

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

# **Genetic, Metabolite and Phenotypic Determination of Friction Discolouration in Pear**

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements  
for the degree of

**Doctor of Philosophy**

in

Food Technology

at Massey University, New Zealand.

**Munazza Saeed**

2015



## ABSTRACT

Friction discolouration (FD) of pears is a postharvest disorder responsible for significant consumer discontent in markets because of the unattractive appearance of the fruit surface. New Zealand pear breeders are aiming to develop novel pear varieties with consumer desired fruit characters (skin colour, flavour and storability), with reduced susceptibility to FD. Therefore understanding the genetic control of FD is essential to enable development of new pear cultivars using genomics-informed breeding. FD is influenced by agronomic and genetic factors. Previous research on this disorder has been limited to a small number of commercial cultivars and no study has been done to understand its genetic basis. Biochemical constituents (polyphenol oxidase activity, phenolic compounds and ascorbic acid concentration) and skin anatomy have been proposed to play important roles on FD susceptibility in a limited number of cultivars. The Plant and Food Research (PFR) breeding population with hundreds of closely related seedlings is an ideal resource to test whether these previously identified associations hold true across multiple genotypes.

In this study, 241 genotypes from two segregating populations (POP369 and POP356) derived from interspecific crosses between Asian (*Pyrus pyrifolia* Nakai and *P. bretschneideri* Rehd.) and European (*P. communis*) pears were used to identify biochemical and genetic factors associated with susceptibility to FD. In 2013, a small replicated trial involving eight genotypes was conducted. Large variability for FD and other variables was recorded. Four different trends were observed for genotypes for which multiple harvests were obtained in a single season. Most of the genotypes were consistently low or consistently high throughout the season, but a proportion (26.1 %) showed an increase in FD susceptibility during the season and a further 15.7 % showed a decreasing trend in susceptibility. Twenty genotypes had multiple harvests in each of 2011 and 2012, and 13 of these showed consistent trends from year to year. These results indicate a significant genetic component to FD but with additional influence from the stage of fruit maturity at harvest and external environmental conditions.

Single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP)-based linkage maps suitable for QTL analysis were developed for the parents of both populations. The maps for population

POP369 comprised 174 and 265 SNP markers for the male and female parent, respectively, while POP356 maps comprised 353 and 398 SNP markers for the male and female parent, respectively. Phenotypic data for 22 variables measured over two successive years (2011 and 2012) were used for quantitative trait locus (QTL) analysis. QTLs linked to phenotyped variables were identified, including QTLs for FD on linkage groups 2, 3, 7, 10 and 14. A number of stable QTLs across the years were detected for some aspects of fruit quality as well as potential risk factors for FD incidence.

Overall, no single underlying phenotypic variable (enzyme or substrate) appeared to act as a rate limiting factor to susceptibility of FD in both populations and in 2013 trial. However certain phenolics consistently appeared to have weak negative association with FD. This suggests a separate role from their typical concept of being a substrate. Identification of stable QTLs controlling firmness, PPO activity, and phenolic compound concentration have also provided future opportunities for identification of candidate genes by utilizing the reference genome sequences of ‘Bartlett’ and ‘Dangshansuli’ pears and syntenic apple ‘Golden Delicious’. This study also demonstrated that FD is controlled by multiple small effect QTLs and genomic selection could be employed to select elite genotypes with reduced susceptibility to FD, early in the breeding cycle.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First of all, I praise Allah, the almighty and the most merciful for blessing me with wisdom, health, strength and opportunities to successfully complete this professional task of my life. In addition to help of my Allah, this thesis was impossible without the assistance and guidance of many people around me.

I would like to express my deep gratitude to my supervisory team Professor Julian Heyes (Massey University) and Dr. David Chagné, Dr. Susan Gardiner, Dr. Tony McGhie and Dr. Lester Brewer (Plant & Food Research, New Zealand: PFR) for their patience guidance, enthusiastic encouragement and useful critiques for this research. Specially, Julian and David deserve lots of appreciation for establishing and mentoring this project and importantly for my professional development. As it was very hard for a person with fruit physiology background to learn and conduct the molecular biology study, so thank you so much.

I am very grateful to Dr. Lester Brewer and Chris Morgan (Plant & Food Research, Motueka station) for harvesting and sending pear fruit for three years. I would like to extend my gratitude to Dr. Jason Johnston (Science group leader, Postharvest fresh foods, Plant & Food Research) for providing research plus travel funds and helpful advices throughout these years. I am grateful to Andrew McLachlan (Biometrician, Plant & Food Research) for assisting me in statistical analysis. I am also thankful to Sue and Peter from Postharvest group at Massey for technical support and help through these years.

I must acknowledge Massey University for ‘Doctoral Scholarship’ and ‘Helen E Akers Scholarship’. I am very grateful to Plant & Food Research for generously providing me resources for research. Special thanks to Massey University, Plant & Food Research and New Zealand Institute of Agricultural and Horticultural Science Inc. for providing funds to present my work at three (3) international conferences.

Many friends and colleagues deserve an acknowledgment for their help and support during my PhD journey. I am thankful to fellows at "Fresh Technologies" Pilirani, Khairul, Pang, Jantana, Gayani, Himani for all the help and support. Special thanks

to my PFR office mate 'Mareike' who provided me continuous support and friendship for three years. I would also like to thank Sara and Heloise for their wonderful friendship. I cannot forget to mention friends from Pakistani community who opened their doors for us during our stay in New Zealand and made our time memorable, thank you so much Tahira baji, Hina, Tina, Fozia and Saima for joyful gatherings and their supports.

Special thanks to my parents for all the efforts, hardships and sacrifices, to make me able to achieve this goal. I warmly thank and appreciate my father who has been my inspiration since my childhood. I remember his wording what he used to say in his own innocent way, "I want you to get highest degree from university". I am also thankful to my brothers Saif Ullah, Mudassar, Muzammil and Ibrahim for their material and spiritual support in all aspects of my life. I also wish to thank my one and only sister Sadia and her daughters.

Finally, few words for Abdul Jabbar with whom I am blessed to share my life in here and (would happily do) hereafter. His support, encouragement, patience and unwavering love were undeniably the bedrock upon which the past three years of my life have been built. AJ, you are more than a husband to me, my companion, my "best buddy" and my beloved. I must acknowledge I definitely could not cope through hard times without your unconditional love, care and affection.

***Dedicated To My Parents***





## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>ABSTRACT .....</b>	<b>I</b>
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....</b>	<b>III</b>
<b>LIST OF FIGURES .....</b>	<b>XI</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES .....</b>	<b>XV</b>
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS .....</b>	<b>XVII</b>
<b>1 INTRODUCTION .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>1.1 Pear origin and history .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<i>1.1.1 Pear industry in New Zealand .....</i>	<i>1</i>
<i>1.1.2 Pear breeding programme at PFR.....</i>	<i>3</i>
<b>1.2 Friction discolouration.....</b>	<b>3</b>
<i>1.2.1 Detrimental effects of FD.....</i>	<i>5</i>
<i>1.2.2 Internal phenomenon of friction discolouration .....</i>	<i>6</i>
1.2.2.1 Enzymatic browning.....	6
1.2.2.2 Factors involved in FD susceptibility.....	7
1.2.2.2.1 Polyphenol oxidase .....	7
1.2.2.2.2 Phenolic compounds .....	8
1.2.2.2.3 Skin anatomy .....	9
<i>1.2.3 Factors observed to influence FD susceptibility.....</i>	<i>11</i>
1.2.3.1 Cultivar susceptibility.....	11
1.2.3.2 Fruit maturity.....	13
1.2.3.3 Fruit size .....	15
1.2.3.4 Storage duration .....	15
1.2.3.5 Temperature.....	16
<i>1.2.4 Means of prevention of FD .....</i>	<i>17</i>
1.2.4.1 Avoiding mechanical injury .....	17
1.2.4.2 Inhibition of enzymatic browning .....	18
1.2.4.3 Effect of antioxidants .....	19
<b>1.3 Friction discolouration: physiology to genetics .....</b>	<b>20</b>
<b>1.4 Quantitative genetics .....</b>	<b>21</b>
<i>1.4.1 QTL mapping in plants .....</i>	<i>21</i>
1.4.1.1 Phenotyping.....	22
1.4.1.2 Genotyping and genetic linkage map construction .....	22

1.4.1.2.1	Genetic markers .....	22
1.4.1.2.2	Microsatellites .....	25
1.4.1.2.3	Single nucleotide polymorphism (SNPs) .....	25
1.4.1.3	Genotyping .....	27
1.4.1.3.1	Plant genome sequencing technologies .....	28
1.4.1.3.2	SNP discovery, genotyping and array development .....	29
1.4.2	<i>Linkage analyses and map construction</i> .....	30
1.4.2.1	Mapping population .....	30
1.4.2.2	Linkage analysis .....	31
1.4.2.3	Map distance and mapping function .....	32
1.4.3	<i>Statistical analysis to identify QTL</i> .....	33
1.4.3.1	Methods to detect QTLs .....	34
1.4.3.1.1	Single-marker analysis (SMA) .....	34
1.4.3.1.2	Simple interval mapping .....	35
1.4.3.1.3	Composite interval mapping (CIM) .....	36
1.4.4	<i>Factors affecting the QTL detection</i> .....	37
1.4.5	<i>Genomics study in Pyrus</i> .....	38
1.4.5.1	Pyrus genotyping .....	38
1.4.5.2	Construction of linkage maps in Pyrus .....	39
1.4.5.3	Pyrus QTL analysis .....	40
1.4.6	<i>QTL analysis for fruit disorders</i> .....	41
1.5	<b>Thesis aim and objectives</b> .....	42
2	<b>CHARACTERIZATION OF PHENOTYPIC DETERMINANTS OF FRICTION DISCOLOURATION IN PEAR</b> .....	45
2.1	<b>Introduction</b> .....	45
2.2	<b>Materials and methods</b> .....	47
2.2.1	<i>Plant material and fruit sampling</i> .....	47
2.2.2	<i>Total soluble solids and firmness</i> .....	49
2.2.3	<i>Peel sample preparation and extraction for polyphenol and AsA quantification</i> .....	50
2.2.4	<i>Polyphenol quantification in pear peel</i> .....	50
2.2.5	<i>Ascorbic acid quantification in pear peel</i> .....	51

2.2.6	<i>PPO activity quantification in pear peel.....</i>	52
2.2.7	<i>Anatomical study.....</i>	52
2.2.8	<i>Statistical analysis.....</i>	53
<b>2.3</b>	<b>Results and discussion.....</b>	<b>53</b>
2.3.1	<i>Friction discoloration variation in the pear segregating populations</i>	53
2.3.2	<i>Anatomical study.....</i>	61
2.3.3	<i>Phenotypic variables affecting FD susceptibility .....</i>	65
2.3.3.1	Selection of attributes from segregating populations .....	65
2.3.3.2	Relationship of FD with phenotypic variables in replicated genotypes	75
<b>2.4</b>	<b>Conclusion.....</b>	<b>78</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>CONSTRUCTION OF SNP BASED GENETIC MAPS FOR QTL MAPPING IN INTERSPECIFIC PEAR POPULATIONS.....</b>	<b>79</b>
<b>3.1</b>	<b>Introduction .....</b>	<b>79</b>
<b>3.2</b>	<b>Materials and methods.....</b>	<b>80</b>
3.2.1	<i>Next generation sequencing (NGS).....</i>	80
3.2.1.1	Bioinformatics detection and selection of SNPs for array .....	82
3.2.2	<i>Plant material for SNP evaluation.....</i>	82
3.2.3	<i>SNP genotyping and data analysis for normal and distorted alleles...</i>	83
3.2.4	<i>SNP data analysis .....</i>	84
3.2.5	<i>Linkage mapping analysis.....</i>	88
<b>3.3</b>	<b>Results and discussion.....</b>	<b>88</b>
3.3.1	<i>SNP detection and selection for 1 K pear array .....</i>	88
3.3.2	<i>Identification of polymorphic and null alleles .....</i>	89
3.3.3	<i>Segregation distortion.....</i>	94
3.3.4	<i>Genetic map construction .....</i>	95
<b>3.4</b>	<b>Conclusion.....</b>	<b>97</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>QUANTITATIVE TRAIT LOCI ANALYSIS FOR FRICTION DISCOLOURATION AND FRUIT TRAITS IN PEAR.....</b>	<b>135</b>
<b>4.1</b>	<b>Introduction .....</b>	<b>135</b>
<b>4.2</b>	<b>Materials and methods.....</b>	<b>136</b>
4.2.1	<i>Genetic map construction and QTL mapping .....</i>	136
<b>4.3</b>	<b>Results and discussion.....</b>	<b>137</b>
4.3.1	<i>Phenotypic data in the pear segregating populations .....</i>	137

4.3.2	<i>Genetic map construction.....</i>	138
4.3.3	<i>Scope of QTLs identified for genetic control of fruit traits.....</i>	139
4.3.3.1	<i>QTL for friction discolouration of fruit.....</i>	139
4.3.3.2	<i>QTLs for fruit firmness, total soluble solids, PPO activity and ascorbic acid concentration .....</i>	145
4.3.3.3	<i>QTLs for phenolic compounds .....</i>	145
4.3.4	<i>QTL stability between years and parents.....</i>	146
4.3.5	<i>QTL co-location between traits.....</i>	147
4.3.6	<i>QTLs orthologous between apple and pear .....</i>	150
<b>4.4</b>	<b>Conclusion .....</b>	<b>150</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>GENERAL DISCUSSION .....</b>	<b>159</b>
<b>5.1</b>	<b>Research learnings.....</b>	<b>159</b>
5.1.1	<i>Harvest maturity for genotypes from interspecific crosses.....</i>	159
5.1.2	<i>Do skin properties predispose fruit to FD? .....</i>	160
5.1.3	<i>Role of phenolics as antioxidants in the incidence of FD.....</i>	160
5.1.4	<i>Robustness of detected QTLs.....</i>	163
<b>5.2</b>	<b>Future prospects .....</b>	<b>163</b>
5.2.1	<i>Breeding perspective of FD and other postharvest disorders.....</i>	163
5.2.2	<i>Candidate gene detection for stable QTLs.....</i>	165
5.2.3	<i>Comparative genome mapping.....</i>	167
5.2.4	<i>Metabolomic QTL detection.....</i>	168
<b>5.3</b>	<b>General conclusion .....</b>	<b>169</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>REFERENCES.....</b>	<b>171</b>
	<b>APPENDIX .....</b>	<b>197</b>

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1: Pear fruit showing incidence of friction discolouration on the skin.....	4
Figure 1.2: Enzymatic reactions catalysed by PPO (Lerner, 1953). .....	7
Figure 1.3. Pictorial representation of the RFLP technique.....	24
Figure 1.4: Pictorial representation of slippage process at microsatellite loci.....	26
Figure 1.5: Illumina Infinium <sup>®</sup> II assay protocol (Illumina, 2006).....	30
Figure 1.6: Graphical representation of quantitative trait locus (QTL). .....	34
Figure 1.7: Graphical representation of an output of simple interval mapping for a typical chromosome .....	36
Figure 2.1: Genotypic information and FD potential concerning parents of POP369 and POP356.....	48
Figure 2.2: Visual scoring scale for friction discolouration (FD) assessment. ....	49
Figure 2.3: Mean FD scores arranged by harvest dates for multiple harvests of genotypes for POP369 (2011).....	54
Figure 2.4: Mean FD scores arranged by harvest dates for multiple harvests of genotypes for POP369 (2012).....	55
Figure 2.5: Mean FD scores arranged by harvest dates for multiple harvests of genotypes for POP356 (2011).....	56
Figure 2.6: Seedlings from POP369 with multiple harvests from 2011 and 2012 plotted against FD. ....	59
Figure 2.7: Mean friction discolouration of genotypes on different harvest dates in 2013.....	61
Figure 2.8: Fresh transverse sections of pear skin from genotypes with low and high FD.....	63
Figure 2.9: Comparison of stone cell size and clustering in the skin of genotypes with low and high FD.....	64
Figure 2.10: Graph of first two discriminant functions for the POP369 (2011) dataset. ....	69

Figure 2.11: The four best predictors from discriminant analysis of POP369 (2011) plotted against three FD groups. ....	71
Figure 2.12: Graph of first two discriminant functions for POP369 (2012) dataset. .	72
Figure 2.13: Four best predictors from discriminant analysis of POP369 (2012) plotted against three FD groups. ....	73
Figure 2.14: Graph of FD score group vs first discriminant function score for the POP356 (2011) dataset. ....	74
Figure 2.15: Single best predictor from discriminant analysis of POP356 (2011) plotted against three FD groups. ....	74
Figure 2.16: Relationship between FD score and chlorogenic acid content from data of year 2013. ....	77
Figure 3.1: Workflow for single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) detection, validation, and final choice employed for development of the IRSC apple 8K SNP array v1 (Chagné et al., 2012a). ....	81
Figure 3.2: Principle of the Infinium II assay for whole genome genotyping. ....	84
Figure 3.3: Example of a SNP graph in polar coordinates. ....	85
Figure 3.4: Example of manual annotation in GenomeStudio. ....	87
Figure 3.5: Examples of graphical display of null alleles from GenomeStudio software, with 123 individuals in total. ....	91
Figure 3.6: Null allele classes observed in the pear interspecific segregating populations with the possible combination of alleles from grandparents. ....	93
Figure 4.1: Graphical representation of QTL controlling FD (average) across the years. ....	142
Figure 4.2: Graphical representation of QTL associated with FD on LG3 of female parent of POP369. ....	143
Figure 4.3: Common QTLs controlling FD and other variables on LG 14. ....	148
Figure 5.1: Enzymatic browning reaction catalysed by Tyrosinase (PPO) enzyme.	162
Figure 5.2: Genomic selection process for selection of low FD pear cultivars. ....	165

Figure 5.3: Pictorial representation of process of candidate gene detection from QTL. .....	167
Supplementary figure 3.1: Linkage map of male parent of POP369 population. ....	98
Supplementary figure 3.2: Linkage map of female parent of POP369 population..	107
Supplementary figure 3.3: Linkage map of male parent of POP356 population. ....	115
Supplementary figure 3.4: Linkage map of female parent of POP356 population..	123





## LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1: Pear production, import and export in New Zealand.....	2
Table 1.2: Different names for FD used in past .....	5
Table 1.3: Comparison of commonly used molecular markers for QTL analysis (Collard et al., 2005). .....	27
Table 1.4: Results from single marker analysis. ....	35
Table 2.1: Analysis of variance to check the effect of genotype replicates for FD in 2013.....	60
Table 2.2: Analysis of variance to check the effect of genotype for average FD in 2013.....	60
Table 2.3: Analysis of variance to check the effect of harvest date on average FD in 2013.....	61
Table 2.4: Correlation coefficient (r) for all trait data in relation to, FD, harvest date TSS and firmness for POP369 (2011, 2012) and POP356 (2011).....	67
Table 2.5: Grouping criteria and number of samples in each group for discriminant analysis.....	68
Table 2.6: Standardised coefficients in 2 discriminant function scores for 8 optimum variables for POP369 (2011) dataset.....	70
Table 2.7: Stepwise discriminant function scores for six optimum variables for POP369 (2012) dataset.....	72
Table 2.8: Correlation coefficient (r) for all trait data in relation to harvest date, FD, TSS and firmness. ....	76
Table 3.1: Polymorphic and mapped markers in populations POP369 and POP356.....	96
Table 3.2: Polymorphic and mapped null allele markers in population POP369 and POP356. ....	96
Table 4.1: Number and segregation type of markers in QTL maps of the POP369 and POP356 populations.....	138
Table 4.2 Quantitative Trait Loci (QTL) detected for FD in the POP369 population. ....	141

Table 4.3: Genotypic effect of the FD QTLs detected in the POP369 population in 2011 and 2012. ....	142
Table 4.4: Quantitative Trait Loci (QTL) detected for FD in the POP356 population. ....	144
Supplementary table 4.1: List of QTLs for fruit traits except FD for POP369.....	152
Supplementary table 4.2: List of QTLs for fruit traits except FD for POP356.....	156

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AsA	Ascorbic acid
cDNA	Complementary DNA
cM	Centi morgan
Conc.	Concentration
contig	Contiguous sequence
DA	Discriminant analysis
Da	Dalton
DNA	Deoxyribonulceic acid
FD	Friction discolouration
FMF	Find molecular features
GBS	Genotyping by sequencing
GEBV	Genomic estimated breeding value
GS	Genomic selection
GxE	Genetic x environment
HPLC	High performance liquid chromatography
LC-MS	Liquid chromatography mass spectrometry
LOD	Logarithm of odds
MAS	Marker assisted selection
NGS	Next generation sequencing
POP	Population
PPO	Polyphenol oxidase
QTL	Quantitative trait locus
RAD-seq	Restriction site associated sequencing
RAPD	Random amplified polymorphic DNA
RFLP	Restriction fragment length polymorphism
RNA	Ribonucleic acid
SNP	Single nucleotide polymorphism
Spp	Specie
SSR	Simple sequence repeat
TSS	Total soluble solids