showing the position of the 2.9 kb native (black bands) and 1.5 kb intact Pgpd-prt1 (dark grey bands) copies of prt1. The wild type E. festucae FI1 strain is indicated by WT, while various transformant strains are indicated by TF1, TF2 etc. PgpdA-prt1 copies resulting from integration of the plasmid disrupting the prt1 coding region are indicated by light grey bands.

In order to measure the difference in intensities between the wild type and transgene bands, Southern blots of wild type and transformant genomic DNA were prepared based on the strategy described in Figure 4.2. Southern blots were probed with $\left[{ }^{32} \mathrm{P}\right]$ labelled fragment amplified from the prtI or prt2 coding regions and a
phosphoimager was used to determine intensity of each band (Section 2.9.5). Copy number of intact prtl or prt2 copies was determined by measuring the relative intensity of transgene bands compared to wild type (single copy) bands. As the wild type band corresponds to a single copy gene, it acts as an internal control for each transformant. For example, the ratio of the intensity of the transgene band to wild type for transformant MM8.1 (pMM32) was $245.32 \%$ (Figure 4.3). This suggests that the transformant MM8.1 contains between 2 or 3 functional copies of the PgpdA-prtlgene.

Copy number analysis was completed for 8 pMM 32 transformants (Figure 4.3, Table 4.2), 5 pMM33 transformants (Figure 4.4, Table 4.3), 12 pMM26 transformants (Figure 4.5, Table 4.4) and 12 pMM27 transformants (Figure 4.6, Table 4.5). Raw data for each set of transformants is shown in Appendix A.12. The results show a large range in the copy number of intact PgpdA-prtl, PltmM-prtl, PgpdA-prt2 or PltmM-prt22 introduced into the E. festucae Fll genome after transformation with the pMM32, pMM33, pMM26 or pMM27 constructs respectively. Transformants ranged from having only one intact copy of the construct (e.g. MM9.1 and MM4.1) to having more than twenty intact copies of the construct (c.g. MM8.5, MM9.2, MM4.8 and MM5.6). Five transformants for each construct with varying numbers of functional prtl or prt2 copies were selected for further analysis in culture and in planta.

### 4.3 ANALYSIS OF TRANSFORMANT PRT1 OR PRT2 EXPRESSION

### 4.3.1 Expression of the transformant prt1 or prt2 genes in culture

Expression of the PgpdA-prt1, PltmM-prt1, PgpdA-prt2 or PltmM-prt2 transgencs was assessed in culture by RT-PCR analysis using primers that spanned the translation initiation site (Figure 4.1). This enabled expression of wild type and transgenes to be independently assessed. cDNA was made from DNase I-treated total RNA (Scetion 2.18.4) from fungal cultures grown for 8 days in PD broth (Section 2.3.3) and used for RT-PCR analysis. As controls, E. festucae Fll regenerated after protoplasting (MM 19.1) and the MM18.3 transformant (E. festucae


## Figure 4.3 Southern blot analysis of pMM32 transformants

Southern analysis of $E$. festucae FI1 strains transformed with pMM32. (A) Genomic DNA ( $1 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ ) digested with Xhol and Pstl from the strains MM8. 1 (lane 2), MM8.2 (lane 3), MM8. 3 (lane 4), MM8.4 (lane 5), MM8.5 (lane 6), MM8.6 (lane 7), MM8.7 (lane 8), MM8.8 (lane 9) and E. festucae FI1 (lane 10). Lanes 1 and 11 contain $\lambda$ HindIII and 1 kb plus ladder (Invitrogen) respectively. Sizes of marker fragments are shown in kb . (B) Autoradiograph of the gel from A hybridised with a [ ${ }^{32} \mathrm{P}$ ]-labelled E. festucae FI1 prtfragment amplifed with primers MM4 and MM74. The expected native copy of prt1 is indicated by a green box. The expected transformant copies of the gpd-prt1 fusion is indicated by a red box

Table 4.2 Intact copies of pMM32 ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Transformant | Intact construct copies |
| :---: | :---: |
| MM8.1 | $2-3$ |
| MM8.2 | $>20$ |
| MM8.3 | $6-7$ |
| MM8.4 | 4 |
| MM8.5 | $>20$ |
| MM8.6 | $>20$ |
| MM8.7 | 6 |
| MM8.8 | $2-3$ |

[^3]${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ determined by the ratio of native to intact PgpdA-prt1 copies
transformants shaded in grey were selected for inoculations into plants


Figure 4.4 Southern blot analysis of pMM33 transformants
Southern analysis of $E$. festucae FI1 strains transformed with pMM33. (A) Genomic DNA ( $1 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ ) digested with Xhol and Pstl from the strains E. festucae Fl1 (lane 2), MM9.1 (lane 3), MM9. 2 (lane 4), MM9.3 (lane 5), MM9.4 (lane 6) and MM9.5 (lane 7). Lanes 1 and 8 contain $\lambda$ Hind Ill and 1 kb plus ladder (Invitrogen) respectively. Sizes of marker fragments are shown in kb. (B) Autoradiograph of the gel from A hybridised with a [ ${ }^{32} \mathrm{P}$ ]-labelled FI1 prt1 fragment amplifed with primers MM4 and MM74. The expected native copy of prt1 is indicated by a green box. The expected transformant copies of the ItmM-prt1 fusion is indicated by a red box.

Table 4.3 Intact copies of pMM33 ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Transformant | Intact construct copies ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| MM9.1 | 1 |
| MM9.2 | $5-6$ |
| MM9.3 | $>20$ |
| MM9.4 | $3-4$ |
| MM9.5 | $>20$ |
| Copies where the PltmM-pr1 coding region is intact |  |
| ${ }^{\circ}$ Determined by the ratio of native to intact PltmM-prt1 copies |  |
| Transformants shaded in grey were selected for inoculations into plants |  |



Figure 4.5 Southern blot analysis of pMM26 transformants
Southern analysis of $E$. festucae FI1 strains transformed with pMM26. (A) Genomic DNA ( $1 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ ) digested with Ncol and Pstl from the strains E. festucae FI1 (lane 2), MM4.1 (lane 3), MM4.2 (lane 4), MM4.3 (lane 5), MM4.4 (lane 6), MM4.5 (lane 7), MM4.6 (lane 8), MM4.7 (lane 9), MM4.8 (lane 10), MM4.9 (lane 11), MM4.10 (lane 12), MM4.11 (lane 13) and MM4. 12 (lane 14). Lanes 1 and 15 contain $\lambda$ Hindlll and 1 kb plus ladder (Invitrogen) respectively. Sizes of marker fragments are shown in kb . (B) Autoradiograph of the gel from A hybridised with a [ $\left.{ }^{32} \mathrm{P}\right]$-labelled $E$. festucae Fl 1 prt2 fragment amplifed with primers MM15 and MM6. The expected position of the native copy of prt2 is indicated by a green box. The expected position of transformant copies of the gpd-prt2 fusion is indicated by a red box.

Table 4.4 Intact copies of pMM26 ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Transformant | Intact construct copies ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MM4.1 | 1 |  |  |
| MM4.2 | 2 |  |  |
| MM4.3 | $\sim 15$ |  |  |
| MM4.4 | 9 |  |  |
| MM4.5 | $4-5$ |  |  |
| MM4.6 | 1 |  |  |
| MM4.7 | $>20$ |  |  |
| MM4.8 | $>20$ |  |  |
| MM4.9 | $3-4$ |  |  |
| MM4.10 | 3 |  |  |
| MM4.11 | $>20$ |  |  |
| MM4.12 | 0 |  |  |
| Copies where the PgpdA-prt2 coding region is intact |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\text {D }}$ Determined by the ratio of native to intact PgpdA-prt2 copies |  |  |  |
| Transformants shaded in grey were selected for inoculations into plants |  |  |  |



## Figure 4.6 Southern blot analysis of pMM27 transformants

Southern analysis of E. festucae Fl1 strains transformed with pMM27. (A) Genomic DNA (1 $\mu \mathrm{g}$ ) digested with Ncol and Pstl from the strains E. festucae Fl1 (lane 2), MM5.1 (lane 3), MM5.2 (lane 4), MM5.3 (lane 5), MM5.4 (lane 6), MM5.5 (lane 7), MM5.6 (lane 8), MM5.7 (lane 9), MM5. 8 (lane 10), MM5.9 (lane 11), MM5.10 (lane 12), MM5.11 (lane 13) and MM5.12 (lane 14). Lanes 1 and 15 contain $\lambda$ Hindlll and 1 kb plus ladder (Invitrogen) respectively. Sizes of marker fragments are shown in kb. (B) Autoradiograph of the gel from $\mathbf{A}$ hybridised with a [ ${ }^{32} \mathrm{P}$ ]-labelled E . festucae FI 1 prt2 fragment amplifed with primers MM15 and MM6. The expected native copy of prt2 is indicated by a green box. The expected transformant copies of the ltm M-prt2 fusion is indicated by a red box.

Table 4.5 Intact copies of pMM27 ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Transformant | Intact construct copies ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| MM5.1 | 4-5 |
| MM5.2 | 7-8 |
| MM5.3 | 6 |
| MM5.4 | $>20$ |
| MM5.5 | 5 |
| MM5.6 | $>20$ |
| MM5.7 | 2-3 |
| MM5.8 | >20 |
| MM5.9 | 2 |
| MM5.10 | 1-2 |
| MM5.11 | 4-5 |
| MM5.12 | 15-20 |
| ${ }^{3}$ Copies where the P/tmM-prt2 coding region is intact <br> ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Determined by the ratio of native to intact PHmM-prt2 copies <br> Transformants shaded in grey were selected for inoculations into plants |  |

Fll transformed with pAN7-1) were included in this analysis. pAN7-1 contains the hygromycin resistance gene hph under the control of the promoter from the constitutively expressed $A$. nidulans $g p d A$ gene (Appendix A1.1.2). The presence of the pAN7-1 plasmid in transformed strains acts as a negative control, because the pAN7-1 vector does not contain DNA from either the prtl or prt2 genes. Expression of the constitutively expressed tub2 gene was also assessed to ensure each cDNA sample contained amplifiable cDNA.

The wild type prtl gene was expressed in all pMM32 and pMM33 transformant strains (Figure 4.7A). However, expression of wild type prtl in one pMM33 transformant strain, MM9.3, appeared to be reduced. This could be due to the position where pMM33 has inserted in this transformant. This construct may have disrupted the prtl promoter or inserted the PltmM-prtl construct in a position that repressed prtl expression. Southern analysis shows that the wild type prtl coding region is intact in the MM9.3 transformant (Figure 4.4, lane 5). The PgpdA-prtl gene was expressed in culture in all of the pMM32 transformants (Figure 4.7A). The MM8. 3 transformant appears to have reduced expression of the native prtl gene. It is unclear why prtl expression is reduced in this transformant, as the native gene does not appear to be disrupted in this strain. However, expression of the ltmM-prtl gene was not detected in the pMM33 transformants in culture. This result was expected given that ltmM expression is not detectable in culture (Figure 3.38) (Young, 2005).

The wild type copy of the prt2 gene was not expressed in culture in either wild type E. festucae Fll or the transformant strains (Figure 4.7B). However, a genomic product was amplified from wild type prt2. This was a common observation even though RNA samples were DNase I treated prior to cDNA synthesis. However, a product was not amplified from a control prepared during cDNA manufacture without
reverse


Figure 4.7 Expression of the E. festucae FI1 prt1 and prt2 wild type genes and transgenes in culture
RT-PCR analysis of expression of the wild type and transformant prt1 and prt2 genes. RT-PCR was performed using cDNA made from DNase I-treated total RNA ( $1 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ total RNA/20 $\mu \mathrm{L}$ reaction). Wild type and pAN7-1 are listed as WT and CT respectively. Positive controls (wiild type FI1 genomic DNA for the native genes or the transformed plasmid for transgenes) are indicated by a + . The negative control with no DNA template is indicated by -. Expected PCR product sizes are shown in bp. Names of genes and the corresponding primer pairs are shown on the left. (A) Expression of wild type and transformant prt1 in pMM32 and pMM33 transformants. (B) Expression of wild type and transformant prt2 in pMM26 and pMM27 transformants.
transcriptase using primers for other genes, suggesting that DNase treatment was largely, but perhaps not completely, successful. The PgpdA-prt2 gene was expressed in all of the pMM26 transformants, but the PltmM-prt2 gene was not expressed in the pMM27 transformants. Sequencing of the PgpdA-prt2 cDNA product amplified with the MM70-MM25 primer pair enabled the exon-intron structure of the prt2 gene to be confirmed (data not shown). Three introns were confirmed in conserved positions within the prt2 gene. The intron positions were conserved with those in the E. festucae Fll prt3 gene, and with other closely related protease-encoding genes such as PrlI from $M$. anisopliae. Sequencing of the PCR product also showed that an intron in the $5^{\text {, }}$ untranslated region of the $g p d A$ sequence was spliced out of the mRNA in the same manner as it is in $A$. nidulans.

### 4.3.2 Expression of the prt1 or prt2 transgenes in planta

RT-PCR analysis was also used to examine expression of the transformant copies of prtl or prt2 in planta. cDNA was prepared from DNase I-treated polyA RNA isolated from symbiota consisting of $L$. perenne cv . Nui plants infected with strains containing pMM32 (PgpdA-prtl), pMM33 (PltmM-prtl), pMM26 (PgpdA-prt2) or pMM27 (PltmM-prt2).

For symbiota between L. perenne cv. Nui and wild type E. festucae Fll or strains transformed with pMM32 (PgpdA-prtl), the wild type prtl, tub2 and ltmM genes were expressed in all symbiota (Figure 4.8A). However, expression of the PgpdA-prtl gene was not detected in any of the five symbiota where the fungal partner had been transformed with the pMM32 plasmid. This is in contrast to the results of RT-PCR analysis in culture, where expression of the PgpdA-prtl gene was detected in all five strains. Expression of the PltmM-prtl gene was detected in planta for three out of the five pMM33 transformants (Figure 4.8B).

Expression of the wild type copy of the prt2 gene was not detected in wild type E. festucae Fll or any of the transformant strains (Figure 4.9A, 4.9B), although the tub2


Figure 4.8 Expression of the wild type and transgene copies of prt1 in planta
RT-PCR analysis of expression of the native and transformant prt1 genes in planta. RT-PCR was performed using cDNA made from DNase l-treated polyA RNA ( $\sim 100 \mathrm{ng}$ polyA RNA/20 $\mu \mathrm{L}$ reaction). RT-PCR analysis of the constitutively expressed tub2 and plant-induced ItmM genes are included as a control to demonstrate that all samples contain amplifiable cDNA. Primer pairs used to amplify the genes are shown on the left. The expected sizes of genomic and cDNA bands in bp are shown on the right. G numbers eg G1251 refer to symbiota.(A) Expression of wild type and transformant prt1 in pMM32 transformants in planta. (B) Expression of wild type and transformant prt1 in pMM33 transformants.


Figure 4.9 Expression of the wild type and transgene copies of prt2 in planta

[^4]and $\operatorname{ltmM}$ genes were expressed in all symbiota. The lack of prt2 expression was expected given that expression has never been detected in culture or in planta. Expression of $\operatorname{Pgpd} A_{\mathrm{p}}-p r t 2$ was detected in three of the five symbiota containing strains transformed with pMM26, G1206 (MM4.2), G1220 (MM4.3) and G1291 (MM4.9) (Figure 4.9A). Expression of PltmM-prt2 in planta was detected in one symbiotum (G1213) containing a strain transformed with pMM27 (MM5.2) (Figure 4.9B).

### 4.4 PHENOTYPE OF TRANSFORMANTS DURING GROWTH IN CULTURE AND IN PLANTA

The hyphal phenotype of the transformant strains during growth was examined during growth in culture on potato dextrose agar, and during growth on a medium containing skim milk agar. No differences were observed in colony size between any transformants and wild type strains on either of these media. Also, no difference in proteolytic activity between the wild type and transformant was observed during growth on plates containing skim milk agar.

The hyphal phenotype of transformant strains during growth in planta was visualised by microscopic analysis. The growth of fungal hyphae within infected tissues was visualised using aniline blue staining of infected leaf sheaths from inner, middle and outermost leaves. The growth of epiphytic hyphae on the leaf surface was also analysed by aniline blue staining and microscopy.

The pMM32 transformants with either low or high copy numbers of the PgpdA-prtl construct did not show any detectable differences from wild type E. festucae Fll in planta (Figure 4.10). The hyphae continue to grow between the plant cells largely with little hyphal branching, which is characteristic of the growth of wild type E. festucae Fll (Figure 4.10; M. Christensen, personal communication). Epiphyllous hyphae, which emerge to grow on the exterior of the leaf, were also present at similar levels and hyphal morphologies for the transformant and wild type strains. These results are not surprising when evaluated with RT-PCR analysis, showed no evidence of expression of the PgpdAprtl construct in planta (Figure 4.8A). Although the PltmM-prtl transgene was
expressed in planta in some strains (Figure 4.8B), few differences in hyphal morphology were observed between strains containing PltmM-prtl (pMM33) and wild type E. festucae Fll (Figure 4.11). Similar results were obtained for the pMM26 PgpdA-prt2 transformants (Figure 4.12), some of which were expressed in planta (Figure 4.9A), and the pMM27 PltmM-prt2 transformants (Figure 4.13), one of which expressed the PltmMprt2 gene in planta (Figure 4.9B).


## Figure 4.10 Growth of pMM32 transformants in planta

> Growth of selected pMM32 transformants in endophyte-infected leaf sheath tissue. The growth of endophyte hyphae was assayed in the leaf sheaths of old, middle and young leaves by microscopic analysis of aniline blue-stained leaf epidermal peels. Photographs were taken at 400 x magnification. The scale bar shown in the lower right hand corner of each photo is equivalent to $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


Figure 4.11 Growth of pMM33 transformants in planta
Growth of selected pMM33 transformants in endophyte-infected leaf sheath tissue. The growth of endophyte hyphae was assayed in the leaf sheaths of old, middle and young leaves by microscopic analysis of aniline blue-stained leaf epidemal peels. Photographs were taken at $400 x$ magnification. The scale bar shown in the lower right hand corner of each photo is equivalent to $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


## Figure 4.12 Growth of pMM26 transformants in planta

Growth of selected pMM26 transformants in endophyte-infected leaf sheath tissue. The growth of endophyte hyphae was assayed in the leaf sheaths of old, middle and young leaves by microscopic analysis of aniline blue-stained leaf epidermal peels. Photographs were taken at 400x magnification. The scale bar shown in the lower right hand corner of each photo is equivalent to $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


The phenotype of plants infected with various transformants strains was also assessed. Five successful-infected grasses were screened for each transformant. No gross changes in morphology were observed for any of the plants infected with the fungal strains transformed with pMM32 (PgpdA-prtl), pMM33 (PltmM-prtl), pMM26 (PgpdA-prt2) or pMM27 (PltmM-prt2). A characteristic phenotype of plants artificial inoculation of endophytes into grasses results in incompatible association is the stunting of grass tillers
(Zhang, 2004, A. Tanaka and M. Christensen, personal communication), where endophyte infected tillers are very small and tillers which escape endophyte infection grow to normal size. This phenotype, which is normally associated with high levels of endophyte biomass within the host, was not observed in any of the symbiota containing transformant strains.

## Results:

## Functional characterisation of gcn1

### 5.1 ENDOPHYTE GENES ENCODING $\boldsymbol{\beta}-1,6-G L U C A N A S E S$

The N. lolii Lp19 and E. festucae Fll gcnl genes were identified during isolation of the prt 2 genes as described in Section 3.1. In both strains, the gcnl gene was directly downstream of the prt2 gene (Figure 3.8, 3.9). Alignment of N. lolii Lp19 and E. festucae Fll gcnl regions (Appendix A2.2) showed the nucleotide sequences of the loci were very similar, with the exception of a 400 bp deletion in the N. lolii Lp19 prt2gcnl intergenic region compared to the same region of E. festucae Fll (Figure 5.1). The polypeptide sequences of the E. festucae Fll and N. lolii Lp19 Gcn1 proteins were 99.7\% identical, with the E. festucae Fll and N. lolii Lp19 Gcnl polypeptides sharing $95.7 \%$ and $94.6 \%$ identity respectively with the $\beta$-1,6-glucanase polypeptide identified from Neotyphodium sp. FCB2002 (Moy et al., 2002) (Figure 5.2).

Phylogenetic analysis was performed on polypeptide sequences aligned by ClustalW as described in Section 2.21. The E. festucae Fll and N. lolii Lp19 Gcn1 proteins, like the Neotyphodium sp. FCB2002 $\beta$-1,6-glucanase, group together with the glycosyl hydrolase 5 family (Figure 5.3). The Gcnl proteins cluster together with known



## Figure 5.2 Alignment of endophyte $\beta-1,6$ - glucanases

Comparison of endophyte $\beta-1,6-$ glucanase polypeptide sequences from $N$. Iolii strain Lp19, E. festucae strain FI1 and N. typhinum strain FCB2002 (accession Genbank AAN04103). Amino acid identity between between two or more of the three sequences are shown in black shading with white letters. Amino acid differences at residues are shown by black text on a white background. The polypeptide sequences were aligned by the ClustaM module of MacVector ${ }^{\text {™ }}$ 4.2.3.
$\beta$-1,6-glucanases within the glycosyl hydrolase 5 family such as the $T$. harzianum BGN16.2 (De la Cruz et al., 1995) and V. fungicola VfGlul (Amey et al., 2003) proteins that are involved in mycoparasitism (Section 1.6.2).

### 5.2 REPLACEMENT OF THE E. FESTUCAE FL1 GCN1 GENE

### 5.2.1 Transformation of E. festucae FI1 with a gen1::hph construct

To determine the role of fungal $\beta$-1,6-glucanases in interactions between $E$. festucae Fll with perennial ryegrass (L. perenne cv Nui), the construct pMM54 was prepared to


## Figure 5.3 Phylogenetic analysis of fungal $\beta-1,6$-glucanases

Phylogenetic tree showing the relationship of the endophyte Gcn1 proteins with related proteins from other fungal species. Phylogenetic analysis was performed by Neighbour Joining of polypeptide sequences that were aligned by ClustalW. The E. festucae FI1 and N. Iolii Lp19 Gcn1 proteins are indicated in blue text. Members of glycosyl hydrolase family 5 are indicated by a blue line, while glycosyl hydrolase family 30 is indicated by a red line. The T. harzianum AGN13.1 a-1,3-glucanase was included to root the tree. Sequences used in this alignment are listed in Appendix A13.5.


Figure 5.4 The gan1 deletion construct
Strategy for deleting the E. festucae Fl1 gcn1 gene. Primers used for screening of transformants are shown in dark blue. Primers used to screen for replacement and deletion of flnaking sequences are shown in green. $\mathbf{A}$. Restriction map of the Fl1 gcn1 genomic locus. Coding regions of the genes in this region are shown in grey. The left flanking sequence (Left) chosen to make the deletion construct is shown in light blue, with the right flanking sequence (Right) shown in light orange. Primers used to amplify fragments are shown as purple triangles. The position of the probe used for Southern analysis (Figure 5.6 C ) is shown in red. B. A plasmid map of the gcn1 deletion construct pMM54. The primers M13-F and M13-R (in maroon) were used to amplify the deletion construct used for transformation of E. festucae FI1. Primers used for screening and for amplifying fragments for Southern analysis are shown in dark grey. Restriction sites, which are indicated in light grey, include EcoRI (E), HindIII (H), Ncol (N), Sstl (S) and Xbal (X). Heavy grey lines and bold grey text indicate restriction sites involved in vector construction. The heavy red line and bold red text indicate an Ncol site important in transformant screening by Southern analysis.
delete the wild type gcnl gene (Figure 5.4). The method used to construct pMM54 is described in Section 2.13.2. The linear insert from this plasmid was amplified by PCR using the M13F and M13R primers. This linear fragment was transformed into E. festucae Fll protoplasts as described in Section 2.16.1, and transformants were selected on RG media containing hygromycin at $150 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{L}$ (Section 2.3.9). A total of twenty transformants were nuclear purified by sequential subculturing on media containing hygromycin.

Putative replacements were initially screened by PCR from DNA prepared by alkaline lysis of mycelia (Section 2.16.2.1) using primers MM56 and MM100 (wild type 1280 bp, replacement construct 1564 bp ) that flank $h p h$ in the $5^{\prime}$ and $3^{\prime}$ flanking regions


Figure 5.5 PCR analysis of selected gcn1::hph transformants
PCR analysis of genomic DNA from selected E. festucae FI1 hygromycin-resistant transformants containing gcn1::hph. (A) Genomic DNA was amplified with the MM56-MM100 primers that flank the site where the hph gene is introduced during homologous recombination (Figure 5.3A). Genomic DNA from E. festucae FI1 (lane 1), transformant MM20.1 (lane 2), transformant MM20.3 (lane 3), transformant MM20.2 (lane 4) and transformant MM20.15 (lane 5) were amplified. Lane 6 contains a negative control. PCR conditions were as follows: each reaction contained $1 \times$ Taq polymerase buffer (Roche), $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of each dNTP, 5 pmol each of the primers MM56 and MM100, $0.5 \cup$ Taq polymerase (Roche), and 5 ng of genomic DNA (or $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ water in case of the negative control). The PCR program used was as follows: $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C} 2 \mathrm{~min}, 30$ cycles of $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 s , $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $30 \mathrm{~s}, 72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 min , then one $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min . (B) PCR amplification to check for an intact left end in $\Delta g c n 1$ strains. Genomic DNA from E. festucae FI1 (lane 2), transformant MM20.1 (lane 3), transformant MM20.3 (lane 4), transformant MM20.2 (lane 5) and transformant MM20.15 (lane 6) were amplified with the MM125 and pUChph5 primer pair. Lane 7 contains a negative control. Lanes 1 and 8 contain 1 kb plus ladder (Invitrogen). Band sizes are shown in kb. (C) PCR amplification to check for an intact right end in $\Delta g \mathrm{cn1}$ strains. Genomic DNA from E. festucae F11 (lane 2), transformant MM20.1 (lane 3), transformant MM20.3 (lane 4), transformant MM20.2 (lane 5) and transformant MM20.15 (lane 6) were amplified with the pUChph6MM135 primer pair (Figure 5.3A). Band sizes are shown in kb.
(Figure 5.4). Out of twenty transformants, two independent transformants MM20.2 and MM20.15 lacked the band corresponding to the wild type gcnl gene (Figure 5.5A lanes 4 and 5). The MM20.1 and MM20.3 transformants contained ectopic copies of the gcn $1:: h p h$ construct as both the wild type $g c n l$ and the $g c n l:: h p h$ bands were amplified in these strains (Figure 5.5A lanes 2 and 3 ).

To confirm that the $\Delta g c n l$ strains MM20.2 and MM20.15 did not contain deletions upstream or downstream of the $5^{\prime}$ or $3^{\prime}$ gcn $1: / h p h$ flanking sequences respectively, additional PCR screening was performed. Integration of the gcn $1:: h p h$ construct through a single crossover event could potentially lead to deletion of sequences upstream of the 5' flanking sequence or downstream of the 3' flanking sequence. To test for an intact 5' region, DNA from wild type E. festucae Fll, ectopic and $\Delta g c n l$ strains was amplified with the MM125 and pUChph5 primers using TripleMaster ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ PCR (Section 2.17.7). The MM125 primer anneals just outside the 5 ' flanking sequence used in the gcnl::hph construct, while the pUChph5 primer anneals within the hph cassette (Figure 5.4 A ). A similar strategy was used to test for an intact 3 ' region, with the primers pUChph6 and MM135 used to amplify genomic DNA from selected transformants during TripleMaster ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ PCR. The pUChph6 primer anneals in the $h p h$ region, while the MM135 primer anneals just outside of the 3 ' flanking region (Figure 5.4A). PCR screening confirmed that both of the $\Delta g c n l$ strains contained intact $5^{\prime}$ and $3^{\prime}$ ends (Figure 5.5B). However, the supposed ectopic strain MM20.3 also unexpectedly produced bands for both the 5' and 3' primer sets. Analysis with the MM56-MM100 primer pair had previously shown that MM20.3 contained both the native gcnl and the gcnl::hph products (Figure 5.5A).

Southern analysis of strains was performed using genomic DNA from wild type E.festucae, ectopic gcnl::hph strains and $\Delta g c n l$ strains digested with NcoI (Figure 5.6B and C). The gcnl::hph NcoI fragment differs in size to the fragment containing the wild type gcnl gene due to the replacement of a region in the wild type gcnl gene that contains an NcoI site (Figure 5.6A). There is also an NcoI site in the $h p h$ cassette from the pPN1688 vector, which gives a new NcoI recognition site in the gcn $1:: h p h$ locus. As expected, wild type E. festucae Fll and the two ectopic strains (MM20.1 and MM20.3)
all contained the 2.9 kb NcoI fragment containing the wild type gcnl gene (Figure 5.6C, lanes 2, 3 and 4). The ectopic transformant MM20.1 also contained the 3.4 kb NcoI fragment found in the gcn $1:: h p h$ construct (Figure 5.6D lane 3). However, the MM20.3 ectopic transformant did not contain the 3.4 kb gcnl::hph NcoI fragment (Figure 5.6D lane 4). This data suggests MM20.3 may have been an unstable transformant, or has


Figure 5.6 Southern analysis of selected gcn1::hph transformants
Southern analysis of E. festucae FI1 hygromycin-resistant transformants containing gcn1::hph. (A) Restriction map of the native gcn1 locus with the gcn1::hph construct shown below, followed by a restriction map of the gcn1 locus after integration of the gcn1::hph construct by homologous recombination. Restriction fragment sizes are shown in kb. The wild type gcn1 Ncol restriction fragment (shown in boid green text) differs in size to the gcn1::hph Ncol fragment (shown in lbold red text). The position of the probe used in C is indicated by a purple box. (B) Genomic DNA (1 $\mu \mathrm{g})$ digested with Ncol from E. festucae FI1 (lane 2), the ectopic transformants MM20.1 (lane 3) and MM20.3 (lane 4) and the $\Delta g c n 1$ strains MM20.2 (lane 5) and MM20.15 (lane 6). Lanes 1 and 7 contain $\lambda$ Hindlll and 1 kb plus ladder (Invitrogen) respectively. Sizes of marker fragments are show in kb . (C) Autoradiograph of the gel from B hybridised with a ${ }^{32} \mathrm{P}$ labelled fragment amplifed with primers MM15 and MM6 (Figures 3.9 and 5.4B). Fragment sizes are indicated in kb. The native gcn1 and gcn1 $\because: h p h$ hybridising fragments are indicated by green and red arrows respectively.
under gone some sort of DNA rearrangement. Both of the $\Delta g c n l$ strains, MM20.2 and MM20.15, contained the 3.4 kb gcnl::hph but not the 2.9 kb wild type gcnl NcoI fragment (Figure 5.6C lanes 5 and 6). This confirmed that both of these strains had a $g c n l$ gene that had been replaced by homologous recombination with the gcnl::hph construct.

### 5.2.2 Phenotype of the $\Delta$ gcn1 strains during growth in culture

The phenotype of the $\Delta g c n l$ strains during growth in culture was examined using the preferred carbon source glucose or pustulan, a polymer of $\beta-1,6$-glucan derived from the cell walls of Umbilicaria papullosa. On glucose containing medium, growth of the $\Delta g c n l$ strains was almost identical to wild type E. festucae Fll and the MM20.1 strain carrying an ectopic integration of the gcnl replacement (Figure 5.7). During growth on glucose media, wild type E. festucae, the ectopic strain MM20.1 and the $\Delta g c n l$ strains MM20.2 and MM20.15 all grew with a cottony appearance, indicating that many aerial hyphae were present. All strains also produced a halo around the colony. The one difference observed was for the MM20.2 $\Delta \mathrm{gcn} 1$ strain, which had slightly reduced radial growth on the glucose-containing medium compared to the other three strains. However, this result must be reproduced for confirmation of this effect.

When grown on pustulan, $\Delta g c n l$ strains were phenotypically different to the wild type E. festucae Fll and ectopic strains (Figure 5.8). Although $\Delta \mathrm{gcnl}$ strains were the same size as wild type and ectopic strains, hyphal density and the amount of aerial hyphae were greatly reduced (Figure 5.8). Some complementation of the growth defects of the $\Delta g c n l$ strains on pustulan by the wild type and ectopic strains was observed in older cultures (Figure 5.8A). The $\Delta g c n l$ strains also lacked the halo surrounding the wild type and ectopic strains during growth on pustulan. Congo red staining of the plates (Figure 5.8B) indicated that the halos corresponded to regions where pustulan was degraded, suggesting these halos correspond to zones where a $\beta$-1-6-glucanase enzyme

is produced in wild type and ectopic strains. The absence of these halos in the $\Delta \mathrm{gcnl}$ strains suggest these strains lack $\beta$-1-6-glucanase activity compared to wild type and ectopic strains.

After prolonged incubation of $\Delta g c n l$ strains on pustulan plates together with wild type and ectopic strains, some rescue of the $\Delta g c n l$ phenotype by wild type and ectopic strains was observed. $\Delta g c n l$ hyphae at the interface with wild type or ectopic colonies were partially rescued, showing more hyphal branching and aerial hyphae (Figure 5.8D). However, hyphae in the same colony that were not in close proximity to the wild type or
ectopic strains displayed low levels of hyphal branching and almost no aerial hyphae (Figure 5.8C) compared to wild type strains (Figure 5.8E).


Figure 5.8 Growth of $\Delta \mathrm{gcn} 1$ strains on media containing pustulan, a $\beta-1,6$-glucan polymer
Growth of $\Delta$ gcn1 strains on medium containing pustulan. (A) Growth of wild type E. festucae FI1 (WT), the ectopic strain MM20.1 and the $\Delta g c n 1$ strains MM20.2 and MM20.15 on pustulan medium. Colonies were approximately 2 weeks old. (B) Staining of pustulan media plate with Congo red. Undegraded pustulan appears red, while zones where $\beta-1,6$-glucanases have degraded the pustulan appear as dark halos. (C) Hyphae of the $\Delta g c n 1$ strain MM20.15 that have not been grown in close proximity to wild type E. festucae FI1 or the ectopic MM20. 1 strain. (D) Hyphae of the $\Delta g c n 1$ strain MM20.15 that have been grown in close proximity to wild type E. festucae FI1 or the ectopic MM20.1 strain. Aerial hyphae appear as white cottony structures. (E) Wild type E. festucae FI1 hyphae. The white cottony masses are aerial hyphae.

### 5.3 COMPLEMENTATION OF THE $\triangle$ GCN1 STRAIN

Complementation of the $\Delta g c n$ lstrain was performed by cotransformation of the $\Delta g c n l$ strain MM20.15 with the pII99 and pMM44 plasmids (Figure 5.9A). pMM44 contains a 4.6 kb BamHI fragment containing the gcnl gene, including the complete prt2-gcnl and gcnl-cycl intergenic regions, and the 5 ' region of the $c y c l$ gene (Figure 5.4A). The pII99 vector contains the nptII gene, which confers resistance to the antibiotic geneticin. Twenty geneticin-resistant transformants were screened for the presence of the gcnl gene by PCR amplification with the MM56-MM55 primer pair that will amplify a genl PCR product in wild type E. festucae Fll and strains of MM20.15 containing the pMM44 plasmid (Figure 3.9B). A product was detected for at least eleven of the twenty strains (Figure 5.9B).

The growth of geneticin-resistant strains was analysed on medium containing the $\beta-1,6-$ glucan pustulan (Figure 5.10). Out of the twenty strains tested, nineteen independent transformants out of twenty tested appeared to produce the hydrolytic halo on these plates characteristic of $\beta-1,6$-glucanase production that was lacking in the MM20.15 $\Delta g c n l$ strain (Figure 5.10A). This suggests that the $g c n l$ deletion in the MM20.15 strain is responsible for the phenotype shown by $\Delta g c n l$ strains during growth on pustulan, where hyphal branching and the production of aerial hyphae were greatly reduced. A range of phenotypes was seen in the complemented strains during growth on pustulan. Some strains such as MM22.19 (Figure 5.10B), MM22.5 and MM22.6 (Figure 5.10C) produced halos during growth on pustulan media, and grew to similar diameters as the wild type E. festucae Fll strains. The MM22.20 transformant grew to a larger colony diameter with less aerial hyphae typical of the $\Delta g c n l$ strain MM20.15, indicating that this colony has not been genetically complemented. Other transformant strains (eg. MM22.7 and 22.8, Figure 5.10D) surrounded by large halos of $\beta$-1,6-glucanase activity grew as very dense, compact colonies with a lot of aerial hyphae. These phenotypic differences between strains were observed on media containing pustulan, but not in complex media such as potato dextrose agar. These differences in phenotype may be due to positional effects on gcnl gene expression or differences in gene copy number.


## Figure 5.9 Genetic complementation of the gcn1 deletion by cotransformation with pMM44 and pll99

(A) Plasmid maps of the pMM44 and pll99 vectors used to cotransform the ? gcn1 strain MM20.15 to complement the lack of $B-1,6$-glucanase activity and geneticin resistance. (B) PCR screening of selected pMM44/pll99 transformants using the Extract-N-Amp ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ PCR kit. E. festucae FI1 wild type (WT), MM20.15 (KO) and various complemented strains (1-20) were screened. A DNA-free sample (-ve) was also included. PCR was performed with the MM56MM55 primer pair. PCR conditions were as follows: The PCR program used was as follows: $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $3 \mathrm{~min}, 35$ cycles of $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $30 \mathrm{~s}, 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $30 \mathrm{~s}, 72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 min , followed by $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min .



Figure 5.10 Growth screening of $\Delta g c n 1$ strains complemented with pMM44
(A) Growth of selected geneticin resistant $\Delta g c n 1$ strains co-transformed with the pMM44 vector and pll99 on pustulan containing media. The MM20.15 $\Delta g c n 1$ strain that was transformed to create these strains is indicated as $\Delta g c n 1$, while wild type $E$. festucae F1 is indicated as WT. (B) Growth of wild type E. festucae FI1 (WT), MM20.15 ( $\Delta g c n 1$ ), MM22.20 and MM22.19 strains on pustulan media. (C) Growth of MM22.6, MM22.5 and wild type E. festucae Fl1 (WT) on pustulan media. (D) Growth of MM20.15 ( $\Delta g c n 1$ ), MM22.8 and MM22.7 strains on pustulan media.

### 5.4 GROWTH OF WILD TYPE, ECTOPIC AND $\triangle$ GCN1 STRAINS DURING GROWTH IN PLANTA

Wild type, ectopic and $\Delta g c n l$ strains were inoculated into perennial ryegrass seedlings to determine if deletion of gcnl affected the symbiosis of the endophyte with its host. The phenotype of fungal hyphae in planta was examined by aniline blue staining of epidermal peels from the leaf sheaths of old and young leaves infected with wild type E. festucae F11, the ectopic strain MM20.1 and the $\Delta g c n l$ strain MM20.15. The appearance of epiphytic hyphae growing on the plant surface was also examined. Compared to the wild type E. festucae F11 and the ectopic MM20.1 strains, hyphae of the $\Delta g c n l$ strain MM20.15 appeared to grow normally in the leaf sheaths of both old (Figure 5.11 A ) and young (Figure 5.11 B ) leaves. Epiphytic hyphae also had a normal appearance in the MM20.15 $\Delta \mathrm{gcnl}$ strain.


## Figure 5.11 Phenotype of Agcn1 hyphae during growth in planta

(A) Endophyte hyphae in the outermost leaf sheath as shown by aniline blue staining of epidermal peels from tillers of L. perenne cv Nui plants infected with either wild type E. festucae FI1, the ectopic strain MM20.1 or the $\Delta$ gcn1 strain MM20.15. (B) Endophyte hyphae in the young leaf sheath as shown by aniline blue staining of epidermal peels from tillers of L. perenne cv Nui plants infected with either wild type E. festucae FI1, the ectopic strain MM20.1 or the $\Delta g c n 1$ strain MM20.15. (C) Epiphytic hyphae on the leaf surface as shown by aniline blue staining of leaves from tillers of $L$. perenne cv Nui plants infected with either wild type $E$. festucae F11, the ectopic strain MM20.1 or the $\Delta g c n 1$ strain MM20.15.

## CHAPTER 6

Discussion

### 6.1 E. FESTUCAE CONTAINS A GENE FAMILY OF SUBTILISIN-LIKE PROTEASES

Based on the large number of genes encoding subtilisin-like proteases in other fungal genomes, it was hypothesised that E.festucae Fll contained a family of these genes. In this study, multiple subtilisin-encoding genes were identified in the E. festucae Fll genome. prtl, prt2, prt3 and prt5 all encode putative extracellular enzymes that belong to the proteinase K family of subtilisin-like proteases, while prt4 encodes a vacuolar protease that also belongs to the proteinase K family. The kex2 gene encodes a member of the kexin family of subtilisin-like proteases. Degenerate PCR also identified the presence of other genes encoding subtilisin-like proteases: one from the proteinase K family (prt6), and two from the pyrolysin family of subtilisin like proteases (prt7 and prt8).

### 6.1.1 Members of the proteinase $K$ family of subtilisin-like proteases

### 6.1.1.1 The prt5-prt1 locus

Screening of an N. lolii Lpl9 genomic DNA library identified the prtl gene in a previous study (McGill, 2000). In this study, the corresponding gene in the E. festucae Fll strain was identified and sequenced (Section 3.1.1). The Prtl polypeptides of the N. lolii Lp19 and E. festucae Fll shared a high degree of identity at the amino acid level, with only two changes in the polypeptide sequence between the two strains. Phylogenetic analysis with related polypeptide sequences from other fungi demonstrated that the E. festucae Fll and N. lolii Lp19 Prt 1 proteins both belong to subfamily 2 of the proteinase K family (Hu and St Leger, 2004). The Prtl proteins were most closely related to PrlD, PrlE and PrlF from M. anisopliae, and to FG00806.1, FGl1405.1 and FG08464.1 from F. graminearum (Figure 3.18).

The N. lolii Lp19 and E. festucae Fll prtl genes contain repetitive DNA sequences in the 3' untranslated region (Figures 3.2 and 3.3, Appendix A2.1). In a previous study, 3' RACE of the N. lolii Lp 19 prtl indicated this repetitive region, which consists of $(\mathrm{YTT})_{4}(\mathrm{YA})_{13}$, is associated with the polyadenylation site (McGill, 2000). This sequence
was also identified in E. festucae Fll. Downstream of the prtl gene, the E. festucae Fll and $N$. lolii Lp19 sequences diverge. This is due to the presence of a highly repetitive mini-satellite element consisting of TTYYATYYR repeats in N. lolii Lp19 that is not present in the same position in E. festucae Fll (Appendix A2.1). This repetitive DNA overlaps with the 3 ' end of the orf4 gene, and introduces a stop codon that results in the premature truncation of the orf4 gene product. The presence of this mini-satellite hints at potential degeneration of the asexual $N$. lolii Lp19 genome. The mini-satellite probably arose in $N$. lolii Lp19 through slippage during DNA replication, as described for other fungal minisatellites (Giraud et al., 1998; Haber and Louis, 1998).

The prt5 gene was identified immediately upstream of the prtl gene (Figures 3.2 and 3.3). The E. festucae Fll and N. lolii Lp19 Prt5 protein belongs to subfamily 1 of the proteinase K family (Figure 3.18). Prt5 shares strongest identity with the $\operatorname{Pr} 1 \mathrm{~K}$ protease from M. anisopliae, and the F. oxysporum Prt 1 protein (Di Pietro et al., 2001; Hu and St Leger, 2004). The presence of two related genes in such close proximity may suggest that the two genes could have arisen by gene duplication. However, the Prt5 and Prtl proteins belong to different subfamilies of the proteinase K family, with the $\operatorname{Prt5}$ protein belonging to subfamily 1 and the Prtl protein belonging to subfamily 2 . As these two genes encoded enzymes from different subfamilies, this suggests that these genes have not arisen recently by gene duplication at this locus. The only other known instance where two subtilisin-like protease-encoding genes were found adjacent to each other is in the genome of $M$. anisopliae, where the $\operatorname{prlE}$ and $p r l F$ genes are adjacent to each other. Unlike the Prt5 and Prtl proteins, the PrlE and PrlF proteins both belong to the same subfamily, proteinase $K$ subfamily 2 . However, the sequence identity between the $\operatorname{PrlE}$ and $\operatorname{PrlF}$ proteins is relatively low, at $28 \%$ identity at the amino acid level.

### 6.1.1.2 The prt2-gen1 locus

A previous study of prt genes in $N$. lolii Lp19 led to the identification of prt2 (McGill, 2000). The corresponding gene from E. festucae Fll was identified in this study (Section 3.1.2). The N. lolii Lpl9 and E. festucae Fll Prt2 proteins, which are putative extracellular enzymes belonging to subfamily 1 of the proteinase K family (Figure 3.18),
differ at two amino acid residues. The most similar protein to the E. festucae Fll and N. lolii Lp19 Prt2 proteins is the PrlI protease from M. anisopliae (Hu and St Leger, 2004).

The gcnl, cycl and ptnl genes were identified downstream of the prt2 gene in E. festucae Fll (Figure 3.10). The gcnl gene encoded a putative $\beta$-1,6-glucanase that is highly similar to $\beta-1,6$-glucanases from a Neotyphodium sp. endophyte and from the mycoparasitic fungus $T$. harzianum (Lora et al., 1995; Moy et al., 2002) (Figures 5.2 and 5.3). Other characteristics of the gcnl gene are described in Section 6.3. The $c y c l$ and ptnl genes, which do not encode hydrolases, were syntenic with their $F$. graminearum homologues, FG04981.1 and FG04982.1 respectively. While other studies have shown large regions of synteny between E. festucae and $F$. graminearum genomes (Tanaka et al., 2005) (S. Foster, G. Bryan, personal communication), this was the only case of conserved synteny with another fungal genome observed in this study.

The cycl gene encodes a putative C-type cyclin that may be a homologue of the S. cerevisiae ctk2 gene (Appendix A4). In S. cerevisiae, Ctk2p acts with two other subunits as part of a divergent cyclin-CDK (cyclin dependent kinase) complex (CTDKI) (Hautbergue and Goguel, 2001; Sterner et al., 1995). CTDK-I phosphorylates a serine residue in the carboxyl-terminal domain (CTD) of RNA polymerase II, a reaction that is critical for efficient transcription elongation and correct processing of mRNA 3' ends (Ostapenko and Solomon, 2005). CTDK-I also regulates activity of the RNA polymerase I CTD involved in ribosomal RNA biosynthesis (Bouchoux et al., 2004).

The ptnl gene encodes a putative phosphoinositide 3-phosphatase related to the PTEN (phosphatase and tensin) phosphoinositide 3-phosphatases. These related proteins are specialised protein tyrosine phosphatases that dephosphorylate phosphoinositide substrates such as phosphatidylinositol $3,4,5$ triphosphate ( $\mathrm{PIP}_{3}$ ) (Maehama et al., 2001). These proteins act as antagonists of signalling through the PI-3-kinase pathway in $S$. pombe and in higher eukaryotes (Downes et al., 2001; Mitra et al., 2004). The putative E. festucae Fll Ptnl protein shares all of the residues critical for the catalytic action of

PTEN homologues (Appendix A5). The catalytic signature domain (HCKAKGRSG) of E. festucae Ptnl was almost identical to that of $S$. pombe ptnlp (HCKAKGRTG), which dephosphorylates $\mathrm{PIP}_{3}$ in vitro and suppresses $\mathrm{PIP}_{3}$ levels in vivo. This differs to the catalytic signature of the corresponding protein from $S$. cerevisiae, Teplp (HCRMGKGRSG), which does not appear to dephosphorylate $\mathrm{PIP}_{3}$ (Heymont et al., 2000). Conserved amino acid residues that form positive charges at the catalytic site (Fll Ptn1 H93, K164 and K167) were all conserved (Mitra et al., 2004). The Q221 residue required for hydrogen bonding to $\mathrm{PIP}_{3}$ was conserved. The other residue required for hydrogen bonding to PIP3, S217, differed to threonine found at this position in related sequences. However, threonine and serine have similar functional groups, so this may not alter hydrogen bonding to $\mathrm{PIP}_{3}$ at this position.

### 6.1.1.3 The prt3 locus

Sequence similarity to the E. typhina At I gene was used to identify the E. festucae Fll and N. lolii Lp19 Atl homologues (designated as prt3; Section 3.1.3). The prt3 gene of $N$. lolii Lp19 and Lp5 strains had a single nucleotide deletion towards the end of exon 2. This deletion leads to a frame shift in the prt 3 open reading frame, which will result in premature truncation of the Prt3 protein. As the truncated Prt3 protein lacks the conserved catalytic residues required for enzymatic activity, the Prt3 protein in N. lolii Lp19 (and Lp5) is expected to be non-functional (Section 3.1.3.2). The deletion in the $N$. lolii Lp19 and Lp5 prt3 homologues has a similar effect to the minisatellite sequence downstream of the prtl gene in N. lolii Lpl9 (Section 3.1.1), where a stop codon is introduced into the orf4 gene, resulting in premature truncation of the Orf4 protein. Analysis of gene fragments in the $S$. cerevisiae genome suggests that after gene duplication, the function of many genes is lost by the accumulation of deleterious mutations (Lafontaine et al., 2004).

The inactivation of prt 3 in the Lp19 and Lp5 strains (and premature truncation of orf4 in Lp19) may be a reflection of the exclusively mutualistic, asexual lifestyle of $N$. lolii. Selection pressure to retain gene function in the asexual $N$. lolii may be reduced in comparison to its sexual ancestor, E. festucae. However, the deletion may also be a
consequence of the asexual life cycle of the $N$. lolii strains. These strains will tend to accumulate genetic mutations which are not compensated for by new genetic material introduced during sexual reproduction, which tends to make genomes of asexual organisms unstable (Taylor et al., 1999). It is also possible the prt3 gene may only be required during the sexual phase of growth, which could mean its function is no longer necessary in the asexual $N$. lolii strains. However, not all asexual N. lolii strains contained the single base pair deletion leading to truncation of the Prt3 protein.

Although prt3 expression is up-regulated in planta, the level of expression is still relatively low (Section 3.6). The Prt3 orthologue in E. typhina, Atl, is a highly abundant protein in the leaf sheaths of E. typhina-infected P. ampla (Lindstrom and Belanger, 1994). Using northern analysis, (Reddy et al., 1996) showed that while the $A t l$ transcript was readily detected in endophyte-infected Poa spp. grasses, transcripts of prt3 in grasses infected with $N$. lolii or E. festucae were at much lower levels. The results obtained in this study were consistent with these findings, with prt 3 from E. festucae and N. lolii expressed at relatively low levels in planta. Reddy et al. (1996) suggested the differences in expression for the E. typhina Atl and E. festucae and N. lolii prt3 genes could be due to differences in gene regulation or to fungal biomass within the plant.

Expression of the N. lolii Lp19 and E. festucae prt3 genes were up-regulated during infection of $L$. perenne cv . Nui compared to conditions in culture (Figure 3.39). These findings were interesting because expression of the E. typhina Atl gene was not detected in the same host. Although the $N$. lolii Lp19 prt3 gene produces a truncated product, it is expressed in culture, and as for $E$. festucae Fll prt3, the $N$. lolii Lp19 prt3 gene appears to be up-regulated in planta.

An interesting finding in this study was that when the E. typhina endophyte from P. ampla was inoculated into L. perenne cv. Nui, Atl gene expression was not detected in culture or in planta by RT-PCR (Figure 3.39). This was despite the biomass levels of the E. typhina endophyte in L. perenne being higher than that of either E. festucae or $N$. lolii in the same host. This was due to an increase in the number of extracellular
hyphae on the leaf surface of $L$. perenne infected with E. typhina (Appendix A15), a finding consistent with the results seen for Poa sp. infected with a different E. typhina strain (M. Christensen, personal communication). This study suggests that Atl gene expression may be regulated differently in its natural Poa ampla host than it is when in an artificial association with $L$. perenne. Potentially, different nutritional environments or specific host factors between the two hosts affect the regulation of gene expression. This may be particularly relevant to researchers attempting to identify novel endophytes for grass pasture species. Often researchers have found that some artificial associations lead to incompatible interactions. Although the association between E. typhina PN2311 and perennial ryegrass was compatible, endophyte gene expression is obviously affected by host factors.

The Atl protease is not the only endophyte protein identified at high levels in the association between E. typhina and Poa ampla. Interestingly, the major protein produced in culture, a chitinase, is also found in apoplastic fluids of infected plants (Amiard et al., 2004). $N$-acetylglucosaminidase and $\beta-1,6$-glucanases have also been detected (Li et al., 2005; Moy et al., 2002). The fact that all of these proteins are found at relatively high levels in endophyte-infected $P$. ampla suggests differences in expression may be due to a difference in biomass levels. This difference could be experimentally determined by microscopy, or by using a real-time PCR approach similar to that described by Young (2005).

Analysis of sequence upstream and downstream of prt3 identified the atsl and gaol genes (Figure 3.15). These genes are not closely linked to each other or to a prt3 homologue in the closely related species, $F$. graminearum. The ats 1 gene encodes a putative asparaginyl-tRNA synthetase. The gaol gene encodes a putative galactose oxidase, which oxidise primary alcohols and generates hydrogen peroxide (Whittaker, 2003). All of the residues required for catalytic activity are conserved in the E. festucae Fll Gaol protein. Like other galactose oxidases, the Gaol protein contains a putative carbohydrate binding domain (Baumgartner, 1998) and two putative Kelch domains
(Adams et al., 2000). In Fusarium, the two Kelch domains form a 7 -fold beta propeller structure (Whittaker, 2003).

### 6.1.1.4 The prt4 gene

The prt4 gene was identified by degenerate PCR with primers based on vacuolar proteases from other fungi (Figure 3.19). Fungal vacuolar proteases are involved in the recycling of macromolecules in the vacuole during autophagy (Pinan-Lucarre et al., 2003; Takeshige et al., 1992). The prt4 gene encodes a putative vacuolar protease belonging to subfamily 3 of proteinase K family. Phylogenetic analysis confirmed that the Prt4 protein was closely related to other fungal vacuolar like proteases (Figure 3.25).

### 6.1.2 Kex2 is a member of the kexin family of subtilisin-like proteases

The E. festucae Fll kex2 gene was identified by screening of a genomic DNA cosmid library with a N. lolii Lp19 kex2 probe (Section 3.3). kex2 encodes a putative proprotein convertase of the kexin family of subtilisin-like proteases, with similarities to related sequences from $F$. graminearum, M. grisea, N. crassa and Aspergillus spp. Proteins that pass through the fungal secretory pathway, in particular the trans Golgi network, are potentially targets of kexins (Redding et al., 1991). As such, the proteins encoded by some of the genes identified in this study are potential targets of the E. festucae Fll Kex2 protein. One potential example of kexin processing identified in this study was the Gcnl protein, which shares a conserved kexin recognition site with related proteins from Neotyphodium sp. FCB2002 and T. harzianum (Figure 5.2, recognition site KR at residues 39-40; Moy et al., 2002).

### 6.1.3 Regulation of expression of genes encoding subtilisin-like proteases

The expression of genes encoding subtilisin-like proteases in fungi is commonly regulated by carbon catabolite repression, nitrogen metabolite repression or pH . The global regulatory systems mediated by CreA or AreA/Nit2 prevent expression of enzymes for utilising alternative carbon or nitrogen sources if preferred carbon or
nitrogen sources are available (Strauss et al., 1999; Tao and Marzluf, 1999). pH regulation is mediated by PacC , which activates gene expression of alkaline protease genes under alkaline conditions (Tilburn et al., 1995). Expression of some genes is induced by an external protein source. The regulation of expression of subtilisin-like protease genes is described in Table 6.1.

Expression of the prlA gene from the entomopathogenic fungus M. anisopliae is repressed in the presence of preferred carbon and/or nitrogen sources (St Leger et al., 1992). prl $A$ is also pH regulated, with maximal gene expression at alkaline pH (St Leger et al., 1998). Proteinaceous components of insect cuticle induce prla gene expression (Paterson et al., 1994). Expression of the prbl gene from the mycoparasitic

Table 6.1 Regulation of fungal subtilisin-like protease genes

| Organism | Gene | Family ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Ccr ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $\mathrm{Nmr}^{\text {c }}$ | pH | Inducers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M. anisopliae | pr1A | ProtK of 1 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | Proteinaceous components of insect cuticle |
| T. harzianum | Prb1 | ProtK sf 2 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | - | Chitin, fungal cell walls (if C and N derepr) Osmotic stress (if N derepr) |
| A. oligospora | PII | Prot K unk | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | ? | Exogenous protein |
| O. piliferum | Opil1 |  | X | X | $\checkmark$ | Exogenous Protein |
| O. piceae | Opic1 |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Exogenous protein (if } \mathrm{C} \\ \text { and } \mathrm{N} \text { derepr) } \end{gathered}$ |
| A. niger | рерС | Prot K vac | X | X | - | X |
| O. piliferum | Opil2 | Prot Kvac | X | X | X | X |
| P. brassicae | Psp2 | ProtK vac | X | X | X | X |
| C. albicans | CaPRB1 | Prot K vac | X | $\checkmark$ | - | Heat shock GlcNAc (inhibited by glucose) |
| S. cerevisiae | PRB1 | Prot K vac | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | - | Diauxic phase growth |
| Family or subfamily of fungal subtilisin like proteases based on (Hu and St Leger, 2004) carbon catabolite repression, where the presence of glucose represses the expression of genes for utilisation of alternative carbon sources nitrogen metabolite repression, where the presence of ammonium or glutamine represses gene expression |  |  |  |  |  |  |

fungus $T$. harzianum is also repressed in the presence of preferred carbon and nitrogen sources (Geremia et al., 1993; Olmedo-Monfil et al., 2002). If a preferred nitrogen
source is absent, expression of prbl can be induced by chitin, fungal cell walls or osmotic stress (Olmedo-Monfil et al., 2002). Expression of the A. oligospora PII gene is repressed in the presence of preferred carbon and nitrogen sources, but was induced by an external protein source (Åhman et al., 1996).

The opill and opic protease genes from the wood-staining Ophiostoma sp. fungi showed different regulation patterns (Hoffman and Breuil, 2004a). opill expression was not subject to carbon or nitrogen repression, but was expressed if the ambient pH was alkaline. The presence of exogenous protein also induced opill gene expression. The opic gene was subject to carbon and nitrogen repression, and was regulated by pH . If no preferred carbon or nitrogen source was available, gene expression could be induced by exogenous proteins.

Genes encoding vacuolar subtilisin-like proteases differed in their regulation. Expression of the pepC (Aspergillus niger), opil2 (O. piliferum) and psp 2 ( $P$. brassicae) genes were not repressed in the presence of preferred carbon and nitrogen sources or ambient pH , nor were these genes induced by an exogenous protein source (Hoffman and Breuil, 2004a; Jarai et al., 1994; Keniry et al., 2002). However, expression of the vacuolar protease genes from $S$. cerevisiae and C. albicans were regulated in a different manner. Expression of the C. albicans CaPRB1 gene was not repressed in the presence of glucose, but was repressed in the presence of a preferred nitrogen source (Orozco et al., 2002). CaPRB1 expression was also induced by heat shock and by $N$-acetylglucosamine if glucose was absent. The S. cerevisiae PRBI gene was repressed in the presence of preferred carbon and nitrogen sources (Hofman-Bang, 1999; Moehle et al., 1987).

The different regulation patterns observed with the extracellular and vacuolar subtilisinlike protease genes corresponds to their cellular functions. The extracellular subtilisinlike proteases are used by the cell to break down proteins to provide carbon and nitrogen sources to support growth. If preferred carbon and nitrogen sources are present, the fungus will utilise these sources first, and the protease genes will be repressed. When the preferred nutrient sources have been utilised, expression of the fungal protease genes
will be derepressed. Induction by exogenous protein acts to promote protease gene expression in the presence of the protease substrate. Subtilisin-like proteases are generally most active at neutral to alkaline pH . Regulation of gene expression by ambient pH allows the genes to be expressed under conditions where the encoded proteins are most likely to be active. Vacuolar proteases are likely to have a housekeeping function within the cell, by breaking down components of macromolecules within the vacuole. The constitutive expression of vacuolar protease genes in filamentous fungi is consistent with a housekeeping function of vacuolar proteases within the cell (Hoffman and Breuil, 2004a).

Carbon, nitrogen and pH regulation of genes encoding subtilisin-like proteases was correlated with the presence of CreA and AreA binding sites within promoter sequences (Cortes et al., 1998; Screen et al., 1997). On the basis of this, CreA, AreA and PacC consensus binding sites were analysed in the untranslated regions upstream of the prtl, prt2, prt3, prt4, prt5 and kex2 open reading frames (Figures 3.6, 3.12, 3.17, 3.24 and 3.29). The regions upstream of the prt1, prt2, prt3, prt4 and prt5 genes all contain multiple sequence motifs that could potentially be bound by the CreA, AreA and PacC transcription factors. However, the role of potential transcription factor binding sites must be confirmed by experimental procedures such as DNA mobility shift assays. In addition, the effects of preferred carbon and nitrogen sources, pH and exogenous protein on expression of the prt and kex2 genes remain to be experimentally determined.

Recognition site distribution and frequency suggests that the prt5, prt2, prt3, prt4 and kex2 genes may be regulated by carbon and nitrogen catabolite repression controlled by orthologues of the $A$. nidulans CreA and AreA proteins. The prt 3 gene may also be regulated in response to ambient pH by an orthologue of the PacC protein. The prtl gene may be regulated in response to glucose by a CreA orthologue.

Some genes that are up-regulated in planta are also induced by carbon or nitrogen starvation (Snoeijers et al., 1999; Solomon et al., 2005; Talbot et al., 1993). In terms of endophyte growth, it is generally assumed the apoplast where the endophyte grows is
nutrient poor. Studies of different grass-endophyte systems have proved contradictory. A study by Bacon and White (2000) showed that endophyte infection has a significant effect of the host plant's nitrogen metabolism, with the concentration of ammonium doubled in the leaf sheaths of infected plants. This situation is similar to that found by Solomon et al. (2003), who showed that the concentration of nitrogen sources in the leaf increased during compatible infections of tomato by Cladosporium fulvum. However, experiments by Johnson and Rasmussen (unpublished) have shown that free amino acids in endophyte-infected grass tissues are significantly reduced compared to uninfected tissues, suggesting that the endophyte may be growing in an environment that is relatively low in available nitrogen. This could have implications for the regulation of subtilisin-like protease genes in planta if gene expression is derepressed in the absence of a preferred nitrogen source.

The Sebl protein is a transcriptional regulator that is involved in, but is not required for, the response to osmotic response in Trichoderma atroviride (Seidl et al., 2004). In this study, the distribution of Sebl was studied in the promoters of the prt and kex2 genes. The distribution patterns of Sebl binding sites in the prt5, prt3 and kex2 genes suggested that these genes could be regulated by the Sebl transcription factor. However, the significance of these binding sites is unclear, as the function of Sebl homologues in other fungi has not been determined. In T. atroviride, the sebl gene was identified by its sequence similarity to the $S$. cerevisiae genes encoding the Msn2p/Msn4p proteins (Peterbauer et al., 2002), which regulate gene expression in response to stress (Schmitt and McEntee, 1996). However, although Sebl binds to the same sequence as Msn2p/Msn4p, it was not able to functionally complement a $\Delta m s n 2 / 4$ mutant of $S$. cerevisiae (Peterbauer et al., 2002).

MEME analysis (Bailey and Elkan, 1994) also identified some sequence motifs that were present in the regions upstream of the prt genes. While several of these motifs are found in multiple copies in the promoters of several prt genes, the functional significance of these motifs is unclear. Again, DNA mobility shift assays using DNA fragments from the promoter containing particular MEME motifs and promoter deletion
analysis may provide an insight to any potential function of these motifs. Other motiffinding programs that are purported to be more sensitive than MEME have also been developed, which may be more accurate at finding motifs in the prt promoters (Down and Hubbard, 2005; Leung and Chin, 2005).

Whole genome analysis would also provide clues to the abundance of these motifs in the promoters of other genes, potentially providing information about the function of these motifs. Comparative analysis, where the promoters of the E. festucae Fll prt and kex2 genes are compared with the orthologous sequences from other Epichloë or related species, could help distinguish which motifs may be functional. Similar studies have been used to identify potential protein-binding motifs in $S$. cerevisiae and related Saccharomyces species (Kellis et al., 2003).

In this study, the expression of the prt and kex2 genes was compared in culture and in planta (Section 3.6). Due to biomass differences between the endophyte in culture and in planta, it was necessary to normalise the expression levels of a constitutively expressed gene between culture and symbiota samples to allow for this difference in biomass. The endophyte tub2 gene was selected as a constitutively expressed gene, and cDNA dilutions analysed by RT-PCR were compared for similar levels of tub2 expression (Figure 3.37).

Analysis in E. festucae Fll showed that expression of the prtl, prt3 and prt4 genes appeared to be up-regulated in planta compared to in culture (Figure 3.38). Expression of the prt5, kex2 and prt2 genes were below the detection levels of the RT-PCR approach used in this study. The expression of the gcnl gene appeared to be unchanged between the two growth conditions. This study also confirmed that expression of the $l t m M$ gene is induced during growth in planta, but not during growth in culture, a result consistent with previous experiments performed by Young (2005).

Studies using a wider range of plant-endophyte associations confirmed the differential expression of the prtl and prt3 genes in symbiota of E. festucae Fll with perennial
ryegrass, and in meadow fescue (Festuca pratensis) (Figure 3.39). The prtl and prt3 genes were also up-regulated in a symbiotum of $N$. lolii Lp19 and perennial ryegrass. However, the two genes appeared to be regulated quite differently in a symbiotum of an E. typhina strain (from Poa ampla) with perennial ryegrass. The prtl homologue in $E$. typhina was expressed at the same levels in culture and in planta, which differs to the increased prtl expression in planta that was observed for the $N$. lolii/perennial ryegrass, $E$. festucae/perennial ryegrass and E.festucae/meadow fescue symbiota. Meanwhile, expression of the prt 3 homologue $A t 1$ in the $E$. typhina strain was not detected either in culture or in planta. Expression of the prt2 gene was not detected in any of these endophyte strains either in culture or in planta.

Some inherent limitations are present with this technique. Firstly, it is assumed that expression of the tub2 gene is truly constitutive, and that expression of the gene does not change when the endophyte grows in planta. This may not be true during growth within the plant. Analyses of arbuscular mycorrhizal symbioses have indicated that for these zygomycete fungi, tubulin gene expression is differentially regulated during growth in planta (Delp et al., 2003; Rhody et al., 2003).

The expression differences observed between hyphae growing in culture and in planta may also be due to differences in nutrient availability. While the mycelia grown in culture are grown under rich conditions in potato dextrose broth, which contains plenty of glucose and amino acids, the hyphae growing in planta may have been growing in an environment with less available carbon and nitrogen sources. Experiments analysing the effect of various carbon and nitrogen sources on expression of the prt genes still need to be carried out to ensure differences in expression are due to growth within the plant rather than nutritional differences. There is some precedent for the expression of endophyte genes up-regulated in planta not being influenced by nutrient availability in culture. Expression of the lolitrem biosynthetic genes, $\operatorname{ltm} G, \operatorname{ltm} M$ and $\operatorname{ltm} K$, is strong up-regulated in planta, but does not appear to be induced by a lack of nutrient availability in culture (Young et al., 2005).

The expression of genes in culture is analysed at a particular stage of growth. Expression of the prt and kex2 genes may vary during development and aging of fungal hyphae in culture. This is particularly important when comparing the expression of genes in various endophyte strains. In this experiment, the E. typhina strains were grown for five days, E. festucae for seven days, and N. lolii for twelve days in an attempt to allow for the different growth rates of the strains and to isolate roughly equivalent amounts of fungal biomass. However, the earlier harvest of the E. typhina culture in particular may have meant that expression of some of the genes may not have been induced at this stage. For the prt 3 homologue, Atl, Reddy et al. (1996) found that expression levels were higher in older cultures.

### 6.1.4 Genomic distribution of subtilisin-like proteases in filamentous fungi

The genomes of filamentous fungi contain varying numbers of subtilisin-like proteases (Table 1.2). While saprophytic fungi such as $A$. nidulans and $N$. crassa contain only a few, some phytopathogenic fungi such as M. grisea and $F$. graminearum contain large numbers of subtilisin-like proteases (Hu and St Leger, 2004). However, the number of subtilisin-like proteases in a fungal genome does not necessarily correspond with fungal lifestyle, as the phytopathogenic fungi B. cinerea and $S$. sclerotiorum contain relatively low numbers of subtilisin-like protease-encoding genes (Table 1.2).

The distribution of subtilisin-like protease genes in fungal genomes suggests these genes have been duplicated and diversified in some lineages, but have been lost in other lineages (Hu and St Leger, 2004). However, genes encoding vacuolar subtilisin-like proteases and kexin-like proprotein convertases are found in all fungal genomes sequenced to date (Table 1.2). This is probably a reflection of the specialised biological functions of the vacuolar and kexin-like proteases in macromolecule recycling in the vacuole and post-translational modification of secreted proteins.

However, the numbers of genes encoding subtilisin-like proteases from the proteinase K family subfamilies 1 and 2 and the pyrolysin family are much more variable ( Hu and St

Leger, 2004). It has been suggested that extracellular subtilisin-like proteases from proteinase K subfamily 1 were originally found in Ascomycetes, and subsequent gene duplication and diversification resulted in the evolution of the proteinase K subfamily 2 members based on the presence of subfamily 1 protease-encoding gene in the genome of the yeast $S$. cerevisiae. Alternatively, these genes may be derived from duplication and diversification from the sequences found in the common ancestor of basidiomycetes and ascomycetes, with deletion of the subfamily 2 class in $S$. cerevisiae and deletion of both the subfamily 1 and 2 classes in S. pombe (Table 1.2).

Based on the number of proteases present in fungi that are closely related to E. festucae Fll, such as M. aniso pliae and F. graminearum (Hu and St Leger, 2004), it is possible that more subtilisin-like protease-encoding genes are present in the E. festucae genome. Classes of particular interest are the proteinase K subfamilies 1 and 2 , and the pyrolysin family. The closely related $M$. anisopliae, which like E. festucae is a member of the Clavicipitaceae, contains five and four genes respectively from the proteinase $K$ subfamilies 1 and 2 classes respectively. This study identified three subfamily 1 and two subfamily 2 protease-encoding genes from the proteinase K family in E. festucae.

Three protease genes each in $F$. graminearum and $M$. anisopliae encode proteins that group closely with the E. festucae and N. lolii Prtl proteins (Figure 3.18). The conservation of the three proteins across the two species suggests the E. festucae genome may also contain other sequences that are closely related to prtl. Previous Southern analysis with N. lolii genomic DNA appears to support this hypothesis (data not shown). The prt6 gene appeared to encode a homologue of the M. anisopliae PrlJ protein, which is also a member of subfamily 2 from the proteinase K family. The prt2 and prt5 gene products clustered closely with the $\operatorname{PrlI}$ and $\operatorname{PrlK}$ proteins respectively from M. anisopliae. However, the prt 3 gene products, while clustering closely with the E. typhina Atl protease, did not cluster with a particular M. anisopliae or other fungal protein within subfamily 1 of the proteinase K family. However, the conserved intron
structures of the prt2 and prt 3 genes may suggest these two genes have arisen within subfamily 2 by gene duplication followed by genetic divergence.

Members of the pyrolysin family are common in the filamentous fungal genomes, especially in the genome of the phytopathogenic fungus M. grisea. The role of these proteases in fungi is currently unclear. The pyrolysin-like proteases are characterised by the presence of a protease-associated (PA) domain, which may be associated with substrate binding and recognition. To date, the only functionally characterised pyrolysin, PoS1 is from the basidiomycete $P$. ostratus, where it is associated with triggering a proteolytic cascade that regulates degradation of laccase isoenzymes involved in lignin degradation. Two genes encoding pyrolysin-like enzymes were identified in this study: the prt 7 gene (from subfamily 2 ), and the prt8 gene (from subfamily 1 ).

In this study, protease genes were identified in a very specific manner. The prtl and prt2 genes were identified in a previous study, using probes amplified from N. lolii Lp19 genomic DNA with primers designed based on the sequence of the Atl gene from E. typhina (McGill, 2000). These primers were designed to nucleotide sequences encoding conserved regions of the Atl protein, but the primers were not degenerate. It is unclear why the At1 homologue of $N$. lolii Lp19 (prt3) did not amplify using these primers. However, by amplifying N. lolii Lp19 genomic DNA using a different primer set based on the AtI nucleotide sequence rather than on conserved polypeptide sequences, prt3, the $A t I$ homologue was discovered and subsequently characterised in $N$. lolii Lp19 and E. festucae Fll (Section 3.1.3). The prt5 gene was only identified because of its proximity to the prtl gene in the E. festucae Fll and N. lolii Lp19 genomes (Figures 3.2 and 3.3).

The prt4 gene was identified by PCR using degenerate primers designed to regions that were conserved in related vacuolar subtilisin-like proteases such as prlH (Section 3.2). However, the peptide sequences to which these degenerate primers were designed were not present in the extracellular subfamilies ( 1 and 2 ) of the proteinase K family. This meant only the vacuolar protease gene was likely to be amplified from the E. festucae

Fll genome using these primers. The kex2 gene was identified based on an N. lolii Lpl9 sequence fragment (Section 3.3). For both the prt4 vacuolar protease and kex2 kexin-like protease-encoding genes, only a single copy of each gene was expected in the $E$. festucae genome based on the distribution of related genes in other fungal genomes (Table 1.2).

An alternative degenerate PCR strategy was used to identify other subtilisin-like protease genes in the E. festucae genome (Section 3.4). Other subtilisin-like proteaseencoding genes from $E$. festucae Fll were amplified using degenerate primers designed to the most conserved protein regions surrounding the histidine and serine residues required for catalytic activity. Sequencing of some of the products amplified using these degenerate primers revealed the presence of the prt6, prt7 and prt 8 genes encoding subtilisin-like proteases in the E. festucae Fll genome. However, some of the products amplified using these degenerate primers have not yet been characterised. At least two products are the size expected for the prtl gene and the prt2, prt3, and prt5 genes. Sequencing of these products would confirm if any of the remaining products have been amplified from the subtilisin-like protease encoding genes identified in this study, or if they represent novel subtilisin-like protease-encoding genes.

The chromosomal localisation of the prt genes and kex2 genes differed between the endophyte strains (Section 3.5). As expected due to the proximity of the prt5 and prtl genes, these two genes were found on the same chromosome in all the strains analysed. However, the distribution of the other prt genes and kex2 genes varied between strains. In E.festucae Fll, prtl, prt5, prt2 and prt3 genes were all on the same chromosome, or on chromosomes that were similar in size. prt4 and kex2 were located on different chromosomes to each other and to the chromosome containing the other prt genes. However, in $N$. lolii, the prt2, prt3, prt4 and kex2 genes were all located on separate chromosomes to each other, and were not on the chromosome containing the prt5 and prtl genes. In E. typhina PN2311, the prt2, prt3 and prt4 genes all appear to be on the same chromosome or a chromosome of similar size, with the prt5 and prtl genes on one separate chromosome and the kex2 gene on another separate chromosome.

The chromosomal distribution of the protease-encoding genes is important as it provides an insight into the evolution of the subtilisin-like gene family. Initially, the subtilisinlike protease gene family in fungi probably arose through successive gene duplications, followed by divergence of sequences and/or gene loss in some strains (Hu and St Leger, 2004). Some classes of subtilisin-like protease genes diverged in function at an early time point in evolution. For instance, kexins (proprotein convertases) are common to all eukaryotes, suggesting these genes diverged from other subtilisin-like protease genes early during eukaryote evolution. Other genes may have arisen by more recent duplication and divergence (Hu and St Leger, 2004).

### 6.2 HETEROLOGOUS EXPRESSION OF PRT1 AND PRT2 IN EPICHLOË FESTUCAE FL1

Studies in other fungi suggest subtilisin-like proteases play important roles in fungalhost interactions. Based on these results, it was hypothesised that the Prtl and Prt2 subtilisin-like proteases may affect the interaction of E. festucae with its host grass. The prtl and prt2 genes were selected for analysis based on their different patterns of regulation. While prtl is expressed in culture and in planta, no prt2 expression has been detected (Section 3.6). This suggests the Prtl protein may be present in the symbiosis. The presence of the Prt2 protein in the grass-endophyte symbiosis, where it is not normally present, may perturb the fungal-host interaction.

Many strategies have been used to identify the role of hydrolytic enzymes in fungal host interactions (Section 1.7). However, most of these strategies contain some limitations for characterising the role of subtilisin-like proteases in fungal-host interactions. While gene replacement is a commonly used technique to investigate gene function, this method may not be suitable for determining the function of subtilisin-like protease-encoding genes due to gene redundancy in fungal genomes (Table 1.2). In E. festucae, the exact number of subtilisin-like protease genes within the genome is unknown. Sequential replacement of genes is often used to determine gene function where members of a gene family may be partially or fully redundant, as described for the THI gene family in $S$. cerevisiae (Wightman and Meacock, 2003).

The creation of strains bearing multiple gene replacements can be both tedious and timeconsuming. The primary organism used in this study, E. festucae Fll, grows more slowly in culture than model fungi such as $A$. nidulans and $N$. crassa. Protoplast regeneration after transformation is relatively slow, with hygromycin resistant transformants routinely growing for at least two weeks before becoming visible on plates. The frequency of homologous recombination resulting in gene replacement is relatively low. In addition, E. festucae is not genetically well characterised and auxotrophic strains that enable the use of nutritional selectable markers have not yet been developed. Only two selectable markers are in regular use in E. festucae, one of which confers hygromycin resistance, the other of which confers geneticin resistance. While replacements in two genes could be constructed, selectable markers would need to be recycled if more gene replacements were to be performed. The Flp and Cre recombinases have both been used to excise selectable markers from fungal genomes so they can be reused to replace other fungal genes (Fickers et al., 2003; Guldener et al., 1996; Iwaki and Takegawa, 2004; Toh-e, 1995). The Flp and Cre recombinases both catalyse the recombining of DNA at particular target sequences, so if the marker is flanked by these target sequences, the recombinases can effectively excise the marker DNA.

Functional analysis of protease-encoding genes by gene replacement has had mixed success in fungi. In the wood rot fungus $O$. piliferum, deletion of the albin gene encoding the major protease activity produced by the fungus resulted in significant loss of protease activity and reduced growth rates on BSA and on wood (Hoffman and Breuil, 2004b). However, in F. oxysporum, deletion of the prtl gene, which appeared to be expressed constitutively in low levels both in culture and in planta, did not result in any detectable changes in proteolytic activity or in pathogenicity, virulence or host colonisation (Di Pietro et al., 2001). The presence of unrelated enzyme activity can also complicate analysis of the phenotype of strains with deletions. Gene replacements in protease-encoding genes from fungi such as $S$. nodorum and C. carbonum have shown
that the presence of multiple protease activities complicates study of the phenotype (Carlile et al., 2000; Murphy and Walton, 1996).

As an alternative approach, an RNAi approach could have been used. It is possible to silence two genes simultaneously using chimeric RNA molecules that form hairpin structures in fungi (Fitzgerald et al., 2004). While this method may be useful for determining the function of two genes simultaneously, the RNAi method has the same limitations of the gene replacement in determining gene function in gene families, especially where there are many family members.

A strategy resulting in increased expression of subtilisin-like protease genes has been successful in identifying the function of subtilisin-like proteases in the interactions of the insect pathogenic fungus M. anisopliae, the mycoparasitic fungi $T$. harzianum and $T$. virens and the nematode pathogenic fungus A. oligospora (Åhman et al., 2002; Flores et al., 1997; Pozo et al., 2004; St Leger et al., 1996c). Over-expression of genes encoding subtilisin-like proteases in these fungi was clearly detrimental to the host for all of these fungi, indicating these proteases play roles in the pathogenesis or virulence of these fungi toward their hosts.

Over-expression has advantages over the gene replacement and RNAi methods because an increase in activity is being studied, rather than a loss of activity. The phenotype from a loss of activity may be difficult to analyse, as it may be masked by the presence of other related activity that may partially or fully compensate for this loss. However, the phenotype resulting from over-expression is not masked by other similar activities that are present. Although this technique has an advantage over gene replacement in terms of detecting a phenotype, there are some disadvantages. Over-expression of a particular protein may be harmful to cells, which can cause defects in growth or even cell death. In addition, over-expressing a gene may result in abnormal distribution of proteins within the cell. Localisation in a particular subcellular compartment may be required for the normal activity of a protein. If the protein is over-expressed, it might also accumulate in other subcellular compartments where activity may change or be lost.

In light of the results obtained in other functional analyses of proteases, the decision was made to analyse the function of E. festucae Fll prtl and prt2 in symbioses with perennial ryegrass. The prtl and prt 2 coding regions (containing introns) were expressed under the control of the gpd $A$ promoter from $A$. nidulans or the $\operatorname{ltmM}$ promoter from E. festucae Fll (Section 4.1). The gpdA promoter was previously shown to drive constitutive expression of the uidA reporter gene in planta (Saunders, 1997). The ltmM promoter controls expression of a lolitrem biosynthetic gene that is induced and highly expressed during growth in planta, but is expressed at very low levels, if at all, in culture (Young et al., 2005).

The copy number of the transgenes inserted into the genome of transformants varied markedly between different strains (Section 4.2). As circular DNA was used for transformation, integration into the E. festucae Fll genome could occur at any point within the vector DNA. A Southern approach was used to determine how many transgenes had inserted into the E. festucae Fll genome with the prtl or prt2 coding regions were still intact. The copy number for intact prtl or prt2 transgenes varied widely between the strains. While some transformants contained only one intact copy, others contained more than twenty intact copies.

In culture, RT-PCR analysis showed that the prtl and prt2 genes were expressed under the control of the $g p d A$ promoter in strains transformed with either the PgpdA-prtl or PgpdA-prt2 transgenes respectively (Figure 4.7). These analyses were particularly useful for prt2, where gene expression had not previously been detected either in culture or in planta. The fact that the prt2 transgene was expressed and spliced to create an mRNA that creates a functional protein suggests prt 2 expression has not been detected due to a strong repressible element in the prt 2 promoter or to a lack of a suitable transcription start site. cDNA sequencing also indicated the intron in the 5 ' untranslated region of the $g p d A$ gene that was fused to the $p r t 2$ gene is spliced out during RNA processing in the same manner as in $A$. nidulans (results not shown).

No expression of the prtl or prt2 genes under control of the $\operatorname{ltmM}$ promoter was detected in culture. This result was expected because the wild type $\operatorname{ltmM}$ gene is expressed at either undetectable or detected at very low levels in culture (Young, 2005; Young et al., 2005). However, this analysis may need to be repeated, as one of the primers used in this study, loll07, may anneal within the promoter region rather than in the 5 , untranslated region of the ltmM gene.

As the prtl and prt 2 transgenes were expressed under the control of the gpdA promoter in all transformed strains containing these constructs in culture, it was expected the expression of these transgenes would also be detected in planta, as the gpdA promoter is meant to drive constitutive gene expression. However, no expression was detected for the PgpdA-prtl transgene in planta, and only two strains expressed the PgpdA-prt2 transgene in planta (Figures 4.8 and 4.9). As the ltmM gene is strongly induced in culture, it was also expected that the PltmM -prtl or PltmM -prt2 transgenes would be strongly expressed in transformants containing these constructs. However, only three of the PltmM-prtl transformants and one of the PltmM-prt2 transformants showed evidence of prtl or prt2 transgene expression.

In these experiments, differences between strains that did or did not express the transgenes in planta could not be explained by the number of intact copies. Potentially, the lack of transgene expression in some strains could be explained by two means. Firstly, positional effects could suppress the expression of some of these constructs in planta. Transgenes may have inserted in genomic regions where the chromosome is maintained as heterochromatin, and is not available for gene expression. Constructs may also have inserted near regions that suppress the endophyte gene expression during growth in planta. Secondly, gene silencing may be taking place in some strains. In $N$. crassa, transgenes are silenced during vegetative growth by a reversible posttranscriptional gene silencing phenomenon called "quelling" (Cogoni et al., 1994; Romano and Macino, 1992). A similar mechanism may have silenced expression of the transgenes introduced during this study.

No significant differences were detected between wild type and transformant strains during growth in planta (Section 4.4). The transformant hyphae grew between the host cells with infrequent branching in the same manner as wild type hyphae. Epiphytic hyphae also appeared for both wild type and transformant strains. These results were expected as most of the transformants strains did not express the transgenes in planta. However, the analysis of fungal growth in planta was very limited, with only the leaf sheath tissues studied. Different hyphal phenotypes may be observed in other host tissues such as meristematic zones, developing inflorescences and leaf blades. None of the associations resulted in stunting of the host grass, a phenotype that has been observed in some other associations (Zhang, 2004).

### 6.3 FUNCTION OF THE E. FESTUCAE FL1 GCN1 GENE

In mycoparastic fungi, $\beta$-1,6-glucanases act synergistically with other enzymes to degrade fungal cell walls. However, related $\beta-1,6$-glucanases have also been identified in plant pathogenic fungi such as $F$. graminearum and $S$. nodorum, saprophytic fungi such as $A$. nidulans and also in a Neotyphodium sp. grass endophyte. While the role of $\beta$ 1,6 -glucanases in the interaction of mycoparasitic fungi with their hosts is obvious, it is unclear what function these glucanases may have in fungi that do not rely on mycoparasitism. In this study, it was hypothesised that the Gcn1 $\beta-1,6$-glucanase played a role in the interaction of E. festucae Fll with its grass host.

The gcnl gene was first identified in the DNA located 3' to the end of the N. lolii Lp19 prt2 gene (Section 3.1.2). Sequencing confirmed that gcnl was also located directly downstream of the prt2 gene in the E. festucae Fll genome. The major difference in the gene arrangement between the two strains was a 406 bp insertion in the prt2-gcnl intergenic region in E. festucae Fll compared to N. lolii Lp 19 (Figure 5.1).

The E. festucae Fll and N. lolii Lp19 Gcnl proteins were 99.3\% identical to each other, with three amino acid changes at 76 (Fll R $>$ Lp19 M), 248 (Fll A>Lp19 C) and 373 (Fll Q>Lp19 K) (Figure 5.2). The proteins were also very similar to the $\beta$-1,6-glucanase
from Neotyphodium sp. FCB2002 (Moy et al., 2002). SignalP3.0 analysis showed that the Gcnl proteins appear to contain signal peptides of 17 amino acid residues. This corresponds with the predicted signal peptides of the Neotyphodium sp. $\beta$-1,6-glucanase and the $T$. harzianum BGN16.2 protein. Another feature also conserved with the T. harzianum BGN16.2 was the presence of a kexin recognition site (KR) at residues 3940. The Kex2 cleavage site in $T$. harzianum was confirmed by N -terminal sequencing of secreted BGN16.2, which started at residue 41 of the BGN16.2 preproprotein (Lora et al., 1995). Kexins (such as the enzyme encoded by kex2) digest proteins on the carboxyl terminal side of a dibasic peptide motif, such as KR, KK or RR (Henrich et al., 2005). Kexin recognition motifs were conserved in BGN16.2, Bgn3 and the three endophyte $\beta$ -1,6-glucanases, but not in the VfGlul protein (Amey et al., 2003; Kim et al., 2002; Lora et al., 1995). Together, this data suggests the Gcnl proteins are synthesised as preproproteins. The signal peptide would be removed from the preproprotein by signal peptidase in the endoplasmic reticulum, before a second proteolytic processing mediated by Kex2 in the Golgi body.

All three endophyte $\beta-1,6$-glucanases contain the conserved IEVLNEP catalytic signature, where the glutamic acid residues (shown in bold) are probably critical for catalysis (Lora et al., 1995). Phylogenetic analysis showed that the E. festucae Fll and N. lolii Lp19 Gcnl proteins were members of glycosyl hydrolase family 5 (Figure 5.3). Other members of glycosyl hydrolase family 5 include the endo- $\beta-1,6$-glucanases such as the Trichoderma spp. BGN16.2 and Bgn3, exo- $\beta$-1,3-glucanases and endo- $\beta$-1,4glucanases.

Expression of the gcnl gene was detected at approximately the same levels in culture and in planta (Figure 3.38). However, much further analysis needs to be conducted to determine factors regulating expression of the gcnl gene. In Neotyphodium sp. FCB2002, production of $\beta-1,6$-glucanase gene was induced by the $\beta-1,6$-glucan pustulan. Analysis of the prt2-gcnl intergenic region revealed five CreA binding sites and five Nit2/AreA binding sites. Expression of the related BGN16.2 gene was repressed by glucose in $T$. harzianum, suggesting that gcnl expression could be regulated in a
similar manner. However, the degradation of $\beta-1,6$-glucan produces glucose. Lora et al (1995) suggested degradation of pustulan by $\beta-1,6$-glucanases will produce glucose, which may in turn repress gene expression. No PacC motifs were identified in the prt2$g c n l$ intergenic region, suggesting the $g c n l$ gene is not subject to PacC-mediated pH regulation. However, the prt2-gcnl intergenic region did contain multiple copies of the STRE element CCCCT, associated with expression under stress conditions in yeast (Peterbauer et al., 2002). Based on these findings, it would be interesting to study the expression of gcnl in the presence of pustulan, under carbon limitation and stress conditions.

Homologues of the gcnl gene in other fungi are associated with mycoparasitism, with the VfGlul and BGN16.2 proteins implicated in antifungal activity. The BGN16.2 protein directly degrades $S$. cerevisiae cell walls, and can act synergistically with other cell wall degrading enzymes such as chitinases to degrade the cell walls of filamentous fungi (De la Cruz et al., 1995). The BGN16.2 protein also inhibits growth of B. cinerea and G. fujikuroi. Deletion of VfGlul reduced $V$. fungicola growth on chitin, and reduced chitinase activity (Amey et al., 2003). It is unclear why deletion of the VfGlul, encoding a putative $\beta$-1,6-glucanase, affects growth on chitin, a polymer of $\beta$-1,4-linked $N$-acetyl-D-glucosamine. However, the synergistic action of BGN16.2 with chitinase (De la Cruz et al., 1995), may suggest that the VfGlul protein could degrade the $\beta$-1,6-glucan attached to chitin in the fungal cell wall, thus making the remaining chitin polymer easier to access for chitinases.

This study represents the first attempt to characterise the function of a putative $\beta-1,6-$ glucanase from a fungus that is not mycoparasitic. In order to characterise the role of the gcnl gene in fungal growth in culture and during grass infection, the gcnl gene was replaced by an hph cassette conferring hygromycin resistance (Section 5.2). The rate of gene replacement by homologous recombination was relatively high, with $10 \%$ of transformants screened containing a gene replacement. The use of large 2.8 kb flanking fragments probably contributed to the high efficiency of homologous recombination.

Southern blotting confirmed that two transformants contained one copy of the gcn $1:: h p h$ construct that had replaced the wild type gcnl gene.
E. festucae Fll $\Delta g c n l$ strains were indistinguishable from wild type and ectopic gcn $1:: h p h$ strains when grown on a complex media such as potato dextrose agar (PDA) or on a media containing glucose (Section 5.2.2). However, when grown on plates containing the $\beta-1,6$-glucan pustulan, clear differences in growth were seen between the $\Delta g c n l$ strains and the wild type and ectopic $g c n l:: h p h$ strains. Although the $\Delta g c n l$ colonies were a similar diameter, they lacked the aerial hyphae seen in the wild type and ectopic strains. The submerged hyphae that are present for the $\Delta g c n l$ strain are sparsely distributed. Deletion of the gcnl gene also led to the loss of the major $\beta-1,6$-glucanase activity produced during growth on pustulan. Both $\Delta g c n l$ strains grown on pustulan did not produce the large halo of degraded $\beta-1,6$-glucan identified by Congo red staining for the wild type and ectopic strains.

A possible explanation for the lack of aerial hyphae in $\Delta g c n l$ strains may be that in these colonies, vegetative hyphae could not differentiate to form aerial hyphae due to a lack of available carbon during growth on pustulan. However, in other fungal species, carbon starvation is known to lead to the production of aerial hyphae and conidia. However, the induction of conidiation by carbon starvation in E. festucae Fll has not been studied. The media used in this study did contain $0.1 \%$ yeast extract, which probably provided some carbon to support fungal growth.

Some residual $\beta-1,6$-glucanase activity that is unrelated to Gcnl may still be present in the endophyte. In the fungus $T$. harzianum, three different unrelated $\beta-1,6$-glucanase activities have been identified. However, of these three activities, BGN16.2 (the $T$. harzianum homologue of Gcnl ) is the most effective at degrading pustulan. The possibility of residual $\beta-1,6$-glucanase activity in the $\Delta \mathrm{gcnl}$ strain is supported by the presence of a hydrolytic halo around the $\Delta g c n l$ strains that have been incubated on pustulan for a prolonged time. The residual $\beta$-1,6-glucanase activity may still degrade pustulan to support fungal growth.

Further work is needed to confirm the source of any residual $\beta-1,6$-glucanase activity in the $\Delta g c n l$ strains. Two approaches could be used to determine this: a protein activity approach, or a gene expression approach. If extracellular proteins were collected from the culture supernatant during growth on pustulan and separated using SDS-PAGE, $\beta$ 1,6 -glucanase activity can be determined by incubation with a replica gel containing pustulan following renaturing of the proteins in the polyacrylamide gel (Soler et al., 1999). A more sensitive method to detect activity was also suggested by Soler et al (1999), with the incubation of extracellular proteins separated by isoelectric focusing (IEF) with solubilised pustulan followed by detection of released reduced sugars with 2,3,5-triphenyltetrazolium chloride. These protein-based methods should ensure all $\beta$ -1,6-glucanases induced during growth on pustulan are detected.

Of the three known $\beta-1,6$-glucanases, DNA and protein sequences are currently only available for the BGN16.2 and for two of the BGN16.3 (known as Pl and P2 respectively) genes (Lora et al., 1995; Montero et al., 2005). To date, no sequence is available for the BGN16.1 gene, which encodes a basic $\beta$-1,6-glucanase (de la Cruz and Llobell, 1999). A degenerate PCR approach based on the BGN16.3-encoding P1 and P2 genes and related genes such as $N$. crassa negl could be used to identify if a related gene is present in the E. festucae Fll genome. RT-PCR could be used to identify if a gene encoding a glycosyl hydrolase family $30 \beta-1,6$-glucanase was expressed in both the wild type and $\Delta g c n l$ strains during growth on pustulan.

Complementation of the $\Delta g c n l$ phenotype by the wild type or ectopic strains was seen in some instances (Section 5.2.2). However, complementation was only detected after prolonged growth when $\Delta g c n l$ hyphae were grown in very close proximity to the wild type or ectopic strains. Near the interface between the colonies, $\Delta g c n l$ colonies produced some aerial hyphae, although not at the level of the wild type strain. On the other side of the $\Delta g c n l$ colony, where hyphae were not in close proximity to wild type or ectopic strains, no complementation of the $\Delta g c n l$ phenotype was observed.

To confirm the phenotype of the gcnl strains was solely due to deletion of the gcnl gene, genetic complementation of the MM20.15 $\Delta \mathrm{gcnl}$ strain was performed (Section 5.3). The $\Delta g c n l$ strain was co-transformed with pMM 44 , which contains the complete gcnl gene and the 5' region of the cycl gene, and pII99, which contains the nptII gene that confers geneticin resistance. Transformants were screened by PCR and for complementation of the $\Delta g c n l$ growth defect on pustulan plates. Co-transformation with pMM44 and pII99 restored $\beta-1,6$-glucanase activity and the $\Delta g c n l$ growth defect on pustulan plates for 19 of the 20 transformants analysed. The phenotype of the geneticinresistant complemented strains grown on pustulan varied, with phenotypes ranging from small dense colonies with large halos of pustulan degradation to a phenotype that resembled wild type, with only a moderate zone of pustulan degradation. The one geneticin-resistant strain tested that did not degrade pustulan showed a similar phenotype to the $\Delta g c n l$ strain, with a lack of aerial hyphae and a much larger colony diameter.

The differences seen between the pustulan degrading complemented strains could be due to a number of factors. Firstly, it should be noted that the copy number of the constructs inserted into each strain has not been determined. Therefore, differences in phenotype between the complemented strains could be due to a variation in genl copy number. However, the integration sites of the pMM44 plasmid in the E. festucae genome may affect gcnl expression.

Complemented strains where pustulan hydrolysis was detected early (i.e. after 2 days) and that produced large hydrolytic zones tended to produce quite small, dense colonies with many aerial hyphae. Potentially, two explanations could explain this phenotype. Firstly, the overproduction of glucanase may be detrimental to fungal cell wall formation, which could reduce growth rate and colony size. However, the dense and small colonies for these strains were similar to colonies grown in glucose, which could suggest the availability of glucose affects colony morphology of the E. festucae F11. Strains over expressing glucanases will break down more pustulan into glucose, so the compact colony morphology could be due to increased glucose
availability. In $S$. cerevisiae, $S$. pombe and $A$. nidulans, glucose activates the cAMPPKA signalling pathway (Hoffman, 2005; Lafon et al., 2005; Thevelein et al., 2005). Activation of the cAMP signalling pathway by addition of exogenous cAMP in A. niger (Oliver et al., 2002) results in a reduction in radial growth that is similar to that observed for strains overproducing Gcnl. This may suggest that when these strains are grown on pustulan, they degrade more pustulan to produce higher glucose levels than wild type strains. These high levels of glucose could increase the levels of cAMP, resulting in activation of the cAMP pathway, which may in turn inhibit radial growth.

Secondly, the phenotype of these strains could be affected by altered expression of the 5 , region of the cycl gene. As the Cycl protein is thought to be a part of the CTD kinase that affects RNA polymerase, potentially an altered Cycl protein could affect the CTD kinase complex, potentially affecting gene expression within the organism.

Strains over expressing the gcnl gene may also be more effective at inhibiting the growth of phytopathogenic strains compared to wild type or $\Delta \mathrm{gcnl}$ strains. Although the $g c n l$ gene is expressed in culture and in planta, higher levels of $g c n l$ expression may be induced by the presence of $\beta-1,6$-glucan (Moy et al., 2002). This might suggest that in the presence of fungal cell walls containing $\beta-1,6$-glucan, the $g c n l$ gene could be induced at higher levels in some of the complemented strains than in wild type strains. Growth inhibition studies with strains with high levels of genl expression could potentially provide an insight into the role of $\beta-1,6$-glucanase activity against other fungal species.

The phenotype of endophyte hyphae during grass infection for the $\Delta g c n l$ strains was compared with that of wild type E. festucae Fll and the ectopic $\Delta g c n l:: h p h$ strains. No differences in hyphal growth were observed between the strains. However, the analysis was only conducted in epidermal peels of infected grass leaf sheaths. Phenotypic differences between the $\Delta g c n l$ and wild type strains may be observed in other infected plant tissues, such as the meristematic zone, leaf blade or developing and mature inflorescences. As no phenotypic differences in colony morphology were observed
between $\Delta g c n l$ and wild type strains when colonies were grown in PD agar or media containing glucose, perhaps no phenotypic differences should be expected between the $\Delta g c n l$ and wild type strains during growth in planta. In previous studies of the phloem sap of the grass $L$. perenne, the major carbohydrate identified was sucrose (Amiard et al., 2004). The endophyte tends to be at highest concentrations in grass sink tissues i.e. where phloem unloading takes place. Based on this, it is expected the endophyte would grow in an environment where sucrose is the major available carbon source. In this environment, the hyphal growth of $\Delta g c n l$ and wild type strains should be similar.

The phenotype of strains over expressing gcnl has not yet been determined in planta. However, it may be interesting to determine if these strains still grow normally in planta. In culture, strains overproducing the Gcnl protein did not appear to grow differently in the complex PD agar medium. Based on these results in culture, it may be that no difference is seen in the phenotype of the gcnl over expressing strains in planta. However, phenotypic differences between the $\Delta g c n l$, wild type and $g c n l$ over expressing strains may be observed when symbiota are challenged by phytopathogenic fungi that contain $\beta-1,6$-glucan in their cell walls. One means of assessing the possible induction of gcnl in planta in response to attack of the host by phytopathogenic fungi could be to involve the use of symbiota containing E. festucae Fll transformed with a Pgen 1 -uidA reporter gene construct.

The E. festucae $\Delta g c n l$ strain created in this study is a valuable tool in assessing the function of $\beta-1,6$-glucanases in fungi that are not mycoparasitic. However, further experiments must be carried out to analyse the role of the gcnl gene during growth in planta. In order to conduct these studies, the phenotype of the $\Delta g c n l$ endophyte hyphae must be analysed throughout the symbiota life cycle (as described in Figure 1.1). Expression of the gcnl gene in different host tissues is currently being analysed in symbiota with fungal transformants containing the Pgenl-uidA reporter construct (May and Bryant, unpublished results). The effect of gcnl deletion or over-expression on the interaction of the symbiota with other fungi remains to be determined.
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A2: Comparison of $E$. festucae and $N$. Iolii sequences

## A2.1 Comparison of the E. festucae FI1 and N. Iolif Lp19 prt5 and prt1 sequences

Alignment of the nucleotide sequences of the E. festucae FI1 and N. Iolii Lp19 prt5 and prt1 sequences. Non-coding sequences such as intergenic sequences and introns are shown in lower case letters. Coding sequences are shown in upper case letters. Identity between E. festucae FI1 and N. lolii Lp19 in intergenic sequences, coding sequences and introns is indicated by grey, black and yellow shading respectively. The positions of the translation initiation and termination codons of the genes are identified by green and red boxed arrows respectively. Exons and introns are labelled at the beginning of the relevant sequence by green and yellow boxes respectively. The $(\mathrm{YTT})_{4}(\mathrm{YA})_{13}$ minisatellite is indicated by dark green shading. The Lp19 minisatellite sequence is indicated by a green border. Red borders indicate direct repeats surrounding the Lp19 minisatellite sequence.

| Lp19 | 1 | gcaaaaggaggccogttatcatgaattgcacagccaatcagcaatgcacctttg | 59 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fl1 | 841 | cgatctgcaaaaggaggccogttatcatgaattgcacagccaatcagcaatgcacctttg | 900 |
| Lp19 | 60 | gcgctccccaacggcctcggggagtttccgagcttcttcgtgtatcgacttatctattcg | 119 |
| Fl1 | 901 | gcgctccccaacggcetcggggagtttccgagcttcttcgtgtatcgacttatctattcg | 960 |
| Lp19 | 120 | cagcctgtccaatggcaaattcaatccatcatcgcettgttgcetgccgacaaatcttcd | 179 |
| Fl1 | 961 | cagcctgtccaatggcaaattcaatccatcatcgecttgttgcctgccgacaaatcttcd | 1020 |
| Lp19 | 180 | atcaactcggcaagcaagcagagtctcgtgaactcgt gagaacaggggataggagacagg | 239 |
| Fl1 | 1021 | atcaactcggcaagcaagaagagtctcgtgaactcgtgagaacaggggataggagacagg | 1080 |
| Lp19 | 240 | gaacagaacagggaacaggggacaggggacaagggacagggtctccgggaccttttgacg | 299 |
| F11 | 1081 | gaacagaacagggaacaggggacagaggacaggggacagggtctccgggaccttttgacg | 1140 |
| Lp19 | 300 | cggctggttgtccatggcgattgctccctccctcctgggtctagcctcgtttagggagg | 359 |
| Fl1 | 1141 | cggctggttgtcgatggcgattgctccotccctcctgggtctagcctcgtttagggagg | 1200 |
| Lp19 | 360 | taatggtcatccggggccatgttgtaggcaatgtaggcaatcacgcaatgtaggcgacge | 419 |
| Fl1 | 1201 | taatggtcatccggggccatgttgtaggcaatgtaggcaatcacgcaatgtaggcgacge | 1260 |
| Lp19 | 420 | ccccgcgacacggccgagaagaacgcatgttatcgtacgtgtgggtgcacgcggacaaga | 479 |
| Fl1 | 1261 | ccccgcgacacggccgagaagaacgcatgttatcgtacgtgtgggtgcacgcggacaaga | 1320 |
| Lp19 | 480 | ttgccgttgatctgcgcctaaccgtctggttcagggaggagtccggaggacaacgagatt | 539 |
| Fl1 | 1321 | ttgccgttgatctgcgcctaaccgtctggttcagggaggagtccggaggacaacgagatt | 1380 |
| Lp19 | 540 | gctggccaaatcacctgccaattgtcgacgaactcgacacggcgecggactgcaatgtaa | 599 |
| Fl1 | 1381 | gctggccaaatcacctgccaattgtcgacgaactcgacacggcgccggactgcaatgtaa | 1440 |
| Lp19 | 600 | tcttgggtctgggttgccaacttgctagtaactaccagtaccgacgacggaacggtggg | 659 |
| Fl1 | 1441 | tcttgggtctgggttgccaacttgctagtaacctaccagtaccgacgacggaacggtggg | 1500 |
| Lp19 | 660 | agctcgacaaagtcaccggcttggaagtcctgctttcgacgaggcacttggcaggcaaad | 719 |
| Fl1 | 1501 | agctcgacaaagtcaccggcttggaagtcctgctttcgacgaggcacttggcaggcaaad | 1560 |
| Lp19 | 720 | ttggatcaaggccagaaagataatagattggecttctcccgeccagcatcatggaaaga | 779 |
| Fl1 | 1561 | ttggatcaaggccagaaagataaatagattggccttctcccgeccagcatcatggaaaga | 1620 |
| Lp19 | 780 | aattggattccaaggcgcttcatccccatcatttttcccatctcgcttatctcgccoatc | 839 |
| Fl1 | 1621 | aattggattccaaggcgcttcatccccatcattcttcccatctcgcttatctcgccoatd | 1680 |
| Lp19 | 840 | ttgcacacatacacgaccoagaacaaggtccagaaacttctttcoagttaccaaatcgc | 899 |
| Fl1 | 1681 | ttgcacacatagacgacccagaacaaggtccagaaacttctttccaagttaccaaatcgd | 1740 |
|  |  | $\text { prt5 exon } 1$ Prt5 start |  |
| Lp19 | 900 | aacATGAAGGTCTCGGCTCTICTCGCTCTTCTCCCCCTTCTCCCCGTGGCCGTGGCCGQ | 959 |
| Fl1 | 1741 | aadATGAAGGTCTCGGCTCTTCTCGCTCTHCTCCCCCTTCTCCCCGTGGCCGTGGCCG | 1800 |


| Lp19 | 960 | GGGCGTCCAGCTCGTCGA | 1019 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fl1 | 1801 | VACCAAGCGTGCCTCGCCCGCGCCCGTCCTCGTTCCTCGGGGCGTCCAGCTCGTCGA | 1860 |
| Lp19 | 1020 | ЭGGCAAGTACATCATCAAGATGAAGGGCGACTCCAACATCCAGTCCGTCAACGCGGCCAT | 1079 |
| Fl1 | 1861 | GGGCAAGTACATCATCAAGATGAAGGGCGACTCCAACATCCAGTCCGTCAACGCGGCCAT | 1920 |
| Lp19 | 1080 | TTCATCCATCAGGGCCAGCGCCGACCACACCTACAGCCACTCCTICAACGGGTTCGCCGC | 1139 |
| Fl1 | 1921 | ITCATCCATCAGGGCCAGCGCCGACCACACCTACAGCCACTCCTTCAACGGGTTCGCCGO | 1980 |
|  |  | prt5 intron 1 |  |
| Lp19 | 1140 | -TCCCTGACTCCCGAAGAGCTTGAGCAGCTCCGCCAGGACCCCAGCgtgagttttgtccc | 1199 |
| Fl1 | 1981 | -TCCCTGACTCCCGAAGAGCTTGAGCAGCTCCGCCAGGACCCCAGGgtgagttttgtcce | 2040 |
|  |  | pri5 exon 2 |  |
| Lp19 | 1200 | ccgacttttcaaggagatgtgattttgctgacgacaacttgaaaaa-cag | 1258 |
| Fl1 | 2041 | ccgacttttcaaggagatgtgattttgctgacgacaacttgaaaaacag; \% : \% | 2100 |
| Lp19 | 1259 | TCGAACAAGATGCCATCATGACCATCTCGGCCACTCAGTCCGGCGCCGACTGGGGTCTTG | 1318 |
| Fl1 | 2101 | rCGAACAAGATGCCATCATGACCATCTCGGCCACTCAGTCCGGCGCCGACTGGG | 2160 |
| Lp19 | 1319 | CCCGCCTGTCCAGCCAAAAGGCTGGCAGCACCACTTACATCTACGACGATAGTGCCGGCG | 1378 |
| Fl1 | 2161 | CGCCTGTCCAGCCAAAAGGCTGGCAGCACCACTTACATCTACGACGATAGTGCCGGCG | 2220 |
|  |  | prt5 intron 2 |  |
| Lp19 | 1379 | AGGGCACTTGCGCTTTCATCATCGACACCGGCGTCGAGGCCGATCACCCTIgtatgt cccc | 1438 |
| Fl1 | 2221 | AGGGCACTTGCGCTTTCATCATCGACACCGGCGTCGAGGCCGATCACCCTgtatgt cccc | 2280 |
| Lp19 | 1439 | ccccccectcceccectttaaaaaaaatagcgattcaaagcgtacatggctgacgaaa | 1498 |
| F11 | 2281 | cccccecc---cccoccettaaaaaaaatagcgattcaaagcgtacatggctgacgaaa | 2337 |
|  |  | prt5 exon 3 . |  |
| Lp19 | 1499 | ctaccaaaacagGAATTCGAGGGCCGCGCCAAGCTCCTCAAGAACTTTGCTGGTGATGGA | 1558 |
| Fl1 | 2338 | ctaccaaaacagGAATTCGAGGGCCGCGCCAAGCTCCTCAAGAACTTTTGCTGGTGATGGA | 2397 |
| Lp19 | 1559 | gAGGA CAGCGATGGCAACGGCCACGGAACGCACGTCTCCGGAACCATCGGCTCCAAGACA | 1618 |
| Fl1 | 2398 | GAGGACAGCGATGGCAACGGCCACGGAACGCACGTCTCCGGAACCATCGGCTCCAAGACA | 2457 |
| Lp19 | 1619 | TATGGTGTGGCCAAGAAGACTCAGATCTACGGCGTCAAGGTCCTCGATGCACAAGGCTCC | 1678 |
| Fl1 | 2458 | TATGGTGTGGCCAAGAAGACTCAGATCTACGGCGTCAAGGTCCTCGATGCACAAGGCTCO | 2517 |
|  |  | prt5 intron 3 |  |
| Lp19 | 1679 | Rgtaagcaacagaaaaacaccactgccatacccattggatttgtgtgtcceca | 1738 |
| Fl1 | 2518 | r.igtaagcaacagaaaaacaccactgccataccottggatttgtgtgtcccca | 2577 |
|  |  | prt5 exon 4 . |  |
| Lp19 | 1739 | agttctaacaatctgcccccag CTCTGCCGTCATTGCのGGCATGGACTACGTCGCCAAGG | 1798 |
| Fl1 | 2578 | agttctaacaatctgcceccag CTCTGCCGTCATTGGIGGCATGGACTACGTCGCCAAGG | 2637 |
| Lp19 | 1799 | AGGCCCAGAACCAGTCCTGCCCCAAGGGCAGCGTCGCCAACATGTCCCTGGGTGGCTCCA | 1858 |
| Fl1 | 2638 | AGGCCCAGAACCAGTCCTGCCCCAAGGGCAGCGTCGCCAACATGTCCCTGGGTGGCTCCA | 2697 |
| Lp19 | 1859 | AGTCTTCCGCCGTGAACGAAGCCGCCGCCGGCATCACCGGAGCCGGCATCTTCCTGGCCG | 1918 |
| Fl1 | 2698 | AGTCTTCCGCCGTGAACGAAGCCGCCGCCGGCATCACCGGAGCCGGCATCTTCCTGGCCG | 2757 |
| Lp19 | 1919 | TCGCCGCCGGCAACGATGGCCAGGACGCCTCCGACTACTCTCCCGCGTCTGCAGAATCTG | 1978 |
| Fl1 | 2758 | TCGCCGCCGGCaACGATGGCCAGGACGCCTCCGACTACTCTCCCGCGTCTGCAGAATCTG | 2817 |
| Lp19 | 1979 | CTGCACCGTCGGCGCCACCACCAGGGACGACGAACTCGCCACCTACTCCAACATCGGCA | 2038 |
| Fl1 | 2818 | ECTGCACCGTCGGCGCCACCACCAGGGACGACGAACTCGCCACCTACTCCAACATCGGCA | 2877 |
| Lp19 | 2039 | AGCTCGTCGACGTCCTCGCCCCCGGCTCCAATATATCCTCCACCTGGATCGGCGGCAAGA | 2098 |
| Fl1 | 2878 | AGCTCGTCGACGTCCTCGCCCCCGGCTCCAATATATCCTCCACCTGGATCGGCGGCAAGA | 2937 |
| Lp19 | 2099 | ECAACACCATCTCCGGCACCTCAATGGCCTCGCCCCACGTTGCCGGAATCGGCGCCTACT | 2158 |
| Fl1 | 2938 | ECAACACCATCTCCGGCACCTCAATGGCCTCGCCCCACGTTGCCGGAATCGGCGCCTACT | 2997 |
| Lp19 | 2159 | TCCTCGGCAAGGGCCAGAAGATCGACGGTCTCTGCGAGTACATCGTCCAGAACGGGGTCA | 2218 |
| Fl1 | 2998 | FCCTCGGCAAGGGCCAGAAGATCGACGGTCTCTGCGAGTACATCGTCCAGAACGGGGTCA | 3057 |
| Lp19 | 2219 | AGGACGCCATCAAGGGGGTICCCTCGGAGACGGTCAATGTCATCATCAACAACGGCGAGG | 2278 |
| Fl1 | 3058 | AGGACGCCATCAAGGGGGTTCCCTCGGAGACGGTCAATGTCATCATCAACAACGGCGAGG | 3117 |


|  |  | Prt5 stop |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| Lp19 | 2279 | :ACACTGGTAAgctgggcgdcggcgecagcgaatgacgg | 2338 |
| Fl1 | 3118 | jCGGCGGCAACTCGACCCGTCGACACrGGTAAgctgggcgctggcgecagcgaatgacgg | 3177 |
| Lp19 | 2339 | ggaacggattctgtadataggaacgtccaccttgtggggggggggga-catatctcttg | 2397 |
| Fl1 | 3178 | ggaacggattctgtacttaggaacgtccaccttgtgggggggggggaacatatctcttg | 3237 |
| Lp19 | 2398 | ggttgcgggcatcacaatagcatgaggaaatgacgacgacgttatgaaggctgcagcagg | 2457 |
| Fl1 | 3238 | ggttgcgggcatcacaatagcatgaggaaatgacgacgacgttatgaaggctgcagcagg | 3297 |
| Lp19 | 2458 | gcacatggatgggggcatgttctttcgattgtatttacttttttttttcttcctcgcat | 2517 |
| Fll | 3298 | gcacatggatgggggcatgttctttcgattgtatttacttttttttt-cttcctcgcat | 3356 |
| Lp19 | 2518 | tgaacatacatgacattagtgactttttttctcgtcactcgtgcacctt----aaaa | 2573 |
| Fl1 | 3357 | tgaacatacatgacattagtgactttttttctcgtcactcgtgcaccactitaaaaaaa | 3416 |
| Lp19 | 2574 | aaaaaaaaaaaaaagtggccaggtctgtggacgtaccttgatcctcggtggttgttcd | 2633 |
| Fl1 | 3417 | aaaaaaagaaaaaagtggccaggtctgtggacgtaccttgatcctcggtggttgttcd | 3476 |
| Lp19 | 2634 | gcgaaataaggcgttcgagcgtcagcagtagtacgtgcacttcaccttgccatcagtcga | 2693 |
| Fl1 | 3477 | gcgaaataaggcgttcgagcgtcagcagtagtacgtgcacttcaccttgccatcagtcga | 3536 |
| Lp19 | 2694 | ancgatacctgtcaatgactcggcaaggtagt atctaaccatgacatgacggttacaatcc | 2753 |
| Fl1 | 3537 | atgatacctgtcaatgactcggcaaggtagcatctaaccatgacatgacggttacaatcc | 3596 |
| Lp19 | 2754 |  | 2797 |
| Fl1 | 3597 | cccgagatggettgtccaatttgtgtgatggccgcgcagactgatggctgcgcagacgge | 3656 |
| Lp19 | 2798 | caagttatgctgcgtatcgtgtgccaatggcaagcagccgtcacatttctgatgtgaagg | 2857 |
| Fll | 3657 | caagttatgctgcgtatcgtgtgccaatggcaagaagccgtcacatttctgatgtgaagg | 3716 |
| Lp19 | 2858 | tcctccattgctctaaatcaaacagcgaatcgcatctcttcaaagtgcacaattgcacce | 2917 |
| Fl1 | 3717 | tcctccattgctctaaatcaaacagcgaatcgcatctcttcaaagtgcacaattgcacce | 3776 |
| Lp19 | 2918 | agttcgagaaatagtttgccaccctagcgegtcgcgacccgcgettccaagtttttgagt | 2977 |
| Fl1 | 3777 | agttcgagaaatagtttgccaccogagcgcgtcgcgaccegcgettccaagtttttgagt | 3836 |
| Lp19 | 2978 | ttttttaacccgccccgccgccaacatgattggagttcgtgttgcgagaggtcagtgata | 3037 |
| Fl1 | 3837 | ttttttaacccgccccgccgccaacatgattggagttcgtgttgcgagaggtcagtgata | 3896 |
| Lp19 | 3038 | tgtatgtatgtatgtattatgcggggctcgaccgcaaggtttttttttccectttccaa | 3097 |
| Fl1 | 3897 | tgtatgtatgtat---tatgcggggctcgaccgcaaggttttttttttcce-tttccaa | 3951 |
| Lp19 | 3098 | tcacggtcgcggccgagccattgtctttgacttcttggccatgaaacccctgagcagagg | 3157 |
| Fll | 3952 | tcacggtcgcggccgagccattgtctttgacttcttggccatgaaacccctgagcagagg | 4011 |
| Lp19 | 3158 | ccogttttctagtgcacagctaggttctcctctgctccgcactcttttcgaccttctaca | 3217 |
| Fl1 | 4012 | cccgttttctagtgcacagctaggttctcctctgctccgcactcttttcgaccttctaca | 4071 |
| Lp19 | 3218 | atctattgtcctcgcgtgcgctacaaagtgcagagccgctcggtgctgttggcatcactt | 3277 |
| Fl1 | 4072 | atctattgtcctcgcgtgcgctacaaagtgcagagccgctcggtgctgttggcatcactt | 4131 |
| Lp19 | 3278 | tgtcgcgctcccogagtccgagcggagtgcgacccgttgtggacggacttctggagadag | 3337 |
| Fl1 | 4132 | tgtcgcgetccccgagtccgagcggagtgcgacccgttgtggacggacttctggagacgg | 4191 |
| Lp19 | 3338 | gttttttttt-ccetttctttctcgaattcgcggaaagggttctgcaacggacgctagat | 3396 |
| Fl1 | 4192 | gttttttttttccetttcttctcgaattcgcggaaagggttctgcaacggacgetagat | 4251 |
| Lp19 | 3397 | gtggagccagcttggtggctttgtctctttcctttcgttggattcctttcaatcctcgcc | 3456 |
| Fl1 | 4252 | gtggagccagcttggtggctttgtctctttccttcgttggattcctttcaatcctcgcc | 4311 |
| Lp19 | 3457 | aagagctcgggctcaaagaagtcaggactgagcgagcaaccgtggtggttgagtttcgcg | 3516 |
| Fl1 | 4312 | aagagctcgggctcaaagaagtcaggactgagcgagcaaccgtggtggttgagtttcgcg | 4371 |
| Lp19 | 3517 | acgatgcacatcatggcagcctcttggtggccatgttgtccggcagcgctttcctaatat | 3576 |
| Fll | 4372 | acgatgcacatcatggcagcctcttggtggccatgttgtccggcagcgctttcctaatat | 4431 |


| Lp19 | 3577 | ggtcgcgaggagggtatggaaaccttgttgcagatacatcgtgatggatgcgatcetatc | 3636 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fl1 | 4432 | ggtcgcgaggagggtatggaaaccttgttgcagatacatcgtgatggatgcgatcotatc | 4491 |
| Lp19 | 3637 | cacccgatgggaatgggatggggttggccagactccgaacttgttcgagagcacaagtcg | 3696 |
| Fl1 | 4492 | cacccgatgggaatgggatggggttggceagactccgaacttgttcgagagcacaagtcg | 4551 |
| Lp19 | 3697 | gattgtagcgcgcggcagatgttcacgttcccttctgctcgatccagtctgtgcatttgt | 3756 |
| Fl1 | 4552 | gattgtagcgcgeggcagatgttcacgttcccttctgctcgatccagtctgtgcatttgt | 4611 |
| Lp19 | 3757 | tcgctattcttcttccaggcgggcacacgggaaaacgccccgagccaaatctactcttgg | 3816 |
| Fll | 4612 | tcgctattcttcttccaggcgggcacacgggaaaacgccccgagccaaatctactcttgg | 4671 |
| Lp19 | 3817 | gggcagcggcecgatctcacaatatttgctcttgttgggagagaaaaaaaaagtactta | 3876 |
| Fl1 | 4672 | gggcagcggcecgatctcacaatatttgctcttgttgggaga--aaaaaaaagtactta | 4729 |
| Lp19 | 3877 | agggcccatgatgcctggacatgttggtttggaagttttctgcatcaaactcggcttcct | 3936 |
| Fll | 4730 | agggcceatgatgcetggacatgttggtttggaagttttctgcatcaacctcggcttcct | 4789 |
|  |  | ort1 exon 1 |  |
|  |  | Prt1 start |  |
|  |  | - |  |
| Lp19 | 3937 | tcgcatcactaaaacaagagcacctectcccagcagttgagaaccagaATGTTGAACGTC | 3996 |
| Fl1 | 4790 | tcgegtcactaaaacaagagcacctcctccagcagttgagcaccagaATGTrGAAcGTd | 4849 |
| Lp19 | 3997 | AAGAACCTTGTTCTCACGGCGGCGGCGGCGCTTGCTTCGCAGGCCATCGCG | 4056 |
| Fl1 | 4850 | AAGAACCTTGTTCTCACGGCGGCGGCGGCGCTTGCITCGCAGGCCATCGCGGCACCGAC | 4909 |
| Lp19 | 4057 | GGGCCCGATGCCGGAAA GCCAAGATCCAAGCAGCACAGGGTGGCCAGGTGATTCCTGGC | 4116 |
| Fl1 | 4910 | gGGCCCGATGCCGGAAATGCCAAGATCCAAGCAGCACAGGGTGGCCAGGTGATTCCTGGG | 4969 |
| Lp19 | 4117 | AAGTTCATCGTCACGCTGAAGCCC EGCTCCAAGCCA GCAGTGCTCGAGAGCCATATGAGA | 4176 |
| Fl1 | 4970 | AAGTTCATCGTCACGCTGAAGCGIGGCTCCAAGCCGGCAGTGCTCGAGAGCCATATGAGA | 5029 |
| Lp19 | 4177 | IGGGICAACGGGGTHCACGCAAGAGCATCTGGCGACGAGGCCATCAAGGGAGIGgagace | 4236 |
| Fl1 | 5030 | TGGGTCAACGGGGTTCACGCAAGAGCATCTGGCGACGAGGCCATCAAGGGAGTGGAGACC | 5089 |
| Lp19 | 4237 | ATGTTGGACGGAATTTACGGCTTCATGGGCTACGTCGGTAGTTTCAGTGAGGCGGTTCTG | 4296 |
| Fl1 | 5090 | ATGTTGGACGGAATTTACGGCTTCATGGGCTACGTCGGTAGTTTCAGTGAGGCGGTTCTG | 5149 |
|  |  | [prt1 intron 1] |  |
| Lp19 | 4297 | sCCCAGATCAAAGCTCATCCTGAGgttagttgagacttttttttttttctt--.--ctc | 4351 |
| Fl1 | 5150 | gCCCAGATCAAAGCTCATCCTGAGgttagttgagactttttttttttttttttttttctc | 5209 |
|  |  | prt1 exon 2 |  |
| Lp19 | 4352 | cccattcatgatgaggcatgctaacatgatgtgatgactcagGTCGAGGCTGTTGAGCAA | 4411 |
| Fl1 | 5210 | cccattcatgatgaggcatgctaacatgatgtgatgactcagGTCGAGGCTGTTGAGCAA | 5269 |
| Lp19 | 4412 | GACAAAATCTGGACTCTCGACTGGATCACTGATGACCAGCAACTCGAAGCAAGAGACGAC | 4471 |
| Fl1 | 5270 | GACAAAATCTGGACFCTCGACTGGATCACTGATGACCAGCAACTCGAAGCAAGAGACGAC | 5329 |
| Lp19 | 4472 | GACAAGGAGCCACCTTCCAGCGGCGGGGGCAGCAACTTCATCCAACAGAAAAATGCGACA | 4531 |
| Fl1 | 5330 | GACAAGGAGCCACCTTCCAGCGGCGGGGGCagcancticatccancaganaiatgccaca | 5389 |
| Lp19 | 4532 | TGGGGACTAGGAAGCATCTCTCACCGGGCCCCATATGCCACCGAGTACGGCTAT CAGGAA | 4591 |
| Fl1 | 5390 | TGGGGACTAGGAAGCGTCTCTCACCGGGCCCCATATGCCACCGAGTACGGCTACEAGGAA | 5449 |
| Lp19 | 4592 | CGGGAAGGACACGTACGCCTATGTCATCGACACGGGCATCCGAACCACGCACGAC | 4651 |
| Fl1 | 5450 | TCTGCCGGGAagGacacgTacgcctatgTCATCGACACGGGCatcccanccacgcacgag | 5509 |
| Lp19 | 4652 | GAGTTCGAGGGCCGCGCATCTCACGCCTGGAGCGCGTATCTGACGAGGACGGACAA | 4711 |
| Fl1 | 5510 | GAGTTCGAGGGCCGCGCATCTCACGCCTGGAGCGCGTATCTGACGAGGACGGACAATGI | 5569 |
| Lp19 | 4712 | GGCCACGGCACCCACGTCGCCGGCACCATCGGCGGCAAGACGTACGGAGTGGCCAAGAAC | 4771 |
| Fll | 5570 | GGCCACGGCACCCACGTCGCCGGCACCATCGGCGGCAAGACGTACGGAGTGGCCAAGAAC | 5629 |
| Lp19 | 4772 | GCCAAGCTGCTCGCCGTCAAGATCTTCAACAGCAGGTCGTCCAGCACGTCCGTCATCCTG | 4831 |
| Fl1 | 5630 | GCCAAGCTGCTCGCCGTCAAGATCTTCAACAGCAGGTCGTCCAGCACGTCCGTCATCCTG | 5689 |
| Lp19 | 4832 | GCCGGATACAACTGGGCGGTCAACGACATCGTCCGAAAGGGCCGCACCAAGAGGGCCGCC | 4891 |
| Fl1 | 5690 | GCCGGATACAACTGGGCGGTCAACGACATCGTCCGAAAGGGCCGCACCAAGAGGGCCG | 5749 |


| Lp19 | 4892 | ATCAACATGT | 951 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fl1 | 5750 | ATCAACATGTCCCTGGGCGGCCCCAAGTCGACCGCCITCAACACGGCCGTCGAGAGGG | 5809 |
| Lp19 | 4952 | TCGGCCTCGGGCGTCITGTCCATCATCGCCGCCGGCAACGAGGCCCAGGATGCCTCCAAC | 5011 |
| Fl1 | 5810 | FCGGCCTCGGGCGTCTTGTCCATCATCGCCGCCGGCAACGAGGCCCAGGATGCCTCCAAO | 5869 |
| Lp19 | 5012 | - | 5071 |
| Fl1 | 5870 |  | 5929 |
| Lp19 | 5072 |  | 5131 |
| Fl1 | 5930 | rCGCCTCGTACAGCAACITTGGCTCCGTCGTGGACATTTGCGCCCCTGGATCGAACA | 5989 |
| Lp19 | 5132 | ACCTCTGCCIGGAACACGGGAGACTCGTCCGAGAAGACCATCTCGGGCACCTCCAT | 5191 |
| Fl1 | 5990 | ACCTCTGCCTGGAACACGGGAGACTCGTCCGAGAAGACCATCTCGGGCACCTCCATGGQ | 6049 |
| Lp19 | 5192 | ACTCCTCATGTTGTCGGCCICGCTCTTTACGCCATCTCCGTGGACGGCGCTACCGGC | 5251 |
| Fl1 | 6050 | ACTCCTCATGTTGTGGGCCTCGCTCTTTACGCCATCTCCGTGGACGGCGCTACCGGCGTT | 6109 |
| LpI9 | 5252 |  | 5311 |
| Fl1 | 6110 | GACGGCGTCACCAAGCATCTTCTGTCAACCGCCACAAAGGACAAAGTTGCCGGCGACAC | 6169 |
|  |  | Prt1 stop |  |
| Lp19 | 5312 | CGCGGGTCGCCCAATCTGATTGGCAACAACAACAATI VTTACCAGAAGTAGtaaagcagt | 5371 |
| Fl1 | 6170 | CGCGGGTCGCCCAATCTGATTGGCAACAACAACAATCTTTACCAGAAGTAGtaaagcagt | 6229 |
| Lp19 | 5372 | cagtcagtcacacgcgtccgacttgggatcgtgggcaacgacaaggatggcaattgtaga | 5431 |
| Fl1 | 6230 | cagtcagtcacacgcgtccgacttgggatcgtgggcaacgaaaaggatggcaattgtaga | 6289 |
| Lp19 | 5432 | ggaccaataatttcttcttcttatatatacatacatatatatacatagcacaatataca | 5491 |
| Fl1 | 6290 | ggaccaataatttcttcttctttatatatacatacatatatatacatagcacaatataca | 6349 |
| Lp19 | 5492 | tgcaccetcaatgctggtctctaatcgtcaagtcgtccacgtttcgtcgtgatgcaatt | 5551 |
| Fll | 6350 | tgcaccetcaatgctggtctctaaatcgtcaagtcgtccacgtttcgtcgtgatgcaatt | 6409 |
| Lp19 | 5552 | tggcggggcggggaggttgatcggccgaaagcgagatgatgctatgccaatctttgctgt | 5611 |
| Fl1 | 6410 | tggcggggcggggaagttgatcggccgaaagcgagatgatgctatgccaatctttgctgt | 6469 |
| Lp19 | 5612 |  | 5649 |
| Fl1 | 6470 | cgggcattttcttggcaacggtgggtttgggttgggcaaacagtagatctcggctccaa | 6529 |
| Lp19 | 5650 | gaaggttcg----tctcgttagggtgaagcctctgaat gctaactggraggcggtgaa | 5704 |
| F11 | 6530 | aaaagttcggtttgtctcgtatctatggagcctctgaatgctaattaggtaggcggtgaa | 6589 |
| Lp19 | 5705 | aaatcactgcatatgt taagcatatgccaaagaccatagtgtattcgtttaatccgttcd | 5764 |
| Fl1 | 6590 | aatcactgcat--gctaagcatatgccaaagaccacagtatattcgttccatc-gttcc | 6646 |
| Lp19 | 5765 | attcgttccattcgttccattcgtttaatccgttctatccgttctatttgttttattcgt | 5824 |
| Fll | 6647 | attcgttccattcgttctatttattaatccgtttaatccatttaattcagtcaattcag | 6706 |
| Lp19 | 5825 | tccatttattctatttattcta----tttattctatttattctatttattctatttatt | 5879 |
| Fl1 | 6707 | tcaattcagtcaattcagtcaaaagcctccacctggatacaagacgaactttgttagag | 6766 |
| Lp19 | 5880 | ct---atttattcta---tttattctatttattctatttattcta----tttattctatt | 5929 |
| Fl1 | 6767 | ccgagatttactgtaacccccatcccggtttatccatcccogccgaaagcotgtgccatg | 6826 |
| Lp19 | 5930 | tattctatttattctatttattctatttattctatttattctatttattctatttattcc | 5989 |
| Fl1 | 6827 | agccetttttatcaacgetcatctagccacccatctgcga cTACGGCCD © GGACGOTGGT Fl1 Ort4 stop | 6886 |
| Lp19 | 5990 | atttattctatttgttt-tattattct----atttattctatttattccat--ttgttt | 6042 |
| F11 | 6887 |  | 6946 |
| Lp19 | 6043 | tatctattccatttgttccatttgtttcatttgttctatttg | 6084 |
| Fl1 | 6947 |  | 7006 |
| Lp19 | 6085 | atttgtTCAA | 6117 |
| Fl1 | 7007 |  | 7066 |


| Lp19 | 6118 | EAGGAACCTGGCCAGTGGGGACCTGT GACACCCACTCCGCAACGCTAACTGGCACTTCTT | 6177 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fl1 | 7067 | GAGGAACCTGGCCAGTGGGGACCTGC AACACCCACTCCGCAACGCTAACTGGCACTTCTT | 7126 |
| Lp19 | 6178 | GTTGAGCTAAAAGOTGGTCCATTTGGTGGTCATGGEGAGACTCGTCGGTAGGCTCAGCAC | 6237 |
| Fl1 | 7127 | gTTGAGCTAAAATTTGGTCCATTTGG--rCATGACGAGACTCGTCGGTAGGCTCAGCAG | 7183 |
| Lp19 | 6238 | gromionit | 6297 |
| Fll | 7184 | TAGCAGCTAGTTGTAOCAAAGCCCACTGAAGTITTATGACAGTGATGAATT | 7243 |
| Lp19 | 6298 | 3 GT | 6357 |
| Fl1 | 7244 | CGTCGATATCATCCAG晛TEACGTCAAAAGTCTGGCCTGATAAGATTGGCCGGT | 7303 |
| Lp19 | 6358 | TAGATGGGCACAC STTA GCIGCCACAGCAGGTTGAGGCGCCTCGAATAGCTTAGCTCGGA | 6417 |
| F11 | 7304 | TAGATGGGCACATGTIGGCTGCCACAGCAGGTTGAGGCGCCTCGAATAGCITAGCTCGGA | 7363 |
| Lp19 | 6418 | CTGCTTCA | 6477 |
| Fl1 | 7364 | DATGCTGCTGTIGCTGATTGAGAGIGRCGGTCGCCTTGGAGTGCIGGTICTGCTTCA | 7423 |
| Lp19 | 6478 | AGCGTTAT AGACTGAAAGEGCA | 6537 |
| Fl1 | 7424 | GCCAGCGAAATTCGAGTGTAACTGTMACCTIGCTCTGAGCGTTATIAGACTMAGAGGCA | 7483 |
| Lp19 | 6538 | TTGAGCCQAGATATTTI AGGCCAAAGTAGGCCTTGCCCCACCACGTATGAAGCGA | 6597 |
| Fl1 | 7484 | TTGAGCCIAGATATTTGAGGCCAAAGTAGGCCTTGCCCCACCACGTATGAAGCGATGGGC | 543 |
| Lp19 | 6598 | TCAGACAAATCATATTCCATGACTTATCOAATTGCCAGATMCAAAACCGGCGCGAATTC | 6657 |
| Fl1 | 7544 | TCAGACAAATCATATTCCATGACTTATCCGAATTGCCAGADATCAATTCGACICGTATGT | 7603 |

## A2.2 Comparison of the E. festucae FI1 and N. Iolif Lp19 prt2 and gcn1 sequences

Alignment of the nucleotide sequences of the E. festucae F11 and N. Iolii Lp19 prt2 and gon1 sequences. Non-coding sequences such as intergenic sequences and introns are shown in lower case letters. Coding sequences are shown in upper case letters. Identity between E. festucae FI1 and N. Iolii Lp19 in intergenic sequences, coding sequences and introns is indicated by grey, black and yellow shading respectively. The positions of the translation initiation and termination codons of the genes are identified by green and red arrows respectively. Exons and introns are labelled at the beginning of the relevant sequence by green and yellow boxes respectively. The insertion in the E. festucae Fl1 prt2-gcn1 intergenic region relative to the same region in $N$. Iolii Lp19 is indicated by purple shading.

| Lp19 | 1 | ggatccgtatttatggtagcttgtgcgcattctgtgcgcaggccgcatccgcatagccct | 60 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fl1 | 1 | t | 1 |
| Lp19 | 61 | aacctacatgtacatgtagctgcacacaatccgcatccttactggacccggacttgaccc | 120 |
| Fl1 | 2 | aacctacatgtacatgtagctgcacacaatccgcatccttactggacccgga-ttgaccc | 60 |
| Lp19 | 121 | ggacttgacccggacttgacctaagcttatctcgattccatgacgacgtgtcacggcttt | 180 |
| Fl1 | 61 | ga-------gacttgacctaagcttatctcgattccatgacgacgtgtcacggcttt | 110 |
| Lp19 | 181 | cggcctagatgatgaaaacagagtcaaggctgagatacgccgtcgctgctagatgatgtt | 240 |
| Fl1 | 111 | cggcctagatgatgaaaacagagtcaaggctgagatacgccgtcgctgctagatgatgtt | 170 |
| Lp19 | 241 | cttctgccaagaaaaccaaggggtgggttacacagcgtcggcacgtcgttcactgctgtg | 300 |
| Fl1 | 171 | cttctgccaagaaaaccaaggggtgggttacacagcgtcggcacgtcgttcactgctgtg | 230 |
| Lp19 | 301 | gtccgtctgctcaacttcggtcacagagagcagttgtactccgtagagttggggtcaata | 360 |
| Fl1 | 231 | gtccgtctgttcaacttcggtcacagagagcagttgtactccgtagagttggg-.--ta | 285 |
| Lp19 | 361 | ttgatgcgtcatggaaacacceaccacaagtcattgttctatccgctgcatccgccatat | 420 |
| Fl1 | 286 | ttgatgcgtcatggaaacacccaccacaagtcattgttctatccgctgcatcogccatat | 345 |
| Lp19 | 421 | gcgattgacctgcctagaagcattcattgcatcaaaattcggtgagcgtgcgcataagcc | 480 |
| Fl1 | 346 | gcgattgacctgcctagaagcattcattgcatcaaaattcggtgagcgtgcgcataagcc | 405 |
| Lp19 | 481 | cgatagtccgcagatttatttcccgacaatcattttttcggccttctggctcgaggatga | 540 |
| Fl1 | 406 | cgatagtccgcagatttatttccogacaatcattttttcggcottctggctcgaggatga | 465 |
| Lp19 | 541 | ctgcttctttgcaagttggggacggttacgctctcatgcatgcgcceagaccatgcccaa | 600 |
| Fl1 | 466 | ctgcttctttgcaagttggggacggttacgctctcatgcatgcgeccagaccatgcceaa | 525 |
| Lp19 | 601 | acgctgaaagtaatcgacccatgccatgtgatgatgatgatgacatgtgttggatatcgc | 660 |
| Fl1 | 526 | acgctgaaagtaatcgacccatgccatgtg---.---atgacatgtgttggatatcgc | 576 |
| Lp19 | 661 | agcctcctatatcgcgtttgtcatcgtgacccgtgctatgctttgtttgttaatctattc | 720 |
| Fl1 | 577 | agcctcctatatcgcgtttgtcatcgtgaccogtgctatgctctgtttgttaatctattc | 636 |
| Lp19 | 721 | caagaccttgctttgtttgtgaa-ccagagacgaacaagatgtatggcctttggatgttc | 779 |
| Fl1 | 637 | caagaccttgctttgtttgtgaaaccagagacgaacaagatgtatggccattggatgttc | 696 |
| Lp19 | 780 | aatcgcgcggcaaaggctctcaggactccaggagggtcatgtctgcattttgaaactctt | 839 |
| Fl1 | 697 | aatcgcgcggcaaaggctctcaggactccaggagggtcatgtctgcattttgaaactctt | 756 |
| Lp19 | 840 | gatacctggcattctcgaccaagacttcagcatttccatgctgcaaagaactcatccttc | 899 |
| Fl1 | 757 | gatacctggcattctcgaccaagacttcagcatttccatgctgcaaagaactcatccttc | 816 |
| Lp19 | 900 | gtcctagcagccgttttgaaatttgggggtttccagttcttgaatctcagggttagggtt | 959 |
| Fl1 | 817 | gtcctagcagccgtttcgaaatttgggggtttccagttcttgaatctcagggttagggtt | 876 |


| Lp19 | 60 gtccaacgtctttcacggcgcaattagcagacttgtcgactcaaaagcgggaagaaagaa | 相 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fl1 | 877 gtccaacgtctttcacggcgcaattagcagacttgtcgactcaaaagcgggaagaaagaa | 936 |
| Lp19 | 1020 ctatgcctggcgagtccggcagctctcatggcggcatgggacttttccccgggtacaggg | 079 |
| Fll | 937 ctatgcctggcgagtccggcagctctcatggcggcatgggacttttccccgggtacaggg | 996 |
| Lp19 | 1080 gcgcecgggcecggggccgggatggaatatcatgtggtgccagctatcgcgcataatttg | 39 |
| Fl1 | 997 gcgcccgggcceggggccgggatggaatatcatgtggtgccagctatcgcgcataatttg | 1056 |
| Lp19 | 1140 agtgacattttgtttcatgcacatgcaaatcgggaaggtgtctccgtgcgtctcccagtg | 9 |
| Fl1 | 1057 agtgacattttgtttcatgcacatgcaaatcgggaaggtgtctccgtgcgtctcccagtg | 1116 |
| Lp19 | 1200 ccatctccggtatatatatatatccgatgctggggggttctctcggcagcacaatcgttc | 1259 |
| Fll | 1117 ccatctccggtatatatatatatccgatgctggggggttctctcggcagcacgctcgttc | 1176 |
| Lp19 | 1260 ttgattgggaaagtatggaaataaatggtcacaacttctcccgcgccatgcatagaagct | 9 |
| Fll | 1177 ttgattgggaaagtatggaaataaatggtcacaacttctcccgcgccatgcatagaagct | 1236 |
| Lp19 | 1320 caaggtccgcgaagtaaagtttccaagcatgctcctgccgcagtttgtgtgagatttcag | 79 |
| Fl1 | 1237 caaggtccgcgaagtaaagtttccaagcatgctcctgccgcagtttgtgtgagatttcag | 1296 |
| Lp19 | 1380 ggaattgataaatggcggcgcccaacaaggccgcggccgtgagtcgtgagccgtcacggc | 1439 |
| F11 | 1297 ggaattgataaatggcggcgcccaacaaggccgcggccgtgagtcgtgagccgtcacggc | 1356 |
| Lp19 | 1440 ttcttcctgtcggccatgttccgagtctaggacggccatgtacacggatgtgtacgattg | 1499 |
| Fl1 | 1357 ttcttcctgtcggccatgttccgagtctaggacggccatgtacacggatgtgtacgattg | 416 |
| Lp19 | 1500 aatggcatcacttggtggctccatgactttgttcttcagatggcegtgaatcagtccgtc | 1559 |
| Fl1 | 1417 aatggcatcacctggtggctccatgactttgttcttcagatggccgtgaatcagtccgtc | 1476 |
| Lp19 | 1560 ccaccgactcaaaagccggcggtcaccacagcagggcctcccgatcagttgggtaacggg | 1619 |
| Fll | 1477 ccaccgactcaaaagccggcggtcaccacagcagggcctcccgatcagttgggtaacggg | 1536 |
| Lp19 | 1620 ttgctgctggaaatcgaggagtcgcgtctccctcggccatgcatcaagtgagcaactgca | 1679 |
| Fll | 1537 ttgctgctggaaatcgaggagtcgcgtctccctcggccatgcatcaagtgagcaactgca | 596 |
| Lp19 | 1680 ggcatggtggcacaccgcgtttcctaatcctttcccggcgacatgtcaacagcggagggg | 1739 |
| F11 | 1597 ggcatggtggcacaccgcgtttcctaatcctttcccggcgacatgtcaacaacggagggg | 656 |
| Lp19 | 1740 agggggggagccacgaaaacgaatcaatggtgcggcggccgccgaccgtgatccgcgagc | 1799 |
| Fl1 | 1657 agggggggagccacgaaaacgaatcaatggtgcggcggccgccgaccgtgatccgcgagc | 716 |
| Lp19 | 1800 cacatgccaaatcgttggcggctgcggccetcgtgcgacgcccgtgatcaaagtcttaca | 1859 |
| Fl1 | 1717 cacatgccaaatcgttggcggctgcggccetcgtgcgacgccegtgatcaaagtcttaca | 76 |
| Lp19 | 1860 ttggcatcggcatctcatcttgtgcgtaacgtgacgtccgaatgacaccgatcatggcag | 1919 |
| F11 | 1777 ttggcatcggcatctcatcttgtgcgtaacgtgatgtccgaatgacaccgatcatggcag | 1836 |
| Lp19 | 1920 aacaccttcttgtcgaaaccttcctctttgggcgaatccgcgcgggagctgccagccttg | 1979 |
| Fl1 | 1837 aacaccttcttgtcgaaaccttcctctttgggcgaatccgcgcgggagctgccagccttg | 1896 |
| Lp19 | 1980 gtgccctatcatccgcccgcggagtattgttttttttattttcgggtggtggaaccgaca | 2039 |
| F11 | 1897 gtgccetatcatccgccegcggagtattgttttttttattttcgggtggtggaaccgaca | 956 |
| Lp19 | 2040 cggcaggacactgcttatgctggaccagcataacgaatgtcatcttcagacagagcctgc | 2099 |
| F11 | 1957 cggcaggacactgcttatgctggaccaggataacgaatgtcatcttcagacagagcetgc | 2016 |
| Lp19 | 2100 atggttcgacaaaaacgaactccaaggttcgaaagacgtcttcgtcttgcgcaagagatc | 2159 |
| F11 | 2017 atggttcgacaaaaacgaactccaaggttcgaaagacgtcttcgtcttgcgcaagggatc | 2076 |
| Lp19 | 2160 gagccatccgccacgccaaacagggtcgtttccgcaaagaaccgttacaccaagtttgta | 2219 |
| Fll | 2077 gagccatccgccacgccaaacagggtcgtttccgcaaagaaccgttacaccaagtttgt | 6 |


| Lp19 | 2220 | tacctcgcatggttggaaggtagatcatggcaaaagcgcagtaagtatggtgatgatgtc | 227 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fl1 | 2137 | tacctcgcatggttggaaggtagatcatggcaaaagcgcagtaagtatggtgatgatgtc | 2196 |
| Lp19 | 2280 | tcttgatccaaacttgtagatataaaagggggcaagacatgtctccagatatccagctct | 2339 |
| Fl1 | 2197 | tcttgatccaaacttgtagatataaaagggggcaagacatgtctccagatatccagctct | 2256 |
| Lp19 | 2340 | cctctgagagactcaaaagtcctctgattcccaagcttcaggtgtcaacagtagatacca | 2399 |
| Fl1 | 2257 | cctctgagagactcaaaagtcctctgattcccaagcttcaggtgtcaacagtagatacca | 2316 |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { prt2 exon } 1 \\ \hline \text { Prt2 start } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |
| Lp19 | 2400 | gtatcctgacgggagccagccacgATGCGTCCTGGTCTTCTATTCCTCCAGCTGCTCCCG | 59 |
| Fl1 | 2317 | gtatctgacgggagccagccacgATGCGTCCTGGTCTTCTATTCCTCCAGCTGCTCCCG | 76 |
| Lp19 | 2460 | CTAGCTCTTGCAGCTCCCGGAGCAAGGCGATCGGAGCCAGCCCCGATCCTCGCTCCACGT | 2519 |
| Fl1 | 2377 | CTAGCTCTTGCAGCTCCCGGAGCAAGGCGATCGGAGCCAGCCCCGATCCTCGCTCCACGT | 36 |
| Lp19 | 2520 | GGGGCCGTGATCGAGAACAAGTACATTGTTAAATACAAAAAGACATTTTCTATTGCCTCA | 2579 |
| Fl1 | 2437 | GGGGCCGTGATCGAGAACAAGTACATTGTTAAATACAAAAAGACATTTTCTATTGCCTCA | 96 |
| Lp19 | 2580 | SCCGATCACACTTTAAAGGCATGCAGCGCTGGTGCCGACA. GAGTGTACTCCAACATCTTC | 39 |
| Fl1 | 2497 | GCCGATCACACTTTAAAGGCATGCAGCGCTGGTGCCGACAGAGTGTACTCCAACATCTTC | 56 |
| Lp19 | 2640 | CACGGATTTTCTGGTACCTTGAACGAGAGCGCCATTGAGCAGCTTCGT CACCACCCTGAT | 9 |
| Fll | 2557 | CACGGATTTTCTGGTACCTTGAACGAGAGCGCCATTGAGCAGCTTCGTCACCACCCTGAT | 6 |
|  |  | prt2 intron 1 |  |
| Lp19 | 2700 | gtgagacttggccaagtagtggacactggacatggccatggcaaattactaactttgtgc | 27 |
| Fl1 | 2617 | gtgagacttggccaagtagtggacactggacatggccatggcaaattactaactttgtgc | 76 |
|  |  | prt2 exon 2 |  |
| Lp19 | 2760 | tccccaaaacagGTTGACTACATCGAGAAGGATGCCATCTTCAAGATGAACACTTTTGTT | 2819 |
| Fl1 | 2677 | tccccaaaacagGTTGACTACATCGAGAAGGATGCCATCTTCAAGATGAACACTTTTGTT | 2736 |
| Lp19 | 2820 | GAGCAGCGCGATGCTCCTCGGGGATTGAGACGTGTTTCTCACCGCOAGGGTGACATTGGT | 2879 |
| Fl1 | 2737 |  | 2796 |
| Lp19 | 2880 | GGCTACGTTTATCATEGSAGTGCCGGCGAGGGCACATGCTCCTACATTATTGACACTGGA | 2939 |
| Fl1 | 2797 | GGCTACGTTTATCACGA GAGTGCCGGCGAGGGCACGTGCTCCTACATTATTGACACTGGA | 2856 |
|  |  | prt2 intron 2 |  |
| Lp19 | 2940 | GTTGACGACTCCCACCCTgtatgtcatttcgtccaagtcgatcccgatgtgcecaggttc | 2999 |
| Fl1 | 2857 | GTTGACSACTCCCACCCTgtatgtcatttcgtccaagtcgatcccgatgtgcccaggttc | 2916 |
|  |  | prt2 exon 3 |  |
| Lp19 | 3000 | tcgctggcaaggcggacatcccaactaacccggagtcgcagGAGTTCGAGGGTCGCGCTC | 3059 |
| F11 | 2917 | tcgctggcaaggcggacatcccaactaacccggagtcgcagGA.GTTCGAGGGTCGCGCTC | 2976 |
| Lp19 | 3060 | AGCTCGTCACATCCTTTGTCGATGGGGAGGATGCCGȦGGCCACGGTCACGGCACTCACG | 3119 |
| F11 | 2977 | AGCTCGTCACATCCTTTGTCGATGGGGAGGATGCCGATGGCCACGGTCACGGCA.CTCACG | 3036 |
| Lp19 | 3120 | TCGCTGGCACCATCGGTAGCCETAGCTACGGCATCGCCAAGAAGACTCAGCTGCTTGGCA | 3179 |
| Fl1 | 3037 | TCGCTGGCACCATCGGTAGCCGCAGCTACGGCATCGCCAAGAAGACTCAGCTGCTTGGCA | 3096 |
| Lp19 | 3180 | TCAAGGTACTCAGCGACCAGGGATCTGGAAACA.ATTCCGCCATr ATCGCGGGCATGGACT | 3239 |
| F11 | 3097 | TCAAGGTACTCAGCGACCAGGGATCTGGAAACAATTCCGCCATOATCGCGGGCATGGACT | 3156 |
| Lp19 | 3240 | TTGCCGTCCAGGACGCCAGGCAACGAAGCTGCGCCAAGGGTGTTCTCGCCAACATSASTC | 3299 |
| Fl1 | 315 | ITGCCGTCCAGGACGCCAGGCAACGAAGCTGCGCCAAGGGTGTTCTCGCCAA.CATGA.GTC | 6 |


| Lp19 | 3300 | TCGGTGGCAGATACTCGCAGTCGCTGA.ACGATGCGGCCGCTCASATGATTCAGTCTGGCG | 3359 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F11 | 3217 | TCGGTGGCAGATACTCSCAGTCGCTGAACGATGCSGCCGCTCASATSATTCAGTCTGSC | 3276 |
| Lp19 | 3360 | TCTTCCTCGCCGTCGCCGCTGGAAACA ATCGCCAGGATGCCTCTGGCTACTCGCCTGCCT | 3419 |
| Fl1 | 3277 | TCTTCCTCGCCGTCGCCGCTGGAAACAATCGCCAGGATGCCTCTGGCTACTCGCCTGCCT | 3336 |
| Lp19 | 3420 | CTGAGCCGAGTGTTTGCACCGTCGGATCAACGGATAGCTCCGACAGTCTCTCTTCATTCT | 3479 |
| F11 | 3337 | CTGAGCCGAGTGTTTGCACCGTCGGATCAACGGATAGCTCCGACAGTCTCTCTTCATTCT | 96 |
| Lp19 | 3480 | CCAACTATGGAAGCGTCGTCGATATCCTGGCCCCCGGCTCCGACATTCTTTCCACCTGGC | 3539 |
| Fl1 | 3397 | CCAACTATGGAAGCGTCGTCSATATCCTGGCCCCCGGCTCCGACAT'TCT'r'rccacc'rcse | 3456 |
|  |  | prt2 intron3 |  |
| Lp19 | 3540 | CCGGTGGCAGCATCgtaagttgaagcttcgtccttgccgaccaccgattcaacatgttcc | 3599 |
| F11 | 3457 | CCGGTGGCAGCATGgtaagttgaagcttcgtccttgccgaccaccgattcaacatgttcc | 3516 |
|  |  | prt2 exon4 |  |
| Lp19 | 3600 | atgccttgacactgcctgctctcagAAAATCCTTTCGGGTACCTCGATGGCTACTCCCCA | 3659 |
| Fl1 | 3517 | atgccttgacactgcctgctctcagAAAATCCTTTCGGGTACCTCGATGGCTACTCCCCA | 3576 |
| Lp19 | 3660 | CATTGTT GGTCTCGCAGCGTATCTTGCTGGTCTAGAGGGCTTCCCAGGCGCCCAGGCCCT | 3719 |
| Fl1 | 3577 | CATTGTTGGTCTCGCAGCGIATCTTGCTGGTCTAGAGGGCTTCCCAGGCGCCCAGGCCCT | 3636 |
| Lp19 | 3720 | CTGCAASCGGATCCAGTCTC'TTGCTACTCCAGGAGCCATCAGCAACGTCCCTGG GGTAC | 3779 |
| Fl1 | 3637 | CTGCAAGCGGATCCAGTCTCTTGCTACTCCAGGAGCCATCAGCAACGTCCCTGGAGGTAC | 3696 |
|  |  | Prt2 stop |  |
| Lp19 | 3780 | TCTAAACTTATTGGGCTTCAATGGAAACCCCTCTGGTTGȦgcagtgaactctccgcgegg | 3839 |
| Fl1 | 3697 | TCTAAACTTATTGGGCTTCAATGGAAACCCCTCTGGTTGAggcagtgaactctccgcgegg | 3756 |
| Lp19 | 3840 | gggcgggaagcgacttgggagccgattttgatgacagcagctgccctcgaatgtatgatt | 3899 |
| Fll | 3757 | gggcgggaagcgacttgggagccgattttgatgacagcagctgccctcgaatgtatgatt | 3816 |
| Lp19 | 3900 | tcgacttctacgcatcgcgtaacgcatcgcggcatcgcgacaattggcacatggagttga | 3959 |
| Fl1 | 3817 | tcgacttctacgcatcgcgtaacgcatcgcggcatcgcgacaattggcacatggagttga | 3876 |
| Lp19 | 3960 | aaacggtgtatattttgtgctggataaataccgatcgtttcctcaaaccgtgacgtatgt | 4019 |
| Fl1 | 3877 | aaacggtgtatattttgtgctggataaataccgatcgtttcctcaaaccgtgacgtatgt | 3936 |
| Lp19 | 4020 | gagtacagatcgacgactgaacaggctagccaccggactggcgatcgtgcgtcgtcgatc | 4079 |
| Fll | 3937 | gagtacagatcgacgactgaacaggctagccaccggactggcgatcgtgcgtcgtcgatc | 3996 |
| Lp19 | 4080 | cgttagaagatggggctggatgggtcttcagatgactggagtaatattcattattcctgt | 4139 |
| Fll | 3997 | cgttagaagatggggctggatgggtcttcagatgactggagtaatattcattattcctgt | 4056 |
| Lp19 | 4140 | ttgggtaagaaaattcggattgtaacagcgcaatgcaacggcaacatgttgtgatggaaa | 4199 |
| Fl1 | 4057 | ttgggtaagaaaattcggattgtaacagcgcaatgcaacggcaacatgttgtgatggaaa | 4116 |
| Lp19 | 4200 | gagtttgcatgtgccgagttgtgactttcgtggcgccttgtggcttctttggggctaggg | 4259 |
| Fl1 | 4117 | gagtttgcatgtgccgagttgtgactttcgtggcgccttgtggcttctttggggctaggg | 4176 |
| Lp19 | 4260 | ctgtttatttttgaatacatacgtcagccacattggcacctcaatgaccctgcctggtgg | 4319 |
| Fl1 | 4177 | ctgtttatttttgaatacatacgtcagccacattggcacctcaatgaccctgcctggtgg | 4236 |
| Lp19 | 4320 | gggttgatgagtgagattgggaagttcctccaatggcagagcagactct | 4368 |
| Fl1 | 4237 | gggttgatgagtgagattgggaagttcctccaatggcagagcagactcrgaggaccaccc | 4296 |
| Lp19 | 4369 |  | 4368 |
| Fl1 | 4297 | agattcccagattcccagatcccaagtggagggtctaatctgacttgctttcctagctat | 4356 |


| Lp19 | 4369 |  | 4368 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fl1 | 4357 | gcacagaagtcggggcctgatgtaagagagtagggggacgaaaagggggctgacata | 16 |
| Lp19 | 4369 |  | 68 |
| Fl1 | 4417 | agatagcaaggtataaggggcatagcaaaagacaggtatcctaccttcctttcttccagt | 76 |
| Lp19 | 4369 |  | 368 |
| Fl1 | 4477 | attacaagcattcatactagctttgagctatatagtagtaggtgtcaggtgcacgcgcad | 536 |
| Lp19 | 4369 |  | 4368 |
| Fl1 | 4537 | gcgcgcggcct | 6 |
| Lp19 | 4369 |  | 4368 |
| Fl1 | 4597 | tgttggatcttttggtctacggagtaatggcttacctagcacaccttctaggttctgaca | 656 |
| Lp19 | 4369 | acatcatacatgcagtcaaattaca | 4393 |
| Fl1 | 4657 | gactgacagtacggttatattgatatttactgcttacatcatacatgcagtcaaattaca | 4716 |
| Lp19 | 4394 | cgctattataatatacaaatcgtggtacctttcaatactgaatatacaggtatcttagtt | 4453 |
| Fl1 | 4717 | cgctattataatatacaaatcgtggtacctttcaatattgaatatacaggtatcttagtt | 4776 |
| Lp19 | 4454 | aatagtaaaaatcaataaacataagccacactaaagttcggtgtgtggaccgtcgctcca | 4513 |
| Fl1 | 4777 | aatagtaaaaatcaataaacataagccacactaaagttcggtgtgtggaccgtcgctccg | 4836 |
| Lp19 | 4514 | aattaggactaacgcggtatgcgcatttagtgaccectaccattcgcgaaaaacacccag | 4573 |
| Fl1 | 4837 | aattaggactaacgcggtatgcgcatttagtgaccectaccattcgcgaaaaacacccag | 4896 |
| Lp19 | 4574 | atcagggcctagtctacacatgcggcttgcctttctgcaaacctgtcacgaaagtcatg | 4633 |
| Fl1 | 4897 | atcagggcctagtctacacatgcggcttgcctttctgcaaaccttgtcacgaaagtcatg | 4956 |
| Lp19 | 4634 | acgatgtggttccacgtcttgacggccegtctgacggggcceagattctacaaaaaggcc | 4693 |
| Fl1 | 4957 | acgatgtggttccccgtct-gacggccegtctgacgggggcceagattctacaaaaaggcc | 5015 |
| Lp19 | 4694 | gcceggtaaatgccaactgtgaacaagtagaacaacaccctcgccgcgectcatcctctc | 4753 |
| Fl1 | 5016 | gcceggtaaacgccaactgtgaacaagtagaacaacaccctcgecgegcctcatcctctc | 5075 |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { gcn1 exon1 } \\ \hline \text { Gcn1 start } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |
| Lp19 | 4754 | ctctagagcgetcagcaaacaagATGCATCACTCCATCCTTSTCCC'SGCSCTCCTGGCCS | 4813 |
| Fl1 | 5076 | ctctagagcgctcagcaaacaagATGCATCACTCCATCCTTGTCCCGGCGCTCCTGGCCG | 5135 |
| Lp19 | 4814 | GTGCTGTCTCGGCCTGGCTTCCCCAGGAGCGCGACTTSGCTSCTTTCAACCAGACGGCTC | 4873 |
| Fl1 | 5136 | GTGCTGTCTCGGCCTGGCTTCCCCAGGAGCGCGACTTGGCTGCTTTCAACCAGACGGCTC | 5195 |
| Lp19 | 4874 | SCTTTGAACAGCTTGGCAAGCGCTTCGCGCCGTCTCTSCCCAAGGGCGTCAACAAGATCA | 4933 |
| Fl1 | 5196 | GCTTTGAACAGCTTGGCAAGCGCTTCGCGCCGTCTCTGCCCAAGGGCGTCAACAAGATCA | 5255 |
|  |  | gcn1 intron1 |  |
| Lp19 | 4934 | GGGGCGTGAATTTCGGCGgtatgtcttgttttataaaaatcccccgacgecttctcgtct | 4993 |
| Fl1 | 5256 |  | 5315 |
|  |  | gcn1 exon2 |  |
| Lp19 | 4994 | ccggcaacaactactaacacgggttgaaattctcagSCTGGCTCATCTSTGAGCCGTGGA | 5053 |
| Fl1 | 5316 | ccggcaacaactactaacacgggttgaaattctcagGCTGGCTCATCTGTGAGCCGTGGA | 5375 |
| Lp19 | 5054 | TGATGAGTGACGAGTGGAACAACGTCAr GGGTTGCAACGGGGCTGCCTCCGAGTTCGACT | 5113 |
| Fl1 | 5376 | TGATGAGTGACGAGTGGAACAACGTCAGGGGTTGCAACGGGGCTGCCTCCGAGTTCGACT | 5435 |
| Lp19 | 5114 | GCATGCGAAACAATTACGGTGGAAGCAAACGAGACGCAGGCA.ACGACAAGTTCGAGACTC | 5173 |
| Fl1 | 5436 | GCATGCGAAACAATTACGGTGGAAGCAAACGAGACGCAGGCAACGACAAGTTCGAGACTC | 5495 |
| Lp19 | 5174 | ACTISGAGGACTTGSE.TCAATGCCGA CAGCGTCCAGTCAGCCCACGACGTTGGCCTGAACA | 5233 |
| Fl1 | 5496 | ACTGGAGGACTTGGATCAATGCCGA CAGCGTCCAGTCAGCCCACGACGTTGGCCTGAACA | 5555 |

```
Lp19 5234 CGCTTCGCATTCCCATGGGGTACTGGTCCTACGTAGACATTGTCGACAAGGGCAGCGAAC 5293
Fl1 5556 CGCTTCGCATTCCCATGGGGTACTGGTCCTACGTAGACATTGTCGACAAGGGCAGCGAAC 5615
Lp19 5294 CCTTTGCCGACGGCAACAAGATGCTCCCCTACCTGGACGCCGTCGTCCAAAAGGCCGCTG }535
Fl1 5616 CCTTTGCCGACGGCAACAAGATGCTCCCCTACCTGGACGCCGTCGTCCAAAAGGCCGCTG }567
Lp19 5354 ACCTCGGCATGTATGTCATCATCGATCTGCACGGGGCCCCCGGCGGCCAGCAAGAAGACG }541
Fl1 5676 ACCTCGGCATGTATGTCRTCATCGATCTGCACGGGGCCCCCGGCGGCCAGCAAGAAGACG }573
Lp19 5414 TCTTTACCGGCCAGAP.CAACAAGCCGGCCGGTTTCTTCAACGACTACPACTTTGACCGTG 5473
Fl1 5736 TCTTTACCGGCCAGAACAACAAGCCGGCCGGTTTCTTCAACGACTACAACTTTGACCGTG }579
Lp19 5474 CCCAGAAGTGGATGTCGTGGATGACGAAGCGCATCCACACAAACCCTGCCTACGCCACCG }553
Fl1 5796 CCCAGAAGTGGATGTCGTGGATGACGAAGCGCATCCACACAAACCCTGCCTACGCCACCG }585
Lp19 5534 TCGGCATGATTGAGGTTCTCAACGAGCCCGTCTCCGGGCACGACCAGGGCGGACGGTACC 5593
Fl1 5856 TCGGCATGATTGAGGTTCTCAACGAGCCCGTCTCCGGGCACGACCAGGGCGGACGGTACC }591
Lp19 5594 CTTGCCCCGGTGAGGTCCCCGGGCTGGTCGAGAAA TACTACCCGGGCGCTCTGAAGGCGG }565
Fl1 5916 CTGCCCCCGGTGAGGTCCCCGGGCTGGTCGAGAAGTACTACCCGGGCGCTCTGAAGGCGG 5975
Lp19 5654 TCCGAGATGCCGAAGCGTCGCTCGGCGTGGCTGACGGCAAGAAGCTCCACGTGCAATTCA 5713
Fl1 5976 TCCGAGATGCCGAAGCGTCGCTCGGCGTGGCTGACGGCAAGAAGCTCCACGTGCAATTCA 6035
Lp19 5714 TGTCCCAGAAATGGGACTCGGGCAACCCGCGCGACAACTCTGCCGTGGCCAACGACAAGC 5773
Fl1 6036 TGTCCCAGAAATGGGACTCGGGCAACCCGCGCGACAACTCTGCCGTGGCCAACGACAAGC
6095
Lp19 5774 TGACTGCGTTCGATGACCACAACTACATTGGTTTTGCCGTCAAGGACAAGGGCAACCGGG 5833
Fl1 6096 TGACTGCGTTCGATGACCACAACTACATTGGTTTTGCCGTCAAGGACAAGGGCAACCGGG }615
Lp19 5834 ACAAGCTCATGAAGTCGGCCTGCAGGGACAATCGCGTCGTAGACGGGCAGACGTTCGCCA 5893
Fl1 6156 ACAAGCTCATGAAGTCGGCCTGCAGGGACAATCGCGTCGTGGACGGGCAGACGTTCGCCA 6215
Lp19 5894 TTACCGGCGAATGGAGCATGACATCGGACGTGA(GCCCCGACGACAAAGACTTCTTCAAGA 5953
Fl1 6216 TTACCGGCGAATGGAGCATGACATCGGACGTGAGCCCCGACGACAAAGACTTCTTCAAGA 6275
Lp19 5954 AGTTCTTCACGGCCCAAA AGCAGCTCTACGAGGCGCCTGGGATGAGTGGCTGGGTGTACT 6013
Fl1 6276 AGTTCTTCACGGCCCAAdAGCAGCTCTACGAGGCGCCTGGGATGAGTGGCTGGGTGTACT }633
Lp19 6014 GGACGTGGAAGACGCAGTTGAATGATCCTCGCTGGACCTACTCTGACGCCACGTACCGCA 6073
Fl1 6336 GGACGTGGAAGACGCAGTTGAATGATCCTCGCTGGACCTACTCTGACGCCACGTACCGCA }639
Lp19 6074 AACTGATCCCCACCGATGCCGTTGGCTTGGAAAGGAATGTGTATCAAGATGTCTGTTCCA 6133
Fl1 6396 AACTGATCCCCACCGATGCCGTTGGCTTGGAAAGGAATGTGTATCAAGAT GTCTGTTCCA }645
    Gen1 stop
        ~ -
Lp196134 GTTATAGATAGagacacgtactttttagatgaggtctattgatacgaa 6181
Fl1 6456 GTTATAGATAGagacacgtactttttagatgaggtctattgatacctgttgcgtctttgt 6515
```


## A2．3 Comparison of the endophyte sequences homologous to prt3

Alignment of the nucleotide sequences of the prt3 homologues from E．typhina（At1）， E．festucae（Fl1 and Fr1）and N．Iolii（Lp19，Lp5 and AR1）strains．Non－coding intron sequences are shown in lower case letters．Coding sequences are shown in upper case letters．Identity between the prt3 sequences is indicated for coding sequences and introns by black or yellow shading respectively．Positions of the translation initiation and termination codons of the genes are identified by green and red arrows respectively．Exons and introns are labelled at the beginning of the relevant sequence by green and yellow boxes respectively．The position of the deletion in exon 2 in N．Iolii Lp19 and Lp5 is indicated by a red box．

|  |  |  | Drrrror rix 刃 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |



| At 1 | 403 | AGA STCGTGCAGA AGAAT GCM ECATGGGGCCTAG CCCGTATATCCCACCGACGACGCGG | 462 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fl1 | 420 | TTGGTCGTGCAGCAGACAGCCCCATGGGGCCTAACCCGTATATCCCACCGACGACGCGG | 479 |
| Fr1 | 178 | -TGGTGGTGCAGCAGACAGCCCCATGGGGCCIAACA | 237 |
| Lp19 | 406 | VTGGTCGTGCAGCAGACAGCCCCATGGGGCCTAACCCGTATATCCCACCGACG | 465 |
| Lp5 | 181 | 'GTCGTGCAGCAGACAGCCCCATGG | 240 |
| AR1 | 183 | grcgigcagchga | 242 |
| At1 | 463 | AO | 522 |
| Fl1 | 480 | rCGACCCAGTACGTCTACGACAATAGTGGCGGCAAAGGTGTTTGCGCCTATGTCATCGAC | 539 |
| Fr1 | 238 | rCGACCCAGTACGTCTACGACAATAGTGGCGGCAAAGGTGTMTGCGCCTATGTCATCGA | 297 |
| Lp19 | 466 |  | 524 |
| Lp5 | 241 | rCGACCCAGTACGTCTACGACAATAGTGGCGGCAA-GGTGTTTGCGCCTATGTCA | 299 |
| AR1 | 243 | GACCCAGTACGTCTACGACAATAGTGGCGGCAAAGGTGTTTGCGCCTATGTCATCGAC | 302 |
|  |  | 3 intron 2 |  |
| At1 | 523 | ggagaaacaccettcttgtcc--cttttttccac | 580 |
| Fl1 | 540 | ACGGGCGTAGATGCTCGCCACCO ${ }^{\text {atgagaaaaatcccttttccttgtctttttttccac }}$ | 599 |
| Fr1 | 298 | ACGGGCGTAGATGCTCGCCACCG\%gtgagaaaaatcccttttccttgtctttttttccac | 357 |
| Lp19 | 525 | ACGGGCGTAGATGCTCGCCACCOggtgagaaaaatcccttttccttgtctttttttccac | 584 |
| Lp5 | 300 | AcGGGCGTagatccrccccaccgeggtgagaaaaatcccttttccttgtctttttttccac | 359 |
| AR1 | 303 | ACGGGCGTAGATGCTCGCCACdGegtgagaaaaatcccttttccttgtctttttttccac | 362 |
|  |  | prt3 exon 3 |  |
| At1 | 581 | aactcactcggcccggttcacccgagcgc-ggaactaa--cagcat--ccagGAGTTCA | 635 |
| Fll | 600 | aactcgctcggcccgcctgacccgagcgtaggaactaaactgccat--ctagGAGTTCGA | 657 |
| Fr1 | 358 | aactcgctcggccegcttgacccgagcgcaggaactaaactgccatatctagg | 417 |
| Lp19 | 585 | aactcgctcggccegcttgacccgagcgcaggaactaaacagccat--ctag | 642 |
| Lp5 | 360 | aactcgctcggcccgcttgaccogagcgcaggaactaaacagccat--ctag | 417 |
| AR1 | 363 | aactcgctcggccogcttgaccogagcgcaggaactaaacagccat--ctaggacridd | 420 |
| At1 | 636 | AGGCCGGGCGA CCAGA TCCAGTCCTACGTCGCCGGATCCAACGTCGATGACAACGGCCA | 695 |
| Fl1 | 658 | AGGCCGGGCCCA CCAGCTCAAGTCCTACATCCCCGGATCCAACATCGATGACAATGGCCA | 717 |
| Fr1 | 418 | AGGCCGGGCCCGCCAGCTCAAGTCCTACATCCCCGGATCCAACATCGATGACAACGGCCA | 477 |
| Lp19 | 643 | AGGCCGGGCCCGCCAGCTCAAGTCCTACATCGCCGGATCCAACGTCGATGACAACGGCCA | 702 |
| Lp5 | 418 | AGGCCGGGCCCGCCAGCTCAAGTCCTACATCGCCGGATCCAACGTCGATGACAACGGCCA | 477 |
| AR1 | 421 | AGGCCGGGCCCGCCAGCTCAAGTCCTACATCGCCGGATCCAACGTCGATGACAACGGCCA | 480 |
| At1 | 696 | CGG ACCCACGTCGCCGGCACAATCGGCAGCCGCACCTACGGCGTAGCGAAGCGGGTGAC | 55 |
| Fl1 | 718 | CGGdaCCCACGTCGCCGGCACAATCGGCAGCCGCACCTACGGCGTAGCCAAGCGGGTG | 777 |
| Fr1 | 478 | dACCCACGTCGCCGGCACAATCGGCAGCCGCACCTACGGCGTAGCCAAGCGGGTG | 537 |
| Lp19 | 703 | AA CCCACGTCGCCGGCACAATCGGCAGCCGCACCTACGGCGTAGCCAAGCGGGTG | 762 |
| Lp5 | 478 | CCCACGTCGCCGGCaCAATCGGCAGCCGCACCTACGGCGTAGCCAAGCGGG | 537 |
| AR1 | 481 | CGGAA CCCACGTCGCCGGCACAATCGGCAGCCGCACCTACGGCGTAGCCAAGCGGGTGAd | 540 |
| At 1 | 756 | CATCTTCGGCGTCAAGGTCCTCGETGCCCGCGGCACSA CCCQ CAA TTCCGTCATCATCAA | 5 |
| Fl1 | 778 | CATCTTCGGCGTCAAGGTCCTCGCTGCCAA AA CAAGGGCAGCAATTCCGTCATCATCAA | 837 |
| Fr1 | 538 | CATCTTCGGCGTCAAGGTCCTCGAGCCAGCGGCAAGGGCAGCAATTTCCGTCATCATCAA | 597 |
| Lp19 | 763 | CATCTTCGGGGTCAAGGTCCTCGCTGCCAACGGCAAGGGCAGCATTTCCGTCATCATCAA | 822 |
| Lp5 | 538 | CATCTTCGGCGTCAAGGTCCTCGCTGCCAACGGCAAGGGCAGCATTTCCGTCATCATCAA | 597 |
| AR1 | 541 | CATCTTCGGCGTCAAGGTCCTCGCTGCCAACGGCAAGGGCAGCATTTCCGTCATCATCAA | 600 |
| At1 | 816 | GGGCATGGATTTCGTGCAC-GCGATGCCOA GCGGCGTAAATGCCCCGAOGGACGTCGTG | 874 |
| F11 | 838 | GGGCATGGATTTCGTGCACAGCGATGCCCGGCGGCGTCGATGCCTAAAGS-CGTCGTCG | 896 |
| Fr1 | 598 | GGGCatGcatutccuccaca cGatGcccccea | 656 |
| Lp19 | 823 | gGGCatGGattrcctccacagccatcccccgccgccrccatg | 8 |
| Lp5 | 598 | gGGCatGGatt | 656 |
| AR1 | 601 |  | 659 |
| At 1 | 875 | TCAACATGTCCOTCGGCGGAGGCTACTCCAAGGCGACAAACCAAGCCGCCGCCCGCCTC | 4 |
| Fl1 | 897 | TCAACATGTCCATCGGCGGAGGCTACTCCAAGGCCGAAAACCAAGCCGCCGCCCGC | 956 |
| Fr1 | 657 | TCAACATGTCGGrCGGCGGagGcractccangccccanaiccaigcccccco | 716 |
| Lp19 | 882 | TCAACATSY SCATCGGCGGAGGCTACTCCAAGGCCGAAAACCAAGCCGCCGCCCGCCT | 941 |
| Lp5 | 657 | TCAACATGTCCATCGGCGGAGGCTACTCCAAGGCCGAAAACCAAGCCGCCGCCCGCCT | 716 |
| AR1 | 660 | AACATGTCCATCGGCGGAGGCTACTCCAAGGCCGAAAACCAAGCCGCCGCCCGCCTCO |  |
| At 1 | 935 |  | 994 |
| Fl1 | 957 | TCAGAGACGGCTTCITCGTTGCCGTAGCCGCGGGCAACGACAACCGAGACGCCCGGTA | 101 |
| Fr1 | 717 | rCAGAGA ${ }^{\text {a }}$ GCTHCTTCGTGgCCGTAGCCGCGGGCAACGACAACCGAGACGCCCGGTA | 77 |
| Lp19 | 942 | TCAGAGACGGCTTCTTCGTTGCCGTAGCCGCGGGCAACGACAACCGAGACGCCCGGTA | 100 |
| Lp5 | 717 | rCAGAGACGGCTTCITCGTTGCCGTAGCCGCGGGCAACGACAACCGAGACGCCCGGT |  |
| AR1 | 720 | CAGAGACGGCTMCrTCGTrGCCGTAGCCGCGGGCAACGACAACCGAGACGCCCGGTA |  |


| At1 | 995 | A CTCACCCGCCTCGGAACCATCCGTCTGCACTGTCGGCGGCACGGACAAGTTCGACAGCG | 1054 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fl1 | 1017 | TCACCCGCCTCGGAaCCATCCGTCTGCACTGTCGGCGGCaCGGacangTtcGacant | 1076 |
| Fr1 | 777 | A cTCACCCGCCTCGGAad | 794 |
| Lp19 | 1002 | TCTCACCCGCCTCGGAACCATCCGTCTGCACTGTCGGCGGCACGGACAAGTICGACAAT | 1061 |
| Lp5 | 777 | TCTCACCGGCCTGGGAMCCATCCGTCTGCACTGTCGGCGGCACGGACAAGTTCGACAAT | 836 |
| AR1 | 780 | rCTCACCGGCCICG马AACCATCCGTCTGCACTGTCGGCGGCACGGACAAGTTCGACAATG | 839 |
| At 1 | 1055 | TATA--- FATGTCGAACTGGGGGCCTGCGGTCGACATCAACGGTCCCGGCGTCGATGTCC | 1111 |
| Fl1 | 1077 | GGTATACCATGTCGAACTGGGGGCCTGCCCICGACATCAACGGTCCCGGCGTCGATGTCG | 1136 |
| Fr1 | 795 |  | 794 |
| Lp19 | 1062 | FGTATACCATGTCGAACTGGGGGCCTGCCCICGACATCAACGGTCCCGGCGTCGATGTC | 1121 |
| Lp5 | 837 | gGTATACCATGTCGAACTGGGGGCCTGCCCTCGACATCAACGGTCCCGGCGTCGATGTC | 896 |
| AR1 | 840 | GGTATACCATGTCGAACTGGGGGCCTGCCCTCGACATCAACGGTCCCGGCGTCGATGTCG | 899 |
|  |  | prt3 intron 3 |  |
| At1 | 1112 | TGTCCACTCTCCCCAACdsCCGGACTgtatgtttttttttt------cttataaaaatcc | 1165 |
| Fll | 1137 | TGTCCACTCTCCCCAACGGCCGGGagtatgttttttttttttttccaaataaaaaccc | 1196 |
| Fr1 | 795 |  | 794 |
| Lp19 | 1122 | TGTCCACTCTCCCCAACGGCCGGACTIgtatgttttttttt------caaataaaaacce | 1174 |
| Lp5 | 897 | TGTCCACTCTCCCCAACGGCCGGACIgtatgttttttttt------caaataaaaaccc | 949 |
| AR1 | 900 | TGTCCACTCTCCCCAACGGCCGGACIgtatgttttttttt------caaataaaaaccc | 952 |
|  |  | prt3 exon 4 . |  |
| At1 | 1166 | ccg--cttggcgagcagaggaactgacatgcatgat----gcagGGCCGCTTGACGGGAA | 1219 |
| Fl1 | 1197 | ccccgtatgccgagcaaagatgaaggaactgaccatgattgcagGGCCGCAA | 1256 |
| Fr1 | 795 |  | 794 |
| Lp19 | 1175 | ccc-gtatgccgagcaaagatgaaggaactgaccatgattgcag GCCGCTTGACGGGAA $^{\text {a }}$ | 1233 |
| Lp5 | 950 | ccc-gtatgccgagcaaagatgaaggaactgaccatgattgcagGGCCGCTTGACGGGA | 1008 |
| AR1 | 953 | ccc-gtatgccgagcaaagatgaaggaactgaccatgattgcagGGCCGCTTGACGGGAA | 1011 |
| At1 | 1220 | CGTCCATGGCIACCCCGCACATTGEGGGACTGGGCGCGTACCTCGCTGCTAAAAA GGFC | 1279 |
| Fl1 | 1257 | 2GTCCATGGCGACTCCGCACATTGGGGGACTGGGCGCGTACCTCGCTGCTCTTGGCCGCA | 1316 |
| Fr1 | 795 |  | 794 |
| Lp19 | 1234 | סGTCCATGGCGACTCCGCACATTACGGGACTGGGCGCGTACCTCGCTGCTCTTGGCCGCA | 1293 |
| Lp5 | 1009 | EGTCCATGGCGACTCCGCACATTACGGGACT | 1039 |
| AR1 | 1012 | gGTCCATGGCGACTCCGCACATTACGGGACT | 1042 |
| At 1 | 1280 | G GCGCGCTGGTCCCGGGTTGTGCCGGACGATAAAGGACA TGGCCACTAAAAAITITCATCA | 1339 |
| Fll | 1317 | AGCGCGCIGGTCCCTGGTTGTGCAAGAAGATACAGAACTTGGCCACTAAAAACGCCATCA | 1376 |
| Fr1 | 795 |  | 794 |
| Lp19 | 1294 |  | 1353 |
| Lp5 | 1040 |  | 1039 |
| AR1 | 1043 |  | 1042 |
|  |  | Prt3 stop |  |
| At1 | 1340 | CGAACCAGGTGGCI SGCACGGTCAATCTGCTGGCATTICAACGGCGAGAR GTAG | 1392 |
| Fl1 | 1377 | ACAACCAGGTTGCAGGCACGGTCAATCTGCTGGCATTCAACGGCGCGAdgTag | 1429 |
| Fr1 | 795 |  | 794 |
| Lp19 | 1354 |  | 1376 |
| Lp5 | 1040 |  | 1039 |
| AR1 | 1043 |  |  |

## APPENDIX

A3: Analysis of Orf4


Appendix A3 Comparison of the E. festucae FI1 and N. Iolii Lp19 orf4 sequences
Alignment of the nucleotide sequences of the E. festucae FI1 and N. Iolii Lp19 orf 4 genes along with the encoded Orf4 polypeptide sequences. Protein-coding sequences are shown in uppercase letters, and non-coding sequences are shown in lowercase letters. Homology between sequences at the nucleotide level is indicated by black or grey shading for coding or non-coding sequences respectively. The stop codons are indicated in red text. The polypeptide sequences are indicated in blue text, with identity at the amino acid level indicated by blue shading.

## APPENDIX

A4: Analysis of Cyc1


## APPENDIX

A5: Analysis of Ptn1

|  |  | phosphatase domain |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fll Ptnl | 1 | MASLLRQITAGPRARH | 16 |
| S. pombe Ptnlp | 1 | MNILRSV SRGRKGL | 15 |
| C. elegans daf-18 | 1 | MVTPPPDVPSTSTRSMARDLQENPNRQPGEPRVSEPYHNSIVERIRHIFRTA SSSNRCRT | 60 |
| D. melanogaster | 1 | MANTISLMSNVIRNV SKKRIRY | 23 |
| Human PTEN | 1 | MTAIIKEI SRNKRRY | 16 |
| Fll Ptnl | 17 |  | 68 |
| S. pombe Ptnlp | 16 | KQEKVNRSFAYMMV ITSKVI MSTRA-AGIHKLYPNDELDVFKY TTQL-KDNWILLN | 73 |
| C. elegans daf-18 | 61 |  | 114 |
| D. melanogaster | 24 |  | 77 |
| Human PTEN | 17 | QEDGFD - . - MLITIYPNIIEMGFEA-ERLEGVYPENIDEVVRFLDSKH-KNHYKIYN | 69 |
| Fll Ptnl | 69 |  | 128 |
| S. pombe Ptnlp | 74 |  | 123 |
| C. elegans daf-18 | 115 | IRGGY - Y DADNEDGN ICFDMT HHPFSLETMAPECREAKEWLEADDKH | 163 |
| D. melanogaster | 78 | LCSER -STDVAKFRGR AVYYFD HNPFTIELIQRECSDVDMWLKEDSSN | 126 |
| Human PTEN | 70 |  Catalytic signature | 118 |
| Fll Ptnl | 129 |  | 187 |
| S. pombe Ptnlp | 124 |  | 153 |
| C. elegans daf-18 | 164 | -VIAY CK What VMICAIIIYINPYPSPR | 194 |
| D. melanogaster | 127 | VVAY CK EK 1 TMICAY VFSGIKKSAD | 157 |
| Human PTEN | 119 |  | 149 |
|  |  | C2 domain |  |
| Fl1 Ptnl | 188 |  | 247 |
| S. pombe Ptnlp | 154 | QS ELYTEKPMVRGH-- IS | 211 |
| C. elegans daf-18 | 195 |  | 252 |
| D. melanogaster | 158 |  | 215 |
| Human PTEN | 150 | EALDFYGEVETRLKK--EV HPSEREYVYMYSYIKNHLDYRPVALLFHKMMEETIPMFS | 207 |
| Fll Ptnl | 248 |  | 295 |
| S. pombe Ptnlp | 212 | IK---KNSSLILSLHAFSKGR------NI----------- M VALWKSS-------DI | 242 |
| C. elegans daf-18 | 253 | GGGSKI KVEVVGGSTILFKPDPLIISKSNHQRERATWLNNCDT: NEFDTGEQKYHGFVSK | 312 |
| D. melanogaster | 216 |  | 248 |
| Human PTEN | 208 |  | 235 |
| Fll Ptnl | 296 | SAAVGASKAPEEAELA ${ }_{\text {FATNPKDHRTPVE--- KRRRHALIRKGTIGLVQKVS--ANMGDGI }}$ | 350 |
| S. pombe Ptnlp | 243 | SSHNVSIKEGKR--IW -IQCNLETSE----KDLL RVERKGQEYFPSS---VQCWFH | 290 |
| C. elegans daf-18 | 313 | RAYCFMVPEDAPVFVEEDVRIDIREIGFLKKFSDGKIGMVWFNTMEACDGGLNGGHFEVY | 372 |
| D. melanogaster | 249 |  | 297 |
| Human PTEN | 236 |  | 286 |
| Fll Ptn1 | 351 | EKAKSKTSSNATTEDSTTTQDTTTQG------------------------ | 388 |
| S. pombe Ptnlp | 291 |  | 317 |
| C. elegans daf-18 | 373 | DKTQPYIGDDTSIGRKNGMRRNETPMRKIDPETGNEFESPWQIVNPPGLEKHITEEQAME | 432 |
| D. melanogaster | 298 | GTVNKYIHTLSKSEIDDVHKDSEHKRFSEEFKISIVFEAENFSND---VQAEASEKERNE | 354 |
| Human PTEN | 287 | EKVEN--GSLCDQEIDSICS---------------------------- -- IERADNDKEY | 314 |
| Fll Ptnl | 389 | AVI FKPSQPIRVPTSDVNVSVERRNGARKGLSLAMVSAVAHVWFNTFFEGQGPEQGGRPS | 448 |
| S. pombe Ptnip | 318 | QSISFSWSEMDNS-RRSDPFFEQLTIVYENVF | 348 |
| C. elegans daf-18 | 433 | NYTNYGMIPPRYTISKILHEKHEKGIVKDDYNDRKLPMGDKSYTESGKSGDIRGVGGPFE | 492 |
| D. melanogaster | 355 | NVILNFERSDYDSLSPNCYAEKKVLTAIVNDNTTKSQTI - - --ETLDHKDIV-TKIQYD | 407 |
| Human PTEN | 315 | LVLTLTKNDLDKANKDKANRYFSPNFKVK YFTKTVEE-----PSNPEASSS-TSVTPD | 367 |
| Fll Ptnl | 449 | DGGIFSIDWEAMDGIKGSS--RKGSRALDRMSVVWRAVDNGES--- K̇gEEILEPAEGE | 501 |
| S. pombe Ptnlp | 348 |  | 348 |
| C. elegans daf-18 | 493 | IPYKAEEHVLTFPVYEMDRALKS KDLNNGMKLHVVLRCVDTRDS̄KMMEXTSEVFGNLAFHN | 552 |
| D. melanogaster | 408 | TSTNSKNTS TACKRKQPNS KTLLPSLNDSTKEEI KRNHIFNQPS-- IKKTDLIKWQNSEV | 465 |
| Human PTEN | 368 | VSDN---------EPD---HYRYSDTTDSDPE----NEP------FDE--DQ--HT | 397 |
| Fll Ptn1 | 502 | PVPQVAAAADWKG--RGDDDDDDDAEGMEWARSSGPGGEDLVGNGQK | 547 |
| S. pombe Ptnlp | 348 |  | 348 |
| C. elegans daf-18 | 553 | ESTRRLQAL TQMNPKWRPEPCAFGSKGAEMHYPPSVRYSSNDGKYNGACSENLVSDFFEH | 612 |
| D. melanogaster | 466 | HITRSINENKNIN-------YNSYITCKQSSPKFNCGTEDGEEDWESE | 506 |
| Human PTEN | 398 | QITKV | 402 |

## APPENDIX A5.1 Alignment of the E. festucae Fl1 Ptn1 protein with phosphoinositide 3-phosphatase sequences

Alignment of the deduced FI1 Ptn1 protein with known phosphoinositide 3-phosphatases from other organisms. Included are Schizosaccharomyces pombe Ptn1p (also known as SPBC609.02, accession number CAA22831.1) (Mitra et al 2004), Caenorhabditis elegans daf-18 (Mihaylova et al 1999; accession number T51924), Drosophila melanogaster PTEN (Smith et al 1999; accession number AAF23236.1) and human PTEN (Li et al 1997; accession number NP_000305.2). Residues conserved between all sequences are shown in black shading, while residues conserved between most (but not all) sequences are shown in grey shading. Residues shown in red text are important in catalysis. The residues shaded in yellow are absolutely required for catalysis. Residues shown in blue hydrogen bond with PIP $_{3}$. Residues shown in purple shading have positive charges important in the catalytic site. The green box indicates an insertion in PTEN homologues that is not present in dual specificity phosphatases.

```
Method: Neighbor Joining; Bootstrap (1000 reps); tie breaking = Random
Distance: Poisson-correction
    Gaps distributed proportionally
```



## Appendix A5.2 Phylogenetic relationship of Ptn1 to fungal PTENlike phosphatases

Phylogenetic relationships of the E. festucae FI1 Ptn1 protein with other related fungal peptide sequences. The phylogenetic tree in this figure was prepared in the MacVector 7.2.3 program, using Neighbour joining and bootstrapping (1000 replicates) of sequences aligned using the ClustalW module of MacVector to form the tree. For details of the sequences used in this alignment, see Appendix A13.7. In this phylogenetic tree, the Fl1 Ptn1 protein name is shown in blue text, with all other protein names in black text. Cdc14, Pps1, PTEN and Yvh1-like phosphatase groups are indicated by blue, green, red and yellow lines respectively.

## APPENDIX

A6: Analysis of Gao1


Method: Neighbor Joining; Bootstrap (1000 reps); tie breaking = Random
Distance: Poisson-correction
Gaps distributed proportionally


APPENDIX 6.2 Phylogenetic analysis of the E. festucae Fl1 Gao1 protein with D-galactose oxidases
Alignment of the deduced E. festucae FI1 Gao1 protein with galactose and glyoxal oxidases from other organisms. The phylogenetic tree in this figure was prepared in the MacVector 7.2 .3 program, using Neighbour joining and bootstrapping (1000 replicates) of sequences aligned using the ClustalW module of MacVector to form the tree. For details of the sequences used in this alignment, see Appendix A13.8. In this phylogenetic tree, the E. festucae FI1 Gao1 protein name is shown in blue text, with all other protein names in black text. Glyoxal and galactose oxidases are indicated by green and red lines respectively.

## APPENDIX

A7: Design of degenerate primers


## Appendix A7.1 Design of degenerate PCR primers used to amplify the vacuolar protease encoding gene prt4

(A) Partial alignment of peptide sequences corresponding to homologues of the S. cerevisiae Prb1 protein from the fungi M. anisopliae (Pr1H), F. graminearum (FG00192.1), $P$. anserina ( PspA ) and M. grisea ( $\mathrm{Spm1}$ ). Identity between the peptide sequences is shown by black shading with white text. The position where the primers were designed are indicated by black shading with either orange (MM93) or green (MM94) text respectively, together with an arrow of the same colour showing the direction of amplification. Conserved residues required for catalytic activity of the enzyme are indicated by black text with yellow shading. (B) The peptide sequences to which the MM93 and MM94 sequences were designed are shown in orange and green respectively. The nucleotide sequence that codes for this sequence is shown beneath the peptide sequence in black text, with multiple letters at positions where more than one codon specifies the same amino acid. The sequence of the the derived MM93 and MM94 primers is shown below in orange and green text respectively.

| Appendix A7.2 Design of degenerate primers for prt isolation |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gene | Organism | Class | H site | S site |
| FG09156.1 | F. graminearum | Kexin | RHGTRCA | GTSAAAP |
| MGO 3742.4 | M. grisea | Kexin | RHGTRCA | GTSAAAP |
| NCU03219.2 | N. crassa | Kexin | KHGTRCA | GTSAAAP |
| FG02956.1 | F. graminearum | Other | GHGTHVG | GTSMAAP |
| FG03331.1 | F. graminearum | Other | GHGTHVA | GSSMSSQ |
| FG04375.1 | F. graminearum | Other | GHGTHIA | GTSVSTP |
| FG04506.1 | F. graminearum | Other | GHGTHCA | GTSCAAP |
| FG09115.1 | F. graminearum | Other | GHGTAVA | GSSFACP |
| FG11223.1 | F. graminearum | Other | GHGTHVC | GTSMSTP |
| MG04939.4 | M. grisea | Other | GHGTFVT | GTSFATP |
| FG02976.1 | F. graminearum | protk sf1 | GHGTHVA | GTSMASP |
| FG03315.1 | F. graminearum | protk sf1 | GHGTHVA | GTSMACP |
| MG08966.4 | M. grisea | protk sf1 | GHGTHVA | GTSMASP |
| MG10449.4 | M. grisea | protk sf1 | GHGTHVA | GTSMATP |
| NCU07159.2 | N. crassa | protk sf1 | GHGTHVA | GTSMATP |
| Pr1A | M. anisopliae | protk sf1 | GHGTHCA | GTSMATP |
| Pr1B | M. anisopliae | protk sf1 | GHGTHLA | GSSMSAA |
| Pr1G | M. anisopliae | protk sf1 | LHGTHVA | GTSMAAP |
| Pr1I | M. anisopliae | protk sf1 | GHGTHVA | GTSMATP |
| Pr1K | M. anisopliae | protk sf1 | GHGTHVA | GTSMASP |
| FG00806.1 | F. graminearum | protk sf2 | GHGTHVA | GTSMATP |
| FG08012.1 | F. graminearum | protk sf2 | GHGTHCA | GTSMATP |
| FG08464.1 | F. graminearum | protk sf2 | GHGTHVA | GTSMACP |
| FG09382.1 | F. graminearum | protk sf2 | GHGSHVA | GTSMASP |
| FG10525.1 | F. graminearum | protk sf2 | GHGTHCA | GTSMACP |
| FG10595.1 | F. graminearum | protk sf2 | QHGTLVA | GTSEAAP |
| FG10712.1 | F. graminearum | protk sf2 | QHGTLVA | GTSEASP |
| FG11405.1 | F. graminearum | protk sf2 | GHGTHVA | GTSMAAP |
| MG02863.4 | M. grisea | protk sf2 | GHGTHVA | GTSSATP |
| MG06558.4 | M. grisea | protk sf2 | GHGTHVA | GTSMATP |
| MG07965.4 | M. grisea | protk sf2 | GHGSHVA | GTSMATP |
| NCU06055.2 | N. crassa | protk sf2 | GHGS HVA | GTSMASP |
| NCU06949.2 | N. crassa | protk sf2 | GHGTHVT | GTSMASP |
| Pr1D | M. anisopliae | protk sf2 | GHGTHVA | GTSMASP |
| Pr1E | M. anisopliae | protk sf2 | IHGDHGT | GSSFATP |
| Pr1F | M. anisopliae | protk sf2 | GHGTHVA | GTSMAAP |
| Pr1J | M. anisopliae | protk sf2 | GHGTHVA | GTSQAAP |
| FG06572.1 | F. graminearum | pyrolysin sf1 | GHGTHVA | GTSMASP |
| FG11472.1 | F. graminearum | pyrolysin sf1 | GHGTHVA | GTSMACP |
| MG00282.4 | M. grisea | pyrolysin sfi | IHGTHVLG | GTSMATP |
| MG03316.4 | M. grisea | pyrolysin sfi | GHGTHVT | GTSMACP |
| MG03870.4 | M. grisea | pyrolysin sf1 | GHGTHVA | GTSMACP |
| MG07358.4 | M. grisea | pyrolysin sf1 | AHGTHVS | GTSMAAP |
| MG08415.4 | M. grisea | pyrolysin sfi | GHGTHVA | GTSMAAP |
| MG10445.4 | M. grisea | pyrolysin sfi | GHGTHVA | GTSMATP |
| NCU00263.2 | N. crassa | pyrolysin sf1 | GHGSHVL | GTSMACP |
| Pr1C | M. anisopliae | pyrolysin sf1 | GHGSHVA | GTSMSCP |
| FG06332.1 | F. graminearum | pyrolysin sf2 | GHGTHVA | GTSMSAP |
| MG0 2531.4 | M. grisea | pyrolysin sf2 | GHGTHVA | GTSMACP |
| MG02649.4 | M. grisea | pyrolysin sf2 | GHGTHVA | GTSMATP |
| MG0 4733.4 | M. grisea | pyrolysin sf2 | GHGTHVA | GTSMATP |
| MG08429.4 | M. grisea | pyrolysin sf2 | GHGTHVA | GTSMATP |
| MG0 8436.4 | M. grisea | pyrolysin sf2 | GHGTHVA | GTSMATP |
| MG09352.4 | M. grisea | pyrolysin se2 | GHGTHVA | GTSQATP |
| MG09817.4 | M. grisea | pyrolysin sf2 | GHGTHVA | GTSMATP |
| MG09990.4 | M. grisea | pyrolysin sf2 | GHGTHVA | GTSMATP |
| FG00192.1 | F. graminearum | Vacuolar | GHGTHCS | GTSMASP |
| MG03670.4 | M. grisea | Vacuolar | GHGTHCS | GTSMASP |
| NCU00673.2 | N. crassa | Vacuolar | GHGTHCS | GTSMASP |
| Pr1H | M. anisopliae | vacuolar | GHGTHCS | GTSMASP |
| protK sf1 and protK sf2 stand for proteinase K subfamily 1 and proteinase $K$ subfamily 2 respectively pyrolysin sf1 and pyrolysinsf2 stand for pyrolysin subfamily 1 and pyrolysin subfamily 2 respectively The MM149 primer was designed to the H site and the MM150 primer was designed to the S site |  |  |  |  |

## APPENDIX

A8: Analysis of Orf2


## APPENDIX

A9: Analysis of Orf3

```
    Fl1 Orf3 46 配N---MWLLFWTIFSVVIUFVAESLMRRAKELPYR--PVPVYSPVREDG }9
```



```
Fl1 Orf3 91 AEHTFDTQYQPSKIFQSPPSDQVDKAWHDWQQEHDHMFKFPKHKAKQVGL 140
NCU01051.1 99 备IEYENKRFHPDRIFQEDPSPSVDKAWESILGPSDGIVRLELVTSQKLSY 148
Fl1 Orf3 141 PETIELYNDPGYGAYGLGVYHQMHCLNRIRKSEYPERYYPGESQHEVMHH 190
NCU01051.1 149 PSS-EIYYAPGSYIYGVSMFHQLHCLDLIRRSFWRGHYFPNTSDAEYHDH 197
Fl1 Orf3 191 TNHCFDVLRQTVLCHGDISVVYWWNQNYTFVDQLGNR-RYTEEYLRLSPE 239
NCU01051.1 198 RAHCLDFLRQAIMGNGDVQMTYWWNKTYTYVDEDTKEERYTEEYLRMDKK 247
Fl1 Orf3 240 QRATGSFVKNDSKVQCRDMDA.TNAWAKANQVDDDKYGGQLVD }28
NCU01051.1 248 ERAYGTTLLWDVEHQCRSFERIQDWTRKYQLDEEYWREAPNFRKAEE 295
Appendix A9: Alignment of E. festucae FI1 Orf3 with the NCU01051.1 sequence
Alignment of the E. festucae FI1 Orf3 polypeptide with NCU01051.1. Identity between the sequences is indicated by black shading.
```


## APPENDIX

A10: Analysis of Nc25


## APPENDIX

## A11: MEME analysis for prt promoters

## Appendix 11.1: MEME analysis of E. festucae F11 prt promoters

MEME (Multiple Excitation Maximisation for Motif Elicitation) analysis of the E. festucae FI1 prt promoters was performed at the website http://meme.sdsc.edu/meme/website/meme.html (Bailey and Elkan, 1994). MEME analysis using an algorithm to recognise motifs (defined as a sequence pattern that occurs repeatedly in a group of DNA sequences) from a given subset of sequences.

The promoter regions from the prt1, prt2, prt3, prt4 and prt5 genes were submitted for MEME analysis. The distribution of motifs within the sequence was set as "any number of repetitions", with a maximum number of 25 motifs searched for. The minimum motif size was set to 5 bp , and the maximum size to 50 bp .

The 25 motifs discovered are indicated on the promoter sequences as shown in Figures 3.6, 3.12, $3.17,3.24$ and 3.29 . Motif numbers were entered, with common motifs having a particular colour to differentiate them from other motifs. Less common motifs were left uncoloured. A list and alignment of the motif sequences is shown in Appendix 11.2. The sequence alignments of the motifs are shown on the next four pages.

Appendix 11.2 MEME motifs

| MOTIF | - |  |  | Multilevel consensus sequence | AAAAAAAAAAAAGAAAAAAA C GGG A? CCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NAME | STRAND | START | P-VALUE |  | SITES |
| prt1 | + | 264 | $3.74 e^{-13}$ | CCACTITAAA | AAAAAAAAAAAA MAAAAAA GTGGCCAGG: |
| prt3 | - | 961 | 1.12e-12 | GCGAGTCAAA | AARAANAAAAAAAAAAAAAA GGCAßGGTTT |
| prt1 | - | 1044 | $4.97 e-10$ | CGAATTCGAG | AAA ${ }^{\text {a }}$ AAGGGAAAAAAAAAA CCCGTCTCCA |
| prt1 | - | 179 | 9.06e-10 | As?GCGAGGA | Agatandaxatagtadatac AAこCGAAAGA |
| prt3 | + | 1730 | $2.36 \pm 09$ | GCGTCGACGC | AAAAAAGAAGAAGAA AAAA CCAGCATCT? |
| prt1 | + | 1562 | $2.70 \mathrm{e}-09$ | TCTTGTTGGG | aganaianaiangtacttan gGgcccatga |
| prt1 | - | 223 | $1.57 \mathrm{e}-08$ | GCACGAGTGA | CGAGAAAAAAAAGTCACTAA FGTCATGTA: |
| prt2 | - | 1921 | $2.02 \mathrm{e}-08$ | ITCCACCACC | CGAAAATAAAAAAAACAATA CTCCGCGGGC |
| prt1 | - | 1122 | $2.89 \mathrm{e}-08$ | AARGGARATCC | AACGAAAG GAAAGAGACAAA GCCACCAAGC |
| pr1 | - | 783 | 7.34-08 | GCGACCG:GA |  |



## Appendix 11．2 MEME motifs

| MOTIF | $4:$ |  |  | Multilevel consensus sequence | CCTGGACCCTGTTCTC ？TTC TT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NAME | STRAND | START | P．VALUE |  | SITES |
| prt3 | － | 1700 | 7．06e－09 | CGACGCCATG | tcttgactttgitctc giantattit |
| prt2 | － | 124 | 9．06e－09 | CGTATCTCAG | CCttgactctattrtc atcatctagg |
| prt5 | － | 1699 | $4.620-08$ | GMAAGAMG：T | TCTGGACCTtGTtCtG GG：CG\％CTAT |
| prt5 | － | 1058 | 7．65e－08 | TTCCC＝GTCT | CCTATCCCCTGTTCTC ACGAGこTCAC |
| prt5 | － | 1084 | $1.32 \mathrm{e}-07$ | TCCTCEGTCC | CCTGTTCCCTGTTCTG TTCCCTGTCT |
| prt5 | － | 1105 | $212 e-07$ | －CCCGGAGAC | CCtGTCCCCTGTCCTC こGこCCCCTS\％ |
| prt2 | ＋ | 1437 | $2.30-07$ | CCTGGEGGCT | CCATGACTTTGTtCtt CAGATGGCCG |
| prts | ＋ | 326 | $9.03 \mathrm{e}-07$ | GTCTCTCG：T | CCtCGGatttgttttc igacacgant |


| MOTIF |  |  |  | Multilevel consensus sequence | atatatacatata C T C |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NAME | STRAND | START | P－VALUE |  | SITES |  |
| prt3 | ＋ | 1903 | 7．85e－09 | CTCTCTCTAT | Atatatatatata | tecatccatic |
| pre2 | － | 1127 | 7．85－09 | CCAGCATCGG | Atatatatatata | CCGGAGATGG |
| prt5 | ＋ | 747 | $7.10 \mathrm{e}-08$ | CICCCCGCAC | Atatatacacata | CGGCCGAGAG |
| prt1 | － | 744 | $1.31 \mathrm{e}-07$ | CGCAEAATAC | Atacatacatatc | ACJSACOTCT |


| MOTIF |  |  |  | Multilevel consensus sequence | $\begin{gathered} \text { GGCTTGCCGAGTGC} \\ C G C A T T: \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NAME | STRAND | START | P－VALUE |  | SITES |  |
| prt5 | $+$ | 834 | 4．78e－09 | A＇PCAATGGGL | GGCTTGCCGATCTGC | AAAAGGAGGC |
| prt5 | ＋ | 265 | $2.51 \mathrm{e}-08$ | CCATCCGTAC | GGCTTGCCGATTTGC | ACGLACGGCA |
| prt4 | － | 262 | $5.31 \mathrm{e}-07$ | GTTCCATATC | GCCATGCCGATCTTC | CCTCTGECAT |
| prts | ＋ | 1451 | $5.31 \mathrm{e}-07$ | TCTTGGGTCT | GGGTTGCCAACTTGC | TAGTAACCTA |
| prt4 | － | 1330 | $1.13 \mathrm{e}-06$ | AGGTAGATAA | GGCAAGCCAAGCTGC | CAACGTGAGG |
| prt2 | ＋ | 2281 | $1.13 e-06$ | AAAAGTCCTC | TGATTCCCAAGCTTC | AGGTGTCAAC |
| prt5 | － | 160 | 1．32e－06 | GATCAGGCCA | GGCTTCCCATCCTtC | CTTCCCCGCG |
| prt3 | － | 834 | $1.43 e-06$ | ALGATCAATC | GCGTACCCGAGCTTC | CCGGCGGCTC |
| prt2 | － | 1081 | $1.80 e 06$ | GCACGGAGAC | ACCTTCCCGATTTGC | ATGTGCATGA |
| prt5 | ＋ | 922 | $1.80 \mathrm{e}-06$ | CGGCCTCGGG | GAGTTTCCGAGCTTC | TTCGTGTATC |
| prt5 |  | $1022$ | $2.26 e-06$ | AGACTCTTCT | $\mathbf{T G C T T G C C G A G T T G A}$ | TGGAAGATTT |
| prt2 | ＋ | 786 | $3.16 e-06$ | CAAGACTTCA | GCATTTCCATGCTGC | AAAGAACTCA |

MOTIF 7：

| NAME STRAND START | P－VALUE |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| prt3 | - | 1500 | $6.74 \mathrm{e}-09$ |
| prt4 | + | 1600 | $1.35 \mathrm{e}-08$ |
| prt2 | + | 1776 | $2.62 \mathrm{e}-08$ |
| prt3 | + | 452 | $7.18 \mathrm{e}-08$ |
| prt5 | - | 1147 | $7.18 \mathrm{e}-08$ |
| prt3 | - | 853 | $3.25 \mathrm{e}-07$ |

Multilevel ATCGGCATCGACATC
consensus
sequence

## SITES

CACATGAAGA ATGGGCATCGACATC TTTCGAATTG AACTACCGGC ATCGGCATCATCATC A＝CATCATCA AAAGTCTTAC ATtGGCATCGGCATC TCATCTTGTG TTTGTTGGGG CTCAGCATCGACATC CAACACGTCG GGAGGGAGCA ATCGCCATCGACAAC CAGCCGCGTC
ACAACAGGCG AGCGGCATCACGATC AA：CGCGTAC

| MOTIF 8： |  |  |  | Multilevel consensus sequence | GTATCAA <br> TAC T | ATTCGCAGACATGTC <br> TC T C T <br> T C |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NAME | STRAND | START | P－VALUE |  |  | SITES |  |
| prt4 | ＋ | 53 | 6．32e－11 | ATAAGCACAA | GTCTCAA | ATtTGCAGACCTTTC | TGGCGGTAAG |
| prt2 | － | 1287 | 2．15e－09 | GCGCCGCCAT | TTATCAA | ATTCCCTGAAATCTC | ACACAAAごG |
| prt3 | － | 928 | $8.47 \mathrm{e}-09$ | CAAGGTTI＇GG | GAATCAA | ATTTGCTTGCATCTC | atcccecgea |
| prt5 | ＋ | 950 | $2.36 e-08$ | GTGTATCGAC | TTATCTA | ATTCGCAGCCTGTCC | AAFGGCAAAT |
| prt2 | ＋ | 893 | 4．07e－08 | CGTCTTTCAC | GGCGCAA | Attagcagactitatc | GACTCAAAAG |
| prt2 | ＋ | 627 | 5．03e－08 | CTCTGTTTGT | TAATCTA | ATTCCAAGACCTTGC | －＝TGTTMG\％G |
| prt4 | － | 733 | 6．65e－08 | CTGCCATCAT | TTATCAT | TTTCACACGCAGGTC | gtcactacat |
| prt2 | － | 732 | 7．14e－08 | GGTAT＇CAAGA | GTTTCAA | anatgcagacatgac | CCTCCTGGAG |

Appendix 11.2 MEME motifs




| MOTIF $13:$ |  |  |  | Multilevel consensus sequence | АААтАt tGAGAG $T$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NAME | STRAND | START | P-VALUE |  | SITES |  |
| prt1 | - | 1538 | 8.81e-08 | CAACAAGAGC | AAAtAttgtgag | Atcgggcegc |
| prt3 | + | 235 | 8.81e-08 | AACAGAATGA | AAATATtGAGMG | TAAGTGAGTG |


| MOTIF 14: |  |  |  | Multilevel consensus sequence | tanAACTTACCATAAG |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NAME | STRAND | START | P-VALUE |  | SITES |  |
| prt3 | + | 372 | 1.13e-09 | ACGCCACAGA | tantacttatcatang | gmgctictta |
| prt3 | + | 1579 | $2.37 e 09$ | TCGG:AここAG | tatangttaccatang | CCCAEGTTTC |

Appendix 11.2 MEME motifs

| MOTIF |  |  |  | Multilevel consensus sequence | $\underset{A}{\text { TTGTACTCCGTACT }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NAME | STRAND | START | P-VALUE |  | SITES |
| prt4 | + | 1171 | 7.23e-08 | AGAGGGCCGC | GTGtactccgtact cgccaccgan |
| prt2 | + | 264 | 1.09e-07 | Cagagagcag | ttgtactccgtaga gitggatatt |
| prt4 | + | 565 | $1.43 \mathrm{e}-07$ | atttggccat | тtttactccgtcct tcgcaggcet |
| prt3 | - | 1357 | $1.85 \mathrm{e}-07$ | a ${ }^{\text {atcctatcg }}$ | tagtattccgtant gGctetcgan |
| prt4 | + | 1059 | 3.04 e 07 | tGGCCGGCTA | ttgtactccgtcgi cgattgcatt |
| prt5 | - | 1360 | $5.00 \mathrm{e}-07$ | AGCAATCTCG | ttgtcctccganct CCtCCCTGAA |
| prt5 | + | 241 | $1.06 e 06$ | AAGTAGTTGC | tactagtccgtant ccatccgrac |


| MOTIF | 6: |  |  |  | Multilevel consensus sequence | ACtCAAAA |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NAME | STRAND | START | P-VALUE |  | SITES |  |
|  | prt2 | + | 2267 | $1.25 e 05$ | CCICTGAGAG | ACTCAAAA | G*CCTCIGAT |
|  | prt2 | + | 1483 | 1.25e-05 | CGICCCACCG | ACTCAAAA | GCCGGCsGTC |



| MOTIF | 18: |  |  | Multilevel consensus sequence | ACGCAATGTAGG |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NAME | STRAND | START | P-VALUE |  | SITES |
| prt5 | + | 1243 | 5.88e-08 | GtagGcantc | acgcaatgtagg cgacgeccce |
| prt4 | + | 113 | $1.18 \mathrm{e}-07$ | CAAAGTEGCA | ACGCtatgtagg tgactatcga |
| prt5 | + | 1226 | 4.01e-07 | GCCATGTTG: | aggcantgtagg castcaigca |
| prt2 | - | 582 | $1.03 \mathrm{e}-06$ | ACGATGACAA | acgegatatagg aggctgcgat |
| prt3 | + | 1193 | $1.85-06$ | CAAGTCGGTC | tcGcantgtatg tctcatgaca |
| prt1 | + | 127 | $2.65 \mathrm{e}-06$ | AATGACGACG | ACGttatgang ctgcagcagg |



| MOTIF 20: | Multilevel consensus sequence $\quad$ AATAGAT |
| :---: | :---: |
| NAME STRAND START P-VALUE  <br> $\mathrm{prt4}$ + 496 $4.76 \mathrm{e}-05$ <br> $\mathrm{pr1}$ - 923 $4.76 \mathrm{e}-05$ | SITES RTGCGAAGAG AATAGAT GGCAGCAGGC AСGC |



## Appendix 11.2 MEME motifs

| MOTIF 22: |  |  |  | Multilevel consensus sequence | ACATGTtGTtGCATGC $T$ T T |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NAME | STRAND | START | p-VALUE |  | SITES |
| prt2 | + | 1061 | 3.42e-10 | mattegag | acattttgtttcatgc micatgcaimt |
| prt3 | + | 1034 | 1.51e-09 | acacaltt | ACttettattgeatge titcgecgà |



| MOTIF 24: | Multilevel <br> consensus <br> sequence |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| NAME STRAND START P-VALUE |  |


| MOTIF 25: |  |  |  | Multilevel consensus sequence | tCgatactttc |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NAME STRAND START P-VALUE |  |  |  |  | SITES |  |
| prt2 | - | 531 | $9.70 \mathrm{e}-08$ | atgecatggg | tcgattactitc | agcgttegg |
| prt5 | + | 293 | $9.70 \mathrm{e}-08$ | cacggcacge | tcgatactitc | gactggagti |

## APPENDIX

A12: Raw data for assessing transgene copy number

## APPENDIX A12.1 Raw data for copy number analysis in pMM32 transformants

| $\mathrm{NO}^{\text {a }}$ | SAMPLE ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | PSL ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | PSL-BG ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | Ratio ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | \% of lane ${ }^{f}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dist } \\ (\mathrm{mm})^{\mathrm{g}} \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{RF}^{\text {h }}$ | $\mathbf{I N F}^{\prime}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | MM19.1 | 2738.69 | 2082.97 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 1.55 | 0.04 | S |
| 1 | MM8.1 | 5595.23 | 4470.03 | 100.00 | 28.96 | 2.80 | 0.08 | S |
| 2 | MM8.1 | 14667.61 | 10966.03 | 245.32 | 71.04 | 27.85 | 0.77 |  |
| 1 | MM8.2 | 7267.67 | 6864.90 | 100.00 | 1.65 | 2.60 | 0.07 | S |
| 2 | MM8.2 | 7236.34 | 6512.71 | 94.87 | 1.56 | 7.40 | 0.21 |  |
| 3 | MM8.2 | 4085.45 | 3676.91 | 53.56 | 0.88 | 16.60 | 0.46 |  |
| 4 | MM8.2 | 13627.25 | 12264.53 | 178.66 | 2.94 | 18.95 | 0.53 |  |
| 5 | MM8.2 | 430756.80 | 387681.12 | 5647.29 | 92.97 | 27.90 | 0.77 |  |
| 1 | MM8.3 | 7933.08 | 6849.97 | 100.00 | 13.55 | 2.45 | 0.07 | S |
| 2 | MM8.3 | 46850.13 | 43700.95 | 637.97 | 86.45 | 27.90 | 0.77 |  |
| 1 | MM8.4 | 6751.29 | 5345.20 | 100.00 | 20.65 | 2.30 | 0.06 | S |
| 2 | MM8.4 | 22772.52 | 20544.19 | 384.35 | 79.35 | 27.80 | 0.77 | 1 |
| 1 | MM8.5 | 8560.83 | 7079.73 | 100.00 | 2.47 | 1.95 | 0.04 | S |
| 2 | MM8.5 | 3809.34 | 3144.14 | 44.41 | 1.10 | 7.10 | 0.20 |  |
| 3 | MM8.5 | 282870.45 | 276420.59 | 3904.40 | 96.43 | 27.50 | 0.76 |  |
| 1 | MM8.6 | 10297.86 | 3135.04 | 100.00 | 2.61 | 1.70 | 0.05 | S |
| 2 | MM8.6 | 10588.08 | 8968.74 | 286.08 | 7.47 | 7.15 | 0.20 |  |
| 3 | MM8.6 | 15946.16 | 8870.72 | 282.95 | 7.39 | 12.30 | 0.34 |  |
| 4 | MM8.6 | 9837.91 | 7702.58 | 245.69 | 6.42 | 17.50 | 0.49 |  |
| 5 | MM8.6 | 100428.22 | 91329.26 | 2913.18 | 76.10 | 27.25 | 0.76 |  |
| 1 | MM8.7 | 7943.11 | 4794.47 | 100.00 | 12.36 | 1.40 | 0.04 | S |
| 2 | MM8.7 | 7059.57 | 4621.49 | 96.39 | 11.91 | 3.00 | 0.08 |  |
| 3 | MM8.7 | 34066.25 | 28128.04 | 586.68 | 72.49 | 26.50 | 0.74 | 1 |
| 4 | MM8.7 | 4750.83 | 1256.81 | 26.21 | 3.24 | 34.50 | 0.96 |  |
| 1 | MM8.8 | 9384.19 | 6422.66 | 100.00 | 20.81 | 1.50 | 0.04 | S |
| 2 | MM8.8 | 17706.01 | 16267.79 | 253.29 | 52.72 | 27.30 | 0.76 |  |
| 3 | MM8.8 | 9503.11 | 8165.95 | 127.14 | 26.46 | 30.25 | 0.8 |  |
| Samples shaded in yellow were selected for artificial inoculation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| identifier for the detected band for this sample <br> sample refers to the transformant strains analysed. The MM19.1 strain is wild type E. festucae Fl1 regenerated after protoplasting <br> PSL refers to the measurement scale used to measure signal intensity <br> ${ }^{-}$PSL-BG is the PSL reading minus background signal <br> - Ratio is the ratio of the PSL-BG signal for a particular band compared to the standard (in this case, the PSL-BG signa obtained for the wild type prt1 band) <br> \% of lane refers to the \% of the total signal for a lane that the signal for a particular band represents <br> Dist ( mm ) refers to the distance (in mm ) that a particular DNA fragment is located at from a common start point RF shows the relative distance from the start point for a particular DNA fragment (where the whole length of the lblo is set to 1) <br> This lane gives information about whether a particular band is at the wild-type genomic size ( S , used as the standard or at the expected size of the copies containing intact PgpdA-prt1 coding regions (1). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

APPENDIX A12.2 Raw data for copy number analysis in pMM33 transformants

| $\mathrm{NO}^{\text {a }}$ | SAMPLE ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | PSL ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | PSL-BG ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | Ratio ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | \% of <br> lane | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dist } \\ (\mathrm{mm})^{\mathrm{g}} \end{gathered}$ | RF ${ }^{\text {h }}$ | $\mathrm{INF}^{\text {' }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | MM19.1 | 1633.43 | 1131.01 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 2.70 | 0.09 | S |
| 1 | MM9.1 | 4020.07 | 3089.55 | 100.00 | 48.53 | 2.95 | 0.10 | S |
| 2 | MM9.1 | 4067.52 | 3276.90 | 106.06 | 51.47 | 25.25 | 0.85 | I |
| 1 | MM9.2 | 5852.21 | 5220.62 | 100.00 | 15.24 | 4.40 | 0.13 | S |
| 2 | MM9.2 | 31663.08 | 29043.36 | 556.32 | 84.76 | 26.65 | 0.81 | 1 |
| 1 | MM9.3 | 6331.07 | 1924.78 | 389.50 | 1.98 | 1.15 | 0.04 |  |
| 2 | MM9.3 | 3552.04 | 494.17 | 100.00 | 0.51 | 3.95 | 0.12 | S |
| 3 | MM9.3 | 3953.15 | 962.63 | 194.80 | 0.99 | 5.65 | 0.17 |  |
| 4 | MM9.3 | 3034.90 | 1176.79 | 238.14 | 1.21 | 9.30 | 0.29 |  |
| 5 | MM9.3 | 6324.55 | 1967.49 | 398.14 | 2.02 | 13.20 | 0.41 |  |
| 6 | MM9.3 | 5337.01 | 1565.36 | 316.77 | 1.61 | 16.05 | 0.50 |  |
| 7 | MM9.3 | 98162.64 | 89174.92 | 18046.00 | 91.68 | 26.25 | 0.81 | 1 |
| 1 | MM9.4 | 7106.24 | 5004.88 | 100.00 | 22.54 | 4.95 | 0.14 | S |
| 2 | MM9.4 | 19938.80 | 17203.97 | 343.74 | 77.46 | 27.50 | 0.76 | 1 |
| 1 | MM9.5 | 5292.78 | 1307.06 | 100.00 | 0.34 | 4.05 | 0.11 | S |
| 2 | MM9.5 | 4586.46 | 682.01 | 52.18 | 0.18 | 5.55 | 0.15 |  |
| 3 | MM9.5 | 9158.46 | 2786.66 | 213.20 | 0.73 | 12.20 | 0.33 |  |
| 4 | MM9.5 | 9814.34 | 6300.06 | 482.00 | 1.64 | 20.05 | 0.54 |  |
| 5 | MM9.5 | 431885.00 | 361460.21 | 27654.00 | 94.30 | 28.60 | 0.77 | 1 |
| 6 | MM9.5 | 44593.50 | 10773.52 | 824.26 | 2.81 | 33.50 | 0.90 |  |

Samples shaded in yellow were selected for artificial inoculations into plants
identifier for the detected band for this sample
sample refers to the transformant strains analysed. The MM19.1 strain is wild type E. festucae Fl1 regenerated after protoplasting
PSL refers to the measurement scale used to measure signal intensity
PSL-BG is the PSL reading minus background signal
${ }^{\circ}$ Ratio is the ratio of the PSL-BG signal for a particular band compared to the standard (in this case, the PSL-BG signal obtained for the wild type prt1 band)
\% of lane refers to the \% of the total signal for a lane that the signal for a particular band represents
Dist ( mm ) refers to the distance (in mm ) that a particular DNA fragment is located at from a common start point
RF shows the relative distance from the start point for a particular DNA fragment (where the whole length of the \blot is set to 1)
This lane gives information about whether a particular band is at the wild-type genomic size ( S , used as the standard) or at the expected size of the copies containing intact P/tmM-prt1 coding regions (I).


| APPENDIX A12.4 Raw data for copy number analysis in pMM27 transformants |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{NO}^{\text {a }}$ | SAMPLE ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $L^{\text {AU }}{ }^{\text {c }}$ | LAU-BG ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | Ratio ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | \% of lane ${ }^{\dagger}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dist } \\ (\mathrm{mm})^{\mathrm{g}} \end{gathered}$ | RF ${ }^{\text {h }}$ | INF ${ }^{\text {' }}$ |
| 1 | MM19.1 | 35775.02 | 21029.98 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 4.15 | 0.06 | S |
| 1 | MM5.1 | 37767.88 | 14277.20 | 100.00 | 17.61 | 2.60 | 0.04 | S |
| 2 | MM5.1 | 96483.78 | 66809.73 | 467.95 | 82.39 | 32.50 | 0.50 | 1 |
| 1 | MM5.2 | 36919.86 | 7340.12 | 100.00 | 11.53 | 3.10 | 0.05 | S |
| 2 | MM5.2 | 92351.92 | 56346.56 | 767.65 | 88.47 | 32.90 | 0.50 | 1 |
| 1 | MM5.3 | 32089.13 | 9342.02 | 100.00 | 12.25 | 2.65 | 0.04 | S |
| 2 | MM5.3 | 24879.46 | 9526.07 | 101.97 | 12.49 | 7.50 | 0.12 |  |
| 3 | MM5.3 | 93225.29 | 57380.00 | 614.21 | 75.25 | 32.95 | 0.51 | I |
| 1 | MM5.4 | 27141.75 | 9219.90 | 100.00 | 3.29 | 2.55 | 0.04 | S |
| 2 | MM5.4 | 41656.85 | 14289.57 | 153.79 | 5.06 | 16.20 | 0.25 |  |
| 3 | MM5.4 | 308941.79 | 258958.39 | 2786.93 | 91.65 | 32.60 | 0.50 | I |
| 1 | MM5.5 | 28154.68 | 9845.46 | 100.00 | 9.97 | 2.30 | 0.04 | S |
| 2 | MM5.5 | 49582.38 | 20893.25 | 212.21 | 21.16 | 25.90 | 0.40 |  |
| 3 | MM5.5 | 81989.17 | 50463.30 | 512.55 | 51.11 | 32.25 | 0.50 | 1 |
| 4 | MM5.5 | 40371.80 | 17523.75 | 177.99 | 17.75 | 49.50 | 0.76 |  |
| 1 | MM5.6 | 12354.38 | 2008.70 | 100.00 | 0.92 | 2.15 | 0.03 | S |
| 2 | MM5.6 | 321181.89 | 216589.70 | 10782.58 | 99.08 | 32.40 | 0.50 | 1 |
| 1 | MM5.7 | 32878.32 | 7390.24 | 100.68 | 27.08 | 2.60 | 0.04 | S |
| 2 | MM5.7 | 45523.63 | 19897.46 | 271.07 | 72.92 | 32.95 | 0.51 | 1 |
| 1 | MM5.8 | 27856.62 | 7743.58 | 100.00 | 2.18 | 3.20 | 0.05 | S |
| 2 | MM5.8 | 432433.68 | 347796.23 | 4491.41 | 97.82 | 32.90 | 0.50 | 1 |
| 1 | MM5.9 | 48396.29 | 22649.65 | 100.00 | 36.76 | 3.05 | 0.05 | S |
| 2 | MM5.9 | 63269.92 | 38963.40 | 172.03 | 63.24 | 32.00 | 0.50 | 1 |
| 1 | MM5.10 | 45667.15 | 28316.19 | 100.00 | 4.42 | 3.35 | 0.05 | S |
| 2 | MM5.10 | 32503.63 | 15110.16 | 53.36 | 2.36 | 0.50 | 0.13 |  |
| 3 | MM5.10 | 76477.15 | 42249.94 | 147.21 | 6.60 | 18.50 | 0.28 |  |
| 4 | MM5.10 | 16969.38 | 6100.71 | 21.54 | 0.95 | 26.10 | 0.40 |  |
| 5 | MM5.10 | 606697.58 | 548769.26 | 1938.01 | 85.67 | 33.55 | 0.51 | I |
| 1 | MM5.11 | 46145.04 | 24329.97 | 100.00 | 15.91 | 3.90 | 0.06 | S |
| 2 | MM5.11 | 38848.39 | 6867.87 | 28.23 | 4.49 | 17.10 | 0.26 |  |
| 3 | MM5.11 | 152669.92 | 109958.07 | 451.94 | 71.91 | 34.10 | 0.52 | I |
| 4 | MM5.11 | 38548.87 | 11759.19 | 48.33 | 7.69 | 41.30 | 0.63 |  |
| 1 | MM5.12 | 45268.79 | 25590.08 | 100.00 | 5.28 | 4.25 | 0.07 | S |
| 2 | MM5.12 | 45268.79 | 14548.17 | 56.85 | 3.00 | 7.20 | 0.11 |  |
| 3 | MM5.12 | 488369.29 | 444442.31 | 1736.78 | 91.72 | 34.25 | 0.53 | 1 |
| Samples shaded in yellow were selected for artificial inoculations into plants |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{5}$ sample refers to the transformant strains analysed. The MM19.1 strain is wild type $E$. festucae F11 regenerated after protoplasting |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\text {c }}$ LAU refers to the measurement scale used to measure signal intensity <br> ${ }^{d}$ LAU-BG is the LAU reading minus background signal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\circ}$ Ratio is the ratio of the LAU-BG signal for a particular band compared to the standard (in this case, the LAU-BG signa obtained for the wild type prt2 band) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ' \% of lane refers to the \% of the total signal for a lane that the signal for a particular band represents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{9}$ Dist ( mm ) refers to the distance (in mm ) that a particular DNA fragment is located at from a common start point |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| n RF shows the relative distance from the start point for a particular DNA fragment (where the whole length of the lblot is set to 1) <br> This lane gives information about whether a particular band is at the wild-type genomic size ( S , used as the standard) or at the expected size of the copies containing intact P/tmM-prt2 coding regions (1). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## APPENDIX

A13: Sequences used in phylogenetic analysis

| APPENDIX A13.1 Nucleotide sequences used in rRNA phylogenetic analysis |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SPECIES | PHYLUM | CLASS | ORDER | FAMILY | ACCESSION |
| Rhizopus oryzae | Zygomycota |  |  |  | AY213685 |
| Cryptococcus neoformans | Basidiomycota | Heterobasidiomycetes | Tremellates | Tremellaceae | AJ876598 |
| Pseudohydnum gelatinosum | Basidiomycota | Heterobasidiomycetes | Auriculariales | Hyaloriaceae | AF384861 |
| Pleurotus ostreatus | Basidiomycota | Homobasidiomycetes | Agaricales | Pleurotaceae | AY540332 |
| Coprinus cinereus | Basidiomycota | Homobasidiomycetes | Agaricales | Agaricaceae | AB097562 |
| Phanerochaete chrysosporium | Basidiomycota | Homobasidiomycetes | Agaricales | Agaricaceae |  |
| Ustilago maydis | Basidiomycota | Ustilaginomycetes | Ustilaginales | Ustilaginaceae | AY854090 |
| Tilletiopsis albescens | Basidiomycota | Ustilaginomycetes | - | . | AB025697 |
| Saccharomyces cerevisiae | Ascomycota | Saccharomycetes | Saccharomycetales | Saccharomycetaceae | SCE275936 |
| Yarrowia lipolytica | Ascomycota | Saccharomycetes | Saccharomycetales | Dipodascaceae | DQ249205 |
| Hyaloria brevisipitis | Ascomycota | Orbiliomycetes | Orbiliales | Orbiliaceae | AY514636 |
| Arthrobotrys oligospora | Ascomycota | Orbiliomycetes | Orbiliales | Orbiliaceae | AY773462 |
| Monacrosporium leptospermum | Ascomycota | Orbiliomycetes | Orbiliales | Orbiliaceae | AY773466 |
| Verticillium dahliae | Ascomycota | Sordariomycetes | Phyllachorales | mitosporic Phyllachorales | DQ282123 |
| Ophiostoma piliferum | Ascomycota | Sordariomycetes | Ophiostomatales | Ophiostomataceae | AY934516 |
| Leptographium truncatum | Ascomycota | Sordariomycetes | Ophiostomatales | mitosporic Ophiostomaceae | AY935625 |
| Trichoderma asperellum | Ascomycota | Sordariomycetes | Hypocreales | Hypocreaceae | AY667149 |
| Trichoderma reesei | Ascomycota | Sordariomycetes | Hypocreales | Hypocreaceae | scaffold 862 |
| Trichoderma harzianum | Ascomycota | Sordariomycetes | Hypocreales | Hypocreaceae | AF278793 |
| Trichoderma virens | Ascomycota | Sordariomycetes | Hypocreales | Hypocreaceae | DQ083023 |
| Atkinsonella hypoxylon | Ascomycota | Sordariomycetes | Hypocreales | Clavicipitaceae | AHU57405 |
| Epichloe festucae | Ascomycota | Sordariomycetes | Hypocreales | Clavicipitaceae | 107139 |
| Epichloe typhina | Ascomycota | Sordariomycetes | Hypocreales | Clavicipitaceae | L07132 |
| Claviceps purpurea | Ascomycota | Sordariomycetes | Hypocreales | Clavicipitaceae | DQ119114 |
| Verticillium chlamydosporium | Ascomycota | Sordariomycetes | Hypocreales | Clavicipitaceae | A J 291800 |
| Metamizium anisopliae | Ascomycota | Sordariomycetes | Hypocreales | Clavicipitaceae | AB027383 |
| Verticillium fungicola | Ascomycota | Sordariomycetes | Hypocreales | Clavicipitaceae | AB107135 |
| Cordyceps bassiana | Ascomycota | Sordariomycetes | Hypocreales | Clavicipitaceae | DQ364698 |
| Fusarium graminearum | Ascomycota | Sordariomycetes | Hypocreales | Nectriaceae | G578P60193PB7. T0 |
| Magnaporthe grisea | Ascomycota | Sordariomycetes | Sordariomycetes incertae sedis | Magnaporthaceae | AM180561 |
| Gauemannomyces graminis | Ascomycota | Sordariomycetes | Sordariomycetes incertae sedis | Magnaporthaceae | AJ010034 |
| Neurospora crassa | Ascomycota | Sordariomycetes | Sordariales | Sordariaceae | M13906 |
| Podospora anserina | Ascomycota | Sordariomycetes | Sordariales | Lasiosphaeriaceae | from genome |
| Chaetomium globosum | Ascomycota | Sordariomycetes | Sordariales | Chaetomiaceae | OQ266046 |
| Stagonospora nodorum | Ascomycota | Dothideomycetes | Pleosporales | Phaesphaeriaceae | G707P6863FD10.TO |
| Cochliobolus carbonum | Ascomycota | Dothideomycetes | Pleosporales | Pleosporaceae | AF071326 |
| Leptosphaeria maculans | Ascomycota | Dothideomycetes | Pleosporales | Leptosphaeriaceae | DQ133891 |
| Coccidioides immits | Ascomycota | Eurotiomycetes | Onygenales | mitosporic Onygenales | AB232891 |
| Aspergillus nidulans | Ascomycota | Eurotiomycetes | Eurotiales | Trichocomaceae | AF138289 |
| Aspergillus fumigatus | Ascomycota | Eurotiomycetes | Eurotiales | Trichocomaceae | DQ325450 |
| Penicillium paxilli | Ascomycota | Eurotiomycetes | Eurotiales | Trichocomaceae | AF033426 |
| Pyrenopeziza brassicae | Ascomycota | Leotiomycetes | Helotiales | Dermateaceae | AJ305236 |
| Sclerotinia sclerotionum | Ascomycota | Leotiomycetes | Helotiales | Sclerotiniaceae | DQ117969 |
| Botrytis cinerea | Ascomycota | Leotiomycetes | Helotiales | Sclerotiniaceae | 273765 |

APPENDIX A13.2 Polypeptide sequences used in Prt1, Prt2, Prt3 and Prt5 phylogenetic analysis

| Species | Protein name | Accession number | Contig? | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C. cinereus | - | - | 1.277B | Hu and St Leger (2004) |
| C. cinereus | - | - | 1.277A | Hu and St Leger (2004) |
| C. cinereus | - | - | 1.62 | Hu and St Leger (2004) |
| C. cinereus | - | - | 1.39B | Hu and St Leger (2004) |
| M. grisea | MG10449.4 | XP 366230 | 2.2008 | Hu and St Leger (2004) |
| F. graminearum | FG08012.1 | XP 388188 | 1.323 | Hu and St Leger (2004) |
| A. chrysogenum | CahB | CAB87194 | - | Velasco et al. (2001) |
| F. graminearum | FG02976.1 | XP 383152 | 1.144 | Hu and St Leger (2004) |
| F. oxysporum | Prt1 | AAC27316 | - | Di Pietro et al. (2001) |
| T. album | Proteinase T | P20015 | - | Samal et al. (1989) |
| M. anisopliae | PriK | CAC07219 | - | Bagga et al. (2004) |
| E. festucae F/1 | Prt5 | - | - | This study |
| N. Iolii Lp19 | Prt5 | - | - | This study |
| M. anisopliae | Pr1G | CAD24291 | - | Bagga et al. (2004) |
| $P$. anserina | - | - - | 2663 | http://podospora.igmors.u-psud.fr/index.html |
| M. grisea | MG08966.4 | XP 364121 | 2.1683 | Hu and St Leger (2004) |
| N. crassa | NCU07159.1 | XP 327445 | 3.416 | Galagan et al. (2003); Hu and St Leger (2004) |
| P. anserina | - | - | 508 | http://podospora.igmors.u-psud.fr/index.html |
| $P$. anserina | - | - | 151 | http://podospora.igmors.u-psud.fr/index.html |
| M. anisopliae | Pr1B | CAC95044 | - | Bagga et al. (2004) |
| E. festucae F/1 | Prt3 | - | - | This study |
| N. Iolii Lp19 | Prt3 | - | - | This study |
| E. typhina | At1 | AAB62277 | - | Reddy et al. (1996) |
| M. anisopliae | Pr11 | CAC95043 | - | Bagga et al. (2004) |
| N. Iolii Lp19 | Prt2 | - | - | This study |
| E. festucae FI1 | Prt2 | - | - | This study |
| M. anisopliae | Pr1A (Pr1) | P29138 | - | Bagga et al. (2004); St Leger et al. (1992) |
| T. album | Proteinase R | P23653\| | - | Samal et al. (1990) |
| T. album | Proteinase K | P06873 | - | Gunkel and Gassen (1989) |
| F. graminearum | FG10712.1 | XP 390888 | 1.446 | Hu and St Leger (2004) |
| F. graminearum | FG10595.1 | XP 390771 | 1.444 | (Hu and St Leger, 2004) |
| S. cerevisiae | YCR045c |  | - | Hu and St Leger (2004) |
| M. grisea | MG06558.4 | XP 370043 | 2.1218 | Hu and St Leger (2004) |
| M. grisea | MG02863.4 | XP 366787 | 2.583 | Hu and St Leger (2004) |
| M. grisea | MG07965.4 | XP 368061 | 2.1479 | Hu and St Leger (2004) |
| F. graminearum | FG03315.1 | XP 383491 | 1.151 | Hu and St Leger (2004) |
| T. virens | Tvsp1 | AAO63588 | - | Pozo et al. (2004) |
| T. harzianum | Prb1 | Q03420 | - | Geremia et al. (1993) |
| A. chrysogenum | Alp | P29118 | - | Isogai et al. (1991) |
| A. nidulans | AN5558.2 | XP 409695 | 1.95 | Hu and St Leger (2004) |
| A. fumigatus | Serine proteinase | P28296 | - | Kolattukudy et al. (1993) |
| M. anisopliae | Pr1J | CAC95041 | - | Bagga et al. (2004) |
| N. crassa | NCU06949.1 | XP_327235 | 3.404 | Galagan et al. (2003); Hu and St Leger (2004) |
| F. graminearum | FG10525.1 | XP 390701 | 1.441 | Hu and St Leger (2004) |
| $P$. anserina | - | - | 742 | http://podospora.igmors.u-psud.fr/index.html |
| M. anisopliae | Pr1D | CAC98215 | - | Bagga et al. (2004) |
| F. graminearum | FG00806.1 | XP 380982 | 1.35 | Hu and St Leger (2004) |
| $F$. graminearum | FG11405.1 | XP 391581 | 1.467 | Hu and St Leger (2004) |
| F.graminearum | FG08464.1 | XP 388640 | 1.34 | Hu and St Leger (2004) |
| M. anisopliae | Pr1F | CAD68050 | - | Bagga et al. (2004) |
| M. anisopliae | Pr1E | CAD68049 | - | Bagga et al. (2004) |
| N. Iolii Lp19 | Prt1 | - | - | This study |
| E. festucae F/1 | Prt1 | - | - | This study |
| $P$. anserina | - | - | 2229 | http://podospora.igmors.u-psud.fr/index.html |
| A. oligospora | Pll | CAA63841 | - | Ahman et al. (1996) |
| A oligospora | A0z1 | AAM93666 | - | Zhao et al. (2004) |
| F. graminearum | FG09382.1 | XP 389558 | 1.383 | Hu and St Leger (2004) |
| N. crassa | NCU06055.1 | XP 325910 | 3.351 | Galagan et al. (2003); Hu and St Leger (2004) |
| $P$. anserina | - | - | 1086 | http://podospora.igmors.u-psud.fr/index.html |

APPENDIX 13.3 Polypeptide sequences used in Prt4 phylogenetic analysis

| Species | Protein name | Accession <br> number | Contig? | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S. pombe | ISP6 | P40903 | - | Sato et al. (1994) |
| P. chrysosporium | - | - | Scaffold 13 | - |
| C. cinereus | - | - | $1.39 A$ | Hu and St Leger (2004) |
| U. maydis | UM04400.1 | XP_402015 |  | http://www.broad.mit.edu/annotation/fungi/ustilago_maydis/ |
| C. neoformans <br> serotype $A$ | - |  | 1.94 | Hu and St Leger (2004) |
| S. pombe | Psp3 <br> SPAC1006.01 | Q9UTS0 | - | Wood et al. (2002) |
| S. cerevisiae | Prb1 | NP_010854 | - | Moehle et al. (1987); Takeshige et al. (1992) |
| S. cerevisiae | Ysp3 | P25036 | - | Sterky et al. (1996) |
| P. oxalicum | Pen o 18 | AAG44478 | - | Shen et al. (2001) |
| A. nidulans | AN0238.2 | XP_404375 | 1.5 | Reichard et al. (2000) |
| A. fumigatus | ALP2 | CAB45520 | - | Frederick et al. (1993) |
| A. niger | PepC | P33295 | - | Fukiya et al. (2002) |
| M. grisea | Spm1 | P58371 | 2.715 | Galagan et al. (2003) |
| P. anserina | PspA | AAC03564 | 1035 | Paoletti et al. (2001); Pinan-Lucarre et al. (2003) |
| N. crassa | NCU00673.1 | XP_324853 | 3.23 | Gal |
| F. graminearum | FG00192.1 | XP_380368 | 1.8 | Hu and St Leger (2004) |
| M. anisopliae | Pr1H | CAD13274 | - | Bagga et al. (2004) |
| E. festucae Fl1 | Prt4 | - | - | This study |
| P. brassicae | Psp2 | CAC85639 | - | Keniry et al. (2002) |
| O. piliferum | - | AAL08510 | - | Hoffman and Breuil (2002) |



| APPENDIX 13.5 |  | ptide se | enc | used in Gcn1 phylogenetic analysis |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species | Protein name | Accession number | Contig | Reference |
| T. harzianum | AGN13.1 | CAC80493 | - | Ait-Lahsen et al. (2001) |
| U. maydis | UM04357.1 | XP_760504 | 1.154 | http://www.broad.mit.edu/annotation/fungi/ustilago_maydis/ |
| T. harzianum | P1 | CAC80490 | - | Montero et al. (2005) |
| M. grisea | MG02126.4 | XP_365424 | - | http://www.broad.mit.edu/annotation/fungi/magnaporthe/ |
| N. crassa | Neg1 (NCU04935.1) |  | - | Oyama et al. (2002) |
| A. fumigatus | Afu8g07120 | XP_747510 | - | - |
| T. harzianum | P2 | CAC80492 | - | Montero et al. (2005) |
| S. nodorum | SNU10661.1 | - | 1.17 | http://www.broad.mit.edu/annotation/fungi/stagonospora_n odorum/ |
| M. grisea | MG07846.4 | XP_367942 | 2.1455 | http://www.broad.mit.edu/annotation/fungi/magnaporthe/ |
| F. graminearum | FG03918.1 | XP_384094 | 1.168 | http://www.broad.mit.edw/annotation/fungi/fusarium/ |
| F. graminearum | FG07617.1 | XP_387793 | 1.315 | http://www.broad.mit.edwannotation/fungi/fusarium/ |
| A. fumigatus | Afu7g05610 | XP_748948 | - | - |
| M. grisea | MG09433.4 | XP_364493 | 2.1808 | http://www.broad.mit.edu/annotation/fungi/magnaporthe/ |
| S. nodorum | SNU06608.1 | - - | 1.9 | http://www.broad.mit.edu/annotation/fungi/stagonospora_n odorum/ |
| S. nodorum | SNU14269.1 | - | 1.3 | http://www.broad.mit.edu/annotation/fungi/stagonospora_n odorum/ |
| C. carbonum | Exg2 | AAF65310 | - | Kim et al. (2002) |
| S. nodorum | SNU00606.1 | - | 1.1 | http://www.broad.mit.edu/annotation/fungi/stagonospora_n odorum/ |
| A. nidulans | AN4052.2 | XP 408189 | 1.65 | http://www.broad.mit.edu/annotation/fungi/aspergillus/ |
| A. oryzae | HGT-BG | CAD97460 | - | - |
| F. graminearum | FG01596.1 | XP_381772 | 1.84 | http://www.broad.mit.edw/annotation/fungi/fusarium/ |
| A. fumigatus | Afu2g09350 | XP_755269 | - | - |
| A. nidulans | AN3777.2 | XP_407914 | 1.61 | http://www.broad.mit.edu/annotation/fungi/aspergillus/ |
| S. nodorum | SNU12534.1 | - |  | http://www.broad.mit.edu/annotation/fungi/stagonospora_no dorum/ |
| V. fungicola | VfGlu1 | AAO63562 | - | Amey et al. (2003) |
| Acremonium sp. OXF C13 | Bgn6. 1 | AAT97707 | - | - |
| T. virens | Bgn3 | AAL84696 | - | Kim et al. (2002) |
| T. harzianum | BGN16.1 | CAA55789 | - | Lora et al. (1995) |
| F. graminearum | FG08265.1 | XP_388441 | 1.348 | http://www.broad.mit.edu/annotation/fungi/fusarium/ |
| Neotyphodium sp. FCB2002 | beta-1,6glucanase | AAN04103 | - | Moy et al. (2002) |
| N. Iolii Lp19 | Gcn1 | - | - | This study |
| E. festucae F/1 | Gcn1 | - | - | This study |

APPENDIX 13.6 Polypeptide sequences used in Cyc1 phylogenetic analysis

| Species | Protein name | Accession number | Contig? | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S. cerevisiae | Pcl1p | NP_014110 | - | Espinoza et al. (1994) |
| S. cerevisiae | Ctk2p | NP_012528 | - | Sterner et al. (1995) |
| S. pombe | SPBC530.13 | NP_595326 | - | http://www.genedb.org/genedb/pombe/index.jsp |
| A. nidulans | AN5158.2* | - | 1.88 | http://www.broad.mit.edu/annotation/fungi/aspergillus/ |
| F. graminearum | FG04981.1 | XP_385157 | 1.200 | http://www.broad.mit.edu/annotation/fungi/fusarium/ |
| E. festucae F/1 | Cyc1 | - | - | This study |
| M. grisea | MG06833.4 | XP_370336 | 2.1272 | http://www.broad. mit.edu/annotation/fungi/magnaporthe/ |
| N. crassa | NCU04495.2 | XP_323848 | 7.2 | Galagan et al. (2003) |
| S. pombe | Srb 11 | CAA22680 | - | Balciunas and Ronne (1999) |
| S. cerevisiae | Ume3p | NP 014373 | - | Cooper et al. (1997) |
| A. nidulans | AN2172.2 | XP_406309 | 1.34 | http://www.broad.mit.edu/annotation/fungi/aspergillus/ |
| M. grisea | MG01071.4 | XP_368173 | 2.189 | http://www.broad. mit.edu/annotation/fungi/magnaporthe/ |
| N. crassa | NCU01563.2 | XP_328002 | 7.5 | Galagan et al. (2003) |
| G. moniliformis | Fic1 | AAK30047 | - | Shim and Woloshuk (2001) |
| F. graminearum | FG04355.1 | XP_384531 | 1.189 | http://www.broad.mit.edu/annotation/fungi/fusarium/ |
| S. cerevisiae | Cclip | NP_015350 | - | Svejstrup et al. (1996) |
| S. pombe | Mcs2 | NP_595776 | - | Damagnez et al. (1995) |
| A. nidulans | AN2212.2 | XP_406349 | 1.35 | http://www.broad.mit.edu/annotation/fungi/aspergillus/ |
| N. crassa | NCU01067.2 | XP_326560 | 7.15 | Galagan et al. (2003) |
| F. graminearum | FG02126.1 | XP_382302 | 1.111 | http://www.broad.mit.edw/annotation/fungi/fusarium/ |
| M. grisea | MG05536.4* | - | 2.1019 | http://www.broad. mit.edu/annotation/fungi/magnaporthe/ |
| A. nidulans | AN4981.2 | XP 409118 | 1.84 | http://www.broad.mit.edu/annotation/fungi/aspergillus/ |
| S. pombe | Pch1 | CAA19367 | - | Furnari et al. (1997) |
| N. crassa | NCU03196.2 | XP_330632 | 7.9 | Galagan et al. (2003) |
| M. grisea | MG01258.4 | XP_363332 | 2.228 | http://www.broad. mit edu/annotation/fungi/magnaporthe/ |
| F. graminearum | FG00467.1 | XP_380643 | 1.19 | http://www.broad.mit.edw/annotation/fungi/fusarium/ |
| S. pombe | SPAC1296.05c | NP_593045 | - | http://www.genedb.org/genedb/pombe/index.jsp |
| A. nidulans | AN7719.2 | XP_411856 | 1.131 | http://www.broad.mit.edu/annotation/fungi/aspergillus/ |
| M. grisea | MG01347.4 | XP_363421 | 2.244 | http///www.broad.mit.edu/annotation/fungi/magnaporthe/ |
| F. graminearum | FG06186.1 | XP_386362 | 1.247 | http://www.broad.mit.edu/annotation/fungi/fusarium/ |
| N. crassa | NCU06979.2 | XP_327265 | 7.35 | Galagan et al. (2003) |

* These sequences were edited compared to the protein sequences encoded by genes identified by FGENESH genome analysis. These putative genes appeared to contain exons from more than one gene, so the protein sequences were edited so they only contained sequence from the cyclin domains

| Species | Protein name | Accession number | Contig? | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S. cerevisiae | Ptc1p | S41854 | - | Maeda et al. (1993) |
| Homo sapiens sapiens | Cdc14A | Q9UNH5 | - | Wong et al. (1999) |
| Mus musculus | Cdc14A | AAH72644 | - | Strausberg et al. (2002) |
| S. pombe | Flp1 | Q9P7H1 | - | Cueille et al. (2001) |
| S. cerevisiae | Cdc14p | NP_116684 | - | Taylor et al. (1997) |
| A. nidulans | AN5057.2 | XP_409194 | 1.85 | http://www.broad.mit.edu/annotation/fungi/aspergillus/ |
| N. crassa | NCU03246.2 | XP_330682 | 7.9 | Galagan et al. (2003) |
| F. graminearum | FG00543.1 | XP_380179 | 1.22 | http://www.broad. mit.edu/annotation/fungi/fusarium/ |
| M. grisea | MG04637.4 | XP_362192 | 2.870 | http://www.broad.mit. edu/annotation/fungi/magnaporthe/ |
| Homo sapiens sapiens | Dusp16/MKP-7 | AAH42101 | - | Masuda et al. (2001) |
| S. cerevisiae | Tep1p | NP_014271 | - | Heymont et al. (2000) |
| S. pombe | Pmp1p | 013453 | - | Sugiura et al. (1998) |
| N. crassa | NCU06252.2 | XP_326107 | 7.25 | Galagan et al. (2003) |
| F. graminearum | FG06977.1 | XP_387153 | 1.287 | http://www.broad.mit.edu/annotation/fungi/fusarium/ |
| S. cerevisiae | Msg5p | BAA04485 | - | Doi et al. (1994) |
| S. cerevisiae | Sdp1p | NP_012153 | - | Hahn and Thiele (2002) |
| S. cerevisiae | Pps1p | NP_009835 | - | Emsting and Dixon (1997) |
| A. nidulans | AN0192.2 | XP_404266 | 1.5 | http://www.broad.mit.edu/annotation/fungi/aspergilhus/ |
| M. grisea | MG03130.4 | XP_360587 | 2.625 | http://www.broad.mit. edu/annotation//ungi/magnaporthe/ |
| F. graminearum | FG04296.1 | XP_384472 | 1.185 | http://www.broad.mit.edu/annotation/fungi/fusarium/ |
| N. crassa | NCU03426.2 | XP_322684 | 7.8 | Galagan et al. (2003) |
| M. grisea | MG08005.4 | XP_362422 | 2.1485 | http://www.broad.mit.edu/annotation/fungi/magnaporthe/ |
| E. festucae FI1 | Ptn1 | - | - | This study |
| F. graminearum | FG04982.1 | XP_385158 | 1.200 | http://www.broad.mit.edu/annotation/fungi/fusarium/ |
| N. crassa | NCU06969.2 | XP_327255 | 7.35 | Galagan et al. (2003) |
| $P$. anserina | - | - | 454/455 | http://podospora.igmors.u-psud.fr/index.html |
| S. pombe | Ptn1p | CAA22831 | - | Mitra et al. (2004) |
| Homo sapiens sapiens | PTEN | NP_000305 | - | Li et al. (1997) |
| D. melanogaster | PTEN | AAF23236 | - | Smith et al. (1999) |
| A. nidulans | AN4419.2 | XP_408556 | 1.76 | http://www.broad.mit.edu/annotation/fungi/aspergil/us/ |
| F. graminearum | FG10516.1 | XP_390692 | 1.441 | http://www.broad.mit.edu/annotation/fungi/fusarium/ |
| M. grisea | MG09700.4 | XP_364855 | 2.1685 | http://www.broad.mit.edu/annotation/fungi/magnaporthe/ |
| N. crassa | NCU08158.1 | XP_328864 | 7.52 | Galagan et al. (2003) |
| S. pombe | SPBC17A3.06 | NP_595588 | - | Wood et al. (2002) |
| Homo sapiens sapiens | Dusp12 | CAH74153 | - | Martell et al. (1998) |
| S. cerevisiae | Yvh1p | NP_012292 | - | Park et al. (1996) |

APPENDIX 13.8 Polypeptide sequences used in Gao1 phylogenetic analysis

| Species | Protein name | Accession number | Contig? | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P. chrysosporium | glyoxal oxidase precursor | A48296 | scaffold 52 | Kersten and Cullen (1993) |
| M. grisea | MG05865.4 | XP_369599 | 2.1106 | http://www.broad.mit.edw/annotation/fungi/magnaporthe/ |
| M. grisea | MG01655.4 | XP_363729 | 2.307 | http://www.broad.mit.edw/annotation/fungi/magnaporthe/ |
| F. graminearum | FG11097.1 | XP_391273 | 1.459 | http://www.broad.mit.edu/annotation/fungi/fusarium/ |
| N. crassa | NCU04170.1 | XP_323510 | 7.13 | Galagan et al. (2003) |
| N. crassa | NCU05935.1 | XP_325790 | 7.4 | Galagan et al. (2003) |
| F.graminearum | FG05763.1 | XP_385939 | 1.233 | http://www.broad.mit.edu/annotation/fungi/fusarium/ |
| C. neoformans (serotype D) | CNBG4080 | EAL19461 | - | Fung et al (unpublished) |
| C. neoformans (serotype D) | CNBA6590 | EAL22890 | ${ }^{-}$ | Fung et al (unpublished) |
| N. crassa | NCU09209.1 | XP_331601 | 7.65 | Galagan et al. (2003) |
| E. festucae FI1 | Gao1 | - | - | This study |
| F. graminearum | FG03569.1* | - | 1.160 | http://www.broad.mit.edwannot ation/fungi/fusarium/ |
| F. graminearum | FG00251.1* | - | 1.10 | http://www.broad.mit.edu/annotation/fungi/fusarium/ |
| Fusarium spp. | GaoA | Q01745 | - | McPherson et al (1992); Ogel et al (1994) |
| F. graminearum | FG11032.1 | XP_391208 | 1.458 | http://www. broad.mit.edu/annotation/fungi/fusarium/ |
| P. anserina | - | - | 232 | http://podospora.igmors.u-psud.fr/index.html |
| U. maydis | UM02809.1 | XP_400424 | 1.94 | http://www.broad.mit.edu/annotation/fungi/ustilago_maydis/ |
| M. grisea | MG02368.4 | XP_365666 | 2.473 | http://www.broad.mit.edu/annotation/fungi/magnaporthe/ |
| F. graminearum | FG09093.1 | XP_389269 | 1.370 | http://www.broad.mit.edu/annotation/fungi/fusarium/ |
| M. grisea | MG10878.4 | XP_360566 | 2.2099 | http://www.broad.mit.edu/annotation/fungi/magnaporthe/ |

* These sequences were edited compared to the protein sequences encoded by genes identified by FGENESH genome analysis. These putativegenes appeared to contain exons from more than one gene, so the protein sequences were edited so they only contained sequence from the cyclin domains


## APPENDIX

A14: Intron conservation


## Appendix 14.1 Conservation of intron position in prt genes

Structural features of the prt coding regions and corresponding proteins in E. festucae Fl1. Regions of proteins corresponding to exons in gene are indicated by discrete red blocks, with the surrounding protein sequence indicated in black text above. The putative signal peptides (identified by the SignalP 3.0 algorithm) are indicated by blue boxes. The position of onserved active site residues required for protease activity are indicated by white text in black circles. (A) Proteinase K family subfamily 1 member, FI1 Prt1. (B) Proteinase K family subfamily 2 members FI1 Prt2, Prt3 and Prt5. (C) Proteinase K family subfamily 3 member (vacuolar protease) Fl1 Prt4.



## Appendix 14.3: Intron conservation in kexin-encoding genes

Conservation of introns in genes encoding vacuolar protease genes. The coding sequence of the gene is shown as a schematic of the encoded protein. Each exom is shown as a red box, with the encoded protein sequence surrounding the intron shown above the intron position. The putative signal peptide for the protein (determined by SignalP 3.0 analysis) is shown in blue. Conserved active site residues required for catalytic activity are shown in black circles. Conserved subtilase and $P$ domains are indicated below the coding sequences by doubleended arrows.

## APPENDIX

A15: Growth of E. typhina PN2311 in planta


## Appendix A15: Growth of E. typhina PN2311 in perennial ryegrass (L. perenne cv. Nui)

Hyphal growth in the G1444 symbiota between E. typhina PN2311 and L. perenne cv . Nui as analysed by aniline blue staining. (A) Epiphytic hyphae growing on the leaf surface of the G1444 and G1250 symbiota. (B) Growth of E. typhina PN2311 hyphae in an older leaf sheath of the G1444 symbiota.

Epiphytic hyphae growing on the leaf sheath are much more prevalent in theG1444 compared to the G1250 symbiota, which suggests that the E. typhina PN2311 could represent a higher percentage of the biomass in the G1444 symbiosis than E. festucae Fl1 does in the G1250 symbiosis. Within the leaf tissue, E. typhina PN2311 grows in a similar manner to E. festucae Fl1 (Figures 4.10, 4.11, 4.12 and 4.13), growing within the intercellular spaces.

## APPENDIX

A16: Gene features

Appendix A16.1 E. festucae FI1 gene features

| Gene | Function | Kozak sequence | Introns |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Stop } \\ & \text { codon } \end{aligned}$ | Top Blas:X hit | Species | E Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | \# | Phase | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Size } \\ \text { (bp) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 5 spice | Lariat consensus | $\begin{gathered} 3^{1} \\ \text { splice } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |
| prt5 | subtilisin-like protease | CAAACATGAA | 1 | 0 | 64 | GTGAGT | TGCTGACA | CAG | TAA | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PrIK (accession } \\ & \text { CACO7219) } \end{aligned}$ | Metarhizium anisopliae va anisopliae | e-60 |
|  |  |  | 2 | 0 | 79 | GTATGT | GGCTGACG | CAG |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 3 | 2 | 74 | GTAAGC | TTCTAACA | CAG |  |  |  |  |
| prt1 | subtilisin-like protease | CCAGAATGTT | 1 | 0 | 78 | GTTAGT | TGCTAACA | CAG | TAG | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { FG00806. } 1 \text { (accession } \\ \text { XP 380982) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Fusarium graminearum (Gibberella zeae) | e-112 |
| orf4* | unknown | ? | - | - | - | - | - | - | TGA | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline \text { FG10456.1 (accession } \\ \text { XP_390632) } \end{array}$ | Fusarium graminearum (Gibberella zeae) | e-12 |
| prt2 | subtilisin-like protease | CCACGATGCG | 1 | 0 | 72 | GTGAGA | TACTAACT | CAG | TGA | Pril (accession CAB64346) | Metarhizum anisopliae var anisopliae | e-59 |
|  |  |  | 2 | 0 | 83 | GTATGT | AACTAACC | CAG |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 3 | 0 | 71 | GTAAGT | CCTTGACA | CAG |  |  |  |  |
| $g<n 1$ | beta-1,6glucanase | ACAAGATGCA | 1 | 1 | 78 | GTATGT | TACTAACA | CAG | TAG | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { b-1,6-glucanase } \\ \text { (accession AANO4103) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Neotyphodium sp. FCB2002 | 0.0 |
| cyc1 | C. type cydin | CTCGAATGGC | 1 | 2 | 113 | GTATGT | TПСTAACC | TAG | TGA | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { FG04981.1 (accession } \\ \text { XP_385157) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Fusarium graminearum (Gibberella zeae) | e-121 |
| ptr 1 | phosphoinositide 3-phosphatase | CAGGCATGGC | 1 | 2 | 154 | GTGCGT | AACTAACT | CAG | TAA | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { FG04982.1 (accession } \\ \text { XP_385157) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Fusarium graminearum (Gibberella zeae) | e-168 |
| ats ${ }^{*}$ | asparaginyttRNA synthetase | - | ? | 2 | 98 | GTAAGG | ATCAAACA | CAG | TGA | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { AN7479.2 (accession } \\ \text { XP_680748) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Aspergilus nidulans | e-32 |
| prt3 | subtilisin-like protease | TCGCCATGAT | 1 | 0 | 98 | GTATGC | AACTGACA | AAG | TAG | $\begin{aligned} & \text { At1 (accession } \\ & \text { AAB62277) } \end{aligned}$ | Epichloë typhina | e-86 |
|  |  |  | 2 | 0 | 86 | GTGAGA | GGCTGACC | TAG |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 3 | 0 | 78 | GTATGT | AACTGACC | CAG |  |  |  |  |
| gao1 | galactose oxidase | TCGAGATGAA | 0 | . | . | . | . | . | TAA | Ga0A (FG11032.1; accession XP_391208) | Fusarium graminearum (Gibberella zeae) | 0 |
| prt4 | subtilisin-like protease | TCACCATGAA | 1 | 0 | 91 | GTAAGT | CGCTGACT | CAG | TAA | PriH (accession CAB63913) | Metarhizium anisopliae var anisopliae | e-177 |
| orf2 | unknown | TCAACATGTC | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | TGA | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { FG07697.1 (accession } \\ \text { XP_387873) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Fusarium graminearum (Gibberella zeae) | e-57 |
| orf3 | unknown | CCACCATGAG | 1 | 1 | 61 | GTAAGC | TACTAACA | CAG | TAG | NCU01051.1 <br> (XP_326544) | Neurospora crassa | e-18 |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1 | 65 | GTGAGA | AGCTAACG | AAG |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 3 | 1 | 59 | GTAGGT | TGCTGACA | AAG |  |  |  |  |
| Nc25 | unknown | TCACCATGCA | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | TAA | $\begin{gathered} \text { Nc25 (accession } \\ \text { AAO92021) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Neotyphodium coenophialum | e-59 |
| kex2 | subtilisin-like protease | CCATCATGCA | 1 | 2 | 79 | GTAGGT | TGTTGTCT | CAG | TGA | KexB (accession CAB64692) | Aspergilus niger | 0 |
| prt6* | subtilisin-like protease | ? | ? | 1 | 127 | GTATGT | CGCTAACA | CAG | ? | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Pr1J (accession } \\ & \text { CAC95041) } \end{aligned}$ | Metarhizium anisopliae var anisopliae | e-29 |
| prt7* | subtilisin-like protease | ? | a | 2 | 66 | GCAAGA | CACTAACA | AAG | ? | $\begin{gathered} \text { FG06332.1 (accession } \\ \text { XP_386508) } \end{gathered}$ | Fusarium graminearum (Gibberella zeae) | e-37 |
|  |  |  | b | 0 | 62 | GTATGT | CTTATACG | CAG |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | c | 0 | 51 | GTAAGT | GAGTATCA | CAG |  |  |  |  |
| prt8* | subtilisin-like protease | ? | . | . | . | . | . | . | ? | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { PrIC (accession } \\ & \text { CAD11898) } \end{aligned}$ | Metarniżum anisopliae var anisopliae | e-66 |
| orf5* | WD40 domain proten | ? |  | - | - | - | - | - | ? | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { FG09135.1 (accession } \\ \text { XP_389311.1 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Fusarium graminearum (Gibberella zeae) | e-37 |

Blast $X$ was analysed using the maximum available coding sequence (and introns contained within this sequence) $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { marks sequences where the full coding sequence was not available. In these cases, the available sequence was used for BlastX analysis } \\ \text { Sequences } & \text { where } & \text { a } & \text { feature } & \text { is } & \text { no }\end{array}$ Features that are not present in incomplete sequences are marked with ai question mark.
The introns in the prit sequence are marked $a, b, c$ etc. because other introns may be present within upstream sequences.

## APPENDIX

A17: SignalP analysis

|  | SignalP-NN prediction |  |  |  |  | SignalP-HMM prediction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MEASURE | POSITION | Value | CUTOFF | SIG PEPTIDE |  |
|  | max. C | 19 | 0.959 | 0.32 | YES | Most likely cleavage site between pos. 18 and 19: AVA-AP |
|  | max. Y | 19 | 0.887 | 0.33 | YES | Prediction: signal peptide |
|  | max. S | 13 | 0.993 | 0.87 | YES | Signal peptide probability: 1.000 |
|  | mean S | 1-18 | 0.961 | 0.48 | YES | Signal anchor probability. 0.000 |
|  | D | 1-18 | 0.924 | 0.43 | YES | Max cleavage site probability: 0.973 between pos. 18 and 19 |
| SignalP-NN prediction |  |  |  |  |  | SignalP-HMM prediction |
| $\begin{aligned} & \frac{7}{2} \\ & \frac{\pi}{4} \end{aligned}$ | MEASURE | POSITION | VALUE | CUTOFF | SIG PEPTIDE |  |
|  | max. C | 22 | 0.507 | 0.32 | YES | Most likely deavage site between pos. 21 and 22: AIA-AP |
|  | max. Y | 22 | 0.598 | 0.33 | YES | Prediction: signal peptide |
|  | max. S | 9 | 0.933 | 0.87 | YES | Signal peptide probability: 1.000 |
|  | mean S | 1-21 | 0.759 | 0.48 | YES | Signal anchor probability. 0.000 |
|  | D | 1-21 | 0.679 | 0.43 | YES | Max cleavage site probability. 0.962 between pos. 21 and 22 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 믄 } \\ & \overline{\bar{u}} \end{aligned}$ | SignalP-NN prediction |  |  |  |  | SignalP-HMM prediction |
|  | MEASURE | POSITION | VALUE | CUTOFF | SIG PEPTIDE |  |
|  | max. C | 17 | 0.810 | 0.32 | YES | Most likely cleavage site between pos. 16 and 17: ALA-AP |
|  | max. Y | 17 | 0.786 | 0.33 | YES | Prediction: signal peptide |
|  | max. S | 13 | 0.982 | 0.87 | YES | Signal peptide probability: 1.000 |
|  | mean S | 1-16 | 0.946 | 0.48 | YES | Signal anchor probability. 0.000 |
|  | D | 1-16 | 0.866 | 0.43 | YES | Max cleavage site probability: 0.620 between pos. 16 and 17 |
| SignalP-NN prediction |  |  |  |  |  | SignalP-HMM prediction |
| $\begin{aligned} & \bar{y} \\ & \text { U } \\ & \overline{I I} \end{aligned}$ | MEASURE | POSITION | VALUE | CUTOFF | SIG PEPTIDE |  |
|  | max. C | 18 | 0.724 | 0.32 | YES | Most likely cleavage site between pos. 17 and 18: VSA-WL |
|  | max. Y | 18 | 0.713 | 0.33 | YES | Prediction: signal peptide |
|  | max. S | 13 | 0.928 | 0.87 | YES | Signal peptide probability: 0.997 |
|  | mean S | 1-17 | 0.806 | 0.48 | YES | Signal anchor probability: 0.000 |
|  | D | 1-17 | 0.760 | 0.43 | YES | Max cleavage site probability: 0.793 between pos. 17 and 18 |
| SignalP-NN prediction |  |  |  |  |  | SignaIP-HMM prediction |
| $\begin{aligned} & \overline{0} \\ & \frac{0}{4} \\ & \frac{1}{4} \end{aligned}$ | MEASURE | POSITION | VALUE | CUTOFF | SIG PEPTIDE |  |
|  | max. C | 21 | 0.029 | 0.32 | NO | - - |
|  | max. Y | 21 | 0.021 | 0.33 | NO | Prediction: non-secretory protein |
|  | max. S | 1 | 0.084 | 0.87 | NO | Signal peptide probability: 0.001 |
|  | mean S | 1-20 | 0.040 | 0.48 | NO | Signal anchor probability: 0.000 |
|  | D | 1-20 | 0.030 | 0.43 | NO | Max cleavage site probability: 0.000 between pos. 20 and 21 |
| SignalP-NN prediction |  |  |  |  |  | SignalP-HMM prediction |
| $\begin{aligned} & \bar{\Xi} \\ & \frac{\overline{2}}{4} \end{aligned}$ | MEASURE | POSITION | VALUE | CUTOFF | SIG PEPTIDE |  |
|  | max. C | 18 | 0.112 | 0.32 | NO | - |
|  | max. Y | 18 | 0.086 | 0.33 | NO | Prediction: non-secretory protein |
|  | max. S | 13 | 0.414 | 0.87 | NO | Signal peptide probability: 0.008 |
|  | mean S | 1-17 | 0.263 | 0.48 | NO | Signal anchor probability: 0.007 |
|  | D | 1-17 | 0.175 | 0.43 | NO | Max cleavage site probability: 0.002 between pos. 14 and 15 |
| SignalP-NN prediction |  |  |  |  |  | SignalP-HMM prediction |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 쯈 } \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{4} \end{aligned}$ | MEASURE | POSITION | VALUE | CUTOFF | SIG PEPTIDE |  |
|  | max. C | 17 | 0.257 | 0.32 | NO | Most likely cleavage site between pos. 16 and 17: AAA-AP |
|  | max. Y | 17 | 0.428 | 0.33 | YES | Prediction: signal peptide |
|  | max. S | 13 | 0.992 | 0.87 | YES | Signal peptide probability: 0.999 |
|  | mean S | 1-16 | 0.970 | 0.48 | YES | Signal anchor probability: 0.000 |
|  | D | 1-16 | 0.699 | 0.43 | YES | Max cleavage site probability: 0.460 between pos. 19 and 20 |
| SignalP-NN prediction |  |  |  |  |  | SignalP-HMM prediction |
| $\begin{aligned} & \overline{0} \\ & \text { ু } \\ & \overline{4} \end{aligned}$ | MEASURE | POSITION | VALUE | CUTOFF | SIG PEPTIDE |  |
|  | max. C | 19 | 0.485 | 0.32 | YES | Most likely cleavage site between pos. 18 and 19: AHS-AA |
|  | max. Y | 19 | 0.587 | 0.33 | YES | Prediction: signal peptide |
|  | max. S | 13 | 0.942 | 0.87 | YES | Signal peptide probability: 0.996 |
|  | mean S | 1-18 | 0.769 | 0.48 | YES | Signal anchor probability: 0.000 |
|  | D | 1-18 | 0.678 | 0.43 | YES | Max cleavage site probability: 0.878 between pos. 18 and 19 |
| SignalP-NN prediction |  |  |  |  |  | SignalP-HMM prediction |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 芒 } \\ & \text { 픈 } \end{aligned}$ | MEASURE | POSITION | VALUE | CUTOFF | SIG PEPTIDE |  |
|  | max. C | 16 | 0.405 | 0.32 | YES | Most likely cleavage site between pos. 15 and 16: AQA-AF |
|  | max. Y | 16 | 0.547 | 0.33 | YES | Prediction: signal peptideSignal peptide probability: 0.999 |
|  | max. S | 6 | 0.937 | 0.87 | YES |  |
|  | mean S | 1-15 | 0.819 | 0.48 | YES | Signal anchor probability. 0.000 |
|  | D | 1-15 | 0.683 | 0.43 | YES | Max cleavage site probability: 0.519 between pos. 15 and 16 |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \frac{\overline{1}}{1} \end{aligned}$ | SignalP-NN prediction |  |  |  |  | SignalP-HMM prediction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MEASURE | POSITION | VALUE | CUTOFF | SIG PEPTIDE |  |
|  | max. C | 29 | 0.155 | 0.32 | NO | - |
|  | max. $Y$ | 35 | 0.020 | 0.33 | NO | Prediction: non-secretory protein |
|  | max. S | 34 | 0.071 | 0.87 | NO | Signal peptide probability: 0.000 |
|  | mean S | 1-34 | 0.023 | 0.48 | NO | Signal anchor probability. 0.000 |
|  | D | 1-34 | 0.022 | 0.43 | NO | Max cleavage site probability: 0.000 between pos. -1 and 0 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \frac{5}{4} \end{aligned}$ | SignalP-NN prediction |  |  |  |  | SignalP-HMM prediction |
|  | MEASURE | POSITION | VALUE | CUTOFF | SIG PEPTIDE |  |
|  | max. C | 59 | 0.072 | 0.32 | NO | - |
|  | max. Y | 59 | 0.144 | 0.33 | NO | Prediction: signal anchor |
|  | max. S | 55 | 0.843 | 0.87 | NO | Signal peptide probability: 0.000 |
|  | mean S | 1-58 | 0.174 | 0.48 | NO | Signal anchor probability. 0.501 |
|  | D | 1-58 | 0.159 | 0.43 | NO | Max cleavage site probability. 0.000 between pos. -1 and 0 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { No } \\ & \text { N } \\ & \text { 든 } \end{aligned}$ | SignalP-NN prediction |  |  |  |  | SignalP-HMM prediction |
|  | MEASURE | POSITION | VALUE | CUTOFF | SIG PEPTIDE |  |
|  | max. C | 19 | 0.881 | 0.32 | YES | Most likely cleavage site between pos. 18 and 19: GLA-AP |
|  | max. Y | 19 | 0.846 | 0.33 | YES | Prediction: signal peptide |
|  | max. S | 6 | 0.976 | 0.87 | YES | Signal peptide probability: 0.998 |
|  | mean S | 1-18 | 0.926 | 0.48 | YES | Signal anchor probability: 0.001 |
|  | D | 1-18 | 0.886 | 0.43 | YES | Max deavage site probability, 0.830 between pos. 18 and 19 |
|  | SignalP-NN prediction |  |  |  |  | SignalP-HMM prediction |
|  | MEASURE | POSITION | VALUE | CUTOFF | SIG PEPTIDE |  |
|  | max. C | 20 | 0.608 | 0.32 | YES | Most likely cleavage site between pos. 19 and 20: GIG-IG |
|  | max. Y | 20 | 0.656 | 0.33 | YES | Prediction: signal peptide |
|  | max. S | 13 | 0.970 | 0.87 | YES | Signal peptide probability: 0.991 |
|  | mean S | 1-19 | 0.831 | 0.48 | YES | Signal anchor probability: 0.007 |
|  | D | 1-19 | 0.743 | 0.43 | YES | Max cleavage site probability. 0.493 between pos. 19 and 20 |
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Probability of 5 bp sequence Seb1 binding sequence AGGGG assuming bases distributed randomly:
    Probability of having a particular base at nucleotide position (assuming random distribution of nucleotides) $=0.25$ ( 1 of 4 possible nucleotides)
    Therefore: the probability of having AGGGG sequence $=(0.25)^{5}=9.77 \times 10^{4}$
    The probability of having AGGGG sequence in 2.3 kb of promoter sequence
    $=9.77 \times 10^{-4} \times$ number of bases (2300) $\times 2$ (to account for double stranded DNA)
    $=4.5$ expected instances of AGGGG sequence in 2300 base pairs of promoter

[^1]:    Analysis for putative binding sites for the fungal transcription factors CreA (carbon regulation), Nit2/AreA (nitrogen regulation), $\mathrm{PacC}(\mathrm{pH}$ regulation) and Seb1 (transcriptional regulator) at the E. festucae FI1 prt2 locus.(A) Putative binding sites for CreA (SYGGRG) are indicated by blue lollipops containing the letter C. (B) Putative binding sites for Nit2/AreA (HGATAR) are indicated by green lollipops containing the letter N . Weaker binding sites (GATA) are indicated by lighter green Iollipops containing the letter $n$. (C) Putative binding sites for PacC (GCCARG) are indicated by red lollipops containing the letter P.
    (D) Putative binding sites for Seb1 (AGGGG) are indicated by purple lollipops containing the letter S .

[^2]:    *Rows shaded in grey correspond to fragments amplified from genes encoding subtilisin- like proteases

[^3]:    ${ }^{2}$ copies where the PgpdA-prt1 coding region is intact

[^4]:    RT-PCR analysis of expression of the native and transformant prt2 genes in planta. RT-PCR was performed using cDNA made from DNase I-treated polyA RNA (~100 ng polyA RNA/20 $\mu \mathrm{L}$ reaction). RT-PCR analysis of the constitutively expressed tub2 and plant-induced ItmM genes are included as a control to demonstrate that all samples contain amplifiable cDNA. Primer pairs used to amplify the genes are shown on the left. The expected sizes of genomic and cDNA bands in bp are shown on the right. G numbers eg G1251 refer to symbiota.(A) Expression of wild type and transformant prt2 in pMM26 transformants in planta. (B) Expression of wild type and transformant prt2 in pMM27 transformants.

