

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.



The Characterisation of key Processes In Sous Vide Meat Cooking

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the
requirements for the degree of

Masters in Engineering

at Massey University, Manawatu

New Zealand

Aden Fareh

2018

Abstract

Sous vide (French for “under vacuum”) is a method of cooking under precisely controlled conditions, which employs the principles of long-time-low temperature treatment. Better control over texture, flavour, and doneness are a few of the numerous advantages that sous vide enjoys over traditional methods of cooking.

However, the requirement of a long time makes the sous vide process often uneconomical at industrial scale, particularly when applied to tougher cuts of meat, briskets for example. To improve the economics of the process, it is essential to better characterise the sous vide process, specifically understanding the cook-loss, how different conditions affect the extent of collagen dissolution and tenderisation will enable products with better sensory to be produced. The aim of the current work was, therefore, to characterise the key processes in order to facilitate the optimisation of sous vide cooking.

Samples of beef semitendinosus (‘eye of round’) were cut into blocks of approximately 60x60x100 mm and were cooked at 50—60 °C (in increments of 2 °C), 70, 80, and 90 °C for five time-points: 1.5—73.5, 1.5—49.5, 1.5—25.5, and 1.5—9.5 hours, respectively. Cook-loss (CL), Warner—Bratzler shear force (WBSF), total collagen in raw samples (TC), cook-loss-heat-soluble collagen (CLDC), and percent dissolved collagen within the cooked meat (%CMDc) were all measured (a new method was developed for determining %CMDc as no existing methods were found). Kinetic models were developed for the rate of CL and the CLDC as a function of temperature.

A rapid cook-loss (which was attributed to the denaturation of myofibrillar proteins) followed by slow phase was observed for all temperatures. The higher temperatures (70—90 °C) showed a similar equilibrium cook-loss of approximately 42%. The cook-loss of the lower temperatures did not, however, equilibrate but showed an increasing trend with increasing temperature. The WBSF measurements showed a sharp increase (from the raw measurements) then sharp decline, followed by a slow decline phase. The TC was found to be 35 mg-collagen/g-meat. The CLDC increased with both time and temperature – the

highest measured value was 3.15 mg-collagen/ml-cook-loss (80 °C, 25.5 hours). This value is very low compared to the TC and therefore CLDC is not an accurate measure of the dissolved collagen within the meat. The %CMDC increased with increasing temperature and to a lesser extent the time – the maximum %CMDC was 80% (90 °C, 9.5 hours). A two reaction, non-isothermal, first order (with fitted kinetic parameters) system was found to satisfactorily model both the CL and CLDC.

Although the mechanism of meat tenderisation is complex, the dissolution of collagen, the denaturation of myofibrillar proteins, and the level of cook-loss appear to be the key factors influencing the tenderness of the resulting meat.

The developed conceptual model integrates the key factors and shows how these undergo changes as the temperature is increased, but further research is required to elucidate these and to develop tools to rapidly identify processing conditions for different meat cuts and products.

Acknowledgements

My sincere thanks to my supervisors, John Bronlund, Michael Parker, and Richard Edmonds, for their extraordinary guidance and support throughout the project. One of the things I really admire about Massey University is the absence of hierarchy: as a student, you and your supervisors are equals and a team, trying to wrestle the same recalcitrant, giant. This is very important because it eliminates barriers and formalities that would normally inhibit or limit a proper communication and collaboration between the student and the supervisor (s).

I would like also to thank my FIET team, especially our Dear Leader, Mike Boland, for his outstanding leadership and vision for the project. To Glenda Rosoman, Ann-Marie Jackson, John Edwards, and Steve Glasgow thank you. To John Sykes, thank you for your patience and hospitality whilst I spent countless hours in your lab for measuring collagen – you really don't get to appreciate how difficult and time-consuming collagen measurements are until you try it yourself. My gratitude must be extended to ANZCO Foods and Jonathan Cox in particular for his support of our project.

To my family and friends, thank you for your love and support.

--

In a bitterly cold November evening, Dr Hannibal Lecter walked into a small, poorly lit butchery in New York City. To the good doctor's amazement and delight, he noticed that three sous vide human brains are on display for sale: a German brain for \$300, a Japanese one for \$450, and a Somali one for \$900. He pointed to the display cabinet and asked the shopkeeper "why is the Somali brain so expensive?" To which the shopkeeper replied, "because it is hardly used!"

Table of Contents

Abstract.....	iii
Chapter 1 Introduction.....	1
1.1 Background.....	1
1.2 Research aim.....	2
Chapter 2 Literature review	3
2.1 Introduction	3
2.2 Overview of the sous vide process.....	3
2.2.1 Pre-packing treatments.....	4
2.2.2 Vacuum packing	4
2.2.3 Cooking	5
2.2.3.1 Water baths	5
2.2.3.2 Convection steam ovens.....	8
2.2.4 The set and core temperatures	9
2.2.5 Chilling and Finishing	9
2.2.6 Finishing and Serving	9
2.3 The structure and composition of meat	10
2.3.1 Connective tissue	10
2.3.1.1 Collagen	11
2.3.1.2 Elastin.....	14
2.3.2 Myofibrillar proteins.....	14
2.3.3 Sarcoplasmic Proteins	18
2.4 Meat Tenderisation and the effects of cooking on key components	22
2.4.1 Enzymatic Tenderisation.....	24
2.4.2 Artificial Tenderisation.....	24
2.4.2.1 Brining and marinating.....	24
2.4.2.2 Mechanical tenderisation	26
2.4.3 Heat tenderisation.....	26
2.4.3.1 Connective Tissue and gelatin formation	27

2.4.3.2	Myofibrillar and sarcoplasmic proteins	30
2.4.3.3	Cooking losses and meat tenderness	32
2.5	Kinetics of key proteins and microbial inactivation.....	35
2.5.1	Connective tissue and sarcoplasmic proteins.....	36
2.5.2	Myofibrillar Proteins	45
2.5.2.1	Myosin	45
2.5.2.2	Actin	45
2.5.2.3	Tropomyosin.....	47
2.5.3	Myoglobin	48
2.5.4	SUMMARY – Denaturation temperatures and kinetics parameters.....	51
2.5.4.1	Denaturation temperatures of key proteins	51
2.5.4.2	Summary of kinetic parameters	51
2.5.4.3	Overall graph	53
2.5.5	Microbial inactivation.....	55
2.6	Literature Review Conclusions	58
Chapter 3	Sous vide financial feasibility	60
3.1	Introduction	60
3.2	Process integration	61
3.3	Methodology	62
3.3.1	Case analysis	64
3.3.1.1	Cases (1) and two – Sous Vide & Brisket like Sous Vide	64
3.3.1.2	Case (3) – Sous Vide + HPP	64
3.3.1.3	Case (3) – Sous Vide + PEF	66
3.3.1.4	Case (3) – Sous Vide + Enzyme Process	67
3.4	Results and discussions.....	68
3.5	Chapter conclusions	70
Chapter 4	Cook loss and tenderness during sous vide cooking.....	72
4.1	Introduction	72
4.2	Sample preparation.....	72

4.3	Cooking treatments.....	73
4.4	Cook-loss and moisture content measurements	76
4.5	Warner-Bratzler measurements	77
4.6	Results and discussion	78
4.6.1	Cook-loss.....	78
4.6.2	Cook-loss kinetics	81
4.6.3	Warner Bratzler Shear-force	90
4.6.4	Chapter conclusion	95
Chapter 5	Collagen	96
5.1	Introduction	96
5.2	Sample preparation.....	96
5.3	Collagen determination via the measurement of hydroxyproline	99
5.4	Results and discussion	104
5.4.1	Total collagen	104
5.4.2	<i>Solubilised collagen within the meat</i>	106
5.4.3	Soluble collagen in the cook-loss	109
5.5	Chapter conclusion	115
Chapter 6	General discussions, conclusions and recommendations	117
6.1	Introduction	117
6.2	Process mapping	117
6.3	Overall conclusions and recommendations.....	123
	References	127

List of Figures

Figure 2-1. Sous vide cooking flow diagram. The branches in red and green (the rightmost 3) are common in both restaurants and homes kitchens, while industrial food processors use only the branches in blue and green (the left most 3) (Baldwin, 2012).	4
Figure 2-2. The temperature of the resulting mixture when fresh product is added to water bath at 60 °C, eq. (2.1) (heat loss was assumed to be negligible).	6
Figure 2-3. Time taken for 15 kg of water at 20 °C to heat up to different temperatures by different heating elements eq. (2.1).	7
Figure 2-4. The boiling point of water at different pressures.	8
Figure 2-5. The structure of a skeletal muscle (Kraemer et al., 2011).	11
Figure 2-6. the triple helix structure of collagen (Shoulders and Raines, 2009).	12
Figure 2-7. Bovine <i>semitendinosus</i> muscle cooked to 80 °C and pulled perpendicular to the muscle fibre direction (Purslow, 2005).	13
Figure 2-8. The structure of sarcomere (UNM, 2016).	16
Figure 2-9. The sliding filament theory in muscle contraction (Marieb and Hoehn, 2013) ...	16
Figure 2-10. Extractable μ -calpain activity from porcine <i>longissimus</i> muscle treat at ◆ 25, □ 40, Δ 55, and ×70 °C for up to 24 hours (Ertbjerg et al., 2012).	19
Figure 2-11. Extractable m-calpain activity from porcine <i>longissimus</i> muscle treat at ◆ 25, □ 40, Δ 55, and ×70 °C for up to 24 hours (Ertbjerg et al., 2012).	20
Figure 2-12. Extractable cathepsin B+L activity from porcine <i>longissimus</i> muscle treat at ◆ 25, □ 40, Δ 55, and ×70 °C for up to 24 hours (Ertbjerg et al., 2012).	21
Figure 2-13. Cathepsins B+L activity of <i>semitendinosus</i> from young bulls (left) and cows (right) heated at 53, 55, 58 and 63°C for 2.5, 7.5, and 19.5 hours.	22
Figure 2-14. Mean heat soluble collagen. A) Longissimus dorsi from slaughter pigs, B) Semitendinosus from slaughter pigs, and C) Longissimus dorsi from slaughter sows (Christensen et al., 2011).	29

Figure 2-15. Collagen solubility of goat <i>semimembranosus</i> muscle, <i>solid line</i> (note: the solubility of the raw samples was 3.69 ± 0.31) and beef <i>semitendinosus</i> muscle, <i>dotted line</i> . The data used to plot graph is from Liu et al. (2013), (Li et al., 2010).....	30
Figure 2-16. The sarcoplasmic and myofibrillar protein solubilities as a function of end point temperatures (Liu et al., 2013).	31
Figure 2-17. Cook-losses of goat <i>semimembranosus</i> muscles heated to different end point temperatures. The data used to plot graph is from Liu et al. (2013).....	33
Figure 2-18. Cook-losses and sarcomere length of <i>semitendinosus</i> bovine muscle heated to different end point temperatures (Palka and Daun, 1999).	33
Figure 2-19. Influence of end point cooking temperature on shear-force of goat <i>semimembranosus</i> muscles (Liu et al., 2013).	35
Figure 2-20. DSC thermograms of hydrated elastin (faint-grey line) alone with collagen and pericardium (Samouillan et al., 2011).....	37
Figure 2-21. Enthalpy of denaturation (at 47.9 °C) as a function of time. Figures are expressed on basis of lens capsule dry matter (Miles, 1993).	38
Figure 2-22. DSC curves of the 16 mg/ml collagen solution at different heating rates (Liu and Li, 2010).....	39
Figure 2-23. The experimental data (solid lines) versus the predicted figures (dotted lines) (Liu and Li, 2010).	40
Figure 2-24. DSC scans of semitendinosus beef muscle proteins after different heat treatments (Bertola et al., 1994).....	41
Figure 2-25. The activation energy of re-hydrated bovine Achilles collagen as predicted by the Kissinger method (A) and by the model-free method (B) (Vyazovkin et al., 2007).	44
Dubaj et al. (2015) evaluated their model by applying it to the non-isothermal cold crystallization of polyethylene terephthalate (PET). The activation energy was found to be dependent on the conversion and decreased with increasing conversion until eventually plateauing (Figure 2-26), which is similar to what Vyazovkin et al. (2007) reported (Dubaj et al., 2015). Figure 2-27 also shows that the kinetic parameters (E_a and k_0) are strongly	

correlated ($r = -0.992$), and therefore no conclusion can be drawn from only one parameter (Dubaj et al., 2015). Although both methods appear to work rather well, they both require multiple heating rates and are computationally demanding – especially the Vyazovkin method even though it provides only one kinetic parameter, E_a .	44
Figure 2-28. The activation energy and the natural logarithm of the frequency factor ($A=k_o$) as a function of conversion (Dubaj et al., 2015).	45
Figure 2-29. DSC curves of beef <i>sternomandibularis</i> muscle with sarcomere lengths of 1.4 and 2.4 μm at 5 °C for 4 days post-mortem (Findlay et al., 1986).	47
Figure 2-30. Effects of temperature and time on residual tropomyosin in muscle extracts under isothermal conditions (Chen et al., 2006).	48
Figure 2-31. Arrhenius plots for myoglobin precipitation in different cuts of meat (Geileskey et al., 1998). The calculated activation energy values are shown in Table 2-6.	49
Figure 2-32. Time (mins) for 95% of kinetic changes in meat components and microbial inactivation.	54
Figure 3-1. Considered sous vide cases. B = brisket-like.	61
Figure 3-2. Throughput as a function of volume with $\beta=70\%$, Eq. (1) (original data is from Muth et al. (2013)).	65
Figure 3-3. The initial capital cost as a function of chamber volume (actual data is from Muth et al. (2013)). Exchange rate: 1 USD = 1.42 NZD.	66
Figure 3-4. The cost profile and production rate (for 1000 kg oven) of the basic Sous Vide process as a function of cooking time.	68
Figure 4-1. The centre-temperature profile of samples cooked at 60°C. The product temperature was recorded with an iButtons® (as explained below). It is worth noting that the mean average temperature will be changing faster than the slowest heating point.	73
Figure 4-2. The Gurney-Lurie chart for a slab (Kern, 1950).	76
Figure 4-3. knife used to cut the meat.	78
Figure 4-4. Meat specimens for measuring the Warner-Bratzler shear-force.	78

Figure 4-5. Cook-loss of samples cooked at 50 — 60, 70, 80 and 90°C for extended period (most of the samples points were in duplicate).....	80
Figure 4-6. Cook-loss of samples cooked at 70, 80 and 90°C for a comparatively brief period.....	81
Figure 4-7. $\ln((X-X_{eq})/(X_i-X_{eq}))$ as a function of time of the four temperatures.....	83
Figure 4-8. The experimental and the predicted (Eq. (4.6)) moisture content of the samples. The replicates represent two different experiments which themselves contain a duplicate..	84
Figure 4-9. The experimental data (*) against the two-reaction, non-isothermal model (–) for 60, 70, 80, and 90 °C. (note different axis scales are used)	88
Figure 4-10. The experimental data (*) against the two-reaction, non-isothermal model (–) for 60, 70, 80, and 90 °C.	89
Figure 4-11. Assessing the correlation between the peak force and the work done.....	90
Figure 4-12. Peak force measurement for samples cooked 70, 80, 90 °C	92
Figure 4-13. Peak force measurement for samples cooked 60 °C.....	92
Figure 4-14. Correlation between the peak force and the cook-loss.	93
Figure 4-15. Peak force measurement for samples cooked at 60 °C.	94
Figure 4-16. Peak force measurement for samples cooked at 60 °C for 0 (Raw) to 73.5 hours.....	95
Figure 5-1. Pulverised cooked meat (A) washed in a 300 µm sieve (B).....	98
Figure 5-2. Blender homogenised cooked meat (A) centrifuged (B) for 10 min at 7,000xg. 99	
Figure 5-3. Hydroxyproline calibration curve.	104
Figure 5-4. Total collagen for the raw <i>semitendinosus</i> samples.....	105
Figure 5-5. insoluble residual collagen within the meat of in sous vide cooked samples. 107	
Figure 5-6. Measured dissolved collagen (pullets) and those reported by Alahakoon et al. (2017). <i>UCT=un-treated connective tissue, PTCT=PEF treated connective tissue</i> . The dissolved collagen of raw samples is about 10% of the total collagen (Li et al., 2008).....	108
Figure 5-7. Soluble collagen in the cook-loss.	109
Figure 5-8. Conceptual model of collagen dissolution and migration.	110

Figure 5-9. Predicted and measured dissolved collagen in the cook-loss (CL).	114
Figure 5-10. Mass of water (Mw) and mass of cook-loss (Mcl) at different temperatures.	115
Figure 6-1. <i>Time for 95% of kinetic changes in meat components as well as cost, cook-loss, and peak force isolines. BSV= basic sous vide, BrSV = brisket like sous vide.....</i>	119
Figure 6-2. Proposed conceptual model for the changes that occur during meat cooking. This is only a conceptual model and the indicated processes do not necessarily occur only at the indicated temperature-points – it is more probable that these occur over range of temperature. It is hoped that future studies will elucidate what temperatures ranges these are.	120
Figure 6-3. The extent of reaction (X) for myosin and collagen, and % relative cook-loss (CL) at different temperatures. The % relative CL is defined by eq. (6.1).	122