

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**Ecology of migrant shorebirds in New Zealand, focussing
on Farewell Spit, North-West Nelson**

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the
requirements for the degree of Masterate in Ecology
at Massey University

Philip Frank Battley

1996

S98.2S2S

Bat

DC 20

Dedicated to the memory of R. B. (Dick) Sibson (1911-1994),
who did so much to encourage so many in the
study of waders in New Zealand

ABSTRACT

Migratory shorebirds are a dynamic component of New Zealand's coastal fauna, alternating between distant breeding and non-breeding grounds. The Red Knot *Calidris canutus*, Bar-tailed Godwit *Limosa lapponica* and Pied Oystercatcher *Haematopus ostralegus finschi* were studied on Farewell Spit, North-West Nelson. The first two species breed in the Arctic and migrate to New Zealand for the non-breeding season. Over the southern summer they experience low thermostatic costs and generally improving prey conditions over the summer. Oystercatchers are resident over autumn and winter, so experience rising costs and declining prey quality in some species. One bivalve species, *Macomona liliana*, shows seasonal depth changes in the sediment, and so is largely inaccessible even to a long-billed bird such as the oystercatcher.

Despite this, oystercatchers feed for less time than is available, and achieve intake rates sufficient to cover estimated needs. The energy needs of the Arctic waders rise as they prepare to migrate, and they achieve at least part of this by increasing the duration of feeding. Knots during spring tides in the premigratory period feed for the entire low-water period. Godwits are apparently less stressed, underutilising nocturnal feeding opportunities over summer. They are thought to increase feeding time by using this night-time feeding.

The high energy demands for migrating birds come from the need to deposit nutrients for migration, and knots around the Auckland region are estimated to increase in mass from 115 to 185 g prior to migration. Fat deposition is not the only physiological preparation, however, and a sample of knots shot from Northland (illegally, recovered by DoC) revealed complex interactions between organs. Large amounts of fat were deposited, mostly in a subcutaneous layer but also in the abdominal cavity. Muscle protein was also deposited in flight and heart muscles, presumably to prepare for the extreme effort involved in trans-oceanic flights. At the same time, digestive organs decreased in mass. This is interpreted as freeing up muscle protein which is then deposited in organs for use during flight.

Knots and godwits migrated from Farewell Spit in March. Most departures occurred in the evening and on rising tides. The former probably allows for the use of multiple navigational cues, while the latter may maximise feeding opportunities immediately before the flight. Most departures occurred after the passage of a low-pressure system or with the approach of a high-pressure system. This enabled favourable winds to be gained, so that the mean wind vector was a small tailwind. Thus, while departure directions were intermediate between the expected directions for flights to either Australia or northern New Zealand, it is probable they were able to fly across the

top of a high-pressure system and gain wind assistance for a direct flight to Australia. However, the variability in flight range estimates depending on assumptions of travel-speed and protein deposition makes predicting migration routes difficult.

Numbers of godwits have increased on Farewell Spit over the past decade, while oystercatchers have remained static. Knots have shown a slight decline. Knot numbers are independent of national census counts so are presumably determined largely by factors operating on Farewell Spit itself. A possible mechanism that could give to a slowly declining population could be if a certain sector of the population fails to deposit sufficient nutrients to successfully migrate and return. If site-fidelity is very high (as it generally is in waders) then a long-term decrease could ensue.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Title page	i
	Dedication	ii
	Abstract	iii
	Table of contents	v
	Acknowledgements	ix
	List of figures and tables	xii
Chapter 1	General introduction	1
Chapter 2	Study site: physical features, biological features and history	
	Geomorphology	8
	Fieldwork	9
	Climate	12
	Vegetation	12
	Fauna	13
	Status	14
	Scientific research	14
Chapter 3	Prey availability	
	Abstract	15
	Introduction	16
	Methods	18
	Results	
	Total biomass	20
	Size relationships in bivalves	25
	Sizes of shellfish	29
	Size-mass relationships	31
	Worms	40
	Discussion	42
	Approaches to studying benthic food supplies	44

Chapter 4

Behaviour, diet and energy intake

Abstract	47
Introduction	48
Methods	49
Results	
Roosting areas	52
Feeding areas	52
Distances travelled during feeding	54
Site fidelity to roosting areas	54
Activity	57
Feeding method and prey type	61
Pied Oystercatcher: diet and intake rates	63
Red Knot: diet and intake rate	68
Bar-tailed Godwit: diet and intake rates	76
Discussion	
Use of space	77
Feeding time	79
Pied Oystercatcher: energy requirements, diet and energy intake	81
Red Knot: diet, energy requirements and energy intake	84
Bar-tailed Godwit: diet, energy demand and energy intake	90
Dietary overlap between species	92
Seasonal energy demands and intake	92

Chapter 5

Seasonal mass changes in knots in New Zealand

Abstract	94
Introduction	95
Methods	95
Results	96
Discussion	99
Is the juvenile mass increase adaptive?	101

Chapter 6 **Body composition of Knots *Calidris canutus rogersi* from northern New Zealand in the premigratory period**

(Co-authored with Dr. Theunis Piersma, submitted to *Notornis*)

Abstract	105
Introduction	106
Methods	108
Results	109
Discussion	117

Chapter 7 **The northward migration of arctic waders in New Zealand: departure behaviour, timing and possible migration routes of Red Knots and Bar-tailed Godwits from Farewell Spit, North-West Nelson**

(Submitted to *Emu*)

Abstract	121
Introduction	122
Methods	124
Results	
Premigratory and migratory behaviour	126
Patterns of departures	128
Direction of departures	130
Influence of weather	132
How far might New Zealand knots be able to fly?	135
Discussion	
Migratory behaviour	135
Timing of departure	136
Effect of weather	139
Flight ranges	139
Do knots fly to Australia direct or via Northland?	140

Chapter 8	Population processes and wader limitation: a theoretical excursion	143
	Carrying capacity: concepts and utility	144
	Mortality and selection	151
Chapter 9	General discussion	
	Food supply and usage	154
	Migratory preparation	155
	Numbers of birds on Farewell Spit: are they limited?	156
	Evidence for limitation?	161
	Interactions with Black Swans	163
	Energetic costs: implications for wader distributions around New Zealand	164
	Future research	167
	References	170

Acknowledgements

First, thanks must go to the Department of Conservation, Takaka, for deciding that Farewell Spit warranted formal research, and furthermore, for fronting up with money and logistics to make it possible. Special thanks to Kaye Stark for organising all sorts of things in Golden Bay, without which this work would not have been possible. Geoff Rennison (DoC Takaka) kindly provided facilities for living in and using at the end of the spit, and Kath Walker (DoC Nelson) gave permission to work there.

Paddy Gilooly and crew from Collingwood Safaris generously and regularly transported people, mail and groceries along the spit which made life nutritionally and socially viable. Cheers! Jock Lill did likewise. Many DoC staff also regularly helped out in various ways: Grant King, Nigel Orpwood, Bill Crump, Simon Walls, John Taylor.

On occasions people lent willing (?) hands to aid in benthic sampling, that most exciting of recreational pursuits - thanks to Andrew Crossland, Andrea Booth, but most of all Kaye Stark, Nigel Orpwood and Grant King who ended up spending many winter hours questioning why Phil wanted to know how many shellfish an oystercatcher couldn't reach.

Back in the North Island, I must of course thank the Ecology Department, Massey University both for enabling me to do this work, and also for allowing and putting up with my long absences from this topic while pursuing sideline interests. Thanks especially to my supervisor, Ed Minot, for encouraging me in these, and for giving me a free rein which, for better or worse, has resulted in this thesis being as it is.

My first introduction to the world of wader research came about as a result of the 20th International Ornithological Congress in Christchurch in 1990. It was the end of my second year at university, and I was convinced that studying waders was the way to a truly interesting existence. What better way to gain insight into how to go about it than to pick the brains of those who already know? It was for this reason that I pounced upon Theunis Piersma, from the Netherlands Institute for Sea Research, when I saw him appear the top of a flight of stairs. (My ability to do this so convincingly had him bemused for the next four years, as he had no recollection of having his name-tag on at the time.) I introduced myself, explaining my desire to work on waders, but it was three days before we got to meet again properly. In typical Piersma fashion his opening line was "So, why don't you come out to the Netherlands next year to do some work with us?" I hummed and ha-ad for

about three seconds, before replying "Sure, why not." That northern autumn spent on the sandflats on the Dutch Waddensea and the labs of the NIOZ proved the perfect grounds for learning the basic ropes of waderology, especially as it coincided with the annual conference of the Wader Study Group. That this contact has maintained and developed itself since then has been beyond my highest expectations. ("So, Phil, do you want to go to Ghana?". "Ummm, sure, why not?"). Many thanks to Tunafish, Petra de Goeij and Ingrid Tulp for their hospitality during my stays in The Netherlands. Theunis has been a constant source of inspiration, reprints, preprints and manuscripts. I greatly value our regular contact and look forward to much more! I still have the goal of writing a paper on waders without a Piersma reference in it, just for the challenge...

A large number of people have contributed in differing ways to this thesis. Nick Davidson kindly provided a copy of his thesis and reprints and a manuscript, hospitality and whiskey-primed late-night conversations in August 1994, all of which I appreciated (especially the latter). Dov Lank also donated a copy of his very stimulating thesis and gave incisive comments on a chapter. Following my semi-enforced stay in the Netherlands in 1994, Popko Wiersma and Martin Poot's discussions on migration, wader ecology, music and cinema when strolling hot salty lagoons in Ghana were also appreciated.

In addition to Ed Minot from Massey University, I wish to thank the following people: Don Morrissey (NIWA, Hamilton) made preliminary identifications of worms and crustacea which increased my knowledge almost infinitely (Chapter 3). Simon Thrush (NIWA, Hamilton) discussed sampling strategies. Stephen Davies and Theunis Piersma commented on Chapter 5. Ray Pierce, Department of Conservation, Whangarei, made available the corpses analysed in Chapter 6, and organised their transport to the Netherlands. Anne Dekinga, Anita Koolhaas, Sylvia Klos and Ingrid Tulp lent a hand in the labwork processing the birds. Chapter 7 (migration) was greatly improved by the comments of many people: Ingrid Tulp, Kees Hulsman, Mark Barter, Robin Fordham and especially Paul Sagar, Dov Lank and Theunis Piersma. Ed Minot and Ian Henderson gave statistical advice. John MacArthur, department of Geography, Massey University, has been a regular fielder of obscure and not-so-obscure queries about geomorphology and climatology over the past few years. The nicer figures in chapter 6 were drawn by Dick Visser.

Regular hospitality was provided by Gail, Tony, Tessa and Kelly Quayle whenever I passed through Nelson. Their fine taste in music always made it a pleasure.

The knot mass and morphometric data (Chapter 5) were the result of a large amount of effort over many years by members of the New Zealand Wader Study Group (formerly the Miranda Banders), led by Adrian Riegen and Stephen Davies. Thanks to them for generously allowing the data to be used. The Miranda Naturalists Trust helped with finance in the catching operations. Climate data (Chapters 4 & 5) were supplied by NIWA Climate, Wellington (Elaine Fouhy). Bird count data are the hard-won results of Ornithological Society of New Zealand, Nelson branch, surveys, led by the late Jenny Hawkins. Long may they continue!

Finance for this project came from DoC, Takaka, a Massey University Postgraduate Awards Masterate Scholarship, and from the D.H. Battley Trust. The generosity of the latter has enable me to avoid debt most of my university life. For that I am extremely grateful.

I would also like to thank my cohort(s) in the Ecology Department for making life enjoyable in those brief periods when I was around, including Jackie Townsend, Vanessa Munro, Dave Hodgson, Rosemary Miller, Grant Blackwell, Andrea Booth, Hirani Himona, Wayne Linklater, Elissa Cameron and Suzanne Bassett. Also other Ecology-contacts Doug Armstrong, Wendy French and Katerina for such good food and off-beat entertainment (101 games to play with a human baby...), and Alastair Robertson. A truly large round of appreciation goes to Kath Hay and Craig Steed for being such good friends despite me clearing off to odd places so often.

I finish these acknowledgements with a round of thanks to the two people who have always encouraged me and helped me pursue such an oddball direction in life - my parents Eleanor and Don Battley. Since 1983 they've had to put up with me wanting to use their spare time to visit muddy places populated by grey-brown birds, and have done so willingly. Their faith in me, encouragement, and financial support have made a big difference these past years. Many thanks indeed!

List of Figures and Tables

Figures

- Figure 2.1** Location of Farewell Spit
- Figure 2.2** Detail of study site at the tip of Farewell Spit
- Figure 2.3** Mean windspeed recorded at the Farewell Spit lighthouse.
- Figure 2.4** Mean temperature at the Farewell Spit lighthouse.
-
- Figure 3.1** How the harvestability of a prey item depends on its ingestibility, profitability and accessibility.
- Figure 3.2** Biomass of main taxonomic groups of organisms at Tip1 sampling site.
- Figure 3.3** Biomass of main taxonomic groups of organisms at Tip2 sampling site.
- Figure 3.4** Biomass of main taxonomic groups of organisms at Pan and Tip1-Tip2 sampling sites.
- Figure 3.5** Biomass of main taxonomic groups of organisms at Flat1 and Chan1 sampling sites.
- Figure 3.6** Pied Oystercatcher prey biomass levels at four main sampling sites.
- Figure 3.7** Knot prey biomass levels at three main sampling sites.
- Figure 3.8** Godwit prey biomass levels at four main sampling sites.
- Figure 3.9** Relationship between hinge height and shell length for cockles.
- Figure 3.10** Relationship between hinge+top height and shell length in cockles.
- Figure 3.11** Ingestibility limitation of cockles for knots.
- Figure 3.12** Relationship between hinge+top length and shell length in the pipi.
- Figure 3.13** Ingestibility limit for knots feeding in pipi.
- Figure 3.14** Mean cockle shell length and mean deviation by month (1994).
- Figure 3.15** Size distribution of cockles at Tip3 in January, June and December 1994.
- Figure 3.16** Size distributions of mussels present near the tip of Farewell Spit in late summer (February) and early winter (June).
- Figure 3.17** Seasonal changes in flesh content of 15, 25 and 35 mm cockles.
- Figure 3.18** Seasonal changes in density of cockles at Tip3 in 1994.
- Figure 3.19** Seasonal changes in total biomass in cockles at Tip3 in 1994.
- Figure 3.20** Seasonal changes in flesh content in *Macomona* of 15, 25 and 35 mm length in 1994.
- Figure 3.21** Average depth of 15, 25 and 35 mm *Macomona*.
- Figure 3.22** Seasonal variation in harvestable biomass of *Macomona* to knots and oystercatchers.

- Figure 3.23** Flesh mass as a function of shell length in pipi over summer (November-April).
- Figure 3.24** Ratio of predicted summer mass to winter mass for four sizes of pipi.
- Figure 3.25** Flesh content as a function of shell length in mussels in late summer
- Figure 4.1.** Generalised movements of feeding waders at low tide.
- Figure 4.2** Counts of knots roosting near Bush end Point and the shellbanks from 14-1-1994 to 8-3-1994.
- Figure 4.3** Counts of godwits roosting near Bush end Point and the shellbanks from 14-1-1994 to 8-3-1994.
- Figure 4.4** Percentage of feeding knots over all tides in the basic period, relative to tide.
- Figure 4.5** Percentage of feeding godwits over all tides in the basic period, relative to tide.
- Figure 4.6** Percentage of feeding knots over all tides in the premigratory period, relative to tide.
- Figure 4.7** Percentage of feeding godwits over all tides in the premigratory period, relative to tide.
- Figure 4.8** Percentage of feeding oystercatchers over all tides in summer, relative to tide.
- Figure 4.9** Percentage of feeding oystercatchers over all tides in winter, relative to tide.
- Figure 4.10** Size of mussels eaten by Pied Oystercatchers at the hummocks in February and June 1994.
- Figure 4.11** Mussel selection by oystercatchers at the hummocks.
- Figure 4.12** Proportion of biomass per mm size class contributing to diet of oystercatchers feeding on mussels.
- Figure 4.13** Estimated daily energy intake of Pied Oystercatchers feeding on four prey types in summer and early winter.
- Figure 4.14** Localities used in grouping of knot faeces
- Figure 4.15** Size distribution of pipi recovered from knot faeces (all localities combined).
- Figure 4.16** Size distribution of pipi recovered from knot faeces and present in sediment for 1993/94 over all sites.
- Figure 4.17** Size distributions of pipi taken by knots, estimated from faeces and regurgitates.
- Figure 4.18** Size distribution of cockles taken by knots with all localities combined, estimated from faeces.
- Figure 4.19** Size distribution of cockles from knot faeces and regurgitates in 1993/94.
- Figure 4.20** Size distribution of cockles taken by knots (estimated from faeces) and present in sediment for 1993/94 over all sites.
- Figure 4.21** Intake rate of knots over all sites and times.
- Figure 4.22** Maintenance metabolism of Pied Oystercatchers at Farewell Spit.
- Figure 4.23** Maintenance metabolism of Red Knots on Farewell Spit.

- Figure 4.24** Maintenance metabolism of Bar-tailed Godwits on Farewell Spit.
- Figure 5.1** Localities of knot catching operations in the Auckland region.
- Figure 5.2** Mass of knots through the year, separated into 3rd, 2nd and 1st-year birds.
- Figure 6.1** Schematic representation of how a sample of birds on a single day can approximate the "fattening" trajectory of a hypothetical individual.
- Figure 6.2** Relationship between body mass and total fat mass and total fat-free dry mass for knots.
- Figure 6.3** Relationship between the four components of total fat mass, and total fat mass in knots.
- Figure 6.4** Total FFDM plotted against fat mass in knots.
- Figure 6.5** Organ FFDM plotted against total fat mass in knots.
- Figure 7.1** Map of localities in Australasia mentioned in the text.
- Figure 7.2** Daily pattern of observed departures of knots and godwits from Farewell Spit, March 1994.
- Figure 7.3** Pattern of departures relative to time of day (top), and observer time in field (lower).
- Figure 7.4** Pattern of observed departures relative to tidal stage.
- Figure 7.5** Directions of departures for knot and godwit.
- Figure 7.6** Degree of wind drift experienced by departing flocks.
- Figure 7.7** Wind vectors for departing flocks.
- Figure 7.8** Plot of departure occurrences relative to prevailing weather systems.
- Figure 7.9** Estimated flight range of knots.
- Figure 7.10** Tidal Influence Index, plotted against tidal height.
- Figure 8.1** Effects of habitat loss on bird populations.
- Figure 9.1** Count of knots at Farewell Spit from 1983 to 1995.
- Figure 9.2** Counts of godwits at Farewell Spit from 1983 to 1995.
- Figure 9.3** Percentage deviation from three-year running mean for counts of knots on Farewell Spit
- Figure 9.4** Percentage deviation from three-year running mean for counts of godwits on Farewell Spit.
- Figure 9.5** Count of oystercatchers at Farewell Spit from 1984 to 1995.

- Figure 9.6** Percentage deviation from three-year running mean for winter counts of oystercatchers on Farewell Spit
- Figure 9.7** Maintenance metabolism for knots at the Firth of Thames, Farewell Spit and Southland.
- Figure 9.8** Maintenance metabolism for knots at the Firth of Thames , Farewell Spit and Southland.

Tables

- Table 3.1** Sampling dates for invertebrates.
- Table 3.2** Intercept, exponent and explained variance for power functions relating shell length to biomass (mg AFDM) for cockles in different months.
- Table 3.3** Intercept, exponent and explained variance for power functions relating shell length to biomass (mg AFDM) for *Macomona* in different months.
- Table 4.1** Feeding method on main prey types for knots, godwits and oystercatchers.
- Table 4.2** Intake, pecking/probing and pacing rates of foraging oystercatchers.
- Table 4.3** Composition of knot diet assessed from faeces according to locality, for all years combined.
- Table 4.4** Mean size (mm) of pipi taken by knots, summarised by locality and summer.
- Table 4.5** Mean size (mm) of cockles taken by knots, summarised by locality and summer.
- Table 4.6** Energy intake of godwits feeding on worms (*Travisia* and 'slender worms').
- Table 6.1** Physical and plumage details of all analysed knots, listed in order of decreasing total body mass.
- Table 6.2** Correlations of body mass, fat mass, total FFDM, FFDM of all body parts and three structural measures, with fat mass and total FFDM for adult knots.
- Table 6.3** Comparison of body part masses for adult and juvenile knots.
- Table 7.1** Flock sizes, vocalisation and presence of visible sun at departures for knot and godwit, at Farewell Spit, March 1994.
- Table 7.2** Departing flock formation and changes in knots and godwits at Farewell Spit, March 1994.