

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

Aircrew Personality and the Impact of Crew Resource Management Training on Hazardous Attitudes

**A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the
degree of
Master of Arts in Psychology
At Massey University, Albany, New Zealand**

**Carolyn Freeman
2005**

Abstract

It has been established that poor non-technical skills, such as crew communication and coordination, in aircrew, are antecedents to accidents and incidents in aviation. Crew Resource Management (CRM) training has developed over the last 20 years in response to the need to educate crews in resource management, decision-making, situational awareness and other human factors related topics. This current study sought to evaluate the CRM training currently administered by the Royal New Zealand Air Force (RNZAF). Evaluation was made on three of Kirkpatrick's levels; reaction, learning and behaviour. Personality as a mediator in the relationship of CRM attitudes to behaviour was also investigated. Finally personality differences in the sample were identified. Results showed that the RNZAF CRM training was perceived by aircrew as useful and 100% of trainees felt this training should be offered to all aircrew. At the learning level of evaluation the results revealed a positive attitude change in one scale of the Cockpit Management Attitudes Questionnaire - 'Recognition of Stressors'. The personality trait Agreeableness was found to mediate the relationship between attitudes and behaviour post CRM training. Agreeableness and Conscientiousness also explained 25% of the variance in scores of CRM behaviours. Finally it was established that pilots display higher levels of Instrumentality and lower levels of Neuroticism than non-pilot aircrew. Officers display higher levels of Extroversion and lower levels of Expressivity than Non Commissioned Officers. The results are discussed in terms of their implication for future RNZAF research and training. Limitations of the current study and areas for future research are presented.

Acknowledgements

Firstly I have to give credit to all the Officers of the Royal New Zealand Air Force who took time out of their very busy schedules to support, encourage and participate in my research. I would like to mention FLTLT Delwyn Neill, FLTLT Lisa D'Oliveira, FLTLT Jen Atkinson and SQNLDR Ian Saville who indulged my need for data collection on their CRM Courses. I would also like to thank SQNLDR Emma Davis and SQNLDR Jason Milne who provided guidance through the RNZAF organisational system, and kept the ball rolling at times when I thought it was deflated.

I would like to thank my supervisor, Richard Fletcher, who calmly put out all my fires and taught me to relax in the midst of thesis dramas.

Finally the friends and family, who sustained me, must be given their due. Kate, it's been a rocky thesis journey, and I'm glad I made it with you, thanks for all the advice! Kris, thanks for providing the home life entertainment and encouraging the practice of my psychological skills! Mandy, the weekly coffee debriefs and keeping me in touch with reality – priceless.

As I read through the acknowledgment sections of other theses, I note one sure consistency. A person that seems to pop up in each person's life and provide the support encouragement and financial aid required to get through to the end. My life was no exception, once again the award for thesis helper extraordinaire goes to: the ever present, ever underrated but greatly appreciated Mum.

So many things went wrong with this thesis, but here it is in completion – it all just seemed to work out – how? By the grace of God.

'So let us come boldly to the throne of our gracious God, there we will receive his mercy, and we will find grace to help us when we need it.' Heb 4 v 16.

Table of Contents

ABSTRACT	II
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	III
TABLE OF CONTENTS	IV
LIST OF TABLES	VII
LIST OF FIGURES	VIII
GLOSSARY	IX
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 INTRODUCTION: THE STORY OF BUD HOLLAND.....	1
1.2 ROYAL NEW ZEALAND AIR FORCE (RNZAF) AND CRM	2
1.3 PURPOSE AND SIGNIFICANCE OF THIS RESEARCH.....	4
1.3 STRUCTURE OF THE THESIS.....	5
CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW	7
2.1 CREW RESOURCE MANAGEMENT.....	7
2.1.1 <i>Crew Resource Management Defined</i>	7
2.1.2 <i>CRM Theory</i>	8
2.1.3 <i>Evolution of CRM Training</i>	14
2.1.4 <i>Regulatory Support</i>	18
2.1.5 <i>Cultural Influences on CRM</i>	20
2.1.6 <i>CRM in the Military</i>	24
2.1.7 <i>Summary</i>	27
2.2 HAZARDOUS ATTITUDES.....	28
2.2.1 <i>Attitude-Behaviour Link</i>	28
2.2.2 <i>The Five Hazardous Attitudes in Aviation</i>	30
2.2.3 <i>Cockpit Management Attitudes</i>	32
2.2.4 <i>Groups, Attitudes and Risk</i>	33
2.2.5 <i>Summary</i>	35
2.3 PERSONALITY AND CRM	36
2.3.1 <i>Personality Theory</i>	36
2.3.2 <i>Personality Research in Aviation</i>	37
2.3.3 <i>Personality Research and CRM</i>	38
2.3.4 <i>Summary</i>	41
2.4 CRM TRAINING EVALUATION	42
2.4.1 <i>Maximising CRM Training Effectiveness</i>	42
2.4.2 <i>Training Evaluation Model</i>	43
2.4.3 <i>Defining the Research Problem</i>	48

2.4.4 Hypothesis.....	49
CHAPTER THREE: METHODOLOGY	51
3.1 Organisational description.....	51
3.2 Participants.....	54
3.3 Materials.....	56
3.4 Procedure.....	60
3.5 Data Analysis.....	62
CHAPTER FOUR: RESULTS.....	64
4.1 SAMPLE DESCRIPTION.....	64
4.2 INITIAL ANALYSIS.....	64
4.2.1 Factor Analysis.....	64
4.2.2 Internal Consistency.....	67
4.3 REACTION LEVEL ANALYSIS.....	68
4.3.1 Training Utility.....	68
4.3.2. Participants Comments.....	69
4.4 LEARNING LEVEL ANALYSIS.....	71
4.5 BEHAVIOURAL LEVEL ANALYSIS.....	72
4.6 FURTHER ANALYSIS.....	73
4.6.1 Regression and Path Analysis.....	73
4.5.2 Personality Analysis.....	74
CHAPTER FIVE: DISCUSSION.....	77
5.1 REACTION LEVEL EVALUATION.....	77
5.2 LEARNING LEVEL ANALYSIS.....	79
5.2.1 Attitude Change.....	79
5.2.2 Training effectiveness between groups.....	81
5.3 BEHAVIOURAL LEVEL ANALYSIS.....	81
5.3.2 Behaviour and attitude linkage.....	82
5.3.3 Personality behaviour linkage.....	83
5.4 PERSONALITY AS A MEDIATOR.....	84
5.5 AIRCREW PERSONALITY DIFFERENCE.....	85
5.6 IMPLICATIONS OF THE FINDINGS.....	87
5.6 LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY.....	88
5.7 DIRECTIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH.....	90
5.8 CONCLUSION.....	91
REFERENCES:	93
APPENDICES.....	106
A.1 PRE TRAINING BOOKLET.....	107
1.1 Consent Form.....	108
1.2 Background Information.....	109
1.3 PAQ.....	110
A.2 POST-TRAINING BOOKLET.....	112
2.1 Evaluation Questionnaire.....	113
2.2 CMAQ.....	115

A.3 CONTROL GROUP COVER LETTER	117
A.4 RNZAF CRM BEHAVIOURAL MARKERS CHECK-SHEET	118
A.5 INFORMATION SHEET.....	120
A.6 DESCRIPTIONS OF PERSONALITY FACTORS	122
A.7 ETHICS APPLICATION	124

List of Tables

TABLE 1. HAZARDOUS ATTITUDES AND THEIR RELATION TO CMAQ FACTORS.	34
TABLE 2. DESCRIPTIONS OF THREE PILOT PERSONALITY TYPES TAKEN FROM RETZLAFF & GIBERTINI (1987) AND CHIDESTER ET AL. (1991).....	40
TABLE 3: THE NUMBER OF RNZAF AIRCREW OFFICERS CURRENTLY EMPLOYED IN EACH TRADE.	53
TABLE 4: THE NUMBER OF RNZAF NCO AIRCREW CURRENTLY EMPLOYED IN EACH TRADE.	54
TABLE 5: NUMBER OF EXPERIMENTAL GROUP IN EACH TRADE ACROSS SQUADRONS.....	55
TABLE 6: NUMBER OF EACH EXPERIMENTAL GROUP OF EACH RANK ACROSS SQUADRONS.....	55
TABLE 7: NUMBER OF CONTROL GROUP AIRCREW IN EACH POSITION ACROSS SQUADRONS.	56
TABLE 8: NUMBER OF CONTROL GROUP OF EACH RANK ACROSS SQUADRONS.	56
TABLE 9: TIME LINE FOR DATA COLLECTION	63
TABLE 10: DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS OF AIRCREW AGE AND HOURS FLOWN.....	64
TABLE 11: FACTOR LOADINGS FOR THE ROTATED FACTORS ON THE RNZAF BCS.....	65
TABLE 12: SCALE INTERNAL CONSISTENCY RELIABILITIES FOR THE NEO-FFI AND THE RNZAF BEHAVIOURAL CHECK-SHEET.....	67
TABLE 13. SCALE INTERNAL CONSISTENCY RELIABILITIES FOR THE PAQ AND THE CMAQ.....	68
TABLE 14: AIRCREW RATINGS OF EACH TOPIC TAUGHT ON THE RNZAF CRM TRAINING.....	69
TABLE 15: SUMMARY OF PARTICIPANT’S COMMENTS REGARDING CRM TRAINING AS ASSESSED BY THE CRM TRAINING EVALUATION QUESTIONNAIRE.	70
TABLE 16: MEANS AND STANDARD DEVIATIONS OF THE THREE CMAQ SCALES PRE AND POST TRAINING.	71
TABLE 17: ONE-WAY ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE SUMMARY TABLE COMPARING THE TRAINING GROUP TO THE CONTROL GROUP ON SCORES ON THE FOUR SCALES OF THE CRM BCS.....	73
TABLE 18: MEAN AND STANDARD DEVIATION FOR PILOT AND NON-PILOT SCORES ON THE NEUROTICISM AND INSTRUMENTAL SCALES.	75
TABLE 19: MEAN AND STANDARD DEVIATION FOR OFFICERS VS. NCO ON THE EXTROVERSION AND EXPRESSIVITY SCALES.....	75

List of Figures

FIGURE 1. INPUT-PROCESS-OUTPUT MODEL (ADAPTED FROM HELMREICH & FOUSHEE, 1993).	9
FIGURE 2. FLIGHT CREW PERFORMANCE MODEL: EXPANDED GROUP PROCESS FACTORS (HELMREICH & FOUSHEE 1993).	13
FIGURE 3. THE ERROR TRIOKA, HELMREICH, MERRITT & WILHELM (1999).	18
FIGURE 4. MODEL OF RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN THE DIFFERENT CULTURES AFFECTING CREW BEHAVIOUR (ADAPTED FROM HELMREICH & WILHELM, 1999).	21
FIGURE 5. ATTITUDE AS AN INFERRED STATE, WITH THREE CATEGORIES OF RESPONSES (TAKEN FROM EAGLY & CHIAKEN 1993, p10).	29
FIGURE 6. CONCEPTUAL MODEL OF THE FACTORS EFFECTING TRAINING EFFECTIVENESS. .	42
FIGURE 7. CHARACTERISTICS OF KIRKPATRICK'S EVALUATION LEVELS (ADAPTED FROM PHILLIPS, 1996).	44
FIGURE 8: PATH DIAGRAM DEPICTING THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN TOTAL ATTITUDE SCORES ON THE CMAQ AND THE FIVE FACTORS OF THE RNZAF CRM BCS.	74
FIGURE 9: PATH DIAGRAM DEPICTING THE AGREEABLENESS (A) FACTOR MEDIATING THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE TOTAL SCORES ON THE CMAQ (TOTAL) AND THE TOTAL SCORES ON THE BEHAVIOURAL CHECK-SHEET (TOTALBCS).	76

Glossary

Aircrew Roles, Abbreviations and Descriptions:

AEOP	Air Electronics Operator: Operates the radio and radar stations on a P3-K Orion.
ALM	Air Load Master: Responsible for loading and unloading of cargo and passengers from the C-130 Hercules. Also responsible for all international customs and MAF type requirements.
AOM	Air Ordnanceman: Responsible for all weapons, search stores and air droppable packages on the P3-K Orion. Also carries out photography and video during flight.
HCM	Helicopter Crewman: Manages the cargo area of the helicopter, loading cargo, supervising passengers, operating rescue hoist and machine gun. Helicopter crewmen also give the pilots a verbal picture of what is going on in the back and all around the outside of the aircraft.
NAV	Navigator/ Tactical Coordinator: Responsible for mission planning, interpreting tactics and procedures and completing post flight mission reporting. Also involved in route and fuel planning.
AIRENG	Air Engineer: Responsible for the mechanical side of the aircraft while it is airborne. Manages fuel, power settings and any emergency and minor repairs while on deployment.

Aviation Abbreviations and Terms:

CAA	Civil Aviation Authority: Government organisation that regulates the aviation industry and air traffic within New Zealand.
FAA	Federal Aviation Authority: Federal Government authority that regulates aviation in the U.S.A.
LOFT	Line Oriented Flight Training: Training programme set in a high fidelity aircraft simulator that allows an entire aircraft to fly a simulated flight (Helmreich & Foushee, 1993).
Go Around	A go around is the process of converting the approach into a departure i.e. apply full or climb power, clean up the aircraft configuration (gear up, flaps -climb/up) and fly away and either join the visual circuit, or position for another approach.
NCO	Non Commissioned Officer, from rank of corporal to Master Air Crewman.
Squadron	Unit or division of an Air Force.
SOP	Standard Operating Procedures: a mandatory way of accomplishing a task.
Sortie	Operational flight made by a military aircraft.
Situational Awareness	Situational awareness is the accurate perception of the factors and conditions affecting the aircraft and the flight crew (Williams, 1998).