Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

MASSEY UNIVERSITY

INVESTIGATION OF HYGIENE ASPECTS OF PIG PROCESSING USING THE HACCP CONCEPT

A DISSERTATION PRESENTED IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT (25%)
OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF MASTER OF
VETERINARY STUDIES IN VETERINARY PUBLIC HEALTH
AT MASSEY UNIVERSITY

QUYNH N. VU MARCH, 1999

ABSTRACT

Contamination of fresh meat by pathogenic and spoilage microorganisms can occur at any stage of the slaughter process. Pathogens which are frequently found in fresh meat and which pose a public health problem include *Salmonella* spp. *Campylobacter* spp. and *Yersinia* spp. Contamination with spoilage bacteria affects the storage stability and shelf life of meats.

Factors that contribute to meat spoilage include physical damage, biochemical changes in the meat tissues and the activity of microorganisms, of which bacteria are undoubtedly the most important. Fresh meats present a rich medium for the support of microbial growth and will ultimately be rendered unacceptable to consumers as a consequence of spoilage due to such growth. The source of spoilage bacteria can be the slaughter animals themselves, the environment, water and personnel working in the processing plants.

This study was conducted to determine the effect of some processing operations on the level of contamination of the pig carcass with aerobic bacteria and to establish microbial quality control points based on the Hazards Analysis Critical Control Point (HACCP) principles. As a component of the HACCP system and a step in the setting up of an HACCP plan for microbial quality control of fresh carcass meat, this study aims at identifying hazards at various stages of processing, evaluating preventive measures and establishing critical control points. Where appropriate, corrective measures to ensure that bacterial contamination is within an acceptable level are recommended.

The study was carried out at a processing plant in the North Island of New Zealand during the period April to July 1998. Based on observations at the plant, a flow chart of pig processing was drawn up. A number of processing stages were selected as points where potential risks of bacterial contamination were

most likely to occur. These points initially included dehairing, polishing and scraping, evisceration, and inspection. Eight visits to the abattoir were made and a total of 32 paired swab samples from carcasses at each process stage were collected. With four process stages selected for sampling, the total number of samples was 128. In addition, 12 scalding tank water samples were collected for analysis. All samples were processed in the Microbiology Laboratory at Massey University. The aerobic plate count (APC) technique employing incubation at 30°C for 3 days was used for enumeration of aerobic bacteria. A matrix table was designed for entering APC data after each count. The mean of colony forming units per square cm (CFU/cm²) for pig carcass surfaces and CFU/ml for scalding water were calculated and log₁₀ transformation was performed.

The highest mean APC was found after the carcasses had passed the dehairing machine (5.1 \log_{10}/cm^2 , ST.D. = 0.57) and the lowest number before the dehairing step (4.31 \log_{10}/cm^2 , ST.D. = 0.61). A rapid increase in APC at the dehairing stage indicated a heavy recontamination of the pig carcass with bacteria from the equipment and from detritus accumulated during the operation. After the operation, the count gradually decreased to 4.4 \log_{10}/cm^2 , ST.D. = 0.38 at the post-evisceration point but then slightly rose again to 4.5 \log_{10}/cm^2 , ST.D. = 0.4 at the post-inspection step. The increase in the APC at the dehairing stage by 0.8 \log_{10}/cm^2 (p = 0.0002, n = 16) is significant. There was little change in the APC at the polishing and scraping and evisceration stages. There was an insignificant difference of 0.2 \log_{10}/cm^2 in the APC between samples taken at the start and at the end of the shift.

The scalding water temperature fluctuated between 60°C and 67.5 °C (mean = 63.2, n = 12). Bacterial contamination of the scalding water remained almost unchanged with time (2.55 log₁₀/ml at the beginning and 2.62 log₁₀/ml at the end of the shift). An expected inverse correlation between scalding water counts and water temperature could not be verified.

Although this study is confined to the microbiological assessment of only a few operational stages that can contribute to the storage quality of fresh pork, the results showed that recontamination of the pig carcass at the dehairing stage is serious and may pose potential safety and quality hazards. Control of bacterial contamination at this step is likely to have a beneficial effect on the microbial quality and safety of the final products. A quality Critical Control Point should be established at the dehairing step which can be considered as a safety CCP as well. However, some technological modification at this step such as installation of hot water showers to make the operation "specifically designed", may be needed to meet the criteria for establishing a CCP. At the polishing and scraping step the results of the study indicated a slight decline in bacterial numbers, provided that brushing and washing of the carcasses was done properly. Any deviation from the normal procedure e.g. inadequate water supply to the brush and scraping table, reduced frequency of hand and knife washing, or increased frequency of touching the carcass by the worker's hands, is likely to result in an increased level of bacterial contamination. Monitoring measures and corrective actions at this stage could be crucial for maintaining an effective CCP. At the evisceration step, preventive measures such as plugging or tying the anus should be considered. This step could be an important CCP for both quality and safety. Further investigations are required to assess the effect of meat inspection procedures on the spread of bacteria from multiple incisions of lymph nodes, internal organs and tonsils. If this step were to be considered a CCP, it would mainly have safety implications.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First of all, I would like to express my sincere thanks to the New Zealand Government for providing me with the NZODA Postgraduate Scholarship which enabled me to pursue a Master of Veterinary Study degree at Massey University. I would also like to thank the International Students' Office of the Massey University, especially Mr. Charles Chua, Ms. Dianne Fountaine-Cody, and Ms. Magaret Smillie for their very enthusiastic assistance during my study and stay in New Zealand.

I would like to express my gratefulness to Prof. Colin R. Wilks for his assistance and guidance at the very start of my study. His enthusiasm in teaching, supporting and encouraging me during my first year of hard study has left a wonderful impression in my memory about Massey University and, at that time, the Department of Veterinary Pathology and Public Health.

I am very grateful to my supervisors Mr. Per Madie and Dr. Stan Fenwick for their role in guiding me through the study period. Their friendship, guidance, assistance and encouragement have contributed greatly to my academic development.

Also many thanks to Dr. Dirk Pfeiffer and Prof. Roger Morris of the EpiCentre, Massey University, for their teaching in Animal Health Investigation and their valuable advices and comments on my study design and data analysis.

With gratitude and appreciation, I would also like to acknowledge the following:

Assoc. Prof. Andrew L. Wizard, Mackinnon Project, Melbourne University for introducing me to Massey University, his assistance and encouragement in selecting the study subject, and his very faithful friendship.

Mr. Peter Wildbore, Ms. Jan Schrama, Ms. Magda Gwozdz, Ms. Kylie Walker for their friendly assistance during my laboratory work.

The Manager and staff at the Lakeview Processing Plant in Levin especially Mr. Mark Neilson, Supervising Meat Inspector, for their helpful assistance and friendship during collection of samples at the plant.

Mrs. Alain Scott and Mrs. Wendy Graham for their administrative assistance.

My thanks to my dear son, Quang Vu for his love and trust, and for being alongside me during these two long years of study in New Zealand. Also my parents, brother, sisters, in-laws and colleagues in Vietnam are greatly acknowledged for their moral support and encouragement.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|--|------|
| ABSTRACT | i |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENT | iv |
| TABLE OF CONTENTS | vi |
| LIST OF TABLES | ix |
| LIST OF FIGURES | x |
| CHAPTER I: GENERAL INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| CHAPTER II: LITERATURE REVIEW | 4 |
| PROCESSING OF PIGS | 4 |
| Pre-slaughter Lairage | 4 |
| Stunning of Pigs | 6 |
| Bleeding | 8 |
| Scalding | 8 |
| Dehairing | 10 |
| Singeing, Scraping and Polishing | 11 |
| Evisceration | 12 |
| BACTERIAL CONTAMINATION OF PIG CARCASSES | 13 |
| Sources of Bacterial Contamination | 13 |
| Pathogenic Bacteria | 17 |
| ATTACHMENT OF BACTERIA TO CARCASS SKIN | 24 |
| FACTORS AFFECTING BACTERIAL CONTAMINATION OF | |
| CARCASS MEAT | 26 |
| Microbial growth | 26 |
| Nutrition | 27 |
| Temperature | 28 |
| Moisture | 29 |

| | Oxygen | 29 |
|-----------------|---|----|
| | Hydrogen Ion Concentration | 29 |
| | Microbial Death | 30 |
| | Effect of Processing Procedures in Pork Meat | 31 |
| SPOIL | AGE OF MEAT | 32 |
| | Definition | 32 |
| | Type of Spoilage | 33 |
| | Factors Affecting Microbial Spoilage | 35 |
| | The Meat Substrate | 35 |
| | Bacterial Contamination | 36 |
| | Interactions between Microorganisms | 40 |
| INDIC | ATOR ORGANISMS | 41 |
| | Indicators of Microbial Quality | 42 |
| | Indicators of Food-borne Pathogens and Toxins | 44 |
| | Enteric Indicator Bacteria | 44 |
| | Other Indicators | 46 |
| THE A | AEROBIC PLATE COUNT (APC) AS AN INDEX | |
| OF MEAT QUALITY | | 46 |
| | The Spread Plate Method | 50 |
| | The Pour Plate Method | 50 |
| | The Drop Plate Method | 50 |
| | The Agar Droplet Method | 51 |
| | The Spiral Plate Method | 51 |
| HACC | P PRINCIPLES IN THE MEAT INDUSTRY | 52 |
| | HACCP Principles | 54 |
| | Hazards | 54 |
| | Preventative Measures | 56 |
| | Critical Control Points (CCP) | 57 |
| | Critical Limits | 59 |
| | Monitoring | 60 |
| | Record Keeping | 61 |

| APPLICATION OF HACCP PRINCIPLES IN DETERMINATION | |
|--|----|
| OF MICROBIAL QUALITY CRITICAL CONTROL POINTS | |
| IN PIG PROCESSING | 61 |
| CHAPTER III: DETERMINATION OF CRITICAL CONTROL | |
| POINTS ON A PIG SLAUGHTER LINE | 63 |
| INTRODUCTION | 63 |
| MATERIALS AND METHODS | 64 |
| Study design | 64 |
| Sampling plan | 67 |
| Aerobic Plate Count | 70 |
| RESULTS AND DISCUSSION | 72 |
| Scalding Water | 73 |
| Dehairing | 74 |
| Changes of APC'c with Process Time | 76 |
| Scraping and Polishing | 77 |
| Evisceration | 78 |
| Inspection | 80 |
| CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS | 81 |
| APPENDIX | 85 |
| DEEEDENCES | 90 |

LIST OF TABLES

| | Page |
|--|------|
| | |
| Table 1. Classification of Bacteria According to | |
| Temperature Requirements for Growth | 28 |
| Table 2. Heat Resistance and Growth Range for | |
| Selected Bacteria from Pigs and Environment | 32 |
| Table 3. Logarithmic Means of APC of Pig Carcasses | |
| At Different Processing Steps and of Scalding | |
| Water | 72 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| | Page |
|--|------|
| Figure 1. Growth Curve of Bacteria | 27 |
| Figure 2. The CCP Decision Tree | 58 |
| Figure 3. Flowchart of Pig Processing | 73 |
| Figure 4. Sampling Plan for APC Assessment | 69 |
| Figure 5. APC's of Pig Carcass Skin at Six Processing Steps | 73 |
| Figure 6. APC and Temperature of Scalding Water | 74 |
| Figure 7. APC's before and after Dehairing | 75 |
| Figure 8. Diffrences in APC's between Start and End of the Shift | |
| at Six Different Processing Steps | 76 |
| Figure 9. Changes of APC's between Pre- and Post-Scraping | |
| and Polishing Operations | 77 |
| Figure 10. Differences in APC between Pre- and Post-Evisceration | |
| Operations | 79 |
| Figure 11. Changes of APC's before and after Inspection | 80 |