Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

# Understanding the predictors of participation and the barriers to employee involvement in workplace health promotion programmes

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

**DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY** 

in Management

Massey University Albany

Auckland, New Zealand

**Joanna Edwards** 

2012

### **ABSTRACT**

The benefits of workplace health promotion programmes for organisations are well documented. However, the problem of low employee participation has continued to challenge managers, and is an area that has received relatively little attention in health promotion literature, particularly in New Zealand. The purpose of this investigation is to develop a better understanding of the variables predicting, and the barriers preventing, employee participation in health promotion activities. Thereby assisting managers to maximise participation rates and develop successful workplace health promotion initiatives.

This research centred on identifying and assessing the predictors and barriers to employee participation in nine health promotion activities commonly offered in New Zealand organisations. The data collection process included an initial preliminary study, followed by a large online questionnaire completed by 883 New Zealand employees. These results led to developing an in–depth qualitative study, involving semi–structured face–to–face interviews with 20 employees, designed to triangulate and add further depth in understanding the factors that influence employee participation in health promotion programmes.

Research results determined that the likelihood of an employee participating is best predicted by their age, gender, perceived stress, job satisfaction, supervisor support, organisational health climate, and degree of perceived job flexibility. Conversely, the barriers preventing participation included activities scheduled at inconvenient times, a lack of information about the activities, excessive work and family commitments, low job flexibility, low self–efficacy, feeling embarrassed, and a lack of trust in supervisors. Maintaining participation was achieved through continuously changing activities, and constant communication with participating staff.

To maximise participation, the present investigation recommends that managers consider the organisation's environment, by way of managerial support and cultivating a positive organisational health climate, schedule activities during normal working hours and provide time off for employees to take part, allow greater job flexibility, fully subsidise any financial costs, and ensure all employee health information is secure. When attrition is present, managers would benefit from focusing on motivational strategies, introduce rewards and incentives, and demonstrate their own high motivation, commitment and enthusiasm to the programme.

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS** 

Completing a doctorate cannot be achieved without the contribution, guidance, and

continuous encouragement from a small army of supporters. My journey has been no

exception.

I have been extremely fortunate in my choice of supervisors. My sincere gratitude must go to

Dr Margot Edwards and Dr Darryl Forsyth for providing me with unfailing support, direction,

and constant encouragement. It has been a long journey for them as well, and I am truly

grateful for their assiduous and prolonged support.

I would also like to thank Dr Ralph Bathurst for his unwavering support over the years. He

has been a valued confidant and a trusted friend.

I have also been enormously privileged to have a group of fabulous friends, the 'handbags':

Kim Love, Anne Messervy, Siobhan Porter-Warren, Dr Grace Teo-Dixon, and Dr Barb

Plester. I must also give special thanks to Amy Aldridge–Baker, for when life got in the way;

to Heather Cartner, for believing in me from the start; to Dene Simpson, for her brilliance;

and to Vicky Byles, for organising so many people in so little time. I would not have

completed this thesis without their help, and I will always be truly grateful.

My final acknowledgements are to my husband, Dave, and daughters Madeleine and Imogen

Croul; and to my parents, David and Valerie Edwards. Balancing work, thesis and family has

been challenging, and I have been exceptionally fortunate to have a calm and relaxed partner,

two beautiful daughters to keep things in perspective, and parents who have supported me

from the very beginning to the very end.

This is for them.

iii

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

ABSTE	RACT		II
ACKN	OWLEI	DGEMENTS	III
TABLI	E OF CO	ONTENTS	IV
LIST C	)F TABI	ELES	XII
LIST C	F FIGU	URES	XIV
CHAP	ΓER 1: Ι	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	What	t is this study about?	1
1.2	What	t are workplace health promotion programmes?	1
	1.2.1	The benefits of employee participation	3
	1.2.2	The problem of low participation	4
1.3	Conce	eptual design of the research	5
	1.3.1	Defining the concepts	6
	1.3.2	Identifying the key predictor variables	7
	1.3.3	Barriers to participation	9
	1.3.4	Examining multiple activities	9
1.4	Wide	er applications	10
1.5	Outlin	ne of the methodology	11
1.6	Thesis	is structure	11
CHAP	ΓER 2: <b>V</b>	WORKPLACE HEALTH PROMOTION	14
2.1	Litera	ature review structure	14
2.2	Healtl	th and health promotion	15
2.3	Emplo	loyee health	16

	2.3.1	Occupational safety and health	17
	2.3.2	Workplace health promotion programmes	19
2.4	Benef	its of workplace health promotion programmes	21
	2.4.1	Ingressed ampleyee setisfaction	21
	2.4.1	Increased employee satisfaction	
	2.4.2	Convenience	
	2.4.3	Reduced absenteeism	
	2.4.4	Increased productivity	
	2.4.5	Reduced health care costs	25
	2.4.6	Increased staff retention	27
	2.4.7	Community benefits	27
2.5	Work	place health promotion activities	28
	2.5.1	Fitness testing and exercise activities	29
	2.5.2	Health screening assessments	31
	2.5.3	Health seminars	32
	2.5.4	Weight management programmes	32
	2.5.5	Alcohol and drug assistance programmes	33
	2.5.6	Counselling	34
	2.5.7	Stress management programmes	34
	2.5.8	Smoking cessation programmes	36
2.6	Chapt	er summary and direction of the study	37
СНАРТ	ER 3: 1	EMPLOYEE PARTICIPATION	38
3.1		er outline and structure	
3.2	The in	mportance of employee participation	39
3.3	Defin	ing participation	40
3.4	The e	cological approach to participation	43
3.5	Indivi	dual variables associated with participation	48

	3.5.1	Demographic indicators	48
	3.5.2	Perceived health status	49
	3.5.3	Perceived stress	51
	3.5.4	Perceived job satisfaction	52
3.6	Social	l variables associated with participation	53
	3.6.1	Co-worker support	53
	3.6.2	Supervisor support	54
3.7	Organ	nisational variables associated with participation	55
	3.7.1	Organisational climate	56
	3.7.2	Job flexibility	58
3.8	Barrie	ers to participation	58
	3.8.1	Commitments and a lack of time	59
	3.8.2	Lack of self-efficacy	60
	3.8.3	Lack of trust	61
	3.8.4	Inconvenient scheduling	62
3.9	The N	New Zealand context: Practitioner interviews	63
	3.9.1	Insights and relevant information provided by practitioner is	nterviews64
3.10	Sumn	nary of the literature	69
СНАРТ	ΓER 4: 1	METHODS	71
4.1	Resea	arch design rationale	71
4.2 The	prelimir	nary study	74
4.3	The q	uestionnaire	75
4.4	Quest	tionnaire participants	76
4.5	Quest	tionnaire materials	81
	4.5.1	Measuring the dependent variables	81

	4.5.2	Measuring the independent variables	83
	4.5.3	Individual variables	83
	4.5.4	Social variables	85
	4.5.5	Organisational variables	86
	4.5.6	Barriers to participation	88
4.6	Quest	ionnaire procedure and analysis	89
	4.6.1	Pilot study	89
	4.6.2	Questionnaire distribution.	90
	4.6.3	Logistic regression analysis	91
4.7	Interv	iews	97
	4.7.1	Interview participants	97
	4.7.2	Interview guide	99
4.8	Interv	iew procedure and analysis	100
	4.8.1	Pilot study	100
	4.8.2	Conducting the interviews	100
	4.8.3	Analysing the interview transcripts	102
4.9	Ethica	al considerations	103
4.10	Sumn	nary of the methods chapter	105
СНАР	TER 5: (	QUESTIONNAIRE RESULTS	106
5.1	Resul	ts structure	106
5.2	Outlie	ers, missing data and scale validation	106
	5.2.1	Processing of outliers in the data	107
	5.2.2	Processing of missing data	107
	5.2.3	Processing of data for employees who did not need to participate.	108
	5.2.4	Processing of discrepancies found in the data	109
	5.2.5	Validation of scales used in the questionnaire	110

5.3	Participation data
	5.3.1 Participation trends for the nine individual activities
	5.3.2 Participation trends for other activities
5.4	Variables associated with participation
	5.4.1 Variables associated with participation in specific individual activities117
	5.4.2 Variables associated with participation in groups of activities 120
5.5	Barriers to participation
	5.5.1 Specific barriers to individual activities
	5.5.2 Other barriers to participation
5.6	Summary of questionnaire results
СНАР	TER 6: INTERVIEW OUTCOMES137
6.1	Introduction 137
6.2	General interest, awareness and participation
6.3	Factors found to facilitate participation
6.4	Factors found to limit or prevent participation
6.5	Maintaining participation
6.6	Summary of interview outcomes and comparisons with questionnaire results
СНАР	TER 7: DISCUSSION168
7.1	Overview of the study
7.2	Discussion chapter structure
7.3	Individual variables associated with participation
	7.3.1 Age and participation
	7.3.2 Gender and participation

	7.3.3	Perceived health status and participation	175
	7.3.4	Perceived stress and participation	176
	7.3.5	Perceived job satisfaction and participation	177
7.4	Social	l variables associated with participation	178
	7.4.1	Perceived co-worker support and participation	178
	7.4.2	Perceived supervisor support and participation	180
7.5	Organ	nisational variables associated with participation	181
	7.5.1	Perceived health climate and participation	181
	7.5.2	Perceived job flexibility and participation	183
7.6	Barrie	ers to participation	184
	7.6.1	Inconvenient scheduling	185
	7.6.2	Work commitments and a lack of time	186
	7.6.3	Family commitments and a lack of time	187
	7.6.4	Lack of knowledge or information	188
	7.6.5	Embarrassment	189
	7.6.6	Lack of self-efficacy	190
	7.6.7	Lack of trust	191
	7.6.8	Inconvenient locations	194
	7.6.9	Perceived lack of need	194
7.7	Maint	taining employee participation	195
	7.7.1	Incentives and motivation	196
	7.7.2	Communication	197
	7.7.3	Continuous change	198
7.8	Practi	ical implications for managers	198
	7.8.1	Schedule activities at convenient times	199
	7.8.2	Provide employees with useful information	200
	7.8.3	Create a positive health climate	202

	7.8.4	Work with identified employee characteristics and perceptions	203
7.9	Study	limitations and future research opportunities	204
	7.9.1	Measuring participation	205
	7.9.2	Activities	205
	7.9.3	Independent variables	206
	7.9.4	Data collection	209
	7.9.5	Sample characteristics	210
	7.9.6	Measuring employee perceptions	212
	7.9.7	Longitudinal studies	212
7.10	Discu	ssion chapter summary	213
CHAPTI	ER 8: (	CONCLUSIONS	215
8.1	Overv	riew of the study	215
8.2	Overa	ll conclusions	216
REFERI	ENCES	J	221
APPENI	DICES		259
Appendix	A: Co	ver letter for practitioners	259
Appendix	к В: Co	nsent form for practitioners	263
Appendix	c: Pre	eliminary study interview questions	264
Appendix	x D: Co	ver letter for organisations	266
Appendix	k E: Qu	estionnaire	267
Appendix	k F: Inf	ormation sheet for questionnaire participants	275
Appendix	k G: Inf	Formation sheet for Company X	277
Appendix	k H: Inf	Formation sheet for interviewees	279
Appendix	ι I: Inte	rview guide	281

Appendix J: Ethics application (questionnaire)	283
Appendix K: Ethics application (interviews)	284

## **LIST OF TABLES**

Table 3.1	Summary of the factors facilitating and restricting employee participation in workplace health promotion programmes described by health promotion practitioners
Table 4.1	Activities available to staff in the five organisations involved in administering and completing the questionnaire
Table 4.2	Response rate for each organisation involved in the quantitative study
Table 4.3	Demographic information of questionnaire respondents
Table 4.4	Demographic comparisons of questionnaire respondents and company profiles
Table 4.5	Number of available cases analysed using logistic regression to determine variables associated with participation in health promotion activities
Table 4.6	Number of available cases analysed using logistic regression to determine variables associated with participation in groups of health promotion activities
Table 4.7	Omnibus test of model coefficients indicating goodness-of-fit96
Table 4.8	Demographic information of the 20 interview participants98
Table 5.1	Employees who did not participate in an activity because they considered they did not need to take part
Table 5.2	Cronbach α internal reliability scores for the scales used in questionnaire
Table 5.3	Employees who participated and did not participate in the nine health promotion activities investigated in the questionnaire
Table 5.4	Odds ratios from logistic regression analyses predicting the effects of different variables on the likelihood of employees participating in workplace health promotion activities
Table 5.5	Odds ratios from logistic regression analyses predicting the effects of different variables on the likelihood of employees participating in grouped workplace health promotion activities

Table 5.6	Reasons given by employees for why they chose not to participate in the nine health promotion activities that were available to them at work
Table 5.7	Significant associations between individual variables and the reasons for not participating in health promotion activities
Table 5.8	Significant associations between social variables and the reasons for not participating in health promotion activities
Table 5.9	Significant associations between organisational variables and the reasons for not participating in health promotion activities
Table 5.10	Reasons questionnaire respondents gave for not taking part in workplace health promotion programmes
Table 5.11	Summary of the variables associated with participation, and the barriers limiting participation, in different health promotion activities
Table 6.1	Employee participation in health promotion activities
Table 6.2	Thematic analysis of factors perceived to facilitate participation in the workplace health promotion activities available at work
Table 6.3	Thematic analysis of factors perceived to limit, reduce or hinder participation in workplace health promotion activities
Table 6.4	Thematic analysis of the reasons why employees stop participating in workplace health promotion activities
Table 6.5	Thematic analysis on recommendations for how managers can maintain participation in workplace health promotion activities
Table 8.1	Workplace health promotion activities and the characteristics of employees who are more likely to participate in them217

## **LIST OF FIGURES**

Figure 3.1	Visual representation of the proposed variables associated with employee participation in workplace health promotion
	programmes
Figure 4.1	Data collection methods used to gather information about the factors and barriers influencing employee decisions to participate in workplace health promotion programmes
Figure 5.1	Employee participation in health promotion activities, which were not included in the activities investigated in the questionnaire
Figure 5.2	Individual, social and organisational variables investigated to determine any associations with employee decisions to participate in workplace health promotion programmes
Figure 6.1	Individual, social and organisational influences affecting participation among the 20 interviewees