

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**A Preliminary Musculoskeletal Model of  
the German Shepherd Lumbosacral Spine**

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the  
requirements for the degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE

in

Animal Science

at Massey University, Manawatū, New Zealand.

Sophie Anna Reed

2017



## Abstract

German shepherd dogs (GSD) are commonly utilised in police and military forces, where they undertake physically demanding working roles. These duties, combined with the typical GSD musculoskeletal conformation, consequently result in a high incidence of degenerative lumbo-sacral stenosis in this breed. This debilitating condition compromises the welfare of the animal and often results in premature loss from service.

A preliminary mathematical musculoskeletal model was developed in the AnyBody Modelling System software platform from computed tomography imagery and muscle dissection. Positions of hard and soft tissue elements were recorded from the specimen to construct this patient-specific model. Inverse dynamics simulations were run with incremental alterations of two muscle input parameters: muscle fibre length and sarcomere length. The effect of these parameters was found to be extremely sensitive on the model output values, with minor input variations resulting in major output variations.

Histology data was obtained from muscle excised in the dissection, and indicated variability in muscle sarcomere length up to  $0.681 \mu\text{m}$  within the muscle and an average of  $0.389 \mu\text{m}$  between GSD muscles. The sensitivity analysis indicated a  $0.1 \mu\text{m}$  variation in sarcomere length exceeded the set threshold of  $\pm 2.5 \%$  from the measured sarcomere length output value of strength for the muscle sacrocaudalis dorsalis medialis. Muscle length sensitivity indicated a 1 mm input variation remained within the strength output threshold, while a 2 mm variation exceeded the threshold.

This research resulted in the development of a preliminary and functional biomechanical model of the GSD lumbo-sacral spine capable of simulation studies. The results of the inverse dynamics sensitivity analysis identified the critical effect of variation of muscle fibre length and sarcomere length as muscle input parameters, and emphasised the requirement of precise muscle measurement, specific to each individual muscle.



## **Acknowledgements**

Research is never the work of one person. Behind the scenes is a village of stakeholders. Among the many who have made up my village are the following colleagues and mentors whom I would like to thank, jointly and individually, for their help along the way. I am so fortunate in having been able to work with you all.

This project may well never have come to fruition without the help of Dr Bob Colborne, my chief adviser and mentor. My sincere thanks for your guidance and oversight throughout this complex project. I thank you for your trust in me as well as your support and encouragement.

For your extra support and guidance around welfare, thank you Dr Ngaio Beausoleil. You recognised the possibilities of what could be achieved by merging my diverse backgrounds of animal science and design.

To Dr Kevin Stafford, for helping sow the seeds for this project. Your open door and your open mind supported me along the way.

To my 'technician extraordinaire', James Wang - my sincere thanks for your impressive work ethic and dedication.

I would also like to acknowledge the assistance of these Massey University staff; your input has been invaluable:

Nicki Moffatt and your exceptional Radiology Department, Dr Matthew Perrott for guidance with histology, Alan Nutman for your anatomy expertise, and the numerous IVABS staff who made time to share their specialist knowledge.

And finally, to the Working Dog Centre - you understood the need for this research and, in so doing, provided the opportunity to make a contribution in a field of special interest to me.



# Table of Contents

<b>Abstract .....</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>Acknowledgements.....</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>Table of contents.....</b>	<b>vii</b>
<b>List of figures.....</b>	<b>xi</b>
<b>List of tables.....</b>	<b>xv</b>
<b>List of abbreviations.....</b>	<b>xvii</b>
<b>1 Introduction .....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 General introduction .....	1
1.2 Outline of thesis.....	3
<b>2 Review of Literature.....</b>	<b>5</b>
2.1 Welfare of German shepherd working dogs.....	5
2.1.1 Working German shepherd requirements .....	5
2.1.2 Defining animal welfare.....	6
2.1.3 Welfare implications for working German shepherds.....	6
2.1.4 Welfare assessment and improvements for German shepherds .....	9
2.2 Musculoskeletal modelling .....	10
2.2.1 Defining musculoskeletal modelling .....	10
2.2.2 Musculoskeletal modelling strengths and limitations .....	11
2.2.3 Canine musculoskeletal modelling.....	12
2.2.4 Human musculoskeletal modelling.....	14

<b>3</b>	<b>Materials and Methods .....</b>	<b>17</b>
3.1	Introduction.....	17
3.2	Model development.....	18
3.2.1	Cadaver specimen .....	18
3.2.2	Medical imaging .....	18
3.2.3	Cadaver measurements.....	19
3.2.3.1	Muscle geometrical parameters and morphometric data specimen .....	20
3.2.3.2	Ligament parameters .....	24
3.2.3.3	Joint geometry .....	24
3.2.3.4	Prominent bony landmarks .....	24
3.2.4	Post-processing .....	25
3.2.4.1	Medical image post-processing .....	25
3.2.4.2	Transformation and registration .....	25
3.2.4.3	Prominent bony landmarks .....	26
3.2.4.4	Local reference frames .....	27
3.2.4.5	Global reference frames .....	28
3.2.4.6	Inertial parameters .....	30
3.2.4.7	Modelling of muscle parameters .....	31
3.2.4.8	Modelling of ligament parameters.....	35
3.2.4.9	Estimation of joint geometry .....	37

3.2.5	Musculoskeletal model .....	38
3.2.5.1	Implementation into AnyBody Modeling System.....	38
3.2.5.2	Inverse dynamics sensitivity analysis .....	42
<b>4</b>	<b>Results .....</b>	<b>45</b>
4.1	Cadaver measurements .....	45
4.2	Histology measurements.....	45
4.3	Bony landmarks.....	49
4.4	Bone segment origins.....	49
4.5	Inertial parameters .....	50
4.6	Muscle parameters.....	51
4.7	Ligament parameters .....	60
4.8	Joint geometry.....	60
4.9	Kinematic modelling .....	61
4.9.1	Inverse dynamics force operation .....	62
4.9.1.1	Inverse dynamics force sensitivity analysis of muscle length.....	64
4.9.1.2	Inverse dynamics force sensitivity analysis of sarcomere length .....	66
4.9.2	Inverse dynamics strength operation .....	68
4.9.2.1	Inverse dynamics strength sensitivity analysis of muscle length.....	70
4.9.2.2	Inverse dynamics strength sensitivity analysis of sarcomere length .....	72

<b>5</b>	<b>Discussion .....</b>	<b>75</b>
5.1	General discussion.....	75
5.2	Discussion of findings.....	76
5.2.1	Cadaver measurement.....	76
5.2.2	Histology measurement .....	77
5.2.3	Bony landmarks .....	77
5.2.4	Bone segment origins .....	78
5.2.5	Inertial parameters .....	78
5.2.6	Muscle parameters .....	79
5.2.7	Ligament parameters .....	80
5.2.8	Joint geometry.....	80
5.2.9	Inverse dynamics assessments .....	80
5.3	Future direction and considerations .....	82
<b>6</b>	<b>Conclusion.....</b>	<b>85</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>Appendices.....</b>	<b>87</b>
7.1	Appendix I .....	87
7.2	Appendix II .....	95
<b>8</b>	<b>References.....</b>	<b>105</b>

## List of Figures

Figure 3.1: CT image of intact GSD specimen .....	18
Figure 3.2: Individual CT images of GSD sacrum (A) and pelvis (B) .....	19
Figure 3.3: General images of GSD specimen at the start of the dissection from dorsal (A,B) and cranial (C) aspects.....	19
Figure 3.4: Fixed Qualisys pins to define reference frame for specimen dissection .....	20
Figure 3.5: Specimen during dissection with piriformis (A) and sacrocaudalis dorsalis medialis (B) muscles indicated .....	21
Figure 3.6: Qualisys camera system temporary marker indicating the iliopsoas muscle attachment site .....	22
Figure 3.7: Microscopic image showing myofibril bands (A) and length of ten sarcomeres and z-lines (B) .....	23
Figure 3.8: Bony landmarks of sacrum (A) and pelvis (B) as captured in SolidWorks .....	27
Figure 3.9: Canine pelvis, sacrum and partial lumbar spine skeletal elements realigned in the AnyBody Modeling System.....	29
Figure 3.10: SolidWorks muscle nodes of the sacrum (A), pelvis (B) and lumbar vertebrae L4-7 (C-F) .....	33
Figure 3.11: Ligament nodes of the sacrum (A), pelvis (B) and lumbar vertebrae L4-7 (C-F) as captured in SolidWorks .....	36
Figure 3.12: Joint nodes, and bone nodes of the sacrum (A) and L6 vertebrae as shown in SolidWorks .....	37
Figure 3.13: AMS muscle nodes (A), then shown with muscle elements attached (B).....	38
Figure 3.14: Full AMS muscle with all components attached .....	39

Figure 3.15: Flexion (A), neutral (B) and extension (C) spine positions ..... 40

Figure 3.16: Lateral bending with left (A), neutral (B) and right (C) spine positions ..... 41

Figure 3.17: Axial rotation with anti-clockwise (A), neutral (B) and clockwise (C) spine positions..... 42

Figure 4.1: Inverse dynamic output property of force plotted against muscle-tendon element length for the left sacrocaudalis dorsalis medialis muscle with spine motion isolated to permit lumbo-sacral joint movement only. Flexion and extension (A), lateral bending (B), and axial rotation (C) movements are displayed with the central vertical line indicating the neutral spine position..... 63

Figure 4.2: Inverse dynamic output property of force plotted against muscle-tendon element length for the left sacrocaudalis dorsalis medialis muscle with spine motion isolated to the permit lumbo-sacral joint movement only. Flexion and extension (A), lateral bending (B), and axial rotation (C) movements are displayed with the central vertical line indicating the neutral spine position. Muscle fibre length ( $L_f$  nom) is altered by increments of 1 mm from the true measured muscle fibre length, simulating both a decrease and increase of muscle fibre to tendon ratio ..... 65

Figure 4.3: Inverse dynamic output property of force plotted against muscle-tendon element length for the left sacrocaudalis dorsalis medialis muscle with spine motion isolated to the permit lumbo-sacral joint movement only Flexion and extension (A), lateral bending (B), and axial rotation (C) movements are displayed with the central vertical line indicating the neutral spine position. Muscle sarcomere length ( $L_s$ ) is altered by increments of  $0.1 \mu\text{m}$  from the true measured muscle sarcomere length, simulating both a decrease and increase from the true value ..... 67

Figure 4.4: Inverse dynamic output property of strength plotted against muscle-tendon element length for the left sacrocaudalis dorsalis medialis muscle with spine motion isolated to permit lumbo-sacral joint movement only. Flexion and extension (A), lateral bending (B), and axial rotation (C) movements are displayed with the central vertical line indicating the neutral spine position..... 69

Figure 4.5: Inverse dynamic output property of strength plotted against muscle-tendon element length for the left sacrocaudalis dorsalis medialis muscle with spine motion isolated to the permit lumbo-sacral joint movement only. Flexion and extension (A), lateral bending (B), and axial rotation (C) movements are displayed with the central vertical line indicating the neutral spine position. Muscle fibre length ( $L_f$  nom) is altered by increments of 1 mm from the true measured muscle fibre length, simulating both a decrease and increase of muscle fibre to tendon ratio ..... 71

Figure 4.6: Inverse dynamic output property of strength plotted against muscle-tendon element length for the left sacrocaudalis dorsalis medialis muscle with spine motion isolated to the permit lumbo-sacral joint movement only. Flexion and extension (A), lateral bending (B), and axial rotation (C) movements are displayed with the central vertical line indicating the neutral spine position. Muscle sarcomere length ( $L_s$ ) is altered by increments of  $0.1 \mu\text{m}$  from the true measured muscle sarcomere length, simulating both a decrease and increase from the true value..... 73



## List of Tables

Table 4.1: Morphometric data from dissection of canine specimen with a neutral spine position .....	45
Table 4.2: Sarcomere length of muscles excised from canine specimen ....	46
Table 4.3: Positions (X, Y, Z) of prominent bony landmarks of the sacrum with respect to the local and model global reference frames (in mm).....	49
Table 4.4: Positions (X, Y, Z) of prominent bony landmarks of the pelvis with respect to the local and model global reference frames (in mm).....	49
Table 4.5: Positions (X, Y, Z) of the origin for each bone segment with respect to the model global reference frame (in mm) with the model at the neutral position .....	49
Table 4.6: Principal axes and moments of inertia taken at the centre of mass of each bone segment.....	50
Table 4.7: Muscle parameters of the canine spine model with respect to the model global reference frame (in mm) with the model at the neutral position .....	52
Table 4.8: Modelling of spinal ligament input parameters of stiffness and strain .....	60
Table 4.9: Joint node positions and bone node positions (X, Y, Z) with respect to the model global reference frame (in mm) .....	60
Table 4.10: Joint range of motion (ROM) with respect to the model global reference frame (in mm).....	61
Table 7.1: Qualisys camera system reference points and soft tissue points of interest (muscle attachment sites, muscle lines-of-action, muscle-tendon lengths, and muscle fibre direction) as captured during the dissection of the canine specimen. Coordinates were captured with respect to the local reference frame (in mm).....	87

Table 7.2: Qualisys camera system reference points and prominent bony landmarks as captured from the surfaces of individual bone segments of the canine specimen. Coordinates were captured with respect to the local reference frame (in mm) .....	94
Table 7.3: Nodes of muscle element attachment sites of the canine spine model as displayed in the AnyBody Modeling System.....	96
Table 7.4: Node coordinates of muscle element attachment sites of the canine spine model as acquired in SolidWorks with respect to the local reference frame (in mm) .....	98
Table 7.5: Nodes of ligament attachment sites of the canine spine model as displayed in the AnyBody Modeling System .....	100
Table 7.6: Node coordinates of ligament attachment sites of the canine spine model as acquired in SolidWorks with respect to the local reference frame (in mm).....	102

## List of Abbreviations

AMS	AnyBody Modeling System
AR	Axial rotation
CT	Computed tomography
DLSS	Degenerative lumbosacral stenosis
eps	strain
FE	Flexion and extension
GSD	German shepherd dog
k	stiffness
LB	Lateral bending
Lf <sub>nom</sub>	Nominal muscle fibre length (cm)
Lf <sub>opt</sub>	Optimal muscle fibre length (cm)
Lm <sub>nom</sub>	Nominal muscle length (cm)
Lmt	Muscle-tendon element length (cm)
LS <sub>nom</sub>	Nominal sarcomere length ( $\mu\text{m}$ )
LS <sub>opt</sub>	Optimal sarcomere length ( $\mu\text{m}$ )
LS <sub>opt (canine)</sub>	Optimum canine sarcomere length ( $2.5 \mu\text{m}$ )
Lt <sub>nom</sub>	Nominal tendon length (cm)
NZ	New Zealand
$\rho_{\text{canine}}$	Uniform canine muscle tissue density ( $1.059 \text{ g/cm}^3$ )
PA	Pennation angle ( $^\circ$ )

PCSA	Physiological cross-sectional area
PDS	Police Dog Section
ROM	Range of motion
TLEM	Twente Lower Extremity Model
USMWD	United States military working dog
Vol	Volume (cm <sup>3</sup> )
2E	Two-element muscle



