

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**Prediction of milk yield of 3-year-old Angus cows and the
influence of maternal milk production on the postnatal
growth of beef steers.**

A thesis presented in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree,
Master of Science (Animal Science)

Massey University, Palmerston North New Zealand

Fernando Javier Roca Fraga
2013

Table of Contents

List of Tables	iv
List of Figures	vi
Abstract	viii
Acknowledgements.....	x
Preamble	xi
Chapter 1. Literature Review	1
1.1. Introduction.....	1
1.2. Methods for measuring milk yield.....	3
1.2.1. Hand and machine milking	3
1.2.2. Oxytocin method	4
1.2.2.1. Limitations of the oxytocin method	7
1.2.3. Suckling behaviour as an indicator of milk yield.....	8
1.2.3.1. Limitations of the suckling behaviour technique	10
1.2.4. Isotopic techniques for determining milk yield.....	10
1.2.4.1. Limitations of the isotopic techniques	17
1.2.5. Weigh-Suckle-Weigh method.....	17
1.2.5.1. Weigh-suckle-weigh with continuous sampling	18
1.2.5.2. Weigh-suckle-weigh with partial sampling.....	20
1.2.5.3. Weigh-suckle-weigh with partial sampling and pre-nursing period	21
1.2.5.4. Limitations of the weigh-suckle-weigh technique	22
1.2.6. Comparison and validation of the weigh-suckle-weigh technique with other techniques to estimate milk yield.....	25
1.3. Milk yield, pasture intake and calf growth	33
1.3.1. Milk yield and calf liveweight gains	33

1.3.2.	Milk yield and calf weaning weight	35
1.3.3.	Milk yield and post-weaning calf growth	39
1.3.4.	Milk intake and pasture consumption	40
1.4.	Summary	42
Chapter 2. Lactational performance of straightbred Angus cows and three Angus-dairy cross genotypes.		46
2.1.	Introduction.....	46
2.2.	Materials and methods	47
2.2.1.	Animals	47
2.2.2.	Milk measurements	49
2.2.3.	Data set constraints.....	49
2.2.4.	Statistical methods.....	50
2.3.	Results.....	52
2.3.1.	Lactation curves – goodness of fit.....	52
2.3.2.	Lactation curves – shape and curve parameters	55
2.3.3.	Test-day correlations	58
2.4.	Discussion	59
2.4.1.	Lactation curves - goodness of fit	59
2.4.2.	Lactation curves – shape and curve parameters	59
2.4.3.	Test-day records	61
2.5.	Conclusion	62
Chapter 3. Effect of maternal milk production on postnatal growth of male steers.		63
3.1.	Introduction.....	63
3.2.	Materials and methods	64
3.2.1.	Animals	64
3.2.2.	Statistical methods.....	64

3.3.	Results.....	66
3.3.1.	Growth curves – goodness of fit	66
3.3.2.	Growth curves – genotype differences.....	70
3.4.	Discussion	78
3.4.1.	Relationship between milk intake and steer live weight	78
3.4.2.	Cumulative milk intake and steer weaning and yearling weight	79
3.5.	Conclusion	81
Chapter 4.	General Discussion.....	82
4.1.	Summary of findings	82
4.2.	Potential limitations of the current study	83
4.3.	Future studies	84
4.4.	Conclusions and implications	84
References	86

List of Tables

Table 1. Average milk yield (kg) of Angus heifers grazing on either Bermuda grass (BG) or endophyte-infected tall fescue (E+) (adapted from Brown et al., 1996).	6
Table 2. Estimated water turnovers and estimated milk intakes of Angus (AA) and Angus×Friesian (AF) calves during the first six weeks of lactation (taken from Dove and Axelsen, 1979).	12
Table 3. Actual and calculated intakes for dairy calves in two measurements at an average of 50 and 76 days postpartum (adapted from Holland et al., 1975).	16
Table 4. Average milk consumption estimates of calves born to heifers from three different nutrition treatments: high nutritional plane (HP) vs. low nutritional plane (LP) during the first 60 days of lactation followed by a switchover at approximately 3 weeks before the onset of calving, creating three groups: HP followed by LP (HP-LP); LP followed by HP (LP-HP); and HP followed by HP (HP-HP) (taken from Anderson, 1977).	22
Table 5. Means and standard deviations (SD) of milk yield measurements in different periods of lactation, estimated by the weigh-suckle-weigh (WSW) and hand milking (HM) techniques (adapted from Totusek et al., 1973).	26
Table 6. Average milk consumption of 45 days-old Angus calves, milk yield and chemical composition of Angus cows (adapted from Schwulst et al., 1966).	31
Table 7. Correlations between average liveweight gain of calves and average milk yield of their dams.	35
Table 8. Correlations between milk yield and weaning weight by various authors.	36
Table 9. Number of observations used to predict lactation curves for Angus (AA), Angus×Friesian (AF), Angus×Jersey (AJ) and Angus×Kiwi-Cross (AK) at different time intervals from day 32 until day 160 of lactation.	50
Table 10. Goodness of fit indicators of the lactation curves corresponding to each genotype: straightbred Angus, Angus×Friesian, Angus×Jersey and Angus×Kiwi-Cross crossbreds; and the model used to predict them.	53

Table 11. Best linear unbiased estimates and standard error for the lactation curve parameters of Angus (AA), Angus-Friesian (AF), Angus-Jersey (AJ) and Angus-Kiwi Cross (AK) cows.	57
Table 12. Correlation between test-day measurements and their individual correlation with total milk yield.	58
Table 13. Goodness of fit for the predicted growth curves of the different beef steers genotypes and the model used to predict them.	69
Table 14. The maternal and paternal effect on average steer live weight at day (D) 60, D90, D120, D160, D270 and D365 postpartum, (i) without calf milk intake included in the model as a covariate and (ii) with calf milk intake included in the model as a covariate*.	71
Table 15. Regression coefficients of cumulative milk intake from day (D)32 to D40, D60, D90, D120 and D160 of lactation on the weaning (D160) live weight of steers.	74
Table 16. Regression coefficients of cumulative milk intake from day (D)32 to D40, D60, D90, D120 and D160 of lactation on the yearling (D365) live weight of the calves.	75
Table 17. Least square means for predicted total energy requirements, energy intakes from milk and pasture from day 32 to day 160 of steers from dams that were Angus×Angus (AA), Angus×Friesian (AF), Angus×Jersey (AJ) and Angus×Kiwi-Cross (AK).	76

List of Figures

Figure 1. Diagram illustrating the proposed isotopic transfer method by Holleman et al., (1975).....	13
Figure 2. Illustration of the expected concentration of HTO and D ₂ O over an 8 day period in the calf and it mother's milk for the calculation of milk consumption estimates using isotopic transfer (taken from Holleman et al., 1975).....	14
Figure 3. Caesium concentration in milk and the resulting body burden of the calf following a meal. (taken from Holleman et al., 1975).	15
Figure 4. Milk production of four test breed groups: AAxJ, Angus x Jersey; AAxF, Angus × Friesian; HxAA, Hereford x Angus; and AA, purebred Angus (taken from Walker and Pos, 1963).	19
Figure 5. Lactation curves estimated using the weigh-suckle-weigh and the hand milking technique (taken from Totusek et al., 1973).	27
Figure 6. Average milk yield estimates (kg) for the oxytocin and the weigh-suckle weigh (WSW techniques for Angus and Angus×Friesian cows (taken from Beal et al., 1990).	29
Figure 7. Lactation curves of straightbred Angus (AA), Angus×Friesian (AF), Angus×Jersey (AJ) and Angus×Kiwi-Cross (AK) crossbreds from D32 to D160 of lactation.	53
Figure 8. Predicted milk yield estimated by third order Legendre polynomials and fitted to lactation data using a random regression versus actual observations of milk yield.	54
Figure 9. Predicted live weight of a) Angus-sired and b) Simmental-sired steers using third order Legendre polynomials fitted to liveweight data with random regression. Steers genotypes represented by the sire breed-dam breed abbreviation: Straightbred Angus (A-AA), Angus-Angus×Friesian (A-AF), Angus-Angus×Jersey (A-AJ), Angus-Angus×Kiwi-cross (A-AK), Simmental-Angus×Angus (S-AA), Simmental-Angus×Friesian (S-AF), Simmental-Angus×Jersey (S-AJ) and Simmental-Angus×Kiwi-cross (S-AK).	68
Figure 10. Regression plot of actual versus predicted beef steer live weight.....	69

Figure 11. Daily total net energy requirements (——), net energy intake from milk (— —) and implied energy intake from pasture (---) of steers from dams that were a) Angus×Angus (AA), b) Angus×Friesian (AF), c) Angus×Jersey (AJ) and d) Angus×Kiwi-Cross (AK).....77

Abstract

Maternal milk production influences calf weaning weight which is the major driver for economic return in a cow-calf operation. The objective of this study was to use measures of calf milk intake to estimate milk production of Angus (AA; n=43), Angus×Friesian (AF; n=32), Angus×Jersey (AJ; n=40) and Angus×Kiwi-Cross (AK; n=21) cows, and to determine how milk yield was related to calf growth rate (n=64) from birth to one year of age. Milk production was estimated by the weigh-suckle-weigh (WSW) technique at an average 32, 49, 80, 120 and 160 days (D) post-partum. Third-order Legendre polynomials were fitted to milk data using random regression to estimate the lactation curve for each cow. Live weight of all steers was recorded at birth and thereafter accompanying every WSW measurement. Post-weaning live weight was recorded at an average D240, D330 and D350 of age. Growth curves for each steer were estimated by fitting third-order Legendre polynomials to live weight data using random regression. The average total milk production from D32 to D160 was 1337 ± 22 kg for AF cows, 1245 ± 20 kg for AJ cows, 1301 ± 32 kg for AK cows and 1017 ± 20 kg for Angus cows. The AF, AJ and AK cows produced more ($P < 0.05$) milk from D32 to D160 than the AA cows. The AF cows produced more ($P < 0.05$) milk than AJ cows, with AK cows being intermediate and not differing ($P > 0.05$) from either AF or AJ cows. Crossbred cows produced more milk ($P < 0.05$) at all stages of lactation when compared with straightbred AA cows. In the present study, as the proportion of Friesian or Jersey in the crossbreds increased from 0 to 50%, an extra 325 kg and 240 kg of milk, respectively, was expected compared to the AA cows. Total energy intake from milk was higher ($P < 0.05$) for the AF-, AJ- and AK-reared steers compared to those reared by AA dams. This resulted in higher liveweight gains so that steers reared by crossbred cows were heavier ($P < 0.05$) from D60 to D270 than those reared by AA cows. Results also revealed that the higher live weight at D60 in AJ-reared steers compared to AA-reared steers was due to differences in milk consumption from D32 to D60. The higher live weight of AF- and AK-reared steers at D60 compared to AA-reared steers was attributed to a maternal effect on steer size; however, from D90 until weaning at D160, any differences in live weight were due to differences in milk consumption. Estimation of the theoretical pasture consumption revealed that AA- reared steers compensated for the lower milk intake by eating more grass, however, this was not enough to support high daily gains during the pre-weaning period. The differences in live weight seen at weaning between steers were maintained post-weaning until D270 and were

attributed to differences in milk consumption during the pre-weaning period. Under non-limiting nutrient availability, AF, AJ and AK cows were able to produce more milk and wean heavier calves compared to straightbred AA cows.

Key words: milk production, weaning weight, energy intake, pasture consumption

Acknowledgements

I would like to take this opportunity to acknowledge and express gratitude to my supervisors, Dr Rebecca Hickson, and Professors Paul Kenyon and Steve Morris from Massey University, for their support and advice throughout my Masters experimental work and thesis writing. Special thanks go to Associate Professor Nicolas Lopez-Villalobos for his invaluable advice and guidance regarding the statistical analysis and modelling required for this project.

Preamble

The relationship between maternal lactation performance and offspring postnatal growth is of great economic importance in animals that nurse their young, such as beef cattle. Milk production in beef cows is affected by several factors including: breed and age of the cow (Rutledge et al., 1971); the suckling capacity of the calf (Gifford, 1953); sex of the calf (Barton, 1970a, Barton, 1970b, Hickson et al., 2009b), parity (Johnson et al., 2002) and dam nutrition (Hickson et al., 2009a). Milk is the sole source of nutrients for a newborn in early postnatal life and remains a significant component of the diet until weaning, and accordingly is a major driver of calf liveweight gain from birth to weaning (Neville, 1962, Grings et al., 2007).

The assessment of the quantity of milk a beef cow is capable of producing throughout lactation is not a commonly performed practice in a cow-calf operation as it can be difficult, time-consuming and dangerous. For research purposes, various techniques have been developed which can be allocated into two categories: 1) estimation of milk yield by repeated measurements of a characteristic known to be related to milk yield (ie. calf's milk intake) and 2) direct measurement by extracting and weighing the milk produced by a cow at different time points of the lactation. Some of the most commonly used methods to estimate or measure milk yield in beef cows are: machine milking trained cows (Cole and Johansson, 1933), milking while the calf nurses (Gifford, 1953); the suckling method (Knapp and Black, 1941, Drewry et al., 1959, Neville, 1962); the use of oxytocin before machine milking (Anthony et al., 1959, Marston et al., 1992) and the use of isotope dilution or transfer techniques (Macfarlane et al., 1969, Yates et al., 1971, Auchtung et al., 2002, Holleman et al., 1975) .

Data generated by these methods are seldom easy to interpret and compare across studies. Difficulties arise when comparing milk yields using different methods and even modifications within the same method. Additionally, the diverse breeds, cow's live weight and feeding regimes across studies make comparisons challenging; and despite good experimental designs, there are also experimental factors that need to be considered such as interacting effects of stage of lactation, sampling errors and analytical procedures (Ofstedal, 1984) and the diverse statistical models used to generate and explain lactation curves.

Calf weaning weight is a major driver of economic return in a cow-calf operation. Regardless of the technique used to measure milk yield, various authors (Gifford, 1953, Neville, 1962, Schwulst et al., 1966, Barton, 1970b) have concluded that milk yield influences calf weaning weights and have reported correlations to be in the range of 0.17 to 0.94. Barton (1970b) stated that the size of this correlation tends to be lower as lactation progresses, which indicates that older calves rely upon non-milk nutrient sources to maintain a desirable liveweight gain. Little is known about the influence of maternal lactational performance on the post-weaning growth of their progeny and consequently conflicting results have been reported in this area.

The first section of this review deals with the methods used to measure and estimate milk yield in beef cows, their general assumptions, inconsistencies and most commonly known sampling errors as well as their potential advantages. The purpose of this section was to determine the suitability of the various methods for experimental conditions such as those presented in New Zealand with grazing animals. Where possible, comparisons between methods were presented. A comparison of the expected milk yield of the various breeds and crossbreeds of cows used in this experiment was beyond the scope of this review. The second section of this review deals with the relationship between maternal milk production and postnatal growth of the offspring. Partial milk conversion efficiency and differences in calf growth relative to the maternal stage of lactation were also considered.