

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

N₂O synthesis by microalgae: Pathways, significance and mitigations

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

in Environmental Engineering

At Massey University, Palmerston North, New Zealand

Maxence Plouviez

2017



MASSEY
UNIVERSITY

Abstract

Over the last decades, various studies have reported the occurrence of emissions of nitrous oxide (N_2O) from aquatic ecosystems characterised by a high level of algal activity (e.g. eutrophic lakes) as well as from algal cultures representative of the processes used by the algae biotechnology industry. As N_2O is a potent greenhouse gas (GHG) and ozone depleting pollutant, these findings suggest that large scale microalgae cultivation (and possibly, eutrophic ecosystems) could contribute to the global N_2O budget. Considering the current rapid development of microalgal biotechnologies and the ubiquity of microalgae in the environment, this PhD research was undertaken to determine the biochemical pathway of microalgal N_2O synthesis and evaluate the potential significance of microalgal N_2O emissions with regard to climate change.

To determine the pathway of N_2O synthesis in microalgae, *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* and its associated mutants were incubated in short-term (24 h) laboratory *in vitro* batch assays. For the first time, axenic *C. reinhardtii* cultures (i.e. culture free of other microorganisms such as bacteria) fed nitrite (NO_2^-) were shown to synthesise N_2O under aerobic conditions. The results evidenced that N_2O synthesis involves 1) NO_2^- reduction into nitric oxide (NO), followed by 2) NO reduction into N_2O by nitric oxide reductase (NOR). With regard to the first step, the results show that NO_2^- reduction into NO could be catalysed by the dual system nitrate reductase-amidoxime reducing component (NR-ARC) and the mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase (COX). Based on our experimental evidence and published literature, we hypothesise that N_2O is

synthesised via NR-ARC-mediated NO_2^- reduction under physiological conditions (i.e. low/moderate intracellular NO_2^-) but that under NO_2^- stress (i.e. induced by high intracellular NO_2^-), N_2O synthesis involves both NR-ARC-mediated and COX-mediated NO_2^- reductions. RNA sequencing analysis on *C. reinhardtii* samples confirmed that the genes encoding ARC, COX and NOR were expressed in NO_2^- -laden culture, although NO_2^- addition did not trigger significant transcriptomic regulation of these genes. We therefore hypothesise that the microalgal N_2O pathway may be involved in NO regulation in microalgae where NOR acts as a security valve to get rid of excess NO (or NO_2^-).

To evaluate N_2O emissions during microalgal cultivation, N_2O emissions were quantified during the long term outdoor cultivation of commercially relevant microalgae species (*Chlorella vulgaris*, *Neochloris* sp. and *Arthrospira platensis*) in 50 L pilot scale tubular photobioreactors (92 days) and during secondary wastewater treatment in a 1000 L high rate algal pond (365 days). Highly variable N_2O emissions were recorded from both systems ($0.0 - 38 \mu\text{mol N}_2\text{O} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{h}^{-1}$, $n = 510$ from the 50 L photobioreactors; $0.008 - 28 \mu\text{mol N}_2\text{O} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{h}^{-1}$, $n = 50$ from the high rate algal pond). Based on these data, we estimated that the large scale cultivation of microalgae for biofuel production in order to, for example, replace 30% of USA transport fuel with algal-derived biofuel (i.e. a commonly used sustainability target), could generate N_2O emissions representing up to 10% of the currently budgeted global anthropogenic N_2O emissions. In contrast, N_2O emissions from the microalgae-based pond systems commonly used for wastewater treatment would represent less than 2% of the currently budgeted global N_2O emissions from wastewater treatment. As emission factors to

predict N₂O emissions during microalgae cultivation and microalgae-based wastewater treatment are currently lacking in Intergovernmental Panel for Climate Change methodologies, we estimated these values to 0.1 – 0.4% (0.02 – 0.11 g N-N₂O·m⁻³·d⁻¹) of the N load on synthetic media (NO₃⁻) during commercial cultivation and 0.04 – 0.45% (0.002 – 0.02 g N-N₂O·m⁻³·d⁻¹) of the N load during wastewater treatment. The accuracy of the emission factors estimated is still uncertain due to the variability in the N₂O emissions recorded and by consequence further research is needed. Nevertheless, further monitoring showed that the use of ammonium as N source and/or the cultivation of microalgae species lacking the ability to generate N₂O (e.g. *A. platensis*) could provide simple mitigation solutions.

Acknowledgments

“Who would become a Padawan without his Jedi master?”

I thought that starting my acknowledgements with this metaphor is a suitable way of describing the importance of my main supervisor, Prof Benoit Guieysse, whom I would like to acknowledge first and foremost and also thank for his wonderful guidance, motivation and amazing supervision throughout my journey as a PhD student. I would also like to acknowledge my co-supervisors: Prof Andy Shilton for his excellent advice and teaching methods, which have been challenging at times but always in the benefit of success and Dr Mike Packer for his expertise on microalgal cultivation and biology as well as for his advice on editing.

Many thanks to Massey University staff: Mrs Ann Marie Jackson who was always really understanding and helpful with experimental requirements; Mr. John Edwards and Mr. John Sykes who helped me solve technical issues; Glenda Rosoman and Michel Wagner for their efficient and friendly help with all of the administrative aspects of my PhD and Julia Good, Judy Farrand-Collins, Nereda Corbett, Kylie Evans as well as the whole school of engineering and advanced technology (SEAT) workshop team: Anthony Wade, Morio Fukuoka, Kerry Griffiths, Ian Thomas and Clive Bardell.

Particular thanks go out to Trish McLenachan for her assistance on genomics analysis that helped me to obtain key results throughout my PhD and to Nihal Jayamaha for his great expertise and advices on statistical analysis. I also wish to thank Dr Dave

Wheeler, Dr Emanuel Sanz-Luque and Prof Emilio Fernandez for their collaboration and contribution to this project which has led to valuable results.

A big thank you to Dr Quentin Béchet for his amazing help and who was always there for me as the “senior” PhD student. Many thanks to all of my colleagues with who I shared many laughs and interesting (sometimes surprising) scientific discussions/debates: Aidan Crimp; Matthew Sell, Paul Chambonnière, Roland Schaap and Zane Norvil. Thank you to the part-time team members, our interns, who have been of a great help. I am thinking of Quentin Frigeri, Helene Thuret-Benoist, Qiao Wang, Emilie Alaux, Romain Lebrun and Mathilde Lippi.

I also wish to thank the Royal Society of New Zealand (Marsden fund MAU1102) for financially supporting my doctoral scholarship.

Finally, I could never express enough gratitude to all of my friends and family for their support. I would especially like to thank my father Yoland Plouviez and my mother Marie-Pierre Capillon without whom I could not realise all of this and also for their trust and support during my studies. Another thank you goes to my uncle Serge Weyenbergh and my fiancée Carina Svensson who have continuously believed in me and supported me during my studies.

My last thank you goes to my beautiful daughter Maeli who illuminates my life and has given me the strength to finish up performing at my best.

Table of contents

Abstract	iii
Acknowledgments	vi
Table of contents	viii
List of illustrations	xiii
List of tables	xvi
Structure of the thesis	xvii
List of papers and contributions.....	xviii
Thesis introduction	1
List of abbreviations	3
 Chapter 1. Literature review	 5
1.1 Introduction.....	7
1.2 N ₂ O emissions from microalgae-based (eco)systems	11
1.3 Potential significance of N ₂ O emissions from microalgae-based (eco)systems.....	18
1.3.1 Eutrophic lakes.....	18
1.3.2 Microalgae cultivation for biofuel production	19
1.3.3 Unknown ‘unknowns’	20
1.4 Conclusions	22
 Chapter 2. Microalgal N ₂ O pathway.....	 29
2.1 Introduction.....	32
2.2 Materials and methods	34
2.2.1 Strains selection and maintenance.....	34
2.2.2 General protocol used during <i>in vitro</i> batch assays.....	36
2.2.3 Inhibition assays.....	37
2.2.3.1 Nitrate reductase.....	37

2.2.3.2 Nitric Oxide Synthase (NOS).....	37
2.2.3.3 Cytochrome c oxidase (COX)	38
2.2.4 Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR)	38
2.2.5 RNA-sequencing	38
2.2.5.1 Batch assays	38
2.2.5.2 RNA sample preparations and bioinformatics	39
2.2.6 N ₂ O measurement.....	40
2.2.7 NO detection	41
2.2.8 Quality controls and data analysis.....	41
2.3 Results and discussion.....	43
2.3.1 N ₂ O emissions from axenic <i>C. reinhardtii</i> cultures	43
2.3.2 NO ₂ ⁻ acts as substrate during microalgal N ₂ O synthesis	47
2.3.3 NO is a key intermediate during N ₂ O synthesis.....	51
2.3.4 Short-term N ₂ O synthesis involves NR but late synthesis involves other enzymes..	56
2.3.5 NR and NiR activities impacts N ₂ O synthesis under NO ₃ ⁻ supply	59
2.3.6 Late N ₂ O synthesis involves NO ₂ ⁻ reduction to NO by mitochondrial COX	62
2.3.7 Possible role of hemoglobins during N ₂ O synthesis in <i>C. reinhardtii</i>	64
2.3.8 N ₂ O synthesis by <i>C. reinhardtii</i> involves NO reduction into N ₂ O by NOR	65
2.3.9 RNA-seq based transcriptomics suggest that microalgal N ₂ O synthesis is a side reaction of NO metabolism	68
2.4 Implications and integration of findings to new knowledge	73
2.4.1 Biological implications.....	73
2.4.2 Environmental implications	74
2.5 Conclusions	77
Chapter 3. Evaluation of potential microalgal N ₂ O emissions in engineered systems	83
3.1 N ₂ O emissions from commercial microalgae species cultivated in 50 L photobioreactors	88
3.1.1 Introduction	89

3.1.2 Materials and methods	91
3.1.2.1. Microalgae species and inoculum preparation	91
3.1.2.2 PBR design and operation	92
3.1.2.3 Liquid sampling	93
3.1.2.4 Gaseous sampling and N ₂ O measurements	94
3.1.2.5 Analytical procedures.....	95
3.1.2.6 Microsensors for dissolved N ₂ O measurement	96
3.1.3 Results and discussion.....	97
3.1.3.1 N ₂ O emissions from microalgae cultivated in 50 L PBRs	97
3.1.3.2 Potential significance of N ₂ O emissions during algal biofuel production.....	112
3.1.3.3 N ₂ O emission factors.....	113
3.1.3.4 Mitigation strategies	114
3.1.4 Conclusions	116
3.2 N ₂ O emissions from HRAP fed real domestic wastewater	117
3.2.1 Introduction	118
3.2.2 Materials and methods	120
3.2.2.1 1000 L high rate algal pond (HRAP) design and operation	120
3.2.2.2 Sampling and N ₂ O measurements	121
3.2.2.3 Analytical procedures.....	123
3.2.3 Results and discussion.....	124
3.2.3.1 N ₂ O emissions from a HRAP	124
3.2.3.2 N ₂ O emission factors.....	130
3.2.3.3 Significance of N ₂ O emissions from a pilot HRAP fed with domestic wastewater	131
3.2.4 Conclusions	134
3.3 Relevance of the monitoring studies	135
Chapter 4. Conclusions and future prospects	141
4.1 Conclusions	142

4.2 Future prospects	147
Appendices	151
Appendix A. Description of the cultivation medium used during this PhD.....	152
Appendix B. <i>Chlamydomonas reinhardtii</i> NOR ami-strains construction.....	155
Appendix C. Nitrite toxicity assays.....	157
Appendix D. Polymerase Chain Reaction analysis from <i>Chlamydomonas reinhardtii</i> samples	159
Appendix E. RNA sequencing: Optimisation, results summary and supplementary results	165
Appendix F. Gas measurement, pressure correction and GC calibration.....	173
Appendix G. Data analysis of the positive and negative controls performed during batch assays.....	179
Appendix H. Summary of the data analysis from the batch assays experiments	184
Appendix I. N ₂ O synthesis of various <i>Chlamydomonas reinhardtii</i> strains.....	189
Appendix J. Dynamic experiment with <i>Chlamydomonas reinhardtii</i> 6145c cultures in indoor 2 L bench scale tubular photobioreactors.....	191
Appendix K. NO generation during N ₂ O synthesis in <i>Chlamydomonas reinhardtii</i>	193
Appendix L. N ₂ O synthesis by <i>Chlamydomonas reinhardtii</i> pre-cultivated with tungstate, a molybdenum enzyme inhibitor.....	197
Appendix M. N ₂ O synthesis by <i>Chlamydomonas reinhardtii</i> under anoxia	199
Appendix N. Conferences abstracts	201
Appendix O. Summary of the operational parameters from the monitoring study in 50 L photobioreactors.....	203
Appendix P. Controls and statistical analyses performed on N ₂ O measurements from the N ₂ O monitoring.....	204
Appendix Q. Preliminary work with N ₂ O and NO specific microsensors	208
Appendix R. Correlation between dry weight, solar irradiance, ambient air temperature, broth temperature, NO ₃ ⁻ concentration, NO ₂ ⁻ concentration and N ₂ O production during <i>Chlorella vulgaris</i> cultivation in 50 L PBRs.	215

Appendix S. Statistical analysis of the influence of environmental and operational parameters on N ₂ O emissions from <i>Chlorella vulgaris</i> cultivated in 50 L PBRs fed NO ₃ ⁻	218
Appendix T. Correlation between dry cell weight (DCW), optical density (OD) and cell number from <i>microalgae</i> cultures	228
Appendix U. Daily N ₂ O evolution from 2 <i>Chlorella vulgaris</i> cultures in 50 L photobioreactors in August 2014	234
Appendix V. Daily pattern between N ₂ O production and light irradiance recorded during <i>Neochloris</i> cultivation in 50 L PBRs.....	235
Appendix W. Correlation between monthly averaged N ₂ O production and monthly averaged biomass specific light availability	236
Appendix X. N ₂ O production by <i>Chlorella vulgaris</i> cultures in 2 L bench scale reactors when fed NH ₄ ⁺ or NO ₃ ⁻ supplied with 0.5 mM NO ₂ ⁻	239
Appendix Y: Data distribution (dry weight, light intensity, air temperature and N ₂ O production) over the data range chosen for simulation (first and third quartile of N ₂ O production during <i>Chlorella vulgaris</i> cultivation in 50 L PBRs fed NO ₃ ⁻).....	241
Appendix Z. N ₂ O quantification from a HRAP treating domestic wastewater.....	242
Appendix AA. Distribution of the N ₂ O production measured from HRAP microcosms and statistical analysis of the data between operation at 7 and 10 days HRT	245
Statements of contribution to doctoral thesis containing publications	247

List of illustrations

Figure 1.1: Common N ₂ O pathways a) N ₂ O synthesis by bacteria adapted from Wrage et al, (2001) N ₂ O synthesis during nitrification (solid line), denitrification (dot dash line) and nitrifier-denitrification (dashed line). The overlaps between the processes show the possible link occurring in particular environments such as coupled nitrification-denitrification at the aerobic/anaerobic interface; b) Fungal denitrification pathway.....	13
Figure 1.2: Nitrate assimilation in microalgae and N ₂ O putative pathways (bold).....	17
Figure 2.1: N ₂ O produced (average nmole ± SD) in triplicates of sealed batch cultures of <i>C. reinhardtii</i> 6145c (initial DCW of 0.22 g-DCW·L ⁻¹) with or without 10 mM NO ₂ ⁻ supplementation and incubated for 24 h in darkness or illumination; sterile N-free medium with or without NO ₂ ⁻ supplementation; and autoclaved culture of <i>C. reinhardtii</i> 6145c supplied with 10 mM NO ₂ ⁻ and incubated in darkness.	43
Figure 2.2: N ₂ O production (average nmol·g-DCW ⁻¹ ± SD) by triplicate cultures of <i>C. reinhardtii</i> 6145c at 3 different initial cellular concentrations (0.1, 0.25, 0.4 g-DCW·L ⁻¹) incubated for 24 h with 10 mM NO ₂ ⁻ in darkness.	44
Figure 2.3: N ₂ O production (nmol·g-DCW ⁻¹) between a) 0 – 5 h and b) 4 – 52 h by <i>C. reinhardtii</i> 6145c (initial DCW of 0.22 g-DCW·L ⁻¹) supplied with 10 mM NO ₂ ⁻ incubated in darkness.	46
Figure 2.4: Diagram summarising the findings from Section 2.3.1: N ₂ O emissions from axenic <i>C. reinhardtii</i> cultures.	47
Figure 2.5: N ₂ O production (nmol·g-DCW ⁻¹) by cultures of <i>C. reinhardtii</i> 6145c (initial DCW of 0.22 g-DCW·L ⁻¹) supplied with either 10 mM NO ₂ ⁻ or NO ₃ ⁻ or NH ₄ ⁺ and incubated in darkness or under illumination for 24 h.	48
Figure 2.6: N ₂ O production (average nmol·g-DCW ⁻¹ ± SD) by triplicate cultures of <i>C. reinhardtii</i> 6145c (initial DCW of 0.25 g-DCW·L ⁻¹) incubated for 24 h in darkness supplied with 3, 6, 12, or 24 mM NO ₂ ⁻	49
Figure 2.7: N ₂ O production (average nmol·g-DCW ⁻¹ ± SD) by triplicate culture of <i>C. reinhardtii</i> 6145c (initial DCW of 0.25 g-DCW·L ⁻¹) supplied with either NO ₂ ⁻ 10 mM, or L-arg 10 mM, or L-Arg and NO ₂ ⁻ at 10 mM, or L-arg, NNA and NO ₂ ⁻ at 10 mM or NNA and NO ₂ ⁻ at 10 mM and incubated for 24 h in darkness.....	50
Figure 2.8: Diagram summarising the findings from Section 2.3.2: NO ₂ ⁻ acts as substrate during microalgal N ₂ O synthesis.....	51

Figure 2.9: Diagram summarising the findings from Section 2.3.3: NO is a key intermediate during N ₂ O synthesis.....	56
Figure 2.10: N ₂ O production (nmol·g-DCW ⁻¹) between 0 – 15 min; 15 min – 3 h; 3 – 24 h <i>C. reinhardtii</i> 6145c (initial DCW of 0.25 g-DCW·L ⁻¹) and NR deficient mutant 2929 (initial DCW of 0.25 g-DCW·L ⁻¹) supplied with 10 mM NO ₂ ⁻ and incubated in darkness. See Appendix H, Table H.1 and Table H.2, for the results from all replicates.....	58
Figure 2.11: N ₂ O production (average nmol·g-DCW ⁻¹ ± SD) by triplicate cultures of <i>C. reinhardtii</i> NiR mutant M3 (initial DCW of 0.25 g-DCW·L ⁻¹) supplied with either NO ₂ ⁻ or NO ₃ ⁻ at 10 mM and incubated for 24 h in darkness or under illumination.....	59
Figure 2.12: Diagram summarising the findings from section 2.3.4: Short-term N ₂ O synthesis involves NR but late synthesis involves other enzymes in <i>C. reinhardtii</i> , and 2.3.5: NR and NiR activities impacts N ₂ O synthesis under NO ₃ ⁻ supply.....	61
Figure 2.13: N ₂ O production (average nmol·g-DCW ⁻¹ ± SD) by triplicate cultures of <i>C. reinhardtii</i> 6145c (0.25 g·L ⁻¹) and cultures of <i>C. reinhardtii</i> 2929 (0.25 g·L ⁻¹) supplied 10 mM NO ₂ ⁻ and 2 mM KCN (“CN ⁻ ”) against CN ⁻ - free cultures (“control”); all cultures were incubated for 24 h in darkness.....	63
Figure 2.14: Diagram summarising the findings from Section 2.3.6: Late N ₂ O synthesis involves NO ₂ ⁻ reduction to NO by mitochondrial COX and Section 2.3.7: Possible role of hemoglobins during N ₂ O synthesis in <i>C. reinhardtii</i>	65
Figure 2.15: N ₂ O production (nmol·g-DCW ⁻¹) by triplicate cultures of <i>C. reinhardtii</i> 704 (initial DCW of 0.25 g-DCW·L ⁻¹) and amiCYP55 (CYP55-silenced) mutant (initial DCW of 0.25 g-DCW·L ⁻¹) supplied with 10 mM NO ₂ ⁻ and incubated for 24 h in darkness.....	66
Figure 2.16: Diagram summarising the findings from Section 2.3.8: N ₂ O synthesis by <i>C. reinhardtii</i> involves NO reduction into N ₂ O by NOR.....	67
Figure 2.17: N ₂ O synthesis in <i>C. reinhardtii</i> . The dashed box represents the new knowledge introduced to the field of microalgal biochemistry. NR = nitrate reductase, NR-ARC = dual system of NR and ARC, also called NR-NOFNiR, NiR = nitrite reductase, GS = Glutamine synthase, AA = amino acid; NOR = nitric oxide reductase; COX = Cytochrome c oxidase, THB1 = hemoglobin 1, ? = putative molecule).....	76
Figure 3.1: <i>C. vulgaris</i> cultivated in 50 L bubble column photobioreactors (2 m × 0.19 m inner diameter, 1 m ² of illuminated area as described by Béchet et al. (2010))......	93
Figure 3.2: Histograms of dry cell weight (g-DCW·L ⁻¹), solar irradiance (W·m ⁻²), air temperature (°C), broth temperature (°C), and N ₂ O production (nmol·m ⁻² ·h ⁻¹) measured during <i>C. vulgaris</i> cultivation in 50 L photobioreactors.....	101

Figure 3.3: N ₂ O production (nmol·m ⁻² ·h ⁻¹) as a function of daily biomass concentration (g-DCW·L ⁻¹) for each daily DCW population decile (in each decile n = 32).....	103
Figure 3.4: N ₂ O production (nmol·m ⁻² ·h ⁻¹) as a function of predicted instantaneous biomass productivities (kg-DW·s ⁻¹) for each <i>C. vulgaris</i> cultures (PBRs 1 – 4) from the monitoring performed in June 2012.....	106
Figure 3.5: a) N ₂ O production (nmol·m ⁻² ·h ⁻¹) as a function of solar irradiance (W·m ⁻²) for each solar irradiance population decile (in each decile n = 32). b) Weekly averaged N ₂ O production (nmol·m ⁻² ·h ⁻¹) as a function of weekly averaged solar irradiance (W·m ⁻²).....	107
Figure 3.6: Changes in N ₂ O production (black ◇, nmol·m ⁻² ·h ⁻¹) and solar irradiance (×, W m ⁻²) during <i>C. vulgaris</i> cultivation in 50 L PBR: the shaded area (75 – 300 min) represents the time when the reactor was shaded from the sun.....	108
Figure 3.7: N ₂ O production (nmol·m ⁻² ·h ⁻¹) recorded during <i>C. vulgaris</i> cultivation in 50 L PBR and supplemented with 2 mM NO ₂ ⁻ (the arrow indicates when NO ₂ ⁻ was added) or kept free of external NO ₂ ⁻ as control.....	110
Figure 3.8: a) N ₂ O production (nmol·m ⁻² ·h ⁻¹) as a function of air temperature (°C) for each air temperature population decile (in each decile n = 32). b) N ₂ O emissions (nmol·m ⁻² ·h ⁻¹) as a function of broth temperature (°C) for each broth temperature population decile (in each decile n = 18).	112
Figure 3.9: 1000 L HRAP fed primary wastewater.....	120
Figure 3.10: a) N ₂ O production (nmol·m ⁻² ·h ⁻¹) from weekly samples when the HRAP was operated at 10 days HRT. b) N ₂ O production (nmol·m ⁻² ·h ⁻¹) from weekly samples when the HRAP was operated at 7 days HRT (the error bars represent the (Max – Min)/2 value between duplicates).	125
Figure 3.11: N ₂ O production (nmol·m ⁻² ·h ⁻¹) against TSS (mg·L ⁻¹), NO ₂ ⁻ (mg·L ⁻¹), pH, solar irradiance (W·m ⁻²), T (°C), and DO (mg·L ⁻¹) during wastewater treatment in a HRAP operated at 10 and 7 days HRT.....	127
Figure 3.12: NO ₃ ⁻ (mg·L ⁻¹), NO ₂ ⁻ (mg·L ⁻¹) and NH ₄ ⁺ (mg·L ⁻¹) concentrations in the filtered HRAP microalgal/bacterial suspension.....	129
Figure 3.13: a) Comparison of N ₂ O emission factors documented in the literature and estimated from the N ₂ O measured from a 1000 L HRAP. b) IPCC methodology to estimate N ₂ O emissions from wastewater.	131

List of tables

Table 1.1: N ₂ O emissions reported from studies acknowledging algal N ₂ O synthesis (chronological order of publication).	9
Table 1.2: Biological, environmental, operational and design parameters potentially triggering microalgal N ₂ O emissions	21
Table 2.1: Reference strain, wildtype, and mutant strains used during this study (strain numbers refer to the number given by <i>Chlamydomonas</i> centre http://chlamycollection.org). ..	35
Table 2.2: Fluorescence of <i>C. reinhardtii</i> 6145c cells incubated with DAF FM Diacetate and supplied NO ₂ ⁻ . Under the hypothesis that NO ₂ ⁻ biological reduction yielded NO; red text shows negative control (when DAF FM diacetate and/or NO ₂ ⁻ were not present), whereas the green text show the treatment (DAF FM diacetate and NO ₂ ⁻ were both present). Microscopic photographs were taken with a Micropublisher 5 colour CCD camera (QImaging, Canada)....	54
Table 2.3: Log2FC between control and treatment groups of candidate genes potentially involved in microalgal N ₂ O synthesis. Numbers in parenthesis represent mean normalised counts..	69
Table 3.1: N ₂ O emissions recorded during microalgae cultivation in 50 L column photobioreactors (n = sampling size for N ₂ O measurements). Summary of operational parameters can be found in Appendix O.	98

Structure of the thesis

This thesis is based on manuscripts that have been published in, accepted in or ready to be submitted for publication in international peer-reviewed journals (Chapter 1 – 3). Some of the key results have also been peer-reviewed and accepted for presentation in international conferences (Chapter 3). The content of Chapter 1-3 therefore supports the thesis conclusions discussed in Chapter 4.

To link the chapters together and illustrate the logic to achieve the research objectives; a preface is included at the beginning of Chapter 1–3. The content of the chapters is the same as the manuscripts they are based on; however, in some cases supporting information is given to improve clarity. For example, in Chapter 2 supplementary figures have been added in the core of the chapter to make the reading easier by directly showing all the evidences supporting each conclusion.

The relevant publications for each chapter are presented in the next section. The structure of this thesis complies with Massey University guidelines for doctoral thesis by publication, 2015.

List of papers and contributions

Chapter 1

Plouviez, M.; Shilton, A.; Packer, M.; Guieysse, B. Nitrous oxide emissions from microalgae: Potential pathways and significance. (*Under preparation*)

Chapter 2

Plouviez, M.; Wheeler, D.; Shilton, A.; Packer, M., A.; McLenachan, P.A.; Sanz-Luque, E.; Francisco, O-C.; Fernández, E.; and Guieysse, B. The biosynthesis of nitrous oxide in the green algae *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. (*Published in the Plant Journal Plant J. doi:10.1111/tpj.13544*).

Chapter 3

Plouviez, M.; Shilton, A.; Packer, M.; Thuret-Benoist H.; Alaux, E.; Guieysse, B. Nitrous oxide (N₂O) emissions from microalgae cultures in 50 L photobioreactors. (*Accepted (with revisions) in Algal Research*).

Some of the key results discussed in Chapter 3 were also presented at the following conferences:

- Biorefinery for Food & Fuels & Materials, Montpellier Supagro, France (June 2015): Plouviez, M.; Guieysse, B.; Shilton, A.; Packer, M.; Thuret-Benoist, H.; Alaux, E. N₂O (Nitrous oxide) emissions during full-scale microalgae cultivation outdoors.
- International Water Association, Ecotechnologies for wastewater treatment, Cambridge, United Kingdom (June 2016): Plouviez, M.; Posadas, E.; Lebrun,

R.; Munoz, R.; Guieysse, B. Direct and indirect N₂O emissions during secondary domestic wastewater treatment in a pilot-scale high rate algal pond.

Maxence Plouviez was the main contributor and lead author on all the papers and also presented at the conference Biorefinery for Food & Fuels & Materials. While Maxence Plouviez designed and conducted all the experimental work and analysed the results, his supervisors offered advice and help editing papers (the statements of contribution to doctoral thesis containing publications can be found at the end of the appendices).

Thesis introduction

In recent years, billions of dollars have been invested in microalgal biotechnologies¹ with the main belief that microalgae-based products (e.g. biofuels, animal feed) and services (e.g. pollution control) have intrinsic low carbon footprints. This is, however, without considering that microalgae can generate the potent greenhouse gas and ozone depleting pollutant, nitrous oxide (N₂O)². Although carbon neutrality may be achieved via the recycling of atmospheric carbon dioxide (CO₂) during photosynthesis, N₂O emissions during microalgal cultivation have not yet been properly investigated.

The potential of microalgae to synthesise N₂O is of broad significance due to potential adverse effects on the environment. However, the mechanisms involved and the magnitude of microalgal N₂O emissions from microalgae-based engineered (and natural³) systems are largely unknown, raising research questions such as: How and why microalgae synthesise N₂O? Could microalgal N₂O emissions impact the sustainability of the microalgae industry? How could these emissions be mitigated? In order to answer these critical questions, this PhD thesis seeks to achieve the following objectives:

1. Acquire knowledge on microalgal N₂O biochemistry and understand the metabolism behind N₂O synthesis.
2. Evaluate N₂O emissions from microalgal engineered systems.

¹ Mascarelli, A.L. (2009). Gold rush for algae. *Nature* 461: 460–461.

² The ability of microalgae to synthesise N₂O was suggested more than 40 years ago and demonstrated in two mid-1980 studies.

³ As it will be discussed in Chapter 1, there is clear evidence that microalgal N₂O emissions may be significant during microalgal cultivation but also from natural ecosystems which was to our knowledge completely dismissed among expert committees.

3. Evaluate the potential environmental significance of microalgal N₂O emissions, and propose mitigation strategies.

Chapter 1 defines the scope of the thesis and critically discusses the current knowledge about N₂O synthesis in microalgae and N₂O emissions from microalgae (eco)systems. Chapter 2 presents and discusses new findings about the biochemical pathway of N₂O synthesis in microalgae. Chapter 3 presents the first long term investigations of N₂O emissions from outdoor microalgal cultivation systems, followed by a discussion on significance, mitigation solutions, and future guidance. Chapter 4 then presents conclusions on all the findings obtained during this research and discusses future prospects.

List of abbreviations

AOA:	Ammonia-oxidizing archaea
AOB:	Ammonia-oxidizing bacteria
AOX:	Alternative oxidase
ARC:	Amidoxime reducing component
CN ⁻ :	Cyanide ion
COX:	Cytochrome c oxidase
DAF FM Diacetate:	4-amino-5-methylamino-2',7'-difluore-fluorescein diacetate
DEA NONOate:	diethylamine NONOate
DCW:	Dry cell weight
DO:	Dissolved oxygen
E-flasks:	Erlenmeyer flasks
EFs:	Emissions factors
Fd:	Ferredoxin
GC:	Gas chromatography
GHG:	Greenhouse gas
HNO:	Nitroxyl
HRAP:	High rate algae pond
IPCC:	Intergovernmental Panel for Climate change
L-Arg:	L-arginine
L-NNA:	<i>N</i> ω-nitro-L-arginine
Log2FC:	Log 2 fold change
NAD(P)H:	Nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate
NH ₃ :	Ammonia
NH ₄ ⁺ :	Ammonium
NiR:	Nitrite reductase
NO:	Nitric oxide
NOFNiR:	Nitric Oxide Forming Nitrite Reductase
NOR:	Nitric oxide reductase
NO ₂ ⁻ :	Nitrite
NO ₃ ⁻ :	Nitrate