

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**INVESTIGATING AND MODELLING THE DEPENDENCY OF PASTURE
GROWTH ON SOIL SULPHUR AND PHOSPHORUS AVAILABILITY**

**A thesis presented in partial fulfilment
of the requirements for the degree of
Doctor in Philosophy in Soil Science
at Massey University**

Keneti Faulalo

1997

ABSTRACT

A study was conducted in the lower North Island of New Zealand to evaluate new soil testing methods for diagnosis of sulphur (S) deficiency against pasture growth responses to applied S fertiliser, in the presence and absence of phosphorus (P) and nitrogen (N).

Field trials were conducted on eight sites at the AgResearch Hill Country Research Station at Ballantrae. The amounts of total soil S, P, and N at each site varied widely, caused by different superphosphate (SSP) fertiliser histories (low fertiliser input [LF] to high fertiliser input [HF]) and differences in grazing animal excreta return. Pasture growth on all of the eight plot trial sites chosen to represent a range of fertility levels, did not increase significantly to applications of S fertiliser alone (+S) (3 x 50kg S/ha as gypsum) over two years. Three LF sites did show significant increases in yields to application of S together with P (+SP, equivalent to SSP) (2 x 50kg P/ha as MCP). The +SP treatment was the most effective at promoting legume growth.

A suite of soil tests were designed and tested for their ability to extract sulphate S and labile organic S from soils. The amount of S extracted by a mixture of 4% H_2O_2 and 0.5M KCl [4% H_2O_2 /KCl_(16hr)] (ranged from 50.7 - 105.3mg S/kg at a LF to a HF site) was found to be as good as the Olsen P test (ranged from 14.4 - 39.2mg P/kg soil) at predicting actual yields on unfertilised control plots ($R^2 > 63\%$ using several mathematical expressions). The 4% H_2O_2 /KCl_(16hr) and the Olsen P tests were also strongly correlated ($R^2 = 77\%$). However, the 4% H_2O_2 /KCl_(16hr) was found to be better than the Olsen P test at predicting relative yield (RY) due to the application of a +SP treatment ($R^2 = 60\%$ and 19% respectively) probably because it has the ability to predict any S deficiencies that applied P would have induced. Only after basal S fertiliser was applied to the control did the Olsen P explain a similar percentage of the variation in RY ($R^2 = 58\%$). As pasture growth was not singularly responsive to S, the ability of the 4% H_2O_2 /KCl_(16hr) test to predict S deficiency without P could not be tested.

The $4\%H_2O_2/KCl_{(16hr)}$ test was examined on a series of short-term trials established at nine locations in the lower North Island on different soil types and different climatic conditions. The fertiliser treatments on these trials included an S treatment (50kg S/ha as gypsum) with and without N (50kg N/ha as urea). A basal application of P at a rate of 40kg P/ha as MCP was also applied. Similar to Ballantrae, application of S on top of P (+SP) was the most effective at promoting legume growth (17 - 400%). Application of N caused detrimental effect on legume growth but did increase total pasture yield at all sites (14 - 83%).

Although the amounts of S extracted ranged from 59 to 156mg S/kg soil, this variation did not explain the variations in RY response to S at these sites, neither did the more traditional phosphate extractable S test (ranged from 4.1 - 10.5mg S/kg soil). The large range in RY suggest that basal P induced S deficiency on the control plots subsequent to soil sampling. For evaluation of the soil S test, an unfertilised control plot should have been included in the trial design. Failing to incorporate the interaction of S and P into the design of field experiments appear to be the main weakness in using RY as the pasture yield parameter for regression against soil test values. While efforts into improving soil tests for S are still required, it was concluded that the RY device is inadequate to normalise yields across sites because i) differences in yield potential caused by the interactions of soil fertility with climate could not be eliminated, and ii) estimates of yield potential vary with experimental designs.

To overcome these problems with RY, a mechanistic pasture growth model was developed to incorporate the effects of actual evapotranspiration (ET_a) on the relationships between pasture yields and soil fertility. It is assumed that climate can adequately be represented by rainfall, average temperature, and sunshine hours. A soil water balance model developed at Ballantrae, predicted daily soil water contents, drainage, and ET_a . Pasture growth dependency on ET_a and existing harvestable pasture mass were then modelled on a daily basis.

The model was developed from pasture yields derived from 2 and 4 weekly harvesting regimes throughout 1993. The ceiling yield (Y_{ceiling}) and ceiling growth rate (g_{ceiling}) values for each site that were estimated from these data, ranged from 662 - 2526 kg/ha and 7.1 - 30.3 kg/ha/mm respectively from LF to HF sites. Soil fertility as indicated by the Olsen P test explained much of the variation in Y_{ceiling} ($R^2 = 69\%$) and g_{ceiling} ($R^2 = 77\%$). The estimated maximum yield (Y_{max}) and maximum growth rate (g_{max}) values unconstrained by soil fertility were 3125kg DM/ha ($R^2 = 69\%$) and 38.4 kg/ha/mm ($R^2 = 77\%$). These values were considered too low because the 2 and 4 weekly data did not represent the full range of pasture growth normally represented in sigmoid curves. Nevertheless, the structural strength of the model was shown by both Y_{ceiling} and g_{ceiling} approaching Y_{max} and g_{max} at the same rate, controlled by $f_{1/2}$, the fertility level at which 50% of Y_{max} ($f_{1/2} = 23.0$ mg P/kg soil, Olsen P) and 50% of g_{max} ($f_{1/2} = 22.5$ mg P/kg soil, Olsen P) are obtained.

A better estimate of Y_{max} (8923 kg/ha) was obtained when yields harvested after a 9 week summer growth period was used to represent the ceiling yields at each site. As the dependency on soil fertility was the same, a g_{max} of 70 kg/ha/mm was estimated. These estimates were used to simulate pasture growth over variable harvest intervals from autumn 1990 to autumn 1992. The same parameter values were transferred to simulate pasture growth on sites in the east coast of the North Island. The seasonal variations in predicted harvested yields, closely resembled the patterns of yields measured through time. However, the actual yields predicted were generally lower than measured. The model therefore has structural strength but need extensive data for better estimates of initial parameter values.

This new approach, although require further development, emerges as a far better basis for understanding the relationship between pasture yields and nutrient supplies than regressions of soil tests against RY.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

My gratitude are expressed with appreciation to the following people for their contribution towards the completion of this thesis:

Ass.Prof. M.J. Hedley, my chief supervisor, for his comments and suggestions, his encouragement and willingness to put aside personal time for me.

Prof. R.W. Tillman, head of the department, for being there at times of ‘when the going gets rough’.

Dr S. Saggar and Dr A.D. Mackay, my other supervisors, for their comments and suggestions in the laboratory and field experiment aspects of this thesis.

Dr A.M. Parshotam of Landcare, for his help on modelling.

Members of the Soil Science Department (the Tea Club), for providing such a friendly environment to study in.

Mr R.G. Smith and Mr D. McDougall, for their help in the MAF Trials.

Members of the AgResearch Hill Country Research group, especially Mr D. Costall, Mr B.Devantier, and Mr P. Budding, for their help in conducting the Ballantrae Trials.

FERNZ Corporation Ltd, for the financial support that made possible this study. The Ministry of Research, Science, and Technology also provided a scholarship grant for part of this study.

My ex-teacher and friend Michael Buonsanto at M.I.T. in Boston, for his *faamalosiaga* and the integrity of being a scientist.

My sister Alataua and brother in law Christopher, my nephews Matthew, Joshua, and Samuel, my nieces Carissa and Susan. *Faafetai lava* team for the love and support.

My partner and best friend Louise, for her help in tidying the manuscript, mostly for her companionship, love and support that gave me the toughness and confidence to keep going.

My mother Pua, *mo ana talosaga le motusia* - for her endless prayers.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	v
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
LIST OF FIGURES	xiv
LIST OF TABLES	xxi

CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

1.1	ASSESSING THE NEED FOR SULPHUR FERTILISER	1
	1.1.1 Problems Assessing Soil S Status	2
	1.1.2 Problems Assessing Pasture S Requirement Using Relative Yield ...	4
1.2	THESIS OBJECTIVES	5

CHAPTER TWO

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

2.1	BACKGROUND TO SOIL FERTILITY IN NEW ZEALAND LEGUME BASED PASTURES	9
	2.1.1 Trends of Fertiliser Use in New Zealand	10
	2.1.2 Pasture Development and Steady-State Systems	13

2.2	NATURE OF SULPHUR IN SOIL.....	15
2.2.1	The Forms of S in Soil	15
2.2.1.1	<i>Plant Available S (Sulphate-S)</i>	16
2.2.1.2	<i>Organic S</i>	18
2.2.1.3	<i>Microbial Biomass-S</i>	21
2.3	SULPHUR TRANSFORMATIONS IN SOIL: A SULPHUR CYCLE.....	22
2.3.1	Leaching Losses	24
2.3.2	Plant Uptake and Animal Utilization	26
2.3.3	Immobilisation and Mineralisation	28
2.3.3.1	<i>Immobilisation and Mineralisation as Simultaneous Processes</i>	30
2.3.3.2	<i>A Quasi-Equilibrium</i>	30
2.3.3.3	<i>Factors Affecting the Immobilisation/Mineralisation Equilibrium</i>	31
2.4	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS.....	34
2.5	THE CONCEPT OF A MODEL.....	35
2.5.1	Fundamental Principles of Modelling	35
2.5.2	Types of Models	38

CHAPTER THREE

PASTURE YIELDS AT FIELD TRIALS UNDER SIMILAR CLIMATE AND SOIL TYPE, BUT VARIABLE SOIL FERTILITY

3.1	INTRODUCTION.....	40
3.2	METHODOLOGY.....	41
3.2.1	1990/1992 Ballantrae Field Trials	41
3.2.1.1	<i>Location</i>	41
3.2.1.2	<i>Fertiliser History</i>	42

3.2.1.3	<i>Combinations of S, P, and N Fertiliser Treatments</i>	44
3.2.1.4	<i>Soil Sampling and Fertiliser Application</i>	44
3.2.1.5	<i>Pasture Yield Measurements</i>	45
3.2.1.6	<i>Statistical Analysis</i>	46
3.2.2	Acetylene Reduction Assay	46
3.3	RESULTS	47
3.3.1	Control Plot Yields: Effects of Soil Fertility as Influenced by previous Fertiliser Histories and Stock Camping Behaviour	47
3.3.1.1	<i>Control Plot Yields relative to soil Total S, P, and N in Soils</i>	50
3.3.2	Pasture Yields as Affected by Different Combinations of S, P and N Fertiliser Treatments	53
3.3.2.1	<i>S Alone (+S treatment)</i>	53
3.3.2.2	<i>Sulphur Applied Together with Phosphorus (+SP Treatment)</i>	54
3.3.2.3	<i>Sulphur Applied Together with Phosphorus and Nitrogen (+SPN treatment)</i>	55
3.3.3	The Legume Component	58
3.3.3.1	<i>Amounts of N Fixed by Legumes</i>	60
3.3.4	Seasonal Yield Responses	63
3.4	SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION	65
3.4.1	A Conceptual Model of the Interaction of S and P Availabilities, Regulating the N Economy of a Grazed Pasture System	67

CHAPTER FOUR

CHEMICAL EXTRACTION TECHNIQUES TO ASSESS SULPHUR AVAILABILITY: THE CHARACTERISATION OF SULPHUR AND PHOSPHORUS AVAILABILITY AT THE BALLANTRAE SITES

4.1	INTRODUCTION	72
4.1.1	A Theoretical Basis for S Availability	75
4.1.1.1	<i>Implications of the Concepts of S Availability on developing Chemical Extraction Techniques</i>	77

4.2	RANKING CHEMICAL EXTRACTANTS FOR BEST INDEX OF SULPHUR AVAILABILITY	78
4.2.1	Analysis of Sulphate-S	78
4.2.2	Mathematical Equations Fitted	79
4.2.3	Developing Regression Models using Actual Yield as the Pasture Yield parameter	80
4.2.3.1	Calcium Phosphate Extractable S: $Ca-P_{(0.04M)}$	80
4.2.3.2	Potassium Chloride Extractable S: KCl_{16hr}	81
4.2.3.3	Anion Exchange Resin: $AER_{(Cl^-)}$	85
4.2.3.4	H_2O_2 Oxidation/KCl Extraction: $4\%H_2O_2/KCl_{(16hr)}$	88
4.2.3.5	Sequential A: $KCl_{(1hr-1stA)}$ followed by $4\%H_2O_2/KCl_{(16hr-2ndA)}$	92
4.2.3.6	Sequential B: $0.5\%H_2O_2/KCl_{(1hr-1stB)}$ followed by $4\%H_2O_2/KCl_{(24hr-2ndB)}$	97
4.2.3.7	MAF-SFS, Calcium Phosphate Extractable S: $Ca-P_{(MAF-0.01M)}$, $Ca-P_{(MAF-OrgS)}$, $Ca-P_{(MAF-TotS)}$	102
4.2.3.8	Olsen P Soil Test.....	108
4.3	GENERAL DISCUSSION.....	116

CHAPTER FIVE

PASTURE RESPONSES TO APPLIED FERTILISERS AS AFFECTED BY SULPHUR AND PHOSPHORUS AVAILABILITIES

5.1	INTRODUCTION	118
5.2	METHOD.....	120
5.2.1	Relative Yield as the Pasture Yield Parameter	120
5.2.2	The Mathematical Equation	122
5.3	RESULTS FROM THE 1990/1992-BALLANTRAE TRIALS.....	123
5.3.1	S and P as Growth Factors	123
5.3.2	Discussion	125

5.4	THE MAF TRIALS	126
5.4.1	Establishment of Field Trials	126
5.4.1.1	<i>Methods</i>	126
5.4.1.2	<i>Results</i>	130
5.4.2	Soil Testing	132
5.4.2.1	<i>Methods</i>	132
5.4.2.2	<i>Results and Discussion</i>	134
5.4.3	Pasture Responses to S and N	136
5.5	GENERAL DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS	141

CHAPTER SIX

PASTURE GROWTH AS AFFECTED BY CLIMATE AND SOIL FERTILITY

6.1	INTRODUCTION	143
6.1.1	Water-use Efficiency and Soil Fertility	144
6.2	A SOIL WATER BALANCE FOR BALLANTRAE FARMLETS	147
6.2.1	Model Description	147
6.2.1.1	<i>Initial Values</i>	150
6.2.2	Results	150
6.2.2.1	<i>Sensitivity tests</i>	152
6.2.3	Discussion	153
6.3	MODELLING THE DEPENDENCY OF PASTURE GROWTH ON ACTUAL EVAPOTRANSPIRATION (ET _a) AND PASTURE MASS, STANDING AS COVER: WATER-USE MODEL	154
6.3.1	Logistic Model Description	154
6.3.2	Yield Measurements	156

6.3.3	Results	159
6.3.3.1	<i>Relationships between modelled and observed pasture growth rates</i>	159
6.3.3.2	<i>Sensitivity analysis of the modelled parameters</i>	163
6.3.4	Discussion	164
6.4	MODELLING THE DEPENDENCE OF PASTURE YIELDS AND PASTURE GROWTH RATES ON SOIL FERTILITY: SOIL FERTILITY-WATER USE MODEL	165
6.4.1	Model description	165
6.4.2	Results	167
6.4.3	Discussion	168
6.5	GENERAL DISCUSSION	170

CHAPTER SEVEN

A PASTURE GROWTH SIMULATION MODEL

7.1	INTRODUCTION	172
7.2	METHOD AND RESULTS	173
7.2.1	Using Olsen P as the Indicator of Soil Fertility to estimate Initial Parameter Values	174
7.2.1.1	<i>Y_{max} and $f_{1/2}$</i>	176
7.2.1.2	<i>g_{max}</i>	177
7.2.1.3	<i>Y_{ini}</i>	178
7.2.1.4	<i>Results and Discussion</i>	182
7.2.2	Transferring the Model Away from Ballantrae, to Sites in the Wairarapa	186
7.2.2.1	<i>Yield Measurements and Climatological Data</i>	187
7.2.2.2	<i>Results and Discussion</i>	189

7.2.3	Using 4%$H_2O_2/KCl_{(16hr)}$ Extractable S as the Indicator of Soil Fertility to estimate Initial Parameter Values	193
7.2.3.1	<i>Y_{max} and $f_{1/2}(S)$</i>	193
7.2.3.2	<i>g_{max} and Y_{ini}</i>	195
7.2.3.3	<i>Results and Discussion</i>	196
7.3	GENERAL DISCUSSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR IMPROVEMENT	198

CHAPTER EIGHT

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE WORK

8.1	INTRODUCTION	201
8.2	SOIL TEST EVALUATIONS USING FIELD TRIALS	201
8.3	INCORPORATING THE EFFECT OF CLIMATE INTO THE RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN PASTURE YIELDS AND SOIL FERTILITY	203
8.3.1	Model Development	203
8.3.2	Model Evaluations	204
8.4	RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE WORK	205
	REFERENCES	207

LIST OF FIGURES

CHAPTER TWO

Figure 2.1	Manufactured fertilisers sold by Fertiliser Works in New Zealand from 1978 to 1995. [Source: <i>Situation and Outlook for New Zealand Agriculture</i> (1995). pp.100. MAF Agriculture Policy, Wellington.].....12	12
Figure 2.2	Diagrammatic representation of development of pasture and soil fertility, adapted from Sears (1962). Annual pasture production is shown as height above, and soil fertility as depth below the base line. Redrawn from Ball and Field (1987; pp. 91).....	14
Figure 2.3.	The various components of the S cycle and the processes that determine the size of the plant available sulphate-S pool.	23
Figure 2.4	The process of model development.....	37

CHAPTER THREE

Figure 3.1	Accumulated yields over two years (autumn 1990-autumn 1992) on the control plots for all sites at Ballantrae.	48
Figure 3.2	Annual accumulated yields for Year 1 (Autumn 1990 to Autumn 1991) and Year 2 (Autumn 1991 to Autumn 1992) at Ballantrae.	50
Figure 3.3	Relationship between annual accumulated pasture yields at the control plots and soil total S.	52
Figure 3.4	Relationship between annual accumulated pasture yields at the control plots and soil total P.	52

Figure 3.5 Relationship between annual accumulated pasture yields at the control plots and soil total N.52

Figure 3.6 Pasture yields accumulated over two years as affected by fertiliser treatments.56

Figure 3.7 Relationships between the amounts of N fixed during spring 1990 and accumulated legume yields during the same season.62

Figure 3.8 Diagrammatic representation of the S, P, and N cycles in a grazed pasture system.68

CHAPTER FOUR

Figure 4.1 Diagram of the biochemical quasi-equilibrium of S fractions in soil of a pastoral system.75

Figure 4.2 Regressions between annual accumulated yields and the amounts of S extracted by **0.04 M Ca(H₂PO₄)₂ extractable S: Ca-P_(0.04M)**, using:
a) $y = a(1 - e^{-bx})$; b) $y = a + b \ln x$; and c) $y = a + bx$ 82

Figure 4.3 Regressions between annual accumulated yields and the amounts of S extracted by **Potassium Chloride extracted for 16 hrs: KCl_(16hr)**, using:
a) $y = a(1 - e^{-bx})$; b) $y = a + b \ln x$; and c) $y = a + bx$ 84

Figure 4.4 Regressions between annual accumulated yields and the amounts of S extracted by **Anion Exchange-Membrane Resin: AER_(Cl)**, using:
a) $y = a(1 - e^{-bx})$; b) $y = a + b \ln x$; and c) $y = a + bx$ 87

Figure 4.5 Amounts of S extracted with either 2% H₂O₂/KCl or 2% H₂O₂/Ca-P with increasing oxidation time.89

Figure 4.6	Amounts of S oxidised and extracted with increasing H ₂ O ₂ concentration.	90
Figure 4.7	Regressions between annual accumulated yields and the amounts of S extracted by <u>Hydrogen peroxide/Potassium chloride: 4% O₂/KCl_(16hr)</u> , using: a) $y = a(1 - e^{-bx})$; b) $y = a + b \ln x$; and c) $y = a + bx$	91
Figure 4.8	Regression analysis between annual accumulated yields and the amounts of S in the <u>first extract of sequential A method: KCl_(1hr-1stA)</u> , using: a) $y = a(1 - e^{-bx})$; b) $y = a + b \ln x$; and c) $y = a + bx$	94
Figure 4.9	Regression analysis between annual accumulated yields and the amounts of S in the <u>second extract of Sequential A method: 4% H₂O₂/KCl_(16hr-2ndA)</u> , using: a) $y = a(1 - e^{-bx})$; b) $y = a + b \ln x$; and c) $y = a + bx$	95
Figure 4.10	Regressions between annual accumulated yields and the <u>total amounts of S extracted with Sequential A method: SeqA_(Tot-S)</u> , using: a) $y = a(1 - e^{-bx})$; b) $y = a + b \ln x$; and c) $y = a + bx$	96
Figure 4.11	Regressions between annual accumulated yields and the amounts of S in the <u>first extract of sequential B method: 0.5% H₂O₂/KCl_(1hr-1stB)</u> , using: a) $y = a(1 - e^{-bx})$; b) $y = a + b \ln x$; and c) $y = a + bx$	99
Figure 4.12	Regressions between annual accumulated yields and amounts of S in the <u>second extract of sequential B method: 4% H₂O₂/KCl_(24hr-2ndB)</u> , using: a) $y = a(1 - e^{-bx})$; b) $y = a + b \ln x$; and c) $y = a + bx$	100
Figure 4.13	Regressions between annual accumulated yields and the <u>total amounts of S extracted with Sequential B method: SeqB_(Tot-S)</u> , using: a) $y = a(1 - e^{-bx})$; b) $y = a + b \ln x$; and c) $y = a + bx$	101
Figure 4.14	Regressions between annual accumulated yields and <u>sulphate-S in 0.01M Ca(H₂PO₄)₂ extract: Ca-P_(MAF-0.01M)</u> , using: a) $y = a(1 - e^{-bx})$; b) $y = a + b \ln x$; and c) $y = a + bx$	105

- Figure 4.15 Regressions between annual accumulated yields and **organic S in 0.01M Ca(H₂PO₄)₂ extract: Ca-P_(MAF-org-S)**, using:
a) $y = a(1 - e^{-bx})$; b) $y = a + b \ln x$; and c) $y = a + bx$ 106
- Figure 4.16 Regression analysis between annual accumulated yields and **total amounts of S extracted with 0.01M Ca-P: Ca-P_(MAF-TotS)**, using:
a) $y = a(1 - e^{-bx})$; b) $y = a + b \ln x$; and c) $y = a + bx$ 107
- Figure 4.17 Regressions between annual accumulated yields and **Olsen P soil test**, using: a) $y = a(1 - e^{-bx})$; b) $y = a + b \ln x$; and c) $y = a + bx$ 110

CHAPTER FIVE

- Figure 5.1 Relationship between RY and 4% H₂O₂/KCl_(16hr) extractable S values, where RY was calculated as the percentage increase in yield on the +SP fertilised plots above the yield on the unfertilised control plots. ... 124
- Figure 5.2 Relationship between RY and Olsen P test values, where RY was calculated as the percentage increase of yields on the +SP fertilised plots above, a) the yields on the unfertilised control plots, and b) the yields on the +S fertilised plots. 124
- Figure 5.3 Relationship between the amounts of S and N extracted using 1% H₂O₂/KCl_(16hr) and 4% H₂O₂/KCl_(16hr) extractants..... 135
- Figure 5.5 Relationships between RY and a) 4% H₂O₂/KCl_(16hr) extractable S, and b) 1% H₂O₂/KCl_(16hr) extractable S. 137
- Figure 5.6 Relationships between RY and a) 4% H₂O₂/KCl_(16hr) extractable N, and b) 1% H₂O₂/KCl_(16hr) extractable N. 138

CHAPTER SIX

Figure 6.1	The interacting components of a grazed pasture system. 145
Figure 6.2	a) Computed and measured soil water deficits in the topsoil (0-75mm) from the LFLF farmlet, b) computed cumulative ET_a c) computed cumulative drainage, d) measured cumulative rain, January 1993 to February 1994. 151
Figure 6.3	a) Best linear fits to the relationships between observed and computed deficits based on the simple ratio function with varying values of F parameter. Plotted points are for $F = 0.5$. b) Effects on Root mean square by varying the F value. 153
Figure 6.4	a) Logistic growth curves showing increasing harvestable yield per unit ET_a (WUE) as harvestable yield standing as cover ($Y_{(2w)}$), increases. (Plotted points are for site 7 while the regression lines are for all sites). b) The relationship between modelled and measured WUE 161
Figure 6.5	a) Theoretical sigmoid growth curve as ET_a accumulates from the beginning of a regrowth period, b) actual values (data points) obtained ... using Y_{2w} from sites 3 and 7 using $Y_{(2w)}$ as the pasture mass standing as .. cover and lines modelled using various $g_{ceiling}$ and $Y_{ceiling}$ values. 162
Figure 6.6	Effects of Root Mean Square (e.g., Equation 6.7) by varying the best fitted $a(ET_a)$ and b) b parameters by 10%. The points are labelled according to the number of the site. 164
Figure 6.7	The Michaelis-Menten type dependency of yield ceilings and growth rate ceilings on Olsen P for the seven sites at the 1993 Ballantrae Trials. 168

CHAPTER SEVEN

- Figure 7.1 Flowchart of a pasture simulation model for different levels of soil fertility and driven by actual evapotranspiration (ET_a), computed from climatological data..... 175
- Figure 7.2 The relationship between pasture yield ($Y_{ceiling} = Y_{(cut11)}$, from 19 Nov 1991 to 24 Jan 1992) and Olsen P. The solid line and equation, represent the best fit of the Michaelis-Menten function (Eqn. 6.12)..... 176
- Figure 7.3 Regressions between simulated and measured harvested yields at the HFHFL site, during 1990-1992, at different levels of Y_{ini} , using g_{max} values of:
a) 50kg/ha/mm, data points shown are for $Y_{ini} = 200$ kg/ha,
b) 60kg/ha/mm, data points shown are for $Y_{ini} = 150$ kg/ha, and
c) 70kg/ha/mm, data points shown are for $Y_{ini} = 100$ kg/ha..... 181
- Figure 7.4 Simulated and measured yields at the HFHFL site from autumn 1990 - autumn 1992. 182
- Figure 7.5 Regressions between simulated and measured harvested yields from the sites located on the HF farmlets (Data points not shown)..... 183
- Figure 7.6 Regressions between simulated and measured harvested yields from the sites located on the LF farmlets (Data points not shown). 183
- Figure 7.7 Simulated and measured yields at the LFNFL site from autumn 1990 - autumn 1992. 184
- Figure 7.8 Regressions between simulated and measured harvested yields from the sites located on the LF farmlets, using a Y_{ini} value of 50kg/ha..... 185
- Figure 7.9 Simulated and measured yields at a) Mauriceville #2,
b) Mauriceville #3, and c) Mauriceville #4 sites in the Wairarapa. 190
- Figure 7.10 Simulated and measured yields at a) Gladstone #10,
b) Gladstone #11, and c) Gladstone #12 sites in the Wairarapa. 191

- Figure 7.11 Using $Y_{(cut11)}$ data (from 19 November 1991 to 24 January 1992) as $Y_{ceiling}$, regressed against $4\%H_2O_2/KCl_{(16hr)}$ extractable S, using the Michaelis-Menten curve (Eqn. 6.12).....193
- Figure 7.12 Regressions between simulated and measured harvested yields from the sites located on the LF farmlets, using the parameter initial values estimated with the $4\%H_2O_2/KCl_{(16hr)}$ extractable S test.....197
- Figure 7.13 Regressions between simulated and measured harvested yields from the sites located on the HF farmlets, using the parameter initial values estimated with the $4\%H_2O_2/KCl_{(16hr)}$ extractable S test.....197

LIST OF TABLES

CHAPTER THREE

Table 3.1.	Some chemical characteristics of the topsoil (0-75mm) and fertiliser history of Ballantrae trials.....	43
Table 3.2.	Summary of treatments applied to Ballantrae trials.....	45
Table 3.3	Biennial accumulated pasture yields (kg DM/ha) at Ballantrae trials as affected by fertiliser treatments.....	57
Table 3.4.	Biennial accumulated legume yields (kg DM/ha) at Ballantrae trials as affected by fertiliser treatments.....	59
Table 3.5	Nitrogen fixed (kg N/ha/day) using acetylene reduction assay as affected by fertiliser treatments.....	61
Table 3.6	Summary of seasonal responsive sites to +SP and +SPN treatments at Ballantrae.....	64

CHAPTER FOUR

Table 4.1	Amounts of S in each extractant ranked as an index of S availability.....	111
Table 4.2	Parameter values and coefficient of determination values (R^2) from the regression analysis, using the mathematical expression: $y = a(1 - e^{-bx})$	112
Table 4.3	Parameter values and coefficient of determination values (R^2) from the regression analysis, using the mathematical expression: $y = a + b \ln x$	113

Table 4.4	Parameter values and coefficient of determination values (R^2) from the regression analysis, using the mathematical expression: $y = a + bx$	114
Table 4.5	Correlation coefficients between soil tests.....	115

CHAPTER FIVE

Table 5.1	Some chemical characteristics of the topsoils (0 - 75mm), fertiliser history and some site characteristics of the MAF trials.	127
Table 5.2	Summary of treatments and application dates to MAF trials.....	128
Table 5.3.	Accumulated total herbage yield (kg DM/ha) on the MAF trials.....	131
Table 5.4	Accumulated legume yield (kg DM/ha) on the MAF Trials.	132
Table 5.5	Amounts of S and N extracted, using 1% H_2O_2 / $KCl_{(16hr)}$ and 4% H_2O_2 / $KCl_{(16hr)}$ extractants of soils from the MAF trials.....	134

CHAPTER SIX

Table 6.1	Ranges of yields from the 2 weekly and 4 weekly harvesting regimes showing a minimum measured in winter and a maximum measured in summer in the 1993-Ballantrae trials, and Olsen P values.	157
Table 6.2	Variables and parameter values obtained when the logistic growth at each site is modelled according to pasture mass, standing as cover ($Y_{(2w)}$).....	160

CHAPTER SEVEN

Table 7.1	Summary of initial parameter values estimated from the Olsen P test.....	180
Table 7.2	Some information on the sites located on the east coast of the North Island in the Wairarapa.....	188
Table 7.3	Summary of initial parameter values estimated from the 4% H_2O_2 /KCl _(16hr) extractable S test.....	196