

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.



ACHIEVING BUSINESS @ THE SPEED OF THOUGHT

This thesis is presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

**Master of Information Sciences in
Information Technology**

**School of Engineering and Advanced Technology
At Massey University Albany, Auckland, New Zealand**

By

**Matthew Joseph Alexander Comb, PG Diploma in Information Sciences and BSc
Mathematics and Information Sciences**

February 2016

Abstract

Almost two decades ago, the business community touted Bill Gates' book *Business @ the Speed of Thought* as visionary. Gates laid out his blueprint for how the immediate propagation of relevant information from network to network and business to business via a digital nervous system would change the way every business operated. The value of immediately being able to access timely and pertinent information was highly desired by any business seeking a competitive advantage.

Fifteen years later and Gates' vision has yet to be realized. Organizations have difficulty integrating with one another at both a technical and business level, and rapidly changing environments are problematic when trying to maintain resilient business process integration.

This raises some key questions. Why is enterprise integration so difficult? What are the barriers to enterprise integration? Can identified barriers be overcome? Ultimately, we need to know if the digital nervous system analogy is realistic or if there is a maximum achievable level of integration and cohesion.

This report investigates approaches to integration, compares them against current attitudes and practices within enterprise organizations, and takes valuable steps towards confronting realistic integration expectations, and outlining future areas of research.

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Dr David Parsons and Dr Paul Watters for reinvigorating my passion for information science. Both are passionate about information science and provide complementary viewpoints that have tested the boundaries of my knowledge and encouraged me to go beyond. Their collective support throughout the master's programme was gratefully received.

Dr Parsons' common-sense approach to technology is an acknowledgement that context changes often and that a sound solution in one context may not be so in another. I found his perspective on the evolution of information science refreshing and thought provoking, and will always consider his viewpoint when designing solutions in the future.

Dr Watters' breadth of knowledge is exceptional and the challenges he set me throughout my research were stimulating. I admire the innovative, proactive and business-savvy approach he brings to academia and he inspires me to continue bridging the divide between academia and the commercial world so both may flourish.

A huge thanks to Dr Kristin Stock who became involved late in the process. In the short time I have known Dr Stock, I have been impressed with her efficiency and look forward to working closely with her and learning from her throughout my PhD programme.

I would also like to thank my business partner and mentor Laurence Day whose friendship and guidance through tough times have been invaluable. Laurence not only wants the best for New Zealand, but also actively and generously contributes to its future. I hope to continue following in his footsteps and make a lasting and positive change for information technology in New Zealand.

I would also like to acknowledge Greg Bolton, Paul Harrison, Mark Berry, Maurice Verheijen, Campbell Elliot and Mike Ogle — a group of close friends who have encouraged me to further my education and to realize my dream of transforming the New Zealand knowledge economy.

Thanks to Michael Smith for his editorial assistance, and Ron Holl, graphic designer, who both helped to polish the thesis into shape.

Finally I would like to thank my parents Barbara and Joseph Comb and supporting family who — despite their humble backgrounds — made numerous sacrifices to ensure our family grew up on the North Shore of Auckland and received a quality education. I hope that in recent years I have made them proud.

Table of Contents

Abstract	ii
Acknowledgements	iii
Table of Contents.....	iv
List of Figures	vii
List of Tables	ix
List of Dimensions.....	x
List of Principles	x
1.	Introduction
 1
1.1	Introduction
1.2	The Information Superhighway Myth
1.3	Hasselbring Integration Problem Dimensions.....
1.3.1	Distribution
1.3.2	Heterogeneity.....
1.3.3	Autonomy.....
1.4	Adding an Architectural Dimension
1.4.1	Enterprise Architecture Framework (EA3).....
1.5	Research Questions
1.6	Approach.....
1.7	Conclusion
2.	Literature Review
 12
2.1	The Big Bang (Integration Solution Diversification).....
2.1.1	Introduction.....
2.1.2	Point-to-Point Integration.....
2.1.3	Hub and Spoke
2.1.4	Middleware
2.1.5	Enterprise Application Integration
2.1.6	Enterprise Service Bus
2.1.7	Event-Driven Architecture.....
2.1.8	Web Services Architecture
2.1.9	Application Integration
2.2	Business Integration
 29

2.3	Modern Trends and Technologies	33
2.3.1	Mesh Networks.....	33
2.3.2	Peer-to-Peer.....	34
2.3.3	Virtualization.....	34
2.4	Conclusion	35
3.	Methodology
		36
3.1	Introduction	36
3.1.1	Target Population.....	37
3.1.2	Sampling Method	38
3.1.3	Data Collection	38
3.1.4	Data Identification and Analysis	40
3.2	Justifications.....	40
3.2.1	Action Research	40
3.2.2	Alternative Research Methods.....	41
3.2.3	Determining Integration Platforms / Frameworks	41
3.2.4	Stratifying at Business Level.....	41
3.2.5	Stratifying Against Business Size.....	41
3.3	Conclusion	41
4.	Results
		42
4.1	Focus Group 1 (FG1).....	42
4.2	Survey Results and Focus Group 2 Analysis.....	43
4.2.1	General Results from Survey Section A	43
4.2.2	Strategic Results from Survey Section B.....	46
4.2.3	Business Results from Survey Section C	47
4.2.4	Technology Results from Survey Section D	48
4.3	Focus Group 2 (FG2).....	50
4.4	Existing Integration Platform Review.....	53
4.4.1	Review – CORBA (OMG).....	54
4.4.2	Review – Mapping Platform (Van de Maele).....	54
4.4.3	Review – Web Services (W3C).....	55
4.4.4	Review – Internet Service Bus (Microsoft).....	56
4.4.5	Review – Apache NiFi and the HPCS.....	56
4.4.6	Review – Amazon AWS Stack + Lambda.....	57
4.5	Focus Group 3 (FG3).....	58
4.6	Conclusion	58
5.	Reactor Platform Design
		59

5.1	Overview.....	59
5.2	Reactor Platform Design	60
5.2.1	Introduction.....	60
5.2.2	Node Structure.....	61
5.2.3	Node Classification.....	62
5.2.4	Superhighway.....	63
5.3	Reactor Autonomy Layer.....	64
5.3.1	Invocation.....	64
5.3.2	Process Orchestration	64
5.3.3	Complex Event Processing.....	64
5.4	Reactor Heterogeneity Layer	65
5.4.1	Messaging	65
5.4.2	Mediation	66
5.5	Reactor Distribution Layer	66
5.5.1	Routing	66
5.6	Reactor Architecture Layer.....	68
5.6.1	Adapters.....	68
5.6.2	Security	68
5.6.3	Management.....	68
5.6.4	Usability & Flexibility	69
5.6.5	Maintainability & Testability.....	69
5.6.6	Availability + Scalability.....	69
5.6.7	Availability + Reliability	70
5.6.8	Extensibility	70
5.6.9	Portability	70
5.7	Reactor Extensions.....	70
5.8	Reactor Use Cases	71
5.9	Conclusion	72
6. Final Review & Interviews	73
6.1	Focus Group 4 (FG4).....	73
6.2	Interviews	75
6.2.1	Barriers to Integration.....	75
6.2.2	Primary Research Question A.....	76
6.2.3	Review of Findings	77
6.3	Conclusion	77
7. Discussion	79
7.1	Discussion.....	79

7.2	WSDL / UDDI.....	82
7.3	Conclusion	83
8.	Conclusion
		84
8.1	Conclusion	84
8.1.1	What proportion of enterprise integration barriers are technical?	84
8.1.2	What are the current key technical barriers to information exchange? — research question B.....	85
8.1.3	Is seamless information exchange achievable? — research question E.....	85
8.1.4	How do we establish a global communications ecosystem? — research question A	85
8.2	Contributions	86
8.3	Limitations.....	86
8.4	Future Work	87
8.5	Conclusion	88
9.	References
		89
10.	Appendix A – Survey Construction
		94
11.	Appendix B – Actual Survey
		101
12.	Appendix C – CORBA (OMG)
		110
13.	Appendix D – Mapping Platform
		113
14.	Appendix E – Web Services
		116
15.	Appendix F – Apache NiFi
		119
16.	Appendix G – Amazon (AWS) Stack
		121

List of Figures

Figure 1: Identified System Integration Difficulties (Hasselbring, 2000)	5
Figure 2: Hasselbring-Comb Four Integration Problem Dimensions	7
Figure 3: Research Approach.....	9
Figure 4: Research Ontology	10

Figure 5: Point-to-Point Integration Pattern (Lam & Shankararaman, 2007)	13
Figure 6: Hub and Spoke Integration Pattern (Goel, 2006).....	14
Figure 7: Examples of Middleware (“Middleware Services” (n.d.), 2015)	16
Figure 8: EAI/Middleware vs Traditional Integration (Pappa & Stergioulas, 2008).....	18
Figure 9: EAI Integration Key Functions (Eshel, 2000)	18
Figure 10: Enterprise Service Bus (ESB) (Lam & Shankararaman, 2007).....	20
Figure 11: Enterprise Service Bus with Adapters (Lam & Shankararaman, 2007)	21
Figure 12: Example Workflow Pattern (Van der Aalst et al., 2003)	22
Figure 13: Enterprise Integration Patterns III (Hohpe & Woolf, 2003).....	23
Figure 14: Enterprise Integration Patterns I (Hohpe & Woolf, 2003)	24
Figure 15: Enterprise Integration Patterns II (Hohpe & Woolf, 2003).....	24
Figure 16: W3C Web Standards (W3C, 2015).....	26
Figure 17: Business Integration Components (Lam & Shankararaman, 2004).....	29
Figure 18: Horizontal Integration to Support the Business Processes (Hasselbring, 2000)	31
Figure 19: Enterprise Application Integration (Linthicum, 1999).....	31
Figure 20: Business Modelling, EMI to EAI (Kühn et al., 2003)	32
Figure 21: Identified Governmental Integration Barriers (Lam, 2005).....	33
Figure 22: Cloud-Centric Integration.....	60
Figure 23: Reactor - Global Integration Challenge	61
Figure 24: Clustered Node.....	61
Figure 25: Node Classification.....	62
Figure 26: Reactor Network Model	63
Figure 27: Reactor-Based Information Superhighway	64
Figure 28: Reactor Node Design	71
Figure C1: CORBA Request Structure.....	112
Figure D1: Comparison Between DOGMA, OMG and Van de Maele’s Semantic Integration Mapping Platform.....	114

List of Tables

Table 1: Bill Gates' 12 Rules for a Digital Nervous System (Gates, 1999)	2
Table 2: Hasselbring Integration Barriers (Hasselbring, 2000) Stratified by Business Level.....	8
Table 4: Enterprise Integration Classifications (Linthicum, 1999; Al Mosawi et al., 2006; Lam & Shankararaman, 2007).....	30
Table 5: Research Methodology.....	37
Table 6: Results – Company Size vs Business Level.....	43
Table 7: Results – Company Size vs Integrate with a Third Party.....	44
Table 8: Results – Sign Test for Median: System Number, Ease of Integration, Data Storage	44
Table 9: Results – Sign Test for Median: Strategy, Business and Technology (Frequency).....	45
Table 10: Results – Sign Test for Median: Strategy, Business and Technology (Overall)	46
Table 11: Results – Impact of Strategic Barriers on Integration.....	46
Table 12: Results – Impact of Business Barriers on Integration	47
Table 13: Results – Technology Integration Barriers.....	48
Table 14: Results – Technologies and Approaches	49
Table 15: Global Integration Platform Assessment Criteria	53
Table 17: CORBA Summary Assessment Table	54
Table 18: Van de Maele Summary Assessment Table.....	55
Table 19: Web Services Summary Assessment Table	55
Table 20: NiFi Summary Assessment Table	57
Table 21: Amazon Stack Summary Assessment Table.....	57
Table 22: Direct Routing Example.....	67
Table 23: Split Routing Example.....	67
Table 24: Conditional Routing Example.....	67
Table 25: Route Subscription Example	67
Table 26: Reactor Assessment Table	71
Table 27: Autonomy Dimension Platform Comparison Summary.....	81

Table 28: Heterogeneity Dimension Platform Comparison Summary.....	81
Table 29: Distribution Dimension Platform Comparison Summary.....	81
Table 30: Architecture Dimension Platform Comparison Summary.....	82
Table 31: CORBA Assessment Table	110
Table 32: Van de Maele Assessment Table	113
Table 33: Web Services Assessment Table	116
Table 34: NiFi Assessment Table	120
Table 35: Amazon Stack Assessment Table	121

List of Dimensions

Dimension DIS: Distribution	5
Dimension HET: Heterogeneity	6
Dimension AUT: Autonomy	6
Dimension ARC: Architecture	7

List of Principles

Principle AUT-A: Autonomy	13
Principle AUT-B: Intelligence	14
Principle DIS-A: Service Oriented	13
Principle DIS-B: Auto-Configuring	14
Principle HET-A: Maintainable	14
Principle HET-B: Abstract	16
Principle HET-C: Standards Based	20
Principle ARC-A: Reliability	16
Principle ARC-B: Usability	18

Principle ARC-C: Security	20
Principle ARC-D: Performance	33