Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

An empirical analysis of the usefulness of the Basel II Pillar 3 disclosures on bank risk management to monitor bank performance and forecast bank profitability during periods of economic instability.

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

in

Master of Management

Banking

at Massey University, Manawatu,

New Zealand.

Rachel Ainsworth 2012

Abstract:

The third pillar of the Basel II capital adequacy framework requires banks to disclose risk information to the market to supplement regulators' monitoring. It is expected that this "allows market discipline to work earlier and more effectively" (BCBS, 1998, pp. 6). The expectation that the pillar 3 disclosures will lead to market discipline is supported in the theoretical literature but not demonstrated in the empirical literature. The purpose of this thesis is to determine if the information contained in banks' disclosures is useful to monitor bank performance and to explain bank profitability as this is a precondition for effective market disclosure. The usefulness of information in the pillar 3 disclosures was examined for twenty of the largest global banks from 2008 and 2009. It was found that pillar 3 disclosures are useful to analyse and monitor the performance of banks as the disclosures can be used to identify banks for which key risk metrics are inconsistent with other metrics. The pillar 3 variables did not however significantly improve the explanatory ability of earnings models over models containing only financial information, although this may be due to the small sample size. These results show that there is valuable information contained within pillar 3 disclosures which could be used by the market to provide market discipline as expected in the Basel II framework.

Table of Contents

1. lı	ntroduction	1
2.	Literature Review	7
2.1	The Purpose of Disclosures	8
2.2	The Process of Market Discipline in Banks	10
2.3	Earnings as a Measure of Market Discipline	15
2.4	Bank Earnings	18
2.5	Summary of the Literature Review	22
3.	Research Methodology	24
3.1	Qualitative Analysis – Comparisons of the Performance of Banks	24
3.2	Quantitative Analysis – A Model for Future Earnings	27
3	3.2.1 Model Specification	27
3	3.2.2 Statistical Methodology	30
3.3	Variables to be included in the data	35
3.4	Data Collection and Sample Selection	39
3.5	Key Statistics in the Raw Data	45
4. F	Results	48
4.1	Qualitative Analysis – Comparisons of the Performance of Banks	48
4.2	Quantitative Analysis – A Model for Earnings	55
5. D	Discussion	58
Appe	ndix: The residuals from the earnings models	67
Refe	rences	70

List of Diagrams

Diagram 1: The process by which market discipline occurs	4
List of Tables	
Table 1: Banks included and excluded from the data	3
Table 2: Banks included and excluded from the data	43
Table 3: Summary Statistics	45
Table 4: Pearson Correlation Coefficients	47
Table 5: Regression model results for earnings	56
List of Graphs	
Graph 1: Risk weighted assets versus earnings	48
Graph 2: Net interest income against credit risk weighted assets	49
Graph 3: Collective provisions against net interest margin	50
Graph 4: Collective provisions versus credit risk weighted assets	51
Graph 5: Collective provisions versus next year's individual provisions	52
Graph 5: Individual provisions against impaired assets	53
Graph 7: The Texas ratio version 1 versus the Texas Ratio version 2	54
Graph 8: Residuals from Model 1a	67
Graph 9: Residuals from Model 2a	68
Graph 10: Residuals from Model 3d	69