

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**CHARACTERISATION OF TOMATO MADS-BOX
GENES INVOLVED IN FLOWER AND FRUIT
DEVELOPMENT**

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the
degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

in

Plant Biology

at

Massey University, Palmerston North, New Zealand

CHARLES AMPOMAH-DWAMENA

2001

Abstract

MADS-box genes encode transcription factors that are involved in various aspects of plant development, by regulating target genes that control morphogenesis. Over the last decade, plant MADS-box genes have been studied extensively to reveal their control of floral development, especially in the model plants *Arabidopsis* and *Antirrhinum*. Their functions are however, not restricted to the flower but are involved in various aspects of plant development (Rounsley et al., 1995; Jack, 2001). By virtue of their extensive roles in the flower, these genes are expected to function in fruit development, which is a progression from flower morphogenesis. The aim of this study was to examine the role of MADS-box genes during flower and fruit development.

Two new members of the tomato MADS-box gene family, *TM10* and *TM29* were identified. *TM29* was isolated from a young fruit cDNA library by screening with homologous MADS-box fragments and *TM10* was amplified by polymerase chain reaction from fruit cDNA templates. These genes were characterised by sequence and RNA expression patterns and their functions examined using molecular genetic techniques. Sequence analyses confirmed that both genes belong to the MADS-box family.

TM29 shows 68% amino acid sequence identity to *Arabidopsis* SEP1 MADS-box protein. *TM29* expression pattern showed similarities as well as differences to *SEP1* (Flanagan and Ma, 1994). *TM29* is expressed in shoot, inflorescence and floral meristems unlike *SEP1*, which is expressed exclusively in floral meristems (Flanagan and Ma, 1994). *TM29* is expressed in all the four whorls of the flower. During floral

organ development, it is highly expressed at early stages of the organ primordium but decreases as the organ differentiates and matures. In the mature flower bud, *TM29* is expressed in the anther and ovary pericarp. During fruit development, *TM29* is expressed from anthesis ovary to fruit of 14 days post-anthesis with its transcript localised to the pericarp and placenta.

TM10 showed 64% amino acid identity to *Arabidopsis* *AGL12*, across the entire sequence. This notwithstanding, *TM10* expression differed from *AGL12*. *TM10* was expressed in shoot tissues of tomato and was not detected in roots. In contrast, the *AGL12* gene transcript was only present in the roots of *Arabidopsis* (Rounsley et al., 1995). Expression was detected in leaves, shoot growing tips, floral buds and fruit. During fruit development, *TM10* is expressed in anthesis ovary and in fruits at different growth stages.

The functions of *TM29* and *TM10* were examined by transgenic techniques and phenotypes generated were consistent with their spatial and temporal gene expression patterns. *TM29* transgenic phenotypes suggested it might be involved in the control of sympodial growth, transition to flowering, proper development of floral organs, parthenocarpic fruit development and maintenance of floral meristem identity. *TM10* affected apical dominance and flowering time, development of floral organs and parthenocarpic fruit development.

Acknowledgements

I give thanks and honour to the One and True God, from whom all blessings flow, for his guidance and protection during my studies towards this thesis.

I am very grateful to HortResearch for funding this research project and also to the Institute of Molecular BioSciences, Massey University for the support I received.

I am indebted to my supervisors, **Dr. Bruce Veit**, **Dr Jialong-Yao**, **Dr Bret Morris** for their advice and critical assessments which contributed in no small measure to the project and this thesis. I also thank **Prof. Paula Jameson** who readily agreed to be my Chief Supervisor during the difficult phase of this PhD (when my three supervisors "deserted" me) and showed concern for my general well-being.

For people at HortResearch, particularly members of the defunct Gene Transfer and Expression Group and the Plant Development Group, I want to thank you all for your contributions. I give special thanks to Andrew Gleave, Dan Cohen and Bart Janssen for their advice and help; to Julie Nichols for taking good care of the plants in the glasshouse, Martin Heffer and Sharlene Cookson for the beautiful photographs and posters, Paul

Sutherland and Anna Henderson for the Scanning Electron Microscopy work. Thank you Lesley Beuning and Ross Atkinson, I appreciate your encouragement during this study. My gratitude goes to Dr Richard Newcomb, who as the Science Capability Leader of the Plant Health and Development Group supported my research project to the very end.

I also thank family and friends for their support and encouragement; to my wife Mercy for enduring the long hours I spent away from home studying; to Kofi, Florence and those on their way, Daddy says thanks. I thank my mum Florence for coming to help look after the kids; this no doubt offered me more time to spend on my studies. My gratitude to Yeboah and Leigh Asiamah for their genuine friendship and hospitality, which was instrumental to my "**Coming to Auckland**" expedition. Thank you for your friendship. To all members of Hope, Auckland, many thanks for your prayers, best wishes and support for my family. I am grateful to all my friends in Christchurch, Massa Frank and Auntie B, Charles and Anne, Freddie Ghanaman and family, the Yamoahs, Ama Nketiah, the Reveleys and all those who consistently kept us in their thoughts and prayers.

Note: The genetic experimentation in this project was conducted with approval from the appropriate authority (Protocol No. GMO 00-HRA 037).

Table of contents

Abstract	ii
Acknowledgements	iv
List of figures	x
List of tables	xiv
List of Abbreviations	xv
 1 CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	 1
1.1 MADS-box genes	1
1.1.1 The structure of MADS-box proteins	3
1.1.2 The domains in plant MADS-box proteins	5
1.1.3 MADS-box proteins are transcription factors	8
1.1.4 MADS-box proteins form complexes	9
1.2 Plant MADS-box genes	10
1.2.1 MADS-box genes in angiosperms	10
1.2.2 MADS-box genes in gymnosperms	12
1.2.3 MADS-box genes in ferns	13
1.3 MADS-box genes in vegetative development	14
1.4 MADS-box genes and flower development	15
1.4.1 Stages of plant reproductive phase	16
1.4.2 MADS-box genes regulate the switch to reproductive phase	16
1.4.3 MADS-box genes control floral meristem identity	19
1.4.4 Floral meristem development	19
1.4.5 The ABC theory of floral organ identity	20
1.4.6 Variations to the ABC theory	23
1.4.7 Floral meristem reversion	26
1.5 MADS-box genes and fruit development	28
1.5.1 Stages in fruit development	28
1.5.2 Evidence of MADS-box genes in fruit development	31
1.6 Aims of this study	33

2	CHAPTER 2 MATERIALS AND METHODS	34
2.1	Organisms and reagents used	34
2.1.1	Bacterial strains, source and genotype	34
2.1.2	Primers	35
2.2	Growth media	39
2.2.1	Bacterial media	39
2.3	Bacteria transformation procedures	40
2.3.1	<i>E. coli</i> transformation	40
2.3.2	<i>Agrobacterium</i> transformation	41
2.4	Nucleic acid isolation	42
2.4.1	Genomic DNA preparation	42
2.4.2	Total RNA isolation	42
2.4.3	Messenger-RNA purification	43
2.4.4	cDNA synthesis	43
2.4.5	PCR to amplify MADS-box fragments using degenerate primers	44
2.5	Sequence analyses	46
2.5.1	DNA sequencing	46
2.5.2	Secondary structure prediction	47
2.5.3	Phylogenetic analysis	47
2.6	Nuclei acid hybridisation	48
2.6.1	Preparation of radio-labelled probes	48
2.6.2	DNA blot hybridisation	49
2.6.3	RNA blot hybridisation	49
2.6.4	Reverse transcriptase-PCR	50
2.6.5	RNA <i>in situ</i> hybridisation	50
2.7	Transformation prodedures	54
2.7.1	Transformation vectors	54
2.7.2	Plant transformation	56
2.8	Analyses of transgenic plants	59
2.8.1	Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) to confirm transgenic plants	59
2.8.2	Measurement of floral organ and fruit size	59
2.8.3	Scanning electron microscopic analyses	60
2.8.4	Tissue preparation and staining	60
2.8.5	GA treatment	60

3	CHAPTER 3	62
	A TOMATO MADS-BOX GENE INVOLVED IN FLOWER AND FRUIT DEVELOPMENT	62
3.1	INTRODUCTION	62
3.2	RESULTS	63
3.2.1	<i>TM29</i> is a tomato MADS-box gene and groups to the <i>SEP1</i> subfamily	65
3.2.2	<i>TM29</i> gene structure	72
3.2.3	Gene copy number	74
3.2.4	<i>TM29</i> expression detected by northern analyses	76
3.2.5	Spatial and temporal <i>TM29</i> expression in tomato	76
3.2.6	<i>Agrobacterium</i> transformation vectors	83
3.2.7	Tomato transformation	87
3.2.8	Confirmation of transgenic plants by PCR	88
3.2.9	Morphogenetic alterations in tomato transgenic plants	88
3.2.10	Levels of <i>TM29</i> mRNA in tomato transgenic plants	111
3.2.11	Expression of other MADS-box genes in tomato transgenic plants	113
3.2.12	Effects of GA and photoperiod on tomato transgenic phenotypes	116
3.2.13	Tobacco transformation results	121
3.2.14	Morphogenetic alterations in tobacco transgenic plants	121
3.3	Discussion	128
3.3.1	<i>TM29</i> belongs to the <i>SEP1</i> -group of MADS-box genes	128
3.3.2	<i>TM29</i> may be involved in sympodial development	130
3.3.3	The transition to flowering in tobacco is responsive to <i>TM29</i> expression	131
3.3.4	<i>TM29</i> is required for proper floral organ development	131
3.3.5	Reduced levels of <i>TM29</i> RNA induces fruit development without fertilization	135
3.3.6	<i>TM29</i> may be involved in fruit ripening	136
3.3.7	<i>TM29</i> is involved in determinate growth of the flower	136
3.3.8	<i>TM29</i> may act to maintain floral meristem identity	137
3.3.9	Mechanisms controlling floral reversion	138
3.3.10	Downregulation of <i>TM29</i> RNA by cosuppression and antisense techniques	140
4	CHAPTER 4 CHARACTERISATION OF A NEW TOMATO MADS-BOX GENE, <i>TM10</i>	142
4.1	Introduction	142
4.2	Results	143

4.2.1	Identification of tomato MADS-box genes	143
4.2.2	<i>TM10</i> characterisation	144
4.2.3	<i>TM10</i> shows homology to AGL12	146
4.2.4	Gene copy number	151
4.2.5	<i>TM10</i> is expressed in above-ground tissues at very low levels	151
4.2.6	<i>TM10</i> is expressed in fruits	153
4.2.7	<i>In situ</i> hybridisation	155
4.2.8	Tomato transformation	155
4.2.9	Phenotypes of transgenic plants	158
4.2.10	Tobacco transformation	160
4.3	Discussion	164
4.3.1	<i>TM10</i> represents a novel tomato MADS-box gene	167
4.3.2	<i>TM10</i> may regulate events in fruit development	168
4.3.3	<i>TM10</i> causes aberrations in transgenic plants	168
4.3.4	Cosuppression of <i>TM10</i> results in aberrant phenotype	169
4.3.5	Ectopic expression of <i>TM10</i> caused phenotypic alterations in tobacco	170
	CHAPTER 5 GENERAL DISCUSSION	171
	Summary of findings	173
	Tomato MADS-box 29	173
	Tomato MADS-box 10	174
	Conclusions	175
	BIBLIOGRAPHY	177
	APPENDICES	203

List of figures

Figure 1.1	Alignment of the MADS-box sequence motif of the first four members of the MADS-box family: MCM1, AG, DEF and SRF	2
Figure 1.2	A representation of the crystal structure of the myocyte enhancer factor 2 (MEF2) bound to DNA (Huang et al., 2000)	4
Figure 1.3	The structure of plant MADS-box proteins	6
Figure 1.4	A model showing the integrative role of <i>AGL20/SOC1</i> and the interaction of flowering pathways in <i>Arabidopsis</i> . [Figure adapted from Araki, T (2001)]	18
Figure 1.5	Schematic representation of the ABC model of floral organ identity [credit: Theißen, 2001a)	22
Figure 1.6	A representation of the ABCDE model of organ identity functions in <i>Arabidopsis</i> (credit: Theißen, 2001a)	24
Figure 2.1	Schematic diagrams of cloning vectors used in this study	55
Figure 3.1	Nucleotide sequence of the positive strand of <i>TM29</i> cDNA and derived amino acid sequence below	64
Figure 3.2	Sequence alignment of selected MADS-box proteins using clustal W analyses	68

Figure 3.3	Secondary structure of TM29 protein	70
Figure 3.4	An unrooted phylogenetic tree of selected MADS-box proteins	71
Figure 3.5	Map of <i>TM29</i>	73
Figure 3.6	Southern hybridisation of tomato genomic DNA digested with three enzymes, using <i>TM29</i> specific probe	75
Figure 3.7	Northern analyses of <i>TM29</i> gene expression	77
Figure 3.8	<i>In situ</i> hybridisation of <i>TM29</i> expression in tomato meristems	79
Figure 3.9	<i>TM29</i> RNA expression in wild type floral organ primordia	80
Figure 3.10	<i>TM29</i> expression in wild type tomato fruit tissues (6 d.p.a)	81
Figure 3.11	Construction of the T-DNA vectors used in transformation	85
Figure 3.12	Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was used to confirm transformed tomato lines	89
Figure 3.13	<i>TM29</i> transgenic flowers display morphogenetic alterations	91
Figure 3.14	Independent transgenic lines displayed a range of phenotypes	94
Figure 3.15	The sepals of transgenic flowers are partially fused and delay flower opening	95
Figure 3.16	Scanning electron micrographs of the epidermal layer of floral organs	96
Figure 3.17	Characteristic of transgenic stamens	99
Figure 3.18	Tomato flower and ovary of wild type (WT) and antisense (AS) plants at three developmental stages	102
Figure 3.19	SEM of ovary tissues	103
Figure 3.20	The types of fruit produced by tomato transgenic plants	105

Figure 3.21	Characteristics of transgenic fruit	107
Figure 3.22	The ectopic shoots produced leaves as well as flowers	109
Figure 3.23	Histological staining of flowers to show early stages of ectopic shoot	112
Figure 3.24	The expression of <i>TM29</i> sense and antisense transcripts in transgenic plants	114
Figure 3.25	The expressions of <i>TM5</i> and <i>TAG1</i> in <i>TM29</i> antisense transgenic flowers	117
Figure 3.26	GA ₃ application caused some phenotypic aberrations in flowers	120
Figure 3.27	Early flowering of transgenic tobacco plants	122
Figure 3.28	Abnormal flowers produced in <i>TM29</i> transgenic tobacco plants	125
Figure 3.29	Tobacco transgenic plants displayed sympodial-like shoot growth	126
Figure 3.30	<i>TM29</i> RNA levels in transgenic tobacco plants showing early flowering	127
Figure 4.1	Sequence map of <i>TM10</i> cDNA and derived amino acid residues	145
Figure 4.2	Alignment of selected MADS-box proteins using clustal W analyses	148
Figure 4.3	A cladogram of selected MADS-box proteins	149
Figure 4.4	Secondary structure of TM10 protein	150

Figure 4.5	Southern hybridisation of tomato genomic DNA digested with <i>Eco</i> RI (Lane 1), <i>Hind</i> III (Lane 2) and <i>Xba</i> I (Lane 3), using labelled TM10-specific probe	152
Figure 4.6	RT-PCR expression analyses of <i>TM10</i> in tomato tissues	154
Figure 4.7	RNA in situ hybridisation analysis of <i>TM10</i> expression in tomato tissues	156
Figure 4.8	PCR of transgenic tobacco plants	157
Figure 4.9	Phenotype of the aberrant T270S-15 tomato transgenic plant	159
Figure 4.10	Epidermal features of aberrant <i>TM10</i> transgenic tomato sepal	161
Figure 4.11	Northern analysis of <i>TM10</i> RNA in tomato transgenic lines	162
Figure 4.12	Transgenic tobacco plants displayed reduced apical dominance	165
Figure 4.13	Northern analysis of TM10 RNA in tobacco transgenic plants	166

List of tables

Table 2.1	Vectors used in this study	35
Table 2.2	General primers	35
Table 2.3	Primers for <i>TM29</i> analysis	36
Table 2.4	Primers for <i>TM10</i> analysis	37
Table 2.5	Buffer compositions	38
Table 2.6	Plant growth media	39
Table 3.1	Amino acid composition (%) of TM29 and closely related MADS-box proteins	66
Table 3.2	Homology (%) between TM29 and selected MADS-box proteins	67
Table 3.3	Expected fragments from enzyme digestions of vectors	84
Table 3.4	Expected fragment sizes (kb) from digestion of T-DNA vectors	84
Table 3.5	Transformation results	93
Table 3.6	Characteristics of transgenic lines showing altered phenotypes	93
Table 3.7	Effects of <i>TM29</i> downregulation on size transgenic floral organs	98
Table 3.8	Characteristics of tobacco transgenic plants expressing <i>TM29</i>	124
Table 4.1	Amino acid composition of TM10 and selected MADS-box proteins	147
Table 4.2	Characteristics of transgenic tobacco plants of <i>TM10</i>	163

List of Abbreviations

μg	microgram
μl	microlitre
μM	micromolar
A₂₆₀	absorbance at 260 nm
BA	Benzyl aminopurine
bp	basepairs
CaMV	Cauliflower mosaic virus
cm	centimetres
CTAB	cetyltrimethylammonium bromide
CTP	cytidine-5-triphosphate
cv	cultivar
d.p.a	days post-anthesis
dCTP	deoxycytidine-5-triphosphate
DEPC	diethylpyrocarbonate
DIG	digoxigenin
DMSO	dimethyl sulphoxide
DNA	deoxyribonucleic acid
dNTP	deoxy-nucleotide triphosphate
DTT	dithiothreitol
<i>E. coli</i>	<i>Escherichia coli</i>
EDTA	ethylene diaminetetraacetic acid
g	gram
GA	gibberellic acid
IBA	indoyl butyric acid
IPTG	isopropylthiogalactoside
kb	kilobasepairs
l	litre
LB	Luria Bertani
mg	milligram
mins	minutes

ml	millilitre
mM	millimolar
MOPS	N morpholino propane-sulfonic acid
mRNA	Messenger RNA
NAA	naphthalene acetic acid
ng	nanogram
nm	nanometer
nptII	neomycin phosphotransferase II
OD	optimal density
Oligo	Oligonucleotide
ORF	open reading frame
PBS	phosphate buffered saline
PCR	polymerase chain reaction
pH	-logarithm [H^+]
RACE	rapid amplification of cDNA ends
RNase	ribonuclease
RT-PCR	Reverse transcriptase-PCR
s	seconds
SDS	sodium dodecyl sulphate
TAE	Tris acetate ethylene diaminetetraacetic acid
T-DNA	transfer-DNA
TE	Tris ethylene diaminetetraacetic acid
Tris	tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane
UTR	untranslated region
UV	ultraviolet
V	Volts
v	volume
X-gal	5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indoyl- β -D-galactopyranoside
Z	zeatin