

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

Properties of oil-in-water emulsions and ice creams made from coconut milk

Naiyawit Chalermnon
2013

**Properties of oil-in-water emulsions and ice creams
made from coconut milk**

**A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements
for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy in Food Science
at Massey University, New Zealand**

**Naiyawit Chalermnon
2013**

Abstract

Coconut milk (CM) containing coconut oil extracted from the endosperm (meat) of coconut fruit is not stable and undergoes a rapid separation into a creaming layer at the top and a serum phase at the bottom. In this study, coconut milk was separated into coconut cream (CC) and coconut skim milk (CSM). The ability of proteins in CM, CC and CSM to form and stabilise oil-in-water (O/W) emulsions containing coconut oil was investigated. The unstable nature of coconut oil droplets in CM was found to be due to types of proteins adsorbed on the surface of oil droplets, which were predominantly 11S globulins, known to be hydrophobic and salt soluble proteins. The oil droplets stabilised by 11S globulins in CM and CC were larger in size and highly flocculated, probably due to hydrophobic interaction, thus resulting in rapid creaming and phase separation, compared to those stabilised by proteins (e.g. water-soluble albumins) in CSM. Smaller droplet size with less droplet flocculation and slower phase separation was obtained when emulsions were prepared with a predominance of proteins present in CSM. The CSM-based emulsions were relatively more stable but they were only able to provide short-term stability against phase separation. The results suggest that the ability of proteins (both globulins and albumins) in CM to stabilise the emulsion oil droplets was not high because these proteins did not seem to possess the ability to provide steric and electrostatic stabilisation to the emulsion droplets stabilised by them. An addition of small molecule surfactants, particularly a water-soluble surfactant of Tween 80, induced the formation of smaller droplets in the CM- and CSM-based emulsions, thereby improving their emulsion stability to a certain extent. However, the addition of oil-soluble small molecule surfactants (e.g. mono- and diglycerides and/or partially unsaturated mono- and diglycerides) in the absence of Tween 80 caused a significant increase in droplet size of emulsions prepared from CSM. In contrast, this phenomenon was not observed in emulsions made from CM. The formation and properties of coconut milk ice creams differing in the concentration of CSM proteins, as well as ratios of solid fat-to-liquid oil (blends of coconut oil and sunflower oil) were also investigated. The differences in those variables were found to have a significant influence on the properties and stability (particle size, flow properties, droplet flocculation) of ice cream mixes as well as the characteristics of ice creams, such as overrun, melt resistance and shape retention. Several instrumental analyses, including

size measurement, flow behaviour and small-deformation oscillatory tests, showed the presence of an agglomerated structural network in ice creams based on CSM containing oil blends as well as in ice creams based on dairy milk. From the findings, the agglomerated fat structural network in the CSM-based ice creams containing the suitable solid fat content at 68% could change ice creams with a slow melting rate and the more ability to retain their shape during melting compared with those of the dairy milk-based ice cream and ice creams made directly from CM. Overall the results suggest that coconut milk proteins do not possess the properties of proteins suitable for making very small droplets as well as stable emulsions against phase separation, particularly 11S globulins that are one of the major constituent proteins in coconut milk. However, albumins, which are the predominant proteins in coconut skim milk, may be suitable for use as the surface-active proteins for making smaller emulsion droplets in coconut oil-in-water emulsions, but their concentration needs to be increased for use probably by membrane filtration or freeze drying after removal of some carbohydrates from coconut skim milk.

Acknowledgements

I wish to express my deepest gratitude to my supervisor Dr Sung Je Lee for supervising me and giving me the opportunity to continue my PhD study. I am very thankful for his guidance throughout this project, and in dedicating his precious time and patience in advising and supporting me throughout the preparation and completion of my doctoral thesis.

I would also like to thank each of my co-supervisors, Professor Ray Winger, Professor Richard Hartel, Professor Gil Hardy, Associate Professor Matt Golding and Dr Craig Davis for their many valuable suggestions and guidance throughout the completion of my thesis.

I am grateful to Institute of Food, Nutrition and Human Health staff, Ms Helen Matthews, Ms Rachel Liu, Mr Garry Radford and Mr Warwick Johnson for their support and help in my laboratory work.

I would like to acknowledge Rajamangala University of Technology Suvarnabhumi for the financial support enabling me to pursue this project.

Lastly, I would like to thank my parents and my family for supporting and inspiring me throughout my journey in completing this Ph.D.

Table of Contents

Abstract	i
Acknowledgement	iii
List of Figures	vii
List of Tables	xii
Chapter 1	
Introduction	1
Chapter 2	
Literature review	3
2.1 Coconut milk	3
2.2 Coconut milk products	4
2.3 Chemical composition and physical properties of coconut milk	6
2.4 Coconut oil and coconut milk proteins	9
2.5 Characterisation of coconut milk proteins by SDS-PAGE	14
2.6 Oil-in-water emulsion and its stability	16
2.7 Ice cream	18
2.8 Manufacturing process of ice cream	19
2.9 Major unit operations in ice cream manufacturing processes	20
2.10 Roles of ingredients in ice cream	25
2.11 Role of other ingredients on the properties of ice cream	32
2.12 Coconut milk ice cream	34
2.13 Partial coalescence of emulsion fat droplets in ice cream	36
2.14 Characterisation of emulsions and ice creams	40
2.15 Literature review conclusions	45
Chapter 3	
Characterisation of oil-in-water emulsions prepared with coconut milk and coconut skim milk at different ratios of coconut oil to protein	47
3.1 Abstract	47
3.2 Introduction	48
3.3 Materials and Methods	50
3.4 Results and Discussion	57
3.5 Conclusions	70

Chapter 4

Comparison of the stability and properties between coconut oil-in-water emulsions prepared from reduced fat coconut milk and coconut skim milk by particle size analysis and SDS-PAGE	72
4.1 Abstract	72
4.2 Introduction	73
4.3 Materials and Methods	74
4.4 Results and Discussion	81
4.5 Conclusions	104

Chapter 5

Characterisation of properties and stability of coconut oil-in-water emulsions prepared using freeze dried coconut skim milk and reduced fat cream powders	106
5.1 Abstract	106
5.2 Introduction	107
5.3 Materials and Methods	108
5.4 Results and Discussion	113
5.5 Conclusions	135

Chapter 6

Effect of small molecule surfactants on the formation and properties of coconut oil emulsions stabilised by fractionated coconut milk proteins	137
6.1 Abstract	137
6.2 Introduction	138
6.3 Materials and Methods	140
6.4 Results and Discussion	146
6.5 Conclusions	162

Chapter 7

Properties of ice cream mixes and ice creams made from coconut skim milk at different ratios of coconut oil and sunflower oil and different concentrations of coconut skim milk proteins	163
7.1 Abstract	163
7.2 Introduction	164
7.3 Materials and Methods	166
7.4 Results and Discussion	175
7.5 Conclusions	225

Chapter 8

Overall conclusions and recommendations	228
8.1 Conclusions	228
8.2 Recommendations for future research	230
References	232

Appendix A

List of chemicals	253
A.1 Chemicals for sample preparation	253
A.2 Chemicals for Lowry method	253
A.3 Chemicals for protein determination by Kjeldahl method	253
A.4 Chemicals for fat determination by mojonnier method	253
A.5 Chemicals for SDS-PAGE	254

Appendix B

Preparation of reagents and standard solutions	255
B.1 Phosphate buffer (pH 6.2)	255
B.2 Reagents for Lowry method	255
B.3 Reagents for Kjeldahl method	256
B.4 Stock solutions and samples buffer for SDS-PAGE	257
B.5 Preparation of SDS-PAGE gels	258

List of Figures

Figure 2.1 Structure of coconut nut.....	3
Figure 2.2 Microstructure of ice cream by scanning electron microscopy (SEM).	19
Figure 2.3 Schematic process flow diagram of manufacturing ice cream.	20
Figure 2.4 Micrograph obtained from the low-temperature scanning electron microscopy (LT-SEM) of an air cell in ice cream with fat droplets adhered at the surface.....	24
Figure 2.5 Schematic representation of the development of partially coalesced fat droplets in ice cream.	38
Figure 3.1 Particle size distributions of emulsions prepared by two different homogenisation pressures (1 st /2 nd stage): (A) 220/20 bar and (B) 550/50 bar.	58
Figure 3.2 Effects of oil to protein (O/P) ratios on the mean particle diameter (d_{43} , μm) (n =6) of the emulsions prepared based on CM and CSM by homogenisation at 220/20 bar (1 st /2 nd stage) or 550/50 bar (1 st /2 nd stage).....	59
Figure 3.3 Droplet surface charges of emulsions containing different ratios of O/P prepared by two different pressures (1 st /2 nd stage).	63
Figure 3.4 Optical micrographs (x400 magnification) of coconut oil-in-water emulsions prepared from CM and CSM with O/P ratios at 16:1 (A), 11:1 (B) and 5:1 (C). Images were taken after one day storage at 4°C and 35°C.	65
Figure 3.5 Pictures of emulsions after 1 day storage at 4°C and 35°C that compare the stability of emulsions against phase separation. A ratio of O/P in emulsions is 5:1 (a, d), 11:1 (b, e) and 16:1 (c, f).....	66
Figure 3.6 Turbidity of emulsions prepared by homogenization at 220/20 bar (1 st /2 nd stage) after one day storage at 4°C (A) and 35°C (B).	68
Figure 3.7 Comparison of the turbidity of emulsions at the oil concentration of 0.15%.	70
Figure 4.1 SDS-PAGE image of coconut milk (CM), reduced fat coconut milk (RFM), coconut skim milk (CSM) and standard molecular weight markers (Std).	83
Figure 4.2 SDS-PAGE profiles of (1) standard molecular weight markers, (2) CSM with 2-mercaptoethanol (2-ME), (3) CSM without 2-ME, (4) CSM supernatant with 2-ME and (5) CSM sediment with 2-ME.	84
Figure 4.3 Particle size distributions of emulsions.	85
Figure 4.4 Mean particle diameter (d_{43}) of emulsions..	87

Figure 4.5 SDS-PAGE patterns for the interfacial proteins of washed creams from emulsions under non-reducing condition (A) and reducing condition (B) and the proteins in the serum phase of emulsions after the removal of cream under reducing condition (C).	90
Figure 4.6 SDS-PAGE patterns of interfacial proteins separated from 1 μ g washed creams of emulsions that were run under non-reducing condition.....	91
Figure 4.7 Droplet surface charges of emulsions.....	94
Figure 4.8 Optical images (x 400 magnification) of emulsion oil droplets in CM (A) and RFM (B).	95
Figure 4.9 Optical images (x 1,000 magnification) of particles in emulsions that were observed after the preparation, in the absence of SDS (A) and after one day storage at 4°C, in the absence (B) and presence of SDS (C) and at 35°C in the absence (D) and presence of SDS (E).	96
Figure 4.10 Stability of emulsions made from various fractions of coconut milk after preparation (A) and after one day storage at 4°C (B) and 35°C (C).	98
Figure 4.11 Turbidity of emulsions after dilution with water at different oil concentrations that was measured on the same day as the emulsion preparation (A) and after one day storage at 4°C (B) and 35°C (C).	101
Figure 4.12 Turbidity of emulsions measured after the emulsion preparation with dilution with water (D0) and after one day storage at 4°C (A) and 35°C (B) after dilution with water (D1) and 1.25% SDS solution (D1).	103
Figure 5.1 Average droplet sizes (d_{43}) of coconut oil-in-water emulsions measured within 3 hr after the emulsion preparation. Emulsions were prepared at two different protein concentrations (0.4% and 1.2%) with four different ratios of protein fractions derived from CCP and CSMP. The particle size was measured in the presence (A) and absence (B) of SDS (1.25%) at a ratio of 1:4 (v/v).	117
Figure 5.2 Average droplet sizes (d_{43}) of coconut oil-in-water emulsions after one day storage at two different temperatures (4 and 35°C).	119
Figure 5.3 Particle size distributions of coconut oil-in-water emulsions containing 0.4% protein measured in the absence (A, C and E) and presence of SDS (B, D and F) after the emulsion preparation (A and B) and after one day storage at 4°C (C and D) and 35°C (E and F).	121
Figure 5.4 Particle size distributions of coconut oil-in-water emulsions containing 1.2% protein measured in the absence (A, C and E) and presence of SDS (B, D and F) after the emulsion preparation (A and B) and after one day storage at 4°C (C and D) and 35°C (E and F).	122

Figure 5.5 Optical micrographs of oil droplets in coconut O/W emulsions (10% oil) prepared with CCP and CSMP at two different levels of protein concentrations (0.4 % and 1.2%) with four different ratios of proteins from CCP and CSMP...	124
Figure 5.6 Zeta potentials of coconut oil-in-water emulsions prepared at two different protein concentrations (0.4 % and 1.2 %) with four different ratios of proteins derived from CCP and CSMP.....	126
Figure 5.7 Stability of coconut oil-in-water emulsions to creaming and phase separation after one day of storage at 4 and 35°C.	128
Figure 5.8 Time-dependence of interfacial tensions at the coconut oil-water interface for the mixtures of proteins derived from CCP and CSMP at the ratios of C10S0=10:0; C7S3=7:3; C3S7=3:7; and C0S10=0:10 and two different protein levels (0.4 and 1.2% protein). A small bar graph inserted is for the comparison of interfacial tension between the samples measured at 60 sec.	129
Figure 5.9 SDS-PAGE of subunits of proteins resolved from CCP and CSMP.	131
Figure 5.10 Water-insoluble precipitate from an aqueous solution of CSMP (left) or CCP (right) after dissolving them in water.....	132
Figure 5.11 SDS-PAGE analysis of proteins in the serum and pellet separated from the solutions of CSMP and CCP.	133
Figure 5.12 SDS-PAGE analysis of the interfacial proteins at the oil-water interface of the emulsions stabilized by proteins from freeze dried CSMP and CCP at two different protein concentrations, 0.4% (A) and 1.2% (B).	134
Figure 6.1 A flow diagram for the preparation of emulsions.....	144
Figure 6.2 Mean particle diameters of emulsions prepared from CSM and RFM with the addition of different types of surfactants that were measured on the same day after the preparation in the absence of SDS (A) and in the presence of SDS (B) after mixing with 1.25 wt% SDS solution before analysis.	148
Figure 6.3 Comparisons on the mean particle diameters of emulsions prepared from CSM (A and B) and RFM (C and D) with different surfactants that were measured after the preparation and after one day storage at two different temperatures (4 °C and 35°C) in the absence of SDS (A and C) and in the presence of SDS (B and D) after mixing with 1.25 wt% SDS solution before analysis.....	149
Figure 6.4 Zeta potential of emulsions prepared from CSM and RFM with different surfactants that were measured after the preparation before storage.	151
Figure 6.5 The migration pattern of the polypeptide subunits of proteins in coconut milk (CM), reduced fat milk (RFM) and coconut skim milk (CSM) after the separation on the 12% gel by SDS-PAGE.	155
Figure 6.6 SDS-PAGE of polypeptide subunits of interfacial proteins obtained from the emulsions made with RFM (A) and CSM (B).	157

Figure 6.7 Optical micrographs of droplets in emulsions containing different lipid soluble small molecule surfactants without Tween 80 (A) and with Tween 80 (B) at 400X magnification on the preparation day (Day 0) and one day (Day 1) after storage at 4°C and 35°C.....	159
Figure 6.8 Phase separation of emulsions containing different surfactants after the preparation (Day 0) and one day after storage (Day 1) at 4°C and 35°C.....	161
Figure 7.1 Flow diagram of the main steps involved in the production of ice cream...	170
Figure 7.2 Flowability of aged ice cream mixes (10% oil and 0.32% or 1.12% protein) with different ratios of CO to SO oil (100:0, 75:25, 50:50 and 0:100) at 4°C.	175
Figure 7.3 Particle size distributions of aged ice cream mix emulsions measured directly from samples (filled symbol) and after dilution with 1.0% SDS solution (open symbol).	179
Figure 7.4 Particle size distributions of molten ice cream emulsions measured directly from samples (filled symbol) and after dilution in 1.0% SDS solution (open symbol).	180
Figure 7.5 Micrographs of emulsion oil droplets in aged ice cream mixes observed under a light microscope at a 400 x magnification without (A) and with (B) dilution in 1% SDS solution.	187
Figure 7.6 Phase separation (%) of ice cream mix emulsions (10% oil) containing different ratios of CO to SO (100:0, 75:25, 50:50 and 0:100) at two different protein concentrations (0.32% and 1.12%) during storage of 4 days at 4°C.....	189
Figure 7.7 Serum phase separation of ice cream mix emulsions (10% oil) containing different ratios of CO and SO at two different protein concentrations (0.32% and 1.12%) before ageing (A and B) and after ageing (C and D) at 4°C overnight.	191
Figure 7.8 Apparent viscosity (η) of fresh ice cream mixes (A), aged ice cream mixes (B) and molten ice cream (C) prepared from CSM as an ingredient base.....	194
Figure 7.9 Apparent viscosity (η), at a shear rate of 10 s^{-1} , of fresh ice cream mixes (■), aged ice cream mixes (■) and molten ice creams (■).....	196
Figure 7.10 Storage modulus (G') and loss modulus (G'') obtained from the stress sweep test of samples (A and B: fresh ice cream mixes; C and D: aged ice cream mixes; and E and F: molten ice creams).	198
Figure 7.11 Comparison of shear strain percentage of ice cream fresh and aged mixes and molten ice creams at a shear stress of 0.1 Pa.	201
Figure 7.12 Mechanical spectra of storage modulus (G') and loss modulus (G''), plotted logarithmically as a function of log frequency between 0.01 and 10 Hz of fresh ice cream mixes (left), aged ice cream mixes (middle) and molten ice creams (right).	205

Figure 7.13 Loss tangent plotted logarithmically against log frequency between 0.01 and 10 Hz. Fresh ice cream mixes (A), aged ice cream mixes (B) and molten ice creams (C).	207
Figure 7.14 Comparison of loss tangent ($\tan \delta$) of emulsions of fresh ice cream mix, aged ice cream mix and molten ice cream emulsions obtained from a frequency sweep test at 1 Hz.	208
Figure 7.15 Mechanical spectra, storage (G') and loss (G'') moduli, plotted logarithmically, against a range of temperatures between 4°C and 30°C, of molten ice creams.	209
Figure 7.16 (A) loss tangent plotted logarithmically against log temperatures between 4°C and 30°C from molten ice cream emulsions and (B) comparison of logarithmic $\tan \delta$ at 4°C and 20°C between molten ice cream emulsions.	213
Figure 7.17 Overrun of ice creams.	215
Figure 7.18 Digital images of ice cream samples after 90 min melting at 20°C.	217
Figure 7.19 Melting rates of ice creams measured at 20°C for 90 min.	218
Figure 7.20 Percentage of ice creams melted down at 20°C after 60 min.	221
Figure 7.21 Percentage of solvent extractable fat in ice creams.	222
Figure 7.22 Amount of destabilised fat in ice creams.....	224
Figure A.1 Whey protein isolate standard curve.....	256

List of Tables

Table 2.1 Standard composition (wt%) of different types of coconut milk and coconut cream products.....	5
Table 2.2 Types and amounts of food additives allowed in coconut milk and coconut cream.....	5
Table 2.3 Composition and pH of commercial coconut milk and coconut milk powder .	7
Table 2.4 Composition of fresh undiluted coconut milk.....	7
Table 2.5 Physical characteristics of coconut oil	11
Table 2.6 Triglyceride species of anhydrous milk fat and coconut oil (wt%)	12
Table 2.7 Fatty acid composition of coconut oil, palm kernel oil, their fractions, anhydrous milk fat and sunflower oil	13
Table 3.1 Emulsion formulations prepared with CM or CSM at three different ratios of oil to protein.....	51
Table 3.2 Contents of fat, protein and water in emulsions and the ratios of oil to protein	51
Table 3.3 Amounts (wt%) of fat, protein, ash, carbohydrate and water in emulsions derived from CM, CSM, CO and water.....	52
Table 3.4 Composition of CM and CSM analysed by the proximate analysis	57
Table 4.1 Formulations of six different emulsions containing 5% and 10% CO.	75
Table 4.2 Concentrations (wt%) of protein and fat and the ratios of coconut oil to protein (O/P) in emulsions.....	76
Table 4.3 Amounts (g) of fat and protein in the emulsion formulations (100 g basis) that were derived from CM, RFM, CSM and bulk CO.	77
Table 4.4 Composition of CM, RFM and CSM determined by proximate analysis and the theoretical compositions of RFM and CSM calculated based on an assumption of the removal of only coconut oil (not emulsified coconut oil droplets) from CM that would render 9.2% fat in RFM and 0.1% fat in CSM.	81
Table 4.5 The surface protein load of oil droplets in emulsions.....	92
Table 5.1 Formulations of emulsions prepared with CCP and CSMP at two different levels of protein concentrations, each consisting of four different ratios of protein fractions derived from CCP and CSMP.	110
Table 5.2 Composition ^a (%) of reduced fat coconut cream powder (CCP) and coconut skim milk powder (CSMP).....	113

Table 5.3 The estimated proportions (%) of protein, fat, carbohydrate and mineral of coconut milk distributed between the cream (dispersed oil droplets) and serum phases.....	114
Table 6. 1 Contents of protein and fat (wt%) in RFM and CSM.....	141
Table 6. 2 Proportion of three different base materials (RFM, CSM and CO) mixed to make two different sets of emulsions derived from RFM and CSM and the concentrations of oil to protein (O/P) in emulsions.....	141
Table 6. 3 Formulations of emulsions containing 10% CO, based on RFM or CSM, with different types of small molecule surfactants (wt%)	142
Table 6. 4 Protein concentration and ratio of surfactant to protein in emulsions	143
Table 6. 5 Amount of interfacial protein (wt%) and surface protein load (mg m^{-2}) of emulsion droplets containing 10% coconut oil, based on RFM or CSM, with different types of small molecule surfactants.	153
Table 7.1 Nine different formulations of ice cream mixes used for making ice creams containing two different levels of protein content and 10% fat with different ratios of coconut oil and sunflower oil.	167
Table 7.2 Percentage of oil derived from different ingredients in the formulations of ice cream mixes containing 10% oil.....	168
Table 7.3 Composition and solid fat content of ice cream mix formulations estimated on the basis of the composition of each ingredient used in ice cream mixes.	169
Table 7.4 Volume mean particle diameter (d_{43} , μm) of apparent and dissociated droplets in aged ice cream mixes and molten ice creams analysed in the absence and presence of 1% SDS.	181
Table 7.5 Flocculation index (FI) of aged ice cream mix and molten ice cream emulsions	185
Table 7.6 Storage modulus (Pa) obtained from a frequency sweep test of ice cream emulsions measured at a frequency of 1 Hz.	202
Table 7.7 Storage modulus (Pa) at two different temperatures of melted ice cream emulsions obtained from the temperature sweep test observed at the constant stress and frequency at 0.1 Pa and 1 Hz, respectively.	210