Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

A process evaluation of a shared leadership model in an intensive care unit

by

Clare Lynette Eden Turner

A thesis submitted to Massey University in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Arts in Nursing.

Massey University, New Zealand

2001

Abstract

Shared leadership has been touted in the United States and United Kingdom as a model of staff management that fosters active involvement of staff, in this case nurses as experienced professionals, in patient management. This study uses process evaluation for the examination of a shared leadership model in an intensive care environment following a period of significant change and restructuring.

The model was based on the shared leadership literature (Porter-O'Grady, 1992) which focuses on clinical practice as a key accountability and on decentralised clinical leadership at the point of service. The model aligned with the skill acquisition framework used by the employer organisation called the Professional Development Programme (PDP). This programme aims at enhancing the development of expertise in clinical practice and supports the principles of shared leadership.

This research study was undertaken to evaluate the process of implementation of the model and to discover whether there is evidence nurse involvement in the management of patient care. The results are based on the responses of 104 registered nurse respondents (56%) working in the intensive care unit of a specialised hospital. Documentation was also examined for evidence of nursing input into indirect patient management process development. The results indicate that nurses are becoming more settled in their working environment and feel more confident in their ability to provide an active role in the management of their patients within a multidisciplinary team.

Acknowledgement

I wish to acknowledge the encouragement and support I have received from family, friends, colleagues and academic staff over the past years. In particular I would like to thank my husband David, and son Dale for their support and understanding.

I would like to acknowledge my supervisor, Mary Finlayson, who has encouraged me as I have worked to bring together my considerable data and ideas. She has been challenging and questioning of my work and has raised my awareness and reflection in my academic work.

Finally, I would like to dedicate this work to the nursing leaders of the past who pioneered the professionalism of nursing and fostered autonomy of practice, and to the future nursing leaders who will continue working at the frontiers of change.

Table of Contents

Title page	i
Abstract	ii
Acknowledgement	iii
Table of contents	iv
Appendices	vi
List of tables and figures	vii
Chapter One Introduction	1 - 18
Context of thesis	2
Thesis construction, style and flow	15
The influence of the researcher	17
Conclusion	17

Chapter Two	Literature Review	19 – 42
Leadership		20
Leadership	in nursing	22
Shared lead	dership	25
Conclusion	1	41
Chapter Three	Methodology	43 - 65

napt	er Three Methodology	43 - 65
	Programme evaluation	43
	The research framework used for the study	52
	The research approach: process, monitoring or implementation	
	evaluation	52
	Ethical considerations	60
	Analysis of data	62
	Conclusion	64

Table of contents, continued

Chapter Four	Results and Analysis	66 - 113
The question	onnaire	66
Response of	lata: General	68
Response of	lata: Direct involvement in patient management	75
Relationsh	ips with other health care disciplines	82
Indirect pa	tient management	88
General cli	nical practices	95
Analysis o	f comments	98
Examinatio	on of documents	99
Conclusior	1	110
Chapter Five	Discussion	114 – 127
Planned ap	proach to the change process	115
Relationsh	ips, decision making and teamwork	116
The use of	Benner skill acquisition model	119
Manageme	ent of change	125
Compariso	on and reflections on the study	126
Conclusion	1	127
Chapter Six	Conclusions and Recommendations	128 - 135
Involvement	t with patient management	128
Strengths an	d limitations of the study	130
Recommend	lations	133
Reference List		136-139

Appen	Appendices	
1	Therapeutic Intervention Scoring System (TISS)	140 - 142
2	Service Structure (ICU Review, 1997)	143
3	Intensive Care Leadership Model (March, 1998)	144
4	Shared Leadership Model in relation to Service (March, 1998)	145
5	Questionnaire: Survey of nurses in the Cardiothoracic Intensive Care Unit to assess the level of active involvement in patient management (Oct/Nov, 2000)	146-150
6	Information sheet (Oct/Nov, 2000)	151-152
7	Approval letter from Massey University Human Ethics	153-155
	Committee	
8	Committee Approval letter from "The Hospital"	156

Table of contents, continued

List of tables and figures

÷

Tables	Titles	Page
Table 1	Professional Development Programme categories	13
Table 2	Diagrammatic representation of the process followed for this study	16
Table 3	Overview of total results from the questionnaire	68
Table 4	Length of time registered nurses have worked in ICU	69
Table 5	Total critical care experience	70
Table 6	Experience of nurses from other speciality areas	73
Table 7	Secondments to other nursing positions	73
Table 8	Ward rounds	76
Table 9	Response regarding multidisciplinary team meetings	78
Table 10	Total results from the section on relationships with other healthcare disciplines	82
Table 11	Comments categorised into sections corresponding closest to questions	98
Table 12	Categorisation of documents which were examined	100
Table 13	Table showing summary of documents examined	104

Figure	Titles	Pages
Figure 1	Comparison between nurses' length of stay in ICU and critical care experience	71
Figure 2	Comparison between length of stay in study ICU and total years of critical care experience in senior nurses	72
Figure 3	Secondment by levels	74
Figure 4	Comparison of ward rounds and MDT meetings	80
Figure 5	CNS responses to Ward Rounds and Multidisciplinary team meetings	81
Figure 6	Senior responses to Ward rounds and Multidisciplinary team meetings	81

Figures, continued

Figure	Titles	Pages
Figure 7	Junior responses to Ward rounds and Multidisciplinary team meetings	82
Figures 8 & 9	Responses to approachability & opinions by medical staff	85
Figures 10 & 11	Nursing staff opinions & approachability	86
Figures 12 & 13	Allied Health staff opinions and approachability	87
Figure 14	Responses of nurses to their involvement in documentation processes	89
Figure 15	Division of responses between nurses' level of practice regarding the question on nurses' involvement in documentation development	91
Figure 16	Nurses' responses to involvement in the quality of nursing practice	93
Figure 17	Nurses involvement in quality of nursing practice by levels.	94
Figure 18	Confidence in own clinical practice by levels of professional development	95
Figure 19	Comments from nurses divided into level of practice	99
Figure 20	Changes supporting nurses' autonomy of practice in documentation development	103