

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

A STUDY OF THE PROTEIN NEEDS OF GROWING

FIGS FED RATIONS CONTAINING WHEY

A thesis presented in partial
fulfilment of the requirements
for the degree of Master of
Agricultural Science in Animal
Science

JOHN R. CARR

MASSEY UNIVERSITY

NEW ZEALAND

1967

'Comrades!' he cried. 'You do not imagine, I hope, that we pigs are doing this in a spirit of selfishness and privilege? Many of us actually dislike milk and apples. I dislike them myself. Our sole object in taking these things is to preserve our health.'

George Orwell.

A C K N O W L E D G E M E N T S

The work undertaken for this thesis would not have been possible without support from the New Zealand Pig Producers' Council.

The author gratefully acknowledges the constant advice, guidance and supervision of Mr. A.C. Dunkin during the course of this project.

Special thanks are due to the late Mr. A.C. Glenday, D.S.I.R. and to Professor D.S. Flux and Dr. R.E. Munford, of the Dairy Husbandry Department, Massey University, for their invaluable guidance in the statistical analysis of data.

The author appreciates the help given by members of the D.R.I. Palmerston North, who conducted all chemical analyses of whey samples and from whom advice was sought on various chemical procedures.

Thanks are due to Dr. J.C. Hutton and members of the staff of the Ruakura Animal Research Centre, for demonstrations and advice on the operation of the adiabatic bomb calorimeter.

Members of staff of the Massey University Library are to be complimented for their co-operation in obtaining literature, and Messrs. D.R. Daines and L. Hawthorne for their care shown in management of the experimental animals.

The author extends sincere thanks to Miss W. McGowan (typing) and to Miss D. Scott and Miss C. Mitchell (Central Photographic Unit, Massey University) for their invaluable contributions in presentation of this thesis.

I N D E X

<u>CHAPTER</u>		<u>PAGE</u>
1	INTRODUCTION	1
2	REVIEW OF LITERATURE	3
A.	THE PROTEIN REQUIREMENT OF THE GROWING PIG	3
1.	General	3
2.	Protein Quality	5
3.	Interrelationships of dietary energy and protein	9
	(a) Unrestricted systems of feeding	10
	(b) Restricted systems of feeding	16
	(c) Conclusion	21
B.	WHEY COMPOSITION	24
C.	PHYSIOLOGICAL ASPECTS OF WHEY FRACTIONS	25
1.	Water	25
2.	Lactose	27
	(a) General	27
	(b) Development of lactase activity in the pig	29
	(c) Lactose in pig nutrition	31
3.	Whey Protein	33
4.	Salts	34
5.	Summary	34
D.	THE UTILIZATION OF WHEY AND WHEY PRODUCTS BY THE PIG	35
1.	Percent. whey dry matter increasing with age or liveweight	35
	(a) Fresh Whey	35

CHAPTER

PAGE

	(b) Concentrated Whey Products	38
	(c) Dried Whey	38
2.	Constant proportions of whey dry matter in the diet	39
	(a) Fresh Whey	39
	(b) Dried Whey	39
3.	Summary	40
E.	THE PROTEIN CONTENT OF MEAL SUPPLEMENTS FOR WHEY-FED PIGS	41
3	GENERAL APPROACH TO THE INVESTIGATION	44
A.	SELECTION OF TREATMENTS	44
	1. Facilities	44
	2. Food Supply	44
	3. Dietary factors	44
	(a) Meal level	44
	(b) Levels of protein supplementation	45
	(c) Source of supplementary protein	45
	(d) Control of calorie intake	46
	4. General considerations	46
	5. Summary	47
B.	SELECTION OF EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN	47
	1. Level of Replication	47
	2. Experimental design	49
	3. Summary	49
C.	TREATMENTS SELECTED IN RELATION TO THE EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN	50
	1. The performance experiment - Experiment I	50

CHAPTERPAGE

2.	The digestibility/balance experiment - Experiment II	51
3.	Summary	52
4	EXPERIMENTAL DIETS	53
A.	GENERAL	53
B.	LEVELS OF PROTEIN INCORPORATION	56
C.	MEAL LEVELS	57
D.	LEVELS OF WHEY	58
E.	OVERALL PLANE OF FEEDING	59
F.	MINERAL AND VITAMIN SUPPLEMENTATION	60
G.	SUMMARY	63
5	EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS AND METHODS	64
A.	EXPERIMENT I	64
1.	Housing	64
2.	Animals	64
3.	Selection of Animals	64
4.	Allocation of pigs to pens and treatments	64
5.	Pre-experimental management	65
6.	Introduction to experimental diets	66
7.	Experimental management	66
8.	Records	67
(a)	Growth Data	67
(b)	Food Intake	68
(c)	Whey composition	68
(d)	General	68

CHAPTERPAGE

9.	Evaluation of Carcass Quality	68
	(a) Killing-out percentage	69
	(b) Specific gravity	69
	(c) Length and depth	70
	(d) Fat depth on the mid-line	71
	(e) Fat depth off the mid-line, over the <u>longissimus dorsi</u>	72
	(f) Measurements taken of the cross-sectional surface of the "eye" muscle	73
	(g) Sample joint composition	74
B.	<u>EXPERIMENT II - GENERAL</u>	74
	1. Housing and Metabolism Crates	74
	2. Animals	75
	3. Selection of Animals	75
	4. Allocation of pigs to pens, metabolism crates and treatments	76
	5. Pre-experimental management and introduction to treatment diets	76
	6. Experimental management	76
	7. Records	76
C.	<u>EXPERIMENT II - DIGESTIBILITY AND N-BALANCE STUDIES</u>	77
	1. Number of Animals	77
	(a) Review	77
	(b) Method	79
	2. Duration of collection and pre-collection periods	79
	(a) Review	79
	(b) Method	82

<u>CHAPTER</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
3. Sampling and Analysis of Food	84
(a) Meal	84
(b) Whey	85
4. Collection, Sampling and A nalysis of Excreta	86
(a) Review	86
(b) Method	90
5. Expression of Results	92
D. BIOMETRICAL METHODS	93
1. General	93
2. Experiment I	93
(a) Analysis of Variance : Method X	93
(b) Analysis of Variance : Method Y	94
(c) Tests of Significance	95
(d) Subdivision of Treatment sum of squares	95
(e) Standard errors	98
3. Experiment II	99
4. Standard analytical procedures	100
6 RESULTS	101
A. EXPERIMENT I	101
1. Whey Composition	101
2. Health	101
3. Refusal of Food	102
4. Growth Data	103
(a) General	103
(b) Regression Analysis	104
(c) Analysis of Variance	107
(d) Summary	111

CHAPTERPAGE

5.	Food Intake and Efficiency of food utilization	113
	(a) General	113
	(b) Growth period 50 - 85 lb. liveweight	113
	(c) Growth period 85 - 120 lb. liveweight	119
	(d) Growth period 50 - 120 lb. liveweight	119
	(e) Summary	123
6.	Indices of carcass composition	124
	(a) General	124
	(b) Carcass weight, killing-out%, length and depth	125
	(c) Backfat depth taken on the mid-line	125
	(d) Fat depth over the "eye" muscle and measurements taken of the "eye" muscle	128
	(e) Specific Gravity (SG) and loin-joint dissection	135
	(f) Summary	136
7.	General Summary	138
	(a) The effect of sex	138
	(b) The effect of meal level	139
	(c) The effect of the level of DBMP	139
B.	EXPERIMENT II	141
	1. Whey and meal composition	141
	2. Health	143
	3. Food Refusal	143
	4. Collection, Preservation and Storage of Excreta	143
	5. Analysis of food and excreta	144
	6. Growth data	145

CHAPTERPAGE

7.	Energy Intake and Digestibility	149
8.	N Balance Data	149
9.	Indices of Carcass Composition	152
10.	Summary	152
	(a) The effect of meal level	152
	(b) The effect of the level of DBMP	153
	(c) The effect of age	153
7	DISCUSSION, CONCLUSIONS and SUMMARY	154
A.	DISCUSSION OF RESULTS	154
1.	General	154
2.	Digestibility data	155
3.	The effect of sex	156
4.	The effect of meal level	156
	(a) Energy Intake	157
	(b) N Balance	160
5.	The effect of the level of DBMP	161
6.	The protein requirement of the female and male castrated pig	164
7.	Comments on some observations from the experiments	167
8.	Application of the results of the experiments	169
B.	AN HYPOTHESIS ON THE INTERRELATIONSHIP BETWEEN DIETARY ENERGY AND PROTEIN IN THE N METABOLISM OF THE FEMALE AND CASTRATED MALE PIG	172
1.	General	172
2.	The effect of variation in N intake at constant energy intake	174
3.	The effect of variation in energy intake at constant N intake	178

CHAPTER

PAGE

4.	The effect of plane of feeding	179
5.	The effect of age	180
C.	IMPLICATIONS OF THE HYPOTHESIS AND SUGGESTIONS FOR FUTURE EXPERIMENTAL WORK	182
D.	SUMMARY	184

LIST OF TABLES

<u>TABLE</u>		<u>PAGE</u>
1/1	Annual manufacture of some milk products (Tons)	1
2/1	The approximate gross composition of lactic casein and cheese wheys (percent)	24
4/1	The proximate composition (%) of samples of barley meal and DBMP used throughout Experiments I and II	54
4/2	Provisional formulations of meal mixes	56
4/3	Approximate and adjusted meal allowances, showing derivation of the latter	57
4/4	Daily whey allowances (lbs) according to liveweight	58
4/5	Total daily estimated TDN intake	59
4/6	Details of the mineral supplementation of the meal mixes	60
4/7	The estimated major and trace element contents of the 7 experimental meal mixes	61
4/8	Estimated mineral contents of the total diets (meal plus whey) consumed by pigs of 60 and 120 lbs. liveweight	62
4/9	Meal mixtures and daily allowances of meal and whey fed to pigs in both Experiment I and Experiment II	63
5/1	Pre-experimental allowances of meal and whey (lbs/pig/day) according to liveweight	66
5/2	Changes in the daily meal and whey allowances during the week immediately following the introduction of the experimental diets	67
5/3	Approximate coefficients of variation (%) for some N-metabolism data (calculated from data presented in the references cited)	77
5/4	Daily meal and whey allowances per pig while on collection	83
5/5	Experiment I : Analysis of Variance Table (X)	94
5/6	Experiment I : Analysis of Variance Table (Y)	95
5/7	Experiment I : Subdivision of Treatment sum of squares	96
5/8	Coefficients for Orthogonal Comparisons between treatment components	97

<u>TABLE</u>		<u>PAGE</u>
5/9	Experiment II : Analysis of Variance Table	99
6/1	Mean dry matter and protein contents (\pm s.d.) of the weekly composite whey samples taken during sub-experiments A and B	101
6/2	Treatment means of whey refused throughout Experiment I in relation to whey consumed	102
6/3	Experiment I : Regression Analysis (Liveweight on Time) - Tests of significance for differences between regressions due to treatment, sex and treatments x sex effects	103
6/4	Experiment I : Regression coefficients and their standard errors, derived from the regression analysis of liveweight on time	105
6/5	Experiment I : Significance of differences between regression coefficients for castrates and gilts within treatments	105
6/6	Experiment I : Significance of differences between regression coefficients for treatments within sexes and meal levels	106
6/7	Experiment I : Days taken to grow over liveweight ranges specified - treatment and sex means	108
6/8	Experiment I : Days taken to grow over liveweight ranges specified - treatment means grouped according to meal level	108
6/9	Experiment I : Significance of differences between individual and grouped treatment means and between sex means (days/growth period)	109
6/10	Percentage increase in rate of gain of pigs fed the higher compared with the lower daily allowance of meal	112
6/11	Experiment I : Treatment and sex means of food intake - growth period 50 - 85 lb. liveweight	115
6/12	Experiment I : Treatment means of food intake, grouped according to meal level, for castrates, gilts and castrates and gilts considered together - growth period 50 - 85 lb. liveweight	116
6/13	Experiment I : Significance of differences between treatment means and between sex means of food intake - growth period 50 - 85 lb. liveweight	116
6/14	Experiment I : Treatment and sex means of food intake - growth period 85 - 120 lb. liveweight	117

TABLEPAGE

6/15	Experiment I : Treatment means of food intake, grouped according to meal level, for castrates, gilts and castrates and gilts considered together - growth period 85 - 120 lb. liveweight	118
6/16	Experiment I : Significance of differences between treatment means and between sex means of food intake - growth period 85 - 120 lb. liveweight	118
6/17	Experiment I : Treatment and sex means of food intake - growth period 50 - 120 lb. liveweight	120
6/18	Experiment I : Treatment means of food intake, grouped according to sex, for castrates, gilts and castrates and gilts considered together - growth period 50 - 120 lb. liveweight	121
6/19	Experiment I : Significance of differences between treatment means and between sex means of food intake - growth period 50 - 120 lb. liveweight	121
6/20	Differences (%) between the total and mean daily intakes of dry matter (DM) and Crude Protein (CP), by pigs fed the higher compared with those fed the lower daily meal allowance	123
6/21	Experiment I : Treatment and sex means; carcass weight, killing-out%, length and depth	126
6/22	Experiment I : Treatment means grouped according to meal level; carcass weight, killing-out %, length and depth	126
6/23	Experiment I : Levels of significance of differences between means; carcass weight, killing-out % and length	127
6/24	Experiment I : Treatment and sex means; backfat depth taken on the mid-line	127
6/25	Experiment I : Treatment means grouped according to meal level; backfat depth taken on the mid line.	128
6/26	Experiment I : Levels of significance of differences between means; backfat depth taken on the mid-line	128
6/27	Experiment I : Treatment and sex means of fat depth over the "eye" muscle and measurements of the "eye" muscle	130
6/28	Experiment I : Treatment means grouped according to meal level; fat depth over the "eye" muscle and measurements of the "eye" muscle	131
6/29	Experiment I : Levels of significance of differences between means; fat depth over the "eye" muscle and measurements of the "eye" muscle	131
6/30	Experiment I : Treatment and sex means, carcass specific gravity and loin-joint data	133

<u>TABLE</u>		<u>PAGE</u>
6/31	Experiment I : Treatment means grouped according to meal level; carcass specific gravity and loin-joint data	134
6/32	Experiment I : Levels of significance of differences between means; carcass specific gravity and loin-joint data	134
6/33	Experiment II : N content (%) of samples of the meal fed during three collection periods in relation to treatment	142
6/34	Experiment II : Calorific values of the treatment meal mixes and the representative whey sample (k.cals/gm DM)	142
6/35	Experiment II : Changes in the N content of urine and faeces from pigs fed treatment diets 1, 3, 4 and 7, after storage for 5 days.	144
6/36	Experiment II : Means, standard deviations and coefficients of variation for determinations of faecal and urinary N and faecal energy contents	144
6/37	Experiment II : Treatment means of the growth data and some carcass characteristics	146
6/38	Experiment II : Treatment means grouped according to meal level, of the growth data of some carcass characteristics	146
6/39	Experiment II : Gross and apparent-digestible intakes of nitrogen and energy : Treatment and collection-period means	147
6/40	Experiment II : Gross and apparent-digestible intakes of nitrogen and energy : Treatment means grouped according to meal level	148
6/41	Experiment II : Significance of differences between means of nitrogen intakes and energy intakes	148
6/42	Experiment II : Coefficients of apparent digestibility of nitrogen and energy, and nitrogen retention data : Treatment and collection-period means	150
6/43	Experiment II : Coefficients of apparent digestibility of nitrogen and energy, and nitrogen retention data : Treatment means grouped according to meal level	151
6/44	Experiment II : Significance of differences between means of coefficients of apparent digestibility of nitrogen and energy, and between means of nitrogen retention data	151
7/1	Apparent digestible protein contents (%) of the seven experimental diets consumed, in relation to stage of growth and expressed on a meal-equivalent basis (88% DM)	166

LIST OF TEXT FIGURES

<u>FIGURE</u>		<u>FACING</u> <u>PAGE</u>
5/1	Placement of blocks, treatments and sexes in the experimental house for each sub-experiment of Experiment I	65
5/2	Measurements taken of the carcasses	72
5/3	Details of metabolism crates and layout of metabolism room	75
6/1	Experiment I : Treatment mean growth curves for the pooled data of sub-experiments A and B	103
6/2	Experiment I : Sex mean growth curves for the pooled data of sub-experiments A and B	104
6/3	Experiment I : Mean number of days taken to grow over the specified liveweight ranges, in relation to treatment and sex	113
6/4	Experiment I : Treatment means of carcass specific gravities for castrates and gilts	137
6/5	Experiment I : Treatment means of loin-joint lean:fat ratios for castrates and gilts	138
6/6	Experiment II : N retained in relation to N intake, treatment and collection period (each value the mean of two pigs)	154
6/7	Experiment II : N retained in relation to N intake and treatment for each collection period (each value the mean of two pigs)	154
6/8	Experiment II : N retained in relation to N intake and treatment (each value the mean of three collection periods for each of two pigs)	154
6/9	Experiment II : N retained in relation to collection period and level of meal supplement	154
7/1	The interrelationship between dietary energy (metabolizable) and protein, for diets adequate or low in protein (from Munro 1964)	161
7/2	An hypothetical representation of the interrelationship between dietary energy and protein in the N metabolism of the female and castrated male pig. (N retained/kg. 0.73/day in relation to N intake/day) - Energy intake constant	174

FIGUREFACING
PAGE

7/3	Experiment II : N retained/kg. ^{0.73} /5 days in relation to N intake, treatment and collection period	175
7/4	An hypothetical representation of the effects of food intake on the N balance (per kg. ^{0.73} /day) of the female and castrated male pig	180
7/5	N balance (gms/day/kg. ^{0.73}) in relation to metabolic body weight (kg. ^{0.73}) - derived from the data of Oslage and Gliegel (1965)	181
7/6	An hypothetical representation of the relationship between N balance/kg. ^{0.73} , N intake and age	182
7/7	An hypothetical representation of the interrelationship between dietary energy and protein in the N-metabolism of the female and castrated male pig (N retained/kg. ^{0.73} /day in relation to energy intake/day) - N intake constant	183