Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

The New Zealand Police Dogs

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

Master of Veterinary Studies

in

Animal Science

at Massey University,

Palmerston North, New Zealand

Monica Kyono

2002

ABSTRACT

This study aimed to identify characteristics of dogs to be used by the New Zealand Police Section for their dog breeding and selection programs. Only one scientific paper has been published on selecting dogs specifically for police dog work. Currently, no statistical analysis has been conducted on any of the New Zealand Police Dog Section's data.

A questionnaire on all aspects of police dog work was sent to the 120 operational police dog handlers working in New Zealand. The majority of handlers rated their dog high for a number of traits and areas of police dog work but their ideal dog rated very high for the same traits. The handlers ranked from highest to lowest the traits 'prey drive', 'trainability', 'activity', 'obedience', 'playfulness', 'independence' and 'aggressiveness'. This gives an indication of the relative emphasis that should be given to the traits in a selection program. Improved stud selection, better monitored foster homes, more consistency between regions and the training centre and having more dogs for selection are improvements that can be made.

Annual reports from dog trials for the years 1997 to 2000 were analysed by ANOVA to enable the calculation of repeatabilities for each activity. The activities 'heel free', 'retrieve', 'down stay', 'sendaway', 'recall and redirection', 'distance control', 'speak on command', 'track', 'article search', 'passive attack', 'chase and recall', 'chase and attack', and 'control' were measured in all four annual reports. The activity 'search and escort' was measured in 1999 and 2000. The highest repeatability (0.48) was for 'speak on command' and the lowest repeatability (0.03) was 'track'. There were insufficient data to enable the estimation of heritability values.

During the annual trials each activity should be separated into handler performance and dog performance to give an indication of the performance of the dog alone. If the traits

essential for each trial activity were identified and measured when the activity was being tested then a repeatability study on the trait alone could be conducted.

This report identifies several areas where changes in trait definition and the collection of information could be used to improve the efficiency of the police dog breeding program.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would firstly like to thank my supervisors Dr Kevin Stafford and Professor Hugh Blair for helping me throughout my Masters and guiding me through the thesis process. Thank you both for giving me this exciting research project that enabled me to combine my genetics background with my love of dog behaviour and training. I really appreciate the time you both gave me over the years and thank you so much for teaching me everything I needed to know in all aspects of my research.

Special thanks to my parents John and Liliane for always being supportive of my studies and encouraging me to fulfil my dreams. I love you both. Thank you Jason for being the love of my life and putting up with all my stress and frustration over my years of study.

Thanks to all the staff at the Royal New Zealand Police Dog Training Centre in Trentham, Wellington, especially Brendon Gibson for providing me with all the data I needed, showing me all aspects of the centre and providing me with an office for a few weeks. Thanks to Graham Puryer for letting me accompany him around the National Police Dog Championship course. Thanks to Justine for going through all the breeding data with me.

Thanks to all the police dog handlers who completed the questionnaires for me. I could not have conducted my research without them.

Thanks to all the police dogs in New Zealand. The services you provide to the Police are invaluable. Keep up the good work.

Thanks to all my friends over the years I've been in Palmerston North. Those years have been the best years of my life and without all your friendship I would not be where I am today.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTR	ACT	II
ACKNO	WLEDGEMENT	ıv
TABLE	OF CONTENTS	v
LIST OF	TABLES	ıx
LIST OF	FIGURES	XI
1 GEI	NERAL INTRODUCTION	1
11 D	OLICE DOGS	2
1.1.1	ESTABLISHMENT OF THE NEW ZEALAND POLICE DOG SE	
1.1.2	HISTORY OF THE GERMAN SHEPHERD BREED	
1.1.3	HISTORY OF MILITARY DOGS	
1.2 P	OLICE DOG PRODUCTION IN NEW ZEALAND	4
1.2.1	BREEDING	
1.2.2	FOSTERING	5
1.2.3	ALLOCATION	
1.2.4	DONATED DOGS	
1.2.5	TRAINING A POLICE DOG	
1.2.6	FINAL ASSESSMENT	
1.2.7	OPERATIONAL DOGS	9
1.3 B	EHAVIOURAL GENETICS	9
1.3.1	NATURE VERSUS NURTURE DEBATE	
1.3.2	CANINE BEHAVIORAL GENETICS	12
1.3.3	THE BAR HARBOUR PROJECT	
1.3.4	TEMPERAMENT STUDIES	
1.3.5	TEMPERAMENT CATEGORIES	19
1.4 P	HYSICAL PROBLEMS	20
1.4.1		
1.4.2	BEHAVIOURAL AND PHYSIOLOGICAL TRAITS	
	RIVE	
1.5.1		
1.5.2	LEARNING AND DRIVE	22
1.5.3	INNATE DRIVES AND ACQUIRED DRIVES	23

1.5.4	MEASUREMENT OF DRIVE	
1.5.5	DRIVE, INSTINCT AND MOTIVATION	24
1.5.6	IS THE TERM DRIVE MEANINGLESS?	
1.6 D	EVELOPMENT OF BEHAVIOUR	25
1.6.1	THE PRENATAL PERIOD	25
1.6.2	THE NEONATAL PERIOD	
1.6.3	THE TRANSITION PERIOD	
1.6.4	THE EFFECTS OF EARLY HANDLING	27
1.6.5	THE SOCIALISATION PERIOD	27
1.6.6	CRITICAL PERIOD EXPERIMENTS	28
1.6.7	RESTRICTED ENVIRONMENT EXPERIMENTS	
1.6.8	ISOLATION EXPERIMENTS	29
1.6.9	NORMALLY REARED CONDITIONS EXPERIMENTS	30
1.6.10	JUVENILE PERIOD	31
1.7 A	REAS OF CONCERN	32
1.8 A	M OF THE RESEARCH	22
1.0 A	WI OF THE RESEARCH	33
2 A SI	JRVEY OF THE OPINIONS OF POLICE DOG HANDLERS O	N THE
	JRVEY OF THE OPINIONS OF POLICE DOG HANDLERS OF BUR, BREEDING, TRAINING AND QUALITY OF POLICE DO	7.7.75 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15
BEHAVO	OUR, BREEDING, TRAINING AND QUALITY OF POLICE DO	OGS35
BEHAVO 2.1 IN	OUR, BREEDING, TRAINING AND QUALITY OF POLICE DO	OGS35
2.1 IN 2.2 M	OUR, BREEDING, TRAINING AND QUALITY OF POLICE DO TRODUCTION	OGS35 35 37
2.1 IN 2.2 M 2.2.1	TRODUCTIONETHODQUESTIONNAIRE	OGS35 35 37
2.1 IN 2.2 M 2.2.1 2.2.2	TRODUCTION	OGS35 35 37 37
2.1 IN 2.2 M 2.2.1	TRODUCTIONETHODQUESTIONNAIRE	OGS35 35 37 37
2.1 IN 2.2 M 2.2.1 2.2.2 2.2.3 2.3 RI	TRODUCTION	JOGS35 35 37 38 39
2.1 IN 2.2 M 2.2.1 2.2.2 2.2.3 2.3 RI 2.3.1	TRODUCTION	35 37 37 38 39 41
2.1 IN 2.2 M 2.2.1 2.2.2 2.2.3 2.3 RI 2.3.1 2.3.2	TRODUCTION	OGS35 35 37 38 39 41 41
2.1 IN 2.2 M 2.2.1 2.2.2 2.2.3 2.3 RI 2.3.1 2.3.2 2.3.3	TRODUCTION	JOGS35 35 37 38 39 41 41 41
2.1 IN 2.2 M 2.2.1 2.2.2 2.2.3 2.3 RI 2.3.1 2.3.2 2.3.3 2.3.4	TRODUCTION	DGS35353738394141414141
2.1 IN 2.2 M 2.2.1 2.2.2 2.2.3 2.3 RI 2.3.1 2.3.2 2.3.3 2.3.4 2.3.5	TRODUCTION	JOGS35 35 37 38 39 41 41 41 41 41
2.1 IN 2.2 M 2.2.1 2.2.2 2.2.3 2.3 RI 2.3.1 2.3.2 2.3.3 2.3.4 2.3.5 2.3.6	TRODUCTION ETHOD QUESTIONNAIRE COLLATION STATISTICAL ANALYSIS ESULTS RESPONSE RATE EXPERIENCE OF RESPONDENTS NUMBER OF DOGS HANDLED BY RESPONDENTS POLICE DOGS USED BY RESPONDENTS RANKING OF TRAITS AND ACTIVITIES THE IDEAL DOG VERSUS THE REAL DOG	JOGS35 35 37 38 39 41 41 41 41 41 42
2.1 IN 2.2 M 2.2.1 2.2.2 2.2.3 2.3 RI 2.3.1 2.3.2 2.3.3 2.3.4 2.3.5 2.3.6 2.3.7	TRODUCTION ETHOD QUESTIONNAIRE COLLATION STATISTICAL ANALYSIS RESPONSE RATE EXPERIENCE OF RESPONDENTS NUMBER OF DOGS HANDLED BY RESPONDENTS POLICE DOGS USED BY RESPONDENTS RANKING OF TRAITS AND ACTIVITIES THE IDEAL DOG VERSUS THE REAL DOG LEVEL OF IMPORTANCE OF CHARACTERISTICS	DGS353537383941414141414242
2.1 IN 2.2 M 2.2.1 2.2.2 2.2.3 2.3 RI 2.3.1 2.3.2 2.3.3 2.3.4 2.3.5 2.3.6 2.3.7 2.3.8	TRODUCTION ETHOD QUESTIONNAIRE COLLATION STATISTICAL ANALYSIS ESULTS RESPONSE RATE EXPERIENCE OF RESPONDENTS NUMBER OF DOGS HANDLED BY RESPONDENTS POLICE DOGS USED BY RESPONDENTS RANKING OF TRAITS AND ACTIVITIES THE IDEAL DOG VERSUS THE REAL DOG LEVEL OF IMPORTANCE OF CHARACTERISTICS METHODS FOR IMPROVEMENT	DGS353537383941414141424242
2.1 IN 2.2 M 2.2.1 2.2.2 2.2.3 2.3 RI 2.3.1 2.3.2 2.3.3 2.3.4 2.3.5 2.3.6 2.3.7 2.3.8 2.3.9	TRODUCTION ETHOD QUESTIONNAIRE COLLATION STATISTICAL ANALYSIS ESULTS RESPONSE RATE EXPERIENCE OF RESPONDENTS NUMBER OF DOGS HANDLED BY RESPONDENTS POLICE DOGS USED BY RESPONDENTS RANKING OF TRAITS AND ACTIVITIES THE IDEAL DOG VERSUS THE REAL DOG LEVEL OF IMPORTANCE OF CHARACTERISTICS METHODS FOR IMPROVEMENT FEMALE GERMAN SHEPHERDS	JOGS35 35 37 38 39 41 41 41 41 42 42 42 42 43
2.1 IN 2.2 M 2.2.1 2.2.2 2.2.3 2.3 RI 2.3.1 2.3.2 2.3.3 2.3.4 2.3.5 2.3.6 2.3.7 2.3.8 2.3.9 2.3.10	TRODUCTION ETHOD QUESTIONNAIRE COLLATION STATISTICAL ANALYSIS RESPONSE RATE EXPERIENCE OF RESPONDENTS NUMBER OF DOGS HANDLED BY RESPONDENTS POLICE DOGS USED BY RESPONDENTS RANKING OF TRAITS AND ACTIVITIES THE IDEAL DOG VERSUS THE REAL DOG LEVEL OF IMPORTANCE OF CHARACTERISTICS METHODS FOR IMPROVEMENT FEMALE GERMAN SHEPHERDS REASONS TO USE THE GERMAN SHEPHERD BREED	DGS3535373839414141414242424344
2.1 IN 2.2 M 2.2.1 2.2.2 2.2.3 2.3.1 2.3.2 2.3.3 2.3.4 2.3.5 2.3.6 2.3.7 2.3.8 2.3.9 2.3.10 2.3.11	TRODUCTION ETHOD QUESTIONNAIRE COLLATION STATISTICAL ANALYSIS RESPONSE RATE EXPERIENCE OF RESPONDENTS NUMBER OF DOGS HANDLED BY RESPONDENTS POLICE DOGS USED BY RESPONDENTS RANKING OF TRAITS AND ACTIVITIES THE IDEAL DOG VERSUS THE REAL DOG LEVEL OF IMPORTANCE OF CHARACTERISTICS METHODS FOR IMPROVEMENT FEMALE GERMAN SHEPHERDS REASONS TO USE THE GERMAN SHEPHERD BREED TRAINING	DGS35353738394141414142424243444444
2.1 IN 2.2 M 2.2.1 2.2.2 2.2.3 2.3 RI 2.3.1 2.3.2 2.3.3 2.3.4 2.3.5 2.3.6 2.3.7 2.3.8 2.3.9 2.3.10 2.3.11 2.3.12	TRODUCTION ETHOD QUESTIONNAIRE COLLATION STATISTICAL ANALYSIS ESULTS RESPONSE RATE EXPERIENCE OF RESPONDENTS NUMBER OF DOGS HANDLED BY RESPONDENTS POLICE DOGS USED BY RESPONDENTS RANKING OF TRAITS AND ACTIVITIES THE IDEAL DOG VERSUS THE REAL DOG LEVEL OF IMPORTANCE OF CHARACTERISTICS METHODS FOR IMPROVEMENT FEMALE GERMAN SHEPHERDS REASONS TO USE THE GERMAN SHEPHERD BREED TRAINING HANDLER AND DOG MATCHINGS	DGS35353738394141414142424242434444
2.1 IN 2.2 M 2.2.1 2.2.2 2.2.3 2.3 RI 2.3.1 2.3.2 2.3.3 2.3.4 2.3.5 2.3.6 2.3.7 2.3.8 2.3.9 2.3.10 2.3.11	TRODUCTION ETHOD QUESTIONNAIRE COLLATION STATISTICAL ANALYSIS RESPONSE RATE EXPERIENCE OF RESPONDENTS NUMBER OF DOGS HANDLED BY RESPONDENTS POLICE DOGS USED BY RESPONDENTS RANKING OF TRAITS AND ACTIVITIES THE IDEAL DOG VERSUS THE REAL DOG LEVEL OF IMPORTANCE OF CHARACTERISTICS METHODS FOR IMPROVEMENT FEMALE GERMAN SHEPHERDS REASONS TO USE THE GERMAN SHEPHERD BREED TRAINING	DGS3535373839414141414242424344444545

Test control to the control	HOW PREY DRIVE IS MEASURED	47
2.3.16	FOSTER HOMES	
2.3.17	SOURCE OF DOG	47
2.3.18	PROBLEMS IN A HANDLER'S OWN DOG	47
2.3.19	IMPROVEMENT IN THE HANDLER'S OWN DOG	48
2.3.20	CHI-SQUARE ANALYSIS OF DATA	48
2.3.21	IDEAL VERSUS REAL POLICE DOGS	51
2.4 D	ISCUSSION	75
2.4.1	DEFINITION OF THE IDEAL POLICE DOG	76
2.4.2	RANKING OF TRAITS	
2.4.3	DEFINING AND MEASURING PREY DRIVE	78
2.4.4	NUMBER OF DONATED DOGS	80
2.4.5	IMPROVEMENT IN ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS	81
2.4.6	EFFECTS OF EXPERIENCE	84
2.4.7	ARE THE POLICE DOG HANDLERS WORTH LISTENING TO?	85
3 AN	ALYSIS OF THE ANNUAL REPORTS FROM POLICE DOG TRIAL	_S87
3.1 A	BSTRACT	87
3.2 II	TRODUCTION	88
3.3 N	ETHOD	90
3.3.1	DATA COLLECTION	90
3.3.1 3.3.2	DATA COLLECTIONFIXED EFFECTS	90 90
3.3.1 3.3.2 3.3.3	DATA COLLECTION	90 90
3.3.1 3.3.2 3.3.3 3.3.4	DATA COLLECTION FIXED EFFECTS DATA RECORDING TRANSFORMATIONS	90 90 90
3.3.1 3.3.2 3.3.3 3.3.4 3.3.5	DATA COLLECTION FIXED EFFECTS DATA RECORDING TRANSFORMATIONS ALLOWING FOR UNBALANCED DATA	90 90 91
3.3.1 3.3.2 3.3.3 3.3.4	DATA COLLECTION FIXED EFFECTS DATA RECORDING TRANSFORMATIONS	90 90 91
3.3.1 3.3.2 3.3.3 3.3.4 3.3.5 3.3.6	DATA COLLECTION FIXED EFFECTS DATA RECORDING TRANSFORMATIONS ALLOWING FOR UNBALANCED DATA REPEATABILITY ANALYSIS	90 90 91 91 92
3.3.1 3.3.2 3.3.3 3.3.4 3.3.5 3.3.6 3.4 R 3.4.1	DATA COLLECTION FIXED EFFECTS DATA RECORDING TRANSFORMATIONS ALLOWING FOR UNBALANCED DATA REPEATABILITY ANALYSIS ESULTS RAW DATA	90 90 91 91 92 93
3.3.1 3.3.2 3.3.3 3.3.4 3.3.5 3.3.6 3.4 R 3.4.1 3.4.2	DATA COLLECTION FIXED EFFECTS DATA RECORDING TRANSFORMATIONS ALLOWING FOR UNBALANCED DATA REPEATABILITY ANALYSIS ESULTS RAW DATA DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS	90 90 91 91 92 93 93
3.3.1 3.3.2 3.3.3 3.3.4 3.3.5 3.3.6 3.4 R 3.4.1 3.4.2 3.4.3	DATA COLLECTION FIXED EFFECTS DATA RECORDING TRANSFORMATIONS ALLOWING FOR UNBALANCED DATA REPEATABILITY ANALYSIS ESULTS RAW DATA DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS SKEWNESS AND KURTOSIS	90 91 91 92 93 93
3.3.1 3.3.2 3.3.3 3.3.4 3.3.5 3.3.6 3.4 R 3.4.1 3.4.2 3.4.3 3.4.4	DATA COLLECTION FIXED EFFECTS DATA RECORDING TRANSFORMATIONS ALLOWING FOR UNBALANCED DATA REPEATABILITY ANALYSIS ESULTS RAW DATA DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS SKEWNESS AND KURTOSIS REPEATABILITY ANALYSIS	90 90 91 92 93 93 93
3.3.1 3.3.2 3.3.3 3.3.4 3.3.5 3.3.6 3.4.1 3.4.2 3.4.3 3.4.4 3.4.5	DATA COLLECTION FIXED EFFECTS DATA RECORDING TRANSFORMATIONS ALLOWING FOR UNBALANCED DATA REPEATABILITY ANALYSIS ESULTS RAW DATA DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS SKEWNESS AND KURTOSIS REPEATABILITY ANALYSIS CORRELATIONS BY YEAR	90 90 91 92 93 93 93 97 97
3.3.1 3.3.2 3.3.3 3.3.4 3.3.5 3.3.6 3.4 R 3.4.1 3.4.2 3.4.3 3.4.4	DATA COLLECTION FIXED EFFECTS DATA RECORDING TRANSFORMATIONS ALLOWING FOR UNBALANCED DATA REPEATABILITY ANALYSIS ESULTS RAW DATA DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS SKEWNESS AND KURTOSIS REPEATABILITY ANALYSIS	90 90 91 92 93 93 93 97 97
3.3.1 3.3.2 3.3.3 3.3.4 3.3.5 3.3.6 3.4.1 3.4.2 3.4.3 3.4.3 3.4.4 3.4.5 3.4.6	DATA COLLECTION FIXED EFFECTS DATA RECORDING TRANSFORMATIONS ALLOWING FOR UNBALANCED DATA REPEATABILITY ANALYSIS ESULTS RAW DATA DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS SKEWNESS AND KURTOSIS REPEATABILITY ANALYSIS CORRELATIONS BY YEAR	90 91 91 92 93 93 97 97 98
3.3.1 3.3.2 3.3.3 3.3.4 3.3.5 3.3.6 3.4.1 3.4.2 3.4.3 3.4.4 3.4.5 3.4.6	DATA COLLECTION FIXED EFFECTS DATA RECORDING TRANSFORMATIONS ALLOWING FOR UNBALANCED DATA REPEATABILITY ANALYSIS ESULTS RAW DATA DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS SKEWNESS AND KURTOSIS REPEATABILITY ANALYSIS CORRELATIONS BY YEAR PROBABILITY TABLES HASE AND ATTACK	90 90 91 92 93 93 97 97 98 99
3.3.1 3.3.2 3.3.3 3.3.4 3.3.5 3.3.6 3.4.1 3.4.2 3.4.3 3.4.4 3.4.5 3.4.6 3.5 C	DATA COLLECTION FIXED EFFECTS DATA RECORDING TRANSFORMATIONS ALLOWING FOR UNBALANCED DATA REPEATABILITY ANALYSIS. ESULTS RAW DATA DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS SKEWNESS AND KURTOSIS REPEATABILITY ANALYSIS CORRELATIONS BY YEAR PROBABILITY TABLES HASE AND ATTACK ISCUSSION HERITABILITY VALUES	90909191939397979899
3.3.1 3.3.2 3.3.3 3.3.4 3.3.5 3.3.6 3.4.1 3.4.2 3.4.3 3.4.4 3.4.5 3.4.6 3.5 C	DATA COLLECTION FIXED EFFECTS DATA RECORDING TRANSFORMATIONS ALLOWING FOR UNBALANCED DATA REPEATABILITY ANALYSIS ESULTS RAW DATA DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS SKEWNESS AND KURTOSIS REPEATABILITY ANALYSIS CORRELATIONS BY YEAR PROBABILITY TABLES HASE AND ATTACK	90909193939797989999

3.6	6.4 DATA NUMBERS	127
3.6	6.5 PATTERNS OF PERFORMANCE	127
3.6	6.6 PROBABILITY TABLES	128
3.7	CONCLUSION	129
4	GENERAL DISCUSSION	130
4.1	QUESTIONNAIRE	131
4.2	ANALYSIS OF ANNUAL REPORTS	132
4.3	COMPARISION OF RESULTS FROM QUESTIONNAIRE AND	ANNUAL
REPO	ORTS	
4.4	LIMITATIONS IN THE POLICE DOG DATA RECORDING SY	STEM.134
4.5	RECOMMENDATIONS FOR POLICE DOG TRAINING CENT	RE135
REF	ERENCES	138
APP	ENDIX ONE	144
APPE	ENDIX TWO	149
APPE	ENDIX THREE	152
APPE	ENDIX FOUR	169

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1 Coding values for demographic data	40
Table 2.2 Coding scale for categorical questions	40
Table 2.3 The years of experience as a dog handler of the respondents	52
Table 2.4 Frequency table for the number of dogs respondents had worked with during	
their time in the Police Dog section.	
Table 2.5 Frequency table for the body length of the respondent's police dogs	53
Table 2.6 Frequency table for the hair length of the respondent's police dogs	
Table 2.7 Frequency table for the coat colour of the respondent's police dogs	
Table 2.8 Descriptive statistics for Question 1.	
Table 2.9 Descriptive Statistics for Question 2.	
Table 2.10 Descriptive Statistics for Question 4.	
Table 2.11 Descriptive Statistics for Question Five.	
Table 2.12 Frequency table for Question 1	
Table 2.13 Frequency table for Question 2	
Table 2.14 Frequency table for Question 4	
Table 2.15 Frequency table for Question 5	
Table 2.16 Frequency table for Question 9	
Table 2.17 Descriptive Statistics for Question 9.	
Table 2.18 Descriptive Statistics for Question 10.	
Table 2.19 Frequency table for Question 10	
Table 2.20 Descriptive Statistics for Question 11.	
Table 2.21 Frequency table for Question 11	
Table 2.22 Frequency table for Question 12	
Table 2.23 Frequency table for Question 14	.69
Table 2.24 Frequency table for Question 16	
Table 2.25 Frequency table for Question 17	
Table 2.26 Descriptive Statistics for	.70
Table 2.27 Chi-square results for the traits asked in Question 1. How would you	
personally describe your police dog? with source of dog	.70
Table 2.28 Chi-square analysis results of the dog handler's personal dog for the activity	
General Obedience, Criminal Work, Track/Search & Overall with source of dog	.71
Table 2.29 Chi-square analysis results for the dog handler's personal dog for the traits	
And the second s	.71
Table 2.30 Chi-square analysis results of the ideal dog for the traits asked in Question 2	
with years of experience of the handler	.71
Table 2.31 Chi-square analysis results for the different methods for improvement with	
years of experience of the handler	.72
Table 2.32 Chi-square analysis results for the different methods for improvement with	
number of dogs	
Table 2.33 Chi - square table for the frequencies for the traits of the 'ideal' dog	
Table 2.34 Chi-square table for the frequencies for the activities of the 'ideal' dog	
Table 2.35 Paired t-test analysis for traits asked in Question One and Two comparing t	
personal dog with the ideal dog	.74

Table 2.36 Analysis of variance for the trait Playfulness comparing the personal do the ideal dog	
Table 2.37 Paired t-test analysis for activities asked in Question Four and Five com	paring
the personal dog with the ideal dog	
Table 3.1 Original data for the activities tested in the annual trials	
Table 3.2 Descriptive statistics for the activity Heel Free	
Table 3.3 Descriptive statistics for the activity Retrieve	
Table 3.4 Descriptive statistics for the activity Down Stay	
Table 3.5 Descriptive statistics for the activity Sendaway	
Table 3.6 Descriptive statistics for the activity Recall and Redirection	
Table 3.7 Descriptive statistics for the activity Distance Control	
Table 3.8 Descriptive statistics for the activity Speak on Command	
Table 3.9 Descriptive statistics for the activity Track	
Table 3.10 Descriptive statistics for the activity Article Search	
Table 3.11 Descriptive statistics for the activity Passive Attack	
Table 3.12 Descriptive statistics for the activity Chase and Recall	
Table 3.13 Descriptive statistics for the activity Chase and Attack	
Table 3.14 Descriptive statistics for the activity Control	106
Table 3.15 Descriptive statistics for the activity Search and Escort	106
Table 3.16 The k values and the repeatability values calculated for the activities in	the
annual trials with the effects of the year and the dog taken into account	106
Table 3.17 The calculated repeatability values for the activities and the repeatability	
values obtained from the graphs	
Table 3.18 Probability table of 2000 vs. 1999 for the activity Chase and Attack	
Table 3.19 Probability table of 2000 vs. 1998 for the activity Chase and Attack	
Table 3.20 Probability table of 2000 vs. 1997 for the activity Chase and Attack	
Table 3.21 Probability table of 1999 vs. 1998 for the activity Chase and Attack	
Table 3.22 Probability table of 1999 vs. 1997 for the activity Chase and Attack	
Table 3.23 Probability table of 1998 vs. 1997 for the activity Chase and Attack	116

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1 The ranking by respondents of the Trainability of their own and an ideal police
dog
Figure 2.2 The ranking by respondents of the Aggressiveness of their own and an ideal
police dog
Figure 2.3 The ranking by respondents of the Prey Drive of their own and an ideal police dog
Figure 2.4 The ranking by respondents of the General Activity of their own and an ideal police dog
Figure 2.5 The ranking by respondents of Obedience of their own and an ideal police dog
Figure 2.6 The ranking by respondents of the Independence of their own and an ideal
police dog60
Figure 2.7 The ranking by respondents of the Playfulness of their own and an ideal police
dog61
Figure 2.8 The percentage of ratings for the police dog handler's dog and their ideal dog
on the activity General Obedience
Figure 2.9 The percentage of ratings for the police dog handler's dog and their ideal dog on the activity Criminal Work
on the activity Criminal Work
Figure 2.10 The percentage of ratings for the police dog handler's dog and their ideal dog on the activity Track/Search
Figure 2.11 The percentage of ratings for the police dog handler's dog and their ideal dog on Overall activity
Figure 3.1 The dog and handler team scores for the activity Chase and attack in 2000
versus the dog and handler team scores for the activity Chase and attack in 1999.108
Figure 3.2 The dog and handler team scores for the activity Chase and attack in 2000
versus the dog and handler team scores for the activity Chase and attack in 1998.108
Figure 3.3. The dog and handler team scores for the activity Chase and attack in 2000
versus the dog and handler team scores for the activity Chase and attack in 1997.109
Figure 3.4 The dog and handler team scores for the activity Chase and attack in 1999
versus the dog and handler team scores for the activity Chase and attack in 1998.109
Figure 3.5. The dog and handler team scores for the activity Chase and attack in 1999
versus the dog and handler team scores for the activity Chase and attack in 1997.110
Figure 3.6. The dog and handler team scores for the activity Chase and attack in 1998
versus the dog and handler team scores for the activity Chase and attack in 1997.110

1 GENERAL INTRODUCTION

Police dog teams have become an essential part of the New Zealand Police force and are popular with the public and the media. There are currently 120 operational general purpose police dog teams around the country. They are used for locating missing people, tracking down lost property, apprehending criminals and for street patrol. The New Zealand Police Dog Training Centre was set up in 1956. The Police Dog Section breeds police dogs and uses dogs donated from the general public and the Guide Dog Services.

The scientific literature on the breeding and selection of police dogs is limited to one paper. In this paper a series of behavioural tests were conducted on puppies from eight weeks to nine months of age to test the predictability value of the tests in identifying the future working ability of the puppies as police dogs (Slabbert and Odendaal, 1999). At present, no research has been conducted on police dogs in New Zealand.

This present study aims to change this by:

- conducting a questionnaire aimed at the police dog handlers
- analysing the annual reports of the current operational police dogs
- analysing the breeding lines of current police dog breeding stock

The major goal of this study is to use the available data to set up a selection and breeding programme to improve the standard of the police dogs bred at the Dog Training Centre in Trentham.