Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

The Sticking and Crystallisation of Amorphous Lactose

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Technology in Chemical Technology at Massey University

Gregory F. Brooks

2000

ABSTRACT

Amorphous lactose has been identified as being one of the major factors in causing stickiness and caking problems when producing and storing dairy powders. Amorphous lactose becomes sticky when its glass transition temperature is exceeded. Above the glass transition temperature it changes to a state where it is a very viscous liquid, and it can flow and build bridges between particles, causing them to become stuck together. Stickiness in amorphous substances depends on the viscosity of the substance, which is a function of how far above the glass transition temperature the actual temperature of the powder is. This work details investigations into the rate and extent of sticking in amorphous lactose, at different temperatures above the glass transition temperature, to find what conditions should be avoided when processing and storing powders containing amorphous lactose.

Methods for predicting the glass transition temperature of amorphous lactose as a function of water activity and moisture content were identified. The glass transition temperature of pure amorphous lactose can be estimated from the moisture content, but in a commercial dairy powder the presence of other components makes this a very difficult exercise. It was concluded that the best way to estimate the glass transition temperature was from a water activity measurement, using a third order model fitted to the available glass transition temperature data.

It was found that the sticking behaviour of amorphous lactose depends on how far above the glass transition temperature it is, irrespective of the temperature and humidity conditions required to achieve this. From investigations into the rate of sticking of amorphous lactose it was found that 25°C above the glass transition temperature amorphous lactose becomes very sticky instantaneously. As such, moisture and temperature conditions that cause the glass transition temperature to be exceeded by this amount should be avoided in all regimes of powder processing. It is also recommended that for processing operations where particle contact times are of the order of a few seconds to a few minutes, the temperature should not be allowed to exceed the glass transition temperature by more than 10°C in order to avoid possible stickiness problems. For long-term storage, powders containing amorphous lactose should be kept in conditions below the glass transition temperature.

Crystallisation of amorphous lactose is recognised as contributing to caking problems, through moisture release and solidification of amorphous bridges. A model for amorphous lactose crystallisation has been confirmed by newly published data. Further crystallisation data is still required at low humidities and high temperatures, but the current model can be used to predict crystallisation rates with reasonable confidence.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Amorphous lactose has stuck by me over the past year. It has at turns been all over my clothes, through my books, coated my glasses, tangled in my hair, stung my eyes and blocked my ears. Although we have sometimes been bitter enemies, amorphous lactose and I have reached the kind of understanding that can only come from having something crystallise in your nostrils. Many people have helped me in my endeavour to become one with amorphous lactose, and they deserve my thanks.

Tony Paterson and John Bronlund, my lactose Zen masters, have been everything a student could ask for in his supervisors and then some. Their words of wisdom on the meaning of lactose, their practical ideas, and their seemingly limitless patience have been greatly appreciated. Kylie Foster has been another member of the dairy powder crew, and her technical and moral support has been a big help when everything seemed to be just one big amorphous mess.

Of course, many people in the dairy industry have helped this project in some way. Thanks to the New Zealand Dairy Board, who funded the project, and in particular Eileen Boyte, who helped set the project up. Thanks also to Richard Lloyd from the New Zealand Dairy Research Institute, whose wealth of amorphous lactose experience and input to this work has been most appreciated, as has his assistance with several technical issues. A big thank you to the powder production staff at Hawera, Longburn and Waitoa, who took time out from dealing with stickiness problems to describe what happens in the real world, and showed us through their factories.

Nothing could have happened without the technical staff at the Institute of Technology and Engineering, and the Institute of Food, Nutrition and Human Health. Special thanks must go to Gerard Harrigan, Don Maclean, Andrew Jones, Gary Radford and Steve Glasgow, who helped me come to terms with various bits of recalcitrant machinery.

Thanks to my instructors, fellow aviators and friends at Fielding Aviation, especially Dave, Hamish and Michael. Strangely enough they helped keep my head out of the clouds and my mind firmly on the lactose. Thanks also to Peter for his valuable advice and encouragement on both academic and professional matters, which was often dispensed at 3000 feet and 120 knots, and Lee "Wing drop" Simpson, whose constant abuse and ready supply of beer has been a great help.

And finally to Mum, Dad (proofreada extraordinaire) and Amanda, who shared the last 5 years of university with me, and got me to where I am today. Thanks guys.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| ACKNO | OWLED | GMENTS | Ш |
|--------|-----------------------|---|--------|
| TABLE | OF CO | NTENTS | IV |
| LIST O | F FIGU | RES | VII |
| REFER | RENCES | | 8.1 |
| | and the second second | URE | |
| HOME | I TOLDIE | | , |
| | | | |
| CHAPT | TER 1 P | ROJECT OVERVIEW | 1.1 |
| 1.1 | BACKO | GROUND | . 1.1 |
| 1.2 | | HOUS LACTOSE STICKING MECHANISM | |
| 1.3 | Design to the second | HOUS LACTOSE CRYSTALLISATION | |
| 1.3 | | T OBJECTIVES | |
| 1.4 | PROJEC | I OBJECTIVES | 1.2 |
| CHAP | TER 2 L | ITERATURE REVIEW | 2.1 |
| 2.1 | INTROD | UCTION | 2.1 |
| 2.2 | | SE | |
| | | | |
| 2. | | forms Of Lactose | |
| | 2.2.1.1 | Crystalline lactose | |
| 2 | | actose Chemistry | |
| 2. | 2.2 L | Mutarotation | |
| | 2.2.2.1 | Solubility | |
| 2 | | | |
| 2. | | lses Of Lactose | |
| | 2.2.3.1 2.2.3.2 | Pharmaceutical Industry | |
| 2.3 | | HOUS LACTOSE | |
| | | Noisture Sorption | |
| - | | | |
| 2. | | Glass Transition | |
| | 2.3.2.1 | Determination of Glass Transition Temperature | |
| 2 | 2.3.2.2 | Prediction of Glass Transition Temperature | |
| | | 1aking Amorphous Lactose | |
| 2.4 | | NESS OF AMORPHOUS LACTOSE | |
| 1000 | | ntroduction | |
| 2. | | Nechanism Of Sticking | |
| | 2.4.2.1 | Viscous Flow | |
| | 2.4.2.2 | Viscosity Of Amorphous Lactose | |
| | 2.4.2.3 | (T-T _g) as a Parameter for measuring stickiness | |
| 2 | | Neasurement Of Sticking Phenomena | |
| | 2.4.3.1 | Sticky Point Temperature | |
| | 2.4.3.2 | Surface Caking Temperature | |
| | 2.4.3.3 | Blow Test | |
| | 2.4.3.4 | Caking Index | |
| | 2.4.3.5 | Flowability | |
| | 2.4.3.6 | Angle of Repose | |
| | 2.4.3.7 | Tests for use in this work | |
| 2.5 | | PHOUS LACTOSE CRYSTALLISATION | |
| | | Introduction | |
| 2 | 2.5.1 | пионисион | . 4.19 |

| | 2.5.1.1 | Product Of Crystallisation Of Amorphous Lactose | 2.20 |
|------|---------|--|------|
| | 2.5.1.2 | | |
| | 2.5.1.3 | | |
| 2. | 5.2 | Previous Work On Amorphous Lactose Crystallisation | 2.21 |
| | 2.5.2.1 | | |
| | 2.5.2.2 | | |
| | 2.5.2.3 | | |
| | 2.5.2.4 | | |
| 2.6 | CONCI | LUSIONS | 2.26 |
| | | PREDICTING Tg | |
| 3.1 | | DUCTION | |
| 3.2 | | UAL MOISTURE | |
| 100 | | Residual Moisture In Freeze-Dried Sugars | |
| 3. | | Implications For The Glass Transition Temperature | |
| 3. | .2.3 | Residual Moisture Measurements | |
| | 3.2.3.1 | | |
| | 3.2.3.2 | | |
| | 3.2.3.3 | | |
| 3.3 | | AMINATION OF LITERATURE DATA | |
| - | | Moisture Sorption | |
| | | T _g /Moisture Content | |
| | | T _g /Water Activity | |
| 3.4 | CONC | LUSIONS | 3.14 |
| CHAP | | CRYSTALLISATION OF AMORPHOUS LACTOSE | |
| 4.1 | | DUCTION | |
| 4.2 | | OD | |
| 4.3 | | TS AND DISCUSSION | |
| 4. | | Fitting Model To Available Data | |
| 4.4 | CONC | LUSIONS | 4.6 |
| CHAP | TER 5 | STICKINESS TEST DEVELOPMENT | 5.1 |
| 5.1 | INTRO | DUCTION | 5.1 |
| 5.2 | AIR SU | JPPLY | 5.1 |
| 5. | | Principle Of Operation | |
| 5. | | Modifications | |
| | 5.2.2.1 | | |
| | 5.2.2.2 | | |
| 5.3 | MODI | FIED STICKY-POINT TEST | |
| 5 | .3.1 | Introduction | 5.3 |
| 5 | .3.2 | Experimental Apparatus | 5.3 |
| 5 | .3.3 | Method | |
| | .3.4 | Results And Discussion | |
| _ | 3.5 | Conclusions | |
| | | TEST | |
| | 5.4.1 | Blow Test In Moisture Dishes | |
| 3 | 5.4.1. | | |
| | 5.4.1. | | |
| | 5.4.1. | | |
| 5 | 5.4.2 | Blow Test On A Large Powder Bed | |

| | 5.4.2.1 | Experimental Apparatus and Method | 5.8 |
|-------|---------|--|------|
| | 5.4.2.2 | Results and Discussion | 5.9 |
| | 5.4.2.3 | Conclusions | 5.10 |
| 5 | .4.3 | Improved Blow Test | 5.11 |
| | Experi | mental Apparatus | 5.11 |
| | 5.4.3.2 | Experimental Method | 5.13 |
| | 5.4.3.3 | | |
| 5.5 | ANAL | YSIS OF BLOW TEST FORCES | 5.16 |
| 5 | .5.1 | Physical Basis For Model | 5.17 |
| 5.6 | CONCI | LUSIONS | 5.19 |
| CHAP' | TER 6 S | STICKING OF AMORPHOUS LACTOSE | 6.1 |
| | | | |
| 6.1 | | DUCTION | |
| 6.2 | | OD | |
| 6.3 | | TS | |
| 6 | .3.1 | $(T-T_g) \approx 10^{\circ}C$ | |
| 6 | .3.2 | $(T-T_g) \approx 0 ^{\circ}C$ | |
| 6 | .3.3 | $(T-T_g) \approx 20$ °C | |
| 6 | .3.4 | Experiments at Different Conditions | 6.5 |
| 6 | .3.5 | Higher (T-T _g) Values | |
| 6.4 | DATA | ANALYSIS | 6.6 |
| 6 | .4.1 | The Rate of Stickiness Onset | 6.6 |
| 6 | .4.2 | Flow Rate Correlations | 6.8 |
| 6 | .4.3 | Prediction Of Stickiness With Time | |
| 6 | .4.4 | Conditions To Avoid | 6.11 |
| 6.5 | | .USIONS | |
| | | CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS | |
| | 1 1 1 1 | CONTRACTOR AND RECURSIVE STATE OF STREET | |

LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure 2.1 | Amorphous lactose moisture sorption isotherm | 2.5 |
|------------|---|------|
| Figure 2.2 | T _g versus moisture content for amorphous lactose | 2.7 |
| Figure 2.3 | T _g versus water activity | 2.8 |
| Figure 2.4 | Viscosity predictions of the WLF equation | 2.14 |
| Figure 2.5 | Time to 90% crystallinity versus $(T\text{-}T_g)$ | 2.24 |
| Figure 3.1 | Effect of desiccation time on residual moisture content | 3.7 |
| Figure 3.2 | Corrected sorption data | 3.9 |
| Figure 3.3 | Glass transition temperature versus moisture content, | |
| | corrected for residual moisture | 3.10 |
| Figure 3.4 | T _g versus water activity | 3.11 |
| Figure 3.5 | Fitting equations to Tg versus water activity data | 3.12 |
| Figure 4.1 | Weight change due to crystallisation of amorphous lactose at | |
| | 26%RH and 69°C | 4.2 |
| Figure 4.2 | Crystallinity versus time for amorphous lactose crystallisation | |
| | at 26%RH and 69°C | 4.3 |
| Figure 4.3 | Avrami plot for amorphous lactose crystallisation | 4.4 |
| Figure 4.4 | Time to 90% crystallisation for amorphous lactose | 4.6 |
| Figure 5.1 | Modified sticky point test results | 5.4 |
| Figure 5.2 | Blow test apparatus | 5.7 |
| Figure 5.3 | Blow test on distributor plate, $(T-T_g) = 7^{\circ}C$ | 5.9 |
| Figure 5.4 | Segmented distributor plate | 5.11 |
| Figure 5.5 | Modified blow tester | 5.11 |
| Figure 5.6 | Improved blow test apparatus | 5.12 |
| Figure 5.7 | Initial improved blow test experiment, (T-T _g)=7°C | 5.14 |
| Figure 5.8 | Blow test | 5.16 |

| Figure 6.1 | Blow test results for (T-T _g)≈10°C | 6.2 | |
|------------|--|------|--|
| Figure 6.2 | Comparison of two runs at the same temperature and | | |
| | humidity conditions | 6.3 | |
| Figure 6.3 | Blow test results for (T-T _g)≈0°C | 6.4 | |
| Figure 6.4 | Blow test results for (T-T _g)≈20°C | 6.5 | |
| Figure 6.5 | Best fit lines for all experiments | 6.7 | |
| Figure 6.6 | Rate of sticking at different (T-Tg) values | 6.8 | |
| Figure 6.7 | Contact time required to reach a strength of 8 L/min | 6.10 | |
| Figure 6.8 | Predicted contact time to reach 8 L/min | 6.10 | |
| Figure 6.9 | The different regions of stickiness | 6.12 | |