Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

# ROW SPACING AND SEEDING RATE INTERACTIONS IN PERENNIAL RYEGRASS AND TALL FESCUE SWARDS ESTABLISHED BY DIRECT DRILLING (NO-TILLAGE)

A Thesis presented in partial fulfilment
of the requirements for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy at
Massey University,
Palmerston North
New Zealand

by

John-Paul Praat,

1995

### **ABSTRACT**

Direct drilling is a popular and cost-effective method of introducing new, more productive pasture species into existing pasture on farms in New Zealand. The technique conserves both time and money, reduces moisture loss and the risk of soil erosion and offers some management benefits in intensive agricultural systems.

Seed drills in New Zealand commonly used for pasture establishment sow seeds in rows at 150 mm centres. While this is an acceptable row spacing for cereal crops, eg. barley and wheat, closer row spacing has been proposed for establishing pastures. However, little research has been carried out to determine optimal row spacing or seeding rates. The benefit of cross-drilling with two passes of the drill, which is a practice thought to overcome the perceived inadequacies of 150mm row spacing, is also uncertain. This study was designed to investigate the effects of row spacing and cross-drilling, and the relative importance of plant population per unit area and per unit length of drill row on pasture establishment and development.

Single pass sowing, at both 150 and 75mm row spacings together with cross-drilling were compared in an autumn sown field experiment. Two species of contrasting establishment vigour, perennial ryegrass (*Lolium perenne* L.) and tall fescue (*Festuca arundinacea* Schreb.) and two seeding rates (12 and 23 and 17 and 31 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> for perennial ryegrass and tall fescue respectively) were also compared. The trial was grazed by dairy cattle throughout the measurement periods.

Emergence of 84 and 71 % of sown perennial ryegrass and tall fescue seed respectively, resulted in establishment of approximately 400-500 and 700-800 seedlings m<sup>-2</sup> for medium and high seeding rates respectively for both species. Two years after sowing, medium to high seeding rates offered no advantage in terms of weed suppression or yield compared with low seeding rates.

Cross-drilling offered no advantage for either species. Total herbage yield and the proportion of sown species was the same for perennial ryegrass and tall fescue established in either cross-drilled or 150 mm rows. This was the most important result, as far as the farmer is concerned, with potential cost savings of up to \$100 per hectare by not carrying a second pass of the seed drill required for cross-drilling.

The establishment performance of tall fescue in terms of herbage mass and suppression of weeds in the sward was initially improved with closer row spacing. These benefits were not apparent for perennial ryegrass. The advantage gained for fescue from reduced row spacing declined with time and by the second spring after sowing no difference was apparent between 150 and 75mm row spacing treatments. Thus, overall, drilling method had only a minor influence on botanical composition.

Tall fescue was slower establishing and had more clover and weed in the sward compared with perennial ryegrass. This contrast in growth revealed the subtle influences of drilling method and seeding rate on pasture composition.

A second trial, sown in the subsequent autumn, investigated the use of nitrogen with tall fescue at the time of sowing in both single pass and cross-drilling. The results supported those found for the effects of drilling pattern in the first trial. The use of nitrogen fertiliser in the damp, cool conditions of late autumn did not benefit sward development. Emergence of tall fescue was poorer at this time.

In contrast to the results of Trial 1, increasing the seeding rate resulted in increases in initial seedling population and improved the performance of tall fescue. There was a higher proportion and herbage mass of sown species in the sward sown at the higher seed rate. This suggests that higher seeding rates may be required for tall fescue as conditions at sowing become cooler. However, the early advantage from the higher seeding rates was not apparent 10 months after sowing.

Clover emergence was low at 46 and 52% of sown viable seed for the first and second trials respectively. However, a clover seedling population in excess of 150 plants m<sup>-2</sup> was established in both trials which proved to be an adequate population for development of productive pasture.

Drills designed for sowing aggressive species such as perennial ryegrass need not incorporate the option of reducing row spacing from the common 150mm with the subsequent cost disadvantages. However, the option of reduced row spacing may be appropriate for drills designed for sowing less vigorous alternative species such as tall fescue. Increased seeding rates and cross-drilling should not be necessary for successful establishment of a productive pasture sward of temperate species. This leads to improvements in efficiency of seed drill operation in the field.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

I wish to express my sincere gratitude for the guidance and encouragement given me by my supervisors Associate Professor C.J. Baker, Mr W.R. Ritchie and Professor J. Hodgson. Their comments, suggestions and willing help was gratefully appreciated especially Mr Ritchie from whom I received inspiration and constructive criticism, especially in the early stages.

Appreciation is extended to the staff of the Agricultural Machinery Research Centre, especially Mr C.D. Kernohan and Plant Science field technicians headed by Mr T. Lynch who provided assistance with field and laboratory work. Appreciation is also extended to fellow post-graduate students.

Mr G. Arnold is acknowledged for his advice and assistance in planning of trials and statistical analysis of data.

The Massey University Research Fund and C. Alma Baker Trust are thanked for their financial support of this study.

Special thanks go to my wife Sue for the support and patience provided to me throughout this study.

For the support, encouragement, understanding and assistance that my family and friends have given me, my appreciation and sincere thanks is expressed. A special thanks also to Berwick Settle, Tony Hadfield and Simon Berry, who have been supportive throughout.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstract	
Acknowledgements	ii
Contents	iv
List of Tables	ix
List of Figures	xii
	<del>.</del> .
Chapter 1. INTRODUCTION	1
Chapter 2. LITERATURE REVIEW	4
2.1 Pasture Establishment by Direct Drilling	
2.1.1 Pasture Establishment	6 8
2.1.5 Soil Microenvironment Effects on Germination and Emergence of Pasture Species  2.1.5.1 Opener Design Considerations.  2.1.5.2. Influence of seed depth.  2.1.5.3 Temperature effects.	10 10
2.2 Plant relationships in Establishing Pasture	
2.2.1 Effect of Seed Density 2.2.2 Root Competition 2.2.3 Effect of Seed Size 2.2.4 Interspecific Competition 2.2.5 Plant Arrangement 2.2.6 Grazing Management 2.2.6.1 Defoliation 2.2.6.2 Animal Effects	18 20 21 21 23
2.3 Summary	29
Chapter 3. METHODS AND MATERIALS	30
3.1 Introduction to Experimental Procedure	30
3.2 Description of Trial Site	31
3.3 Experimental Design and Treatments	32
3.4 Fertiliser Policy, Weed Control and Irrigation	38
3.5 Grazing Management	39
3 6 Sampling Procedure	41

3.6.1 Emergence of Sown Grass Seed	41
3.6.2 Clover and Weed Emergence	43
3.6.3 Individual Seedling Weight	43
3.6.4 Seedling Root Weight	43
3.6.5 Transmission of Photosynthetically Active Radiation (PAR)	
3.6.6 Identification of Individual Plants	
3.6.6.1 Measurements on Individual Plants	44
3.6.7 Herbage Mass	45
3.6.8 Botanical Composition	
3.7 Statistical Analysis	46
3.8 Statement of Conventions	47
Chapter 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	48
Introduction	48
4.1 Early Establishment	49
4.1.1 Tall Fescue and Perennial Ryegrass Seedling Emergence and Population	49
4.1.1 Introduction	
4.1.1.2 Results	
4.1.1.2.1 Overall Species, Seeding Rate and Drill Method Effects	
4.1.1.2.2 Effect of Position in the Cross Drill Treatment on Seedling	
Emergence and Population	
4.1.1.2.3 Effect of Seeding rate on Nominal Seedling Percentage Emergence	
4.1.1.2.4 Population Achieved for Each Treatment	
4.1.1.3 Discussion	
4.1.2 Emergence and Population of Clover and Unsown Species	
4.1.2.2 Results	
4.1.2.2.1 Overall Species, Seeding rate and Drill Method Effects	
4.1.3 Tillering Activity and Plant Leaf Number	
4.1.3.1 Introduction	
4.1.3.2 Results.	
4.1.3.2.1 Overall Species, Seeding rate and Drill Method Effects	
4.1.3.2.2 Interactive Effect of Species and Sowing Rate	
4.1.3.2.3 Effect of Plant Position	
4.1.3.2.4 The Effect of Population	75
4.1.3.2.5 Interactions Between Drill Method and Seeding Rate for Fescue	77
4.1.3.2.6 Overall Effect of Species, Seeding Rate and Drill Method on Tiller	
Weight	
4.1.3.3 Discussion	80
4.1.4 Treatment Effects on Seedling Weight, Extended Height and Plant Size Indices	92
4.1.4.1 Introduction	92
4.1.4.2 Results	
4.1.4.2.1 Treatment Effects on Seedling Weight	
4.1.4.2.1.1 Overall Effect of Species, Seeding Rate and Drill Methods	
4.1.4.2.1.2 Effect of Seeding Rate on Fescue and Ryegrass on Shoot Weight	
4.1.4.2.1.3 Overall Effect of Species, Seeding Rate and Drill Method on	
Relative Seedling Growth Rate4.1.4.2.1.4 Effect of Population	
4.1.4.2.1.5 Effect of In-row Population on Root Weight	
4.1.4.2.1.6 Effect of In-row Population on Other Plant Characteristics	
4.1.4.2.2 Treatment Effects on Extended Height and Plant Size Index	
4.1.4.2.2.1 Overall Effect of Species Seeding Rate and Drill Method	

4.1.4.2.2.2 Interactive Effect of Species and Drill Method	
4.1.4.2.2.3 Interactive Effect of Species and Seeding Rate on Plant Size Distrib	ution101
4.1.4.2.2.4 Effect of Population	
4.1.4.2.3 Drill Method and Seeding Rate Effects on Plant Size Characteristics	103
4.1.4.3 Discussion	104
4.1.5 Herbage Composition and Mass	115
4.1.5.1 Introduction	115
4.1.5.2 Results	115
4.1.5.2.1 Seeding Rate and Drilling Method Effects on Ryegrass at 55 d.a.s	115
4.1.5.2.2 Overall Species, Seeding Rate and Drilling Method Effects at 150 d.a.s.	116
4.1.5.2.3 Interactive Effect of Species and Drilling Method	
4.1.5.2.4 Interactive Effect of Seeding Rate and Drilling Method	
4.1.5.3 Discussion.	
4.1.6 Summary	
4.2 Late Establishment.	
4.2.1 Plant Size and Survival from 145 to 313 d.a.s.	
4.2.1.1 Introduction	
4.2.1.2 Results.	
4.2.1.2.1 Tillering Activity	
4.2.1.2.1 Species Effects	
4.2.1.2.Overall Seeding Rate Effects	
4.2.1.2.1.3 Overall Drill Method and Position Effect	
4.2.1.2.1.4 Drill Method Effect on Fescue and Ryegrass	
4.2.1.2.1.4 Diff Method Effect of Fescue and Ryegrass 4.2.1.2.1.5 Interactive Effect of Seeding Rate and Drill Method for Ryegrass	
4.2.1.2.1.5 The active Effect of Seeding Rate and Drift Method for Ryegrass	
4.2.1.2.2 Leaf Number and Extended Height	
4.2.1.2.2 Leaf Number and Extended Height 4.2.1.2.2.1 Interactive Effect of Drill Method and Species	
4.2.1.2.3 Plant Survival	
4.2.1.2.3.1 Effect of Seeding Rate on Fescue and Ryegrass	
4.2.1.2.3.1 Effect of Seeding Rate on Fescue and Ryegrass	
4.2.1.2.3.2 Effect of Drilling Method on Plant Survival.  4.2.1.2.3.3 Effect of In-row Population	
4.2.1.3 Discussion	
4.2.2 Herbage Botanical Composition and Mass from 145 to 314 d.a.s.	
4.2.2.1 Introduction	
4.2.2.2 Results	169
October	169
4.2.2.2.2 Overall Species, Seeding Rate and Drilling Method Effects at 219	1.70
d.a.s.	170
4.2.2.2.3 Interactive Effect of Seeding Rate and Species on the Proportion of Unsown Species	172
4.2.2.2.4 Overall Species, Seeding Rate and Drilling Method Effects at 278	4.50
d.a.s	
4.2.2.2.5 Effects of Urine Deposition	
4.2.2.3 Discussion	
4.2.3 Summary	
4.2.4 Further Investigations	.182
1.3 Production Phase	.184
4.3.1 Herbage Composition, Mass and Accumulation	.184
4.3.1.1 Introduction	
4.3.1.2 Results	
4.3.1.2.1 Herbage Composition and Mass	
4.3.1.2.1.1 Effect of Species	
4.3.1.2.1.2 Effect of Seeding Rate	
4.3.1.2.1.3 Effect of Drilling Method	
4.3.1.2.1.4 Interactive Effect of Species and Drilling Method	

			2.1.5 Volunteer					
			Accumulated He					
			2.2.1 Effect of S					
	4.2		2.2.2 Interactive					
			ry					
	4.3.	1.4 Summa	ıı y		•••••			20
Chapter	5	ROW	SPACING,	SEEDING	RATE	AND	NITROGEN	J
•								
INTERA	ACTIO	ONS IN	TALL FESCI	JE SWARDS	ESTABL	ISHED	BY DIRECT	
DRILLI	NG	•••••		•••••		•••••	•••••	20
5.1	Introa	luction to	Experimental I	Procedure				20
5.2	Metho	ods and M	aterials					208
			of Trial Site					
		•	tal Design and					
		-	olicy and Weed					
			anagement					
		_	rocedure					
9.			ence of Sown Gra					
		_	and Weed Emerg					
			ual Seedling Wei					
			e Mass					
_			al Composition .					
5.	.2.6 S	tatistical A	Analysis					215
			cussion					
5.	.3.1 In	troductio	n			•••••		217
5.								
	5.3.2		Fescue Seedling					
			pulation					
			Overall Nitrogen Effect of Position	_				
		3.3.2.1.2		gence				
	5.3.2	2.2 Seedlin	g White Clover,					
			Overall Nitrogen					
	5.3.2		ent Effects on Fe					
		5.3.2.3.1	Overall Nitroger	n, Seeding rate				
		53232	Overall Effect of					
		3.3.2.3.2		ng Growth Rate.				
		5.3.2.3.4	Overall Nitroger					
			Number and We	eight				224
			Effect of Plant Po					
		5.3.2.3.6	Interactive Effec	_				
		532371	and Number Effect of In-row	Donulation on S				
	532		ent Effects on He					
	3.3.2		Overall Nitrogen					
			Effect of Drill M	_				
		5.3.2.4.3	Overall Nitrogen	, Seeding Rate a	nd Drill Me	thod Effe	cts at 213 d.a.s.	229
		5.3.2.4.4	Overall Nitrogen	, Seeding Rate a	nd Drill Me	thod Effe	cts at 249 d.a.s.	230
5.4 I	Discus	sion						231
555	Summi	arv.						240

Chapter 6. CONCLUSION	241
6.1 The Major Conclusions From This Project Are:	241
6.1.1 Drilling Pattern	241
6.1.2 Row Spacing	
6.1.3 Drill Design	241
6.1.4 Clover Emergence	242
6.1.5 Seeding Rates	242
6.1.6 Tiller Population	242
6.1.7 Nitrogen Application	243
6.1.8 Species Performance	243
6.2 Future Work	243
REFERENCES	245
PERSONAL COMMUNICATION	276
Appendices	
Appendix One	A1-1
Appendix Two	A2-1
Appendix Three	
Appendix Four	A4-1

# LIST OF TABLES

Table 3.3.1	Target Seeding Rate and Seeding Rate Achieved for Fescue and Ryegrass37
Table 3.5.1	Schedule for Grazing and Topping40
Table 4.1.1.1	Effects of Species, Seeding Rate and Drilling Method on Percentage Emergence and Population at 42 Days After Sowing
Table 4.1.1.2	Effect of Seeding Rate and Position (Within the Cross Drill Treatment) on Nominal Seedling Emergence Percentage
Table 4.1.1.3	Effect of Seeding rate on Nominal Percentage Emergence
Table 4.1.1.4	Comparison of Plant Populations of Fescue and Ryegrass 42 d.a.s. in Order of Increasing Plant Density.
Table 4.1.2.1	Effect of Species, Seeding Rate and Drill Method on Population of White Clover and Unsown Species Seedlings 37 d.a.s
Table 4.1.3.1	Effect of Species, Sowing Rate and Drill Method on the Number of Leaves per Plant at 26 d.a.s., and the Number of Tillers per Plant and Skewness of Tiller Number and T X L index at 43 and 145 d.a.s
Table 4.1.3.2	Effect of Seeding rate on the Skewness Coefficient of Tiller and Leaf Number and Plant Tiller by Leaf Size Index for Ryegrass and Fescue at 43 and 145 d.a.s. 72
Table 4.1.3.3	Effect of Position on the Number of Tillers, Distribution of Tiller Number and of Tiller by Leaf Index (Combined Species)
Table 4.1.3.4	Effect of In-row Population on Tiller Number and Skewness of Tiller Number and Tiller X Leaf Index for both Fescue and Ryegrass
Table 4.1.3.5	Effect of Drill Method on Tiller and Leaf Number at High and Low Seeding Rate at 43 d.a.s. for Fescue and Ryegrass
Table 4.1.3.6	Effect of Species, Seeding Rate and Drill Method on Tiller Weight up to 135  Days After Sowing (mg/tiller)
Table 4.1.3.7	Effect of Seeding Rate and Drilling Method on Fescue and Ryegrass Tiller Weight at 49 and 135 d.a.s. (mg/tiller)79
Table 4.1.3.8	Variation in Distance Between Ryegrass Seedlings Along A Row84
Table 4.1.4.1	Effect of Species, Seeding Rate and Drill Method on Shoot Weight up to 135  Days After Sowing (mg/plant)
Table 4.1.4.2	Effect of Seeding Rate on Fescue and Ryegrass Shoot Weight (mg/plant)94
Table 4.1.4.3	Effect of Species, Drill Method and Seeding Rate on the Mean Relative Growth Rate of Fescue and Ryegrass up to 135 Days After Sowing ([mg mg-1] day-1)95
Table 4.1.4.4	Effect of In-row Population on Root Weight, Tiller number, Main roots and Daughter Roots at 54 d.a.s99

Table 4.1.4.5	Effect of Species and Seeding Rate on Extended Height and Skewness of Extended Height and Leaf by Extended Height Index at 26, 43 and 145 d.a.s.100
Table 4.1.4.6	Effect of Drill Method on Extended height of Fescue and Ryegrass Plants101
Table 4.1.4.7	Effect of Seeding rate on Skewness of Extended Height and Leaf by Extended Height Index for Ryegrass and Fescue at 43 and 145 d.a.s102
Table 4.1.4.8	Effect of In-row Population on Extended Height and Skewness of Extended Height and Leaf X Extended Height Index for both Fescue and Ryegrass103
Table 4.1.4.9	Effect of Drill Method on Tiller and Leaf Number at 145 d.a.s. and Shoot and Tiller Weight at 135 d.a.s. at High and Low Seeding Rate (Combined Species Results)104
Table 4.1.5.1	Effect of Drill Method and Seeding Rate on the Herbage Composition and Mass of Ryegrass at 55 d.a.s. (6-6-90)
Table 4.1.5.2	Effect of Species, Seeding Rate and Drill Method on the Herbage Composition and Mass at 150 d.a.s. (9-9-90)
Table 4.1.5.3	Effect of Drill Method on Herbage Composition and Mass of Fescue and Ryegrass 150 d.a.s. (9-9-90)
Table 4.1.5.5	Effect of Drill Method on Fescue and Ryegrass Shoot Weight, Tiller Weight and Extended Height and Mass at 135 d.a.s128
Table 4.2.1.1	Time and Level of Probability for Main Treatment Effects on Tiller Number per Plant for Combined Species and for Fescue and Ryegrass from 178 to 313 d.a.s
Table 4.2.1.2	Effect of In-row Population on Tiller Number of Fescue and Ryegrass Plants.138
Table 4.2.1.3	Time and Level of Probability for Main Treatment Effects and Interactions Between Main Treatments on Leaf Number and Extended Height for Combined Species and for Fescue and for Ryegrass from 178 to 244 d.a.s140
Table 4.2.1.4	Effect of Drill Method on Leaf Number of Fescue and Ryegrass Plants141
Table 4.2.1.5	Effect of Drill Method on Extended Height of Fescue and Ryegrass Plants142
Table 4.2.1.6	Number, Timing and Cause of Loss of Tagged Ryegrass and Fescue Plants144
Table 4.2.1.7	Effect of Drilling Method on the Percentage of Plants Remaining147
Table 4.2.1.8	Effect of Position on the Skewness of Tiller Number147
Table 4.2.1.9	Effect of In-row Population on the Skewness of Tiller Number in Fescue and Ryegrass
Table 4.2.2.1	Effect of Species, Seeding Rate and Drill Method on Accumulation of Herbage Mass for a 40 day Period in the First Spring After Sowing170
Table 4.2.2.2	Effect of Drilling Method on Accumulation of Herbage Mass of Fescue and Ryegrass for a 40 day Period in the First Spring After Sowing170

Table 4.2.2.3	Effect of Species, Seeding Rate and Drill Method on the Herbage Composition and Mass at 219 d.a.s. (21-11-90)171
Table 4.2.2.4	Effect of Seeding Rate on Herbage Composition and Mass in Fescue and Ryegrass at 219 d.a.s. (21/11/90)
Table 4.2.2.5	Effect of Species, Seeding Rate and Drill Method on the Herbage Composition and Mass at 278 d.a.s. (20-1-91)
Table 4.2.2.6	Effect of Urine Deposition on Herbage Mass and Composition of Fescue and Ryegrass at 182 d.a.s
Table 4.3.1.1	Effect of Species on the Mass of Sown Species, Clover, Unsown Species and Total Live Herbage at 393, 496, 576 and 684 d.a.s187
Table 4.3.1.2	Effect of Seeding Rate on the Mass of Sown Species for Fescue and Ryegrass at 393, 496, 576 and 684 d.a.s. (kgDMha-1)
Table 4.3.1.3	Effect of Drilling Method on the Mass of Sown Species for Fescue and Ryegrass at 393, 496, 576 and 684 d.a.s. (kgDMha-1)
Table 4.3.1.4	Effect of Drill Method on the Mass of Sown Species and Total Live Herbage for Fescue and Ryegrass at 393 d.a.s. (kgDMha-1)190
Table 4.3.1.5	Proportion of Volunteer Ryegrass in the Live Herbage of the Fescue Sward from November 1990 to March 1992 (219 to 684 d.a.s.)
Table 4.3.1.6	Effect of Species, Seeding Rate and Drilling Method on Accumulated Herbage Mass (kgDMha-1) During the Production Phase (246 to 625 d.a.s.)192
Table 4.3.1.7	Effect of Drill Method on Herbage Mass Accumulated in Fescue and Ryegrass During the Production Phase (kgDMha-1)194
Table 4.3.1.8	Effect of Drill Method on Average Pre- and Post-graze Herbage Mass (kgDMha-1) for Period One of the Production Phase for Fescue and Ryegrass (246-393 d.a.s.)
Table 4.3.1.9	Effect of Drill Method on Average Pre- and Post-graze Herbage Mass (kgDMha-1) for the Entire Production Phase for Fescue and Ryegrass (246-625 d.a.s.)
Table 5.2.1	Target Seeding Rate and Seeding Rate Achieved for Fescue212
Table 5.2.2	Schedule for Grazing and Topping
Table 5.3.2.1	Effects of Nitrogen, Seeding Rate and Drilling Method on Population of Seedlings at 45, 53, 67 and 87 d.a.s. and on Percentage Emergence at 53 d.a.s.218
Table 5.3.2.2	Effect of Position (Within the Cross Drill Treatment) on Population of Seedlings at 45, 53, 67 and 87 d.a.s. and on Percentage Emergence at 53 d.a.s.219
Table 5.3.2.3	Effect of Seeding Rate and Drill Method on Population of White Clover and Unsown Species Seedlings at 49 d.a.s. (22/6/91)221
Table 5.3.2.4	Effect of Nitrogen, Seeding Rate and Drill Method on Shoot Weight at 53, 67 and 87 Days After Sowing (mg/plant)

Table 5.3.2.5	Effect of Nitrogen, Drill Method and Seeding Rate on the Mean Relative Growth Rate of Fescue and Ryegrass up to 87 Days After Sowing ([mg mg- 1]day-1)
Table 5.3.2.6	Effect of Nitrogen, Seeding Rate and Drill Method on Tiller Number m row-1 and m-2, Tiller Weight and Weight of Tillers m-2 at 121 Days After Sowing224
Table 5.3.2.7	Effect of Position on Tiller Number m row-1 and m-2, Tiller Weight and Weight of Tillers m-2 in the Cross-drilled Treatment at 121 Days After Sowing225
Table 5.3.2.8	Interactive Effect of Seeding Rate and Drill Method on Tiller Number m row-1 and m-2, Tiller Weight and Weight of Tillers m-2 at 121 Days After Sowing226
Table 5.3.2.9	Effect on In-row Population on Tiller Weight at 121 d.a.s. 227
Table 5.3.2.10	Effect of Nitrogen, Drill Method and Seeding Rate on the Herbage Composition and Mass at 154 d.a.s. (7-10-91)228
Table 5.3.2.11	Effect of Drill Method on the Mass of Giant Buttercup, Toad Rush, Other Broadleaf Weed Species and Other Unsown Grass Species at 154 d.a.s. (7-10-91)
Table 5.3.2.12	Effect of Nitrogen, Drill Method and Seeding Rate on the Herbage Composition and Mass at 213 d.a.s. (6-12-91)230

# LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 3.3.1	Layout Of Trial Plots (Not To Scale) With Main Plots Differentiated By Double Lines And Sub-Plots By Single Lines
Figure 3.3.2	Trial Paddock
Figure 3.6.1	Diagram of the Three Possible Seed Positions in the Cross Drill Treatment42
Figure 3.6.2	The Template Used for Emergence Counts of the Cross Drilled Plots42
Figure 4.1.3.2	Change in In-row Population Required for a 25 % Change in the Number of Tillers/plant
Figure 4.1.4.1	Effect of In-row Plant Population on Ryegrass and Fescue Shoot Weight97
Figure 4.1.4.2	Effect of In-row Population on Root Weight of Ryegrass at 54 Days After Sowing
Figure 4.1.5.1	Effect of Drilling Method at Two Seeding Rates on Mass of Unsown Species at 150 d.a.s
Figure 4.1.5.2	Effect of Drilling Method at Two Seeding Rates on Live Herbage Mass at 150 d.a.s
Figure 4.1.5.3	The First Grazing of Ryegrass (55 d.a.s., 6-6-90)120
Figure 4.1.5.4	Whole Trial Grazing 150 d.a.s. (9-9-90)
Figure 4.2.1.1	Effect of Species on Tiller Number per Plant
Figure 4.2.1.2	Effect of Seeding Rate on Tiller Number per Plant
Figure 4.2.1.3	Effect of Position on the Tiller Number of Plants Sown by Cross-drilling135
Figure 4.2.1.4	Effect of Drilling Method on Tiller Number for Fescue and Ryegrass Plants 136
Figure 4.2.1.5	Effect of Drill Method at High and Low Seeding Rate on Tillers per Plant in Fescue at 313 d.a.s
Figure 4.2.1.6	Effect off Drill Method at High and Low Seeding Rate on Tillers per Plant in Ryegrass at 313 d.a.s
Figure 4.2.1.7	Change in In-row population Required for a 25 % Change in the Number of Tillers per plant
Figure 4.2.1.8	Effect of Seeding Rate on the Percentage of Remaining Plants and Skewness Coefficient for Fescue and Ryegrass
Figure 4.2.1.9	Trial Area Just Prior to the Grazing Which Occurred at 219 d.a.s. (November), Showing Seedhead Development in Ryegrass on the Right with Fescue on the Left
Figure 4.3.1.1	Composition of Live Fescue Herbage for the Trial Period

Figure 4.3.1.2	Composition of Live Ryegrass Herbage for the Trial Period185
Figure 4.3.1.3	Effect of Species an Pre- and Post-grazing Herbage Mass from 246 to 625 d.a.s.193
Figure 5.2.1	Layout of Trial plots (not to scale) with Main Plots Differentiated by Double Lines
Figure 5.2.2	Trial Paddock at 126 d.a.s. (12/9/91)