Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

Modulations of visible light irradiance effects the photosynthetic phenotype in UV-B exposed Arabidopsis thaliana

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

Master of Science in Plant Biology

At Massey University, Palmerston North, New Zealand



Briana C.W. Nelson

2015

Abstract

Photosynthesis is dependent upon energy provided by visible light from the electromagnetic spectrum. While such wavelengths of light are vital for resource assimilation to take place, we now also understand that other wavelengths of light may likely alter a plant's photosynthetic capability, including the ultraviolet (UV) radiation spectrum. The ultraviolet spectrum includes UV-A (315nm-400nm) and UV-B radiation (280nm-315nm). UV-B light has been of particular interest in recent years as changes in the ozone has resulted in increased UV-B radiation levels reaching the Earth's surface. Such scientific interest has resulted in many subsequent studies trying to understand how plants protect themselves against this powerful waveband. UV-B response in plants has been linked to both physiological and molecular changes in plants. That could be manipulated to protect plants against pathogens and increase crop yields. The quite recent discovery of the UV-B specific photoreceptor UVR8 showed how plants to respond to UV-B. A molecular pathway has begun to take shape for UVR8, with interactions with the transcription factors COP1 and HY5 necessary for activation. What is less understood are the subsequent interactions genes have with UVR8, to cause responses such as flavonoid accumulation and photosynthetic competency.

After previous research showed an increase in photosynthetic rate in lettuce in response to UV-B radiation this study aimed to find the photosynthetic response of *Arabidopsis thaliana* and possibly re-create the increase. To do this the photosynthetic rate was studied under various PAR levels alongside UV-B exposure to characterise the photosynthetic response. The accumulation of photo-protective compounds was also studied to see if their accumulation affected photosynthetic responses. Three different lines were studied; *Columbia-O, Landsberg erecta* and *uvr8-1*. The *uvr8-1* plants provided information on whether UVR8 is necessary for photosynthetic competency in Arabidopsis. qPCR studies of genes linked to the UVR8 pathway were also considered for their role in photosynthetic competency. The results in this thesis will show that manipulations of PAR, changes the UV-B photosynthetic response and that UVR8 is necessary for photosynthetic competency. ELIP1 and SIG5 are not mediated by UVR8 for photosynthetic competency. ELIP1 and SIG5 are possibly involved in UVR8 mediated accumulation of photo-protective compounds.

Acknowledgements

There are many people who have helped me to complete this thesis over the last couple of years. To my supervisor Dr Jason Wargent, thank-you for giving me that job during a summer in my undergrad degree, it started me on the path towards working with you on this thesis. I don't think it would have happened without it. The conversations we have had helped me to understand how to go about my research, my data and the topic of UV greatly and also for the feedback as I wrote this thesis. I have learnt so much from you over the last few years, thank-you.

I would also like to thank the PGU staff Steve, Lindsay and Lesley for all their help in growing my plants, as well as the technical help with things didn't go quite right. Thanks also to Chris Rawlingson for helping when the IRGA broke down. To Dr Michael McManus for allowing me space in his lab to complete my qPCR work and to Srishti, Julia and Tina for teaching me how to do it and the rest of the C3.15 lab for their support. Thank-you Susanna Leung, who helped me to create the primers for my qPCR.

I thank the JP Skipworth Scholarship (Plant Biology) for support during the second year of my thesis.

I would also like to thank Rixta, you have been such an important sounding board for ideas and theories over the last year as I started to write up.

Thank-you to my partner Nick, for supporting with me when I came home frustrated or happy about my work and for putting up with me when I stayed up to all hours working on different things. I want to also thank my parents, Julie and Warren, for their constant support as I have completed my study as well as my brother and sister and the rest of my family. Also thank-you to my sister, Olivia, for the grammatical checks even when you didn't understand half the words I used.

Thank-you all so much as without your help and support this would not have been a reality.

Table of Contents

Abstract	i
Acknowledgements	iii
Table of Contents	v
List of Figures	viii
List of Tables	ix
Abbreviations	x
1. Introduction	1
1.1. Light in Plants	1
1.2. Why look at UV responses in plants?	3
1.3. Classic Responses to UV-B	6
1.3.1. Morphological responses	6
1.3.2. Physiological responses	6
1.3.3. Known Molecular responses of genes of interest	7
1.3.3.1. UVR8	7
1.3.3.2. CHS	9
1.3.3.3. ELIPs	9
1.3.3.4. SIG5	9
1.3.3.5. CP12	10
1.4. Effects of PAR on UV-B responses	10
1.5. Project and Aims	10
2. Materials and Methods	13
2.1. Plant Material	13
2.2. Growing Environments	13
2.2.1. Nursery Set-up	13
2.2.2. Growth Chamber Set-up	13

2.4. Planting Method		2.3. Ex	perimental Conditions	15
2.5.1. Photosynthetic Measurements		2.4. Pl	anting Method	17
2.5.2. Leaf metabolism and Secondary metabolite measurements		2.5. Pł	nysiological Measurements	17
2.5.3. Destructive Harvesting		2.5.1.	Photosynthetic Measurements	17
2.6. Molecular Measurements		2.5.2.	Leaf metabolism and Secondary metabolite measurements	18
2.6.1. Plant Material Preparation		2.5.3.	Destructive Harvesting	18
2.6.2. Primer Design		2.6. M	olecular Measurements	19
2.6.3. RNA Isolation		2.6.1.	Plant Material Preparation	19
2.6.3.1. RNA Quantification		2.6.2.	Primer Design	19
2.6.3.2. DNAse Treatment		2.6.3.	RNA Isolation	20
2.6.3.3. cDNA synthesis		2.6.	3.1. RNA Quantification	20
2.6.4. Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR)		2.6.	3.2. DNAse Treatment	20
2.6.5. Gel Electrophoresis		2.6.	3.3. cDNA synthesis	20
2.6.6. Quantitative Polymerase Chain Reaction (qPCR)		2.6.4.	Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR)	21
2.7. Statistical Analysis		2.6.5.	Gel Electrophoresis	21
2.7.1. Physiological Measurements		2.6.6.	Quantitative Polymerase Chain Reaction (qPCR)	22
2.7.2. qPCR statistics		2.7. St	atistical Analysis	22
3.1. Question 1: Does manipulation of PAR levels before or during UV-B exposure affect photosynthetic response?		2.7.1.	Physiological Measurements	22
3.1. Question 1: Does manipulation of PAR levels before or during UV-B exposure affect photosynthetic response?		2.7.2.	qPCR statistics	23
affect photosynthetic response?	3	. Results		25
3.2. Question 2: Does plant age affect the UV-B photosynthesis phenotype or the level of photo-protective pigmentation?		3.1. Que	stion 1: Does manipulation of PAR levels before or during UV-B exposure	
the level of photo-protective pigmentation?		affect ph	notosynthetic response?	25
3.3. Question 3: How does pre-treatment with higher PAR affect UV-B				
				28
10000039000E00 (E3000)E1				34

3.4. Question 4: What is the role of the UVR8 photoreceptor in UV-B
photosynthetic response?42
3.4.1. Physiological Measurements
3.4.2. Quantitative-PCR Results
4. Discussion55
4.1. Manipulation of PAR levels does change the UV-B photosynthetic response 55
4.2. Age had an effect on photosynthetic response but not photo-protective
pigmentation56
4.3. Pre-treatment at a higher PAR lowers the initial photosynthetic rate58
4.4. UVR8 has a role in maintaining photosynthetic competency60
4.5. ELIP1 and SIG5 do not mediate UVR8 photosynthetic response63
4.6. Future Directions and Conclusion
Appendices65
Appendix 1 Age responses physical plant data65
Appendix 2 Physical data for plants pre-treated 21DAS67
Appendix 3 Physical data for plants pre-treated 23DAS68
Appendix 4 Physical Data for <i>Ler</i> and <i>uvr8-1</i>
4.1 <i>Ler</i>
4.2 <i>uvr8-1</i>
Appendix 5 Relative transcript levels of <i>UVR8</i> and <i>CP12-2</i> 72
References

List of Figures

Figure	1.1. Representation of light spectrum and associated photoreceptors	2
Figure	1.2. Possible interactions of UV with plant morphology and crop quality	
	outcomes	5
Figure	1.3. Model of UVR8 mediated signalling	8
Figure	2.1. Set-up of Nursery room and Growth Chamber and Spectral Irradiances	
	of environments	14
Figure	3.1. Pilot study reveals increased net photosynthetic rate with or without	
	UV-B treatment over time	26
Figure	3.2. UV-B treated Arabidopsis increase in net photosynthetic rate slower	
	than non UV-B treated plants	27
Figure	3.3. UV-B treated Arabidopsis have a lower photosynthetic rate than	
	untreated plants	27
Figure	3.4. 35 day old Arabidopsis have higher photosynthetic rate than 28 day old	
	plants, with no differences between UV treatments	29
Figure	3.5. Age and UV treatment does not affect chlorophyll accumulation	30
Figure	3.6. Accumulation of flavonoids in Arabidopsis is more rapid in UV treated	
	plants and in older plants after 3 days of higher PAR	31
Figure	3.7. 35DAS UV-B treated plants had a significant increase from 35DAS no	
	UV-B plants in anthocyanin content on Day 2. The 28DAS plants didn't have	
	a significant increase due to UV-B until day 6	32
Figure	3.8. UV treatment causes sharper decrease in NBI	33
Figure	3.9. No difference seen in net photosynthetic rate of Arabidopsis that had a	
	pre-treatment or UV-B exposure	35
Figure	3.10. Exposure of Arabidopsis plants to higher PAR before UV-B exposure	
	decreases net photosynthetic rate initially	37
Figure	3.11. Exposure of plants to higher PAR before UV-B treatment does not	
	change chlorophyll accumulation	38
Figure	3.12. UV-B exposure increased the flavonoid accumulation in the first	
	repeat only with plants that had no pre-treatment exhibiting a greater	
	increase than those pre-treated	39

Figure 3.13. UV-B exposure leads to greater decrease in NBI regardless pre-	
exposure to high PAR	41
Figure 3.14. Early exposure of Arabidopsis <i>Ler</i> plants to higher PAR lowers net	
photosynthetic rate and no difference is seen due to UV-B treatment unlike	
those plants that were not pre-treated	43
Figure 3.15. Net photosynthetic rate of <i>uvr8-1</i> Arabidopsis decreases in presence	
of higher PAR and UV	. 44
Figure 3.16. Treatments do not affect the amount of chlorophyll in wild-type <i>Ler</i>	
and <i>uvr8-1</i> mutant	. 45
Figure 3.17. Flavonoid content increases during experiment in both <i>Ler</i> and <i>uvr8</i>	. 47
Figure 3.18. NBI decreases in both <i>Ler</i> and <i>uvr8-1</i> plants	48
Figure 3.19. UV exposure causes significant increase in CHS transcripts	50
Figure 3.20. UV exposure leads to increased <i>ELIP1</i> transcription in <i>Ler</i> and a	
decrease in <i>uvr8-1</i>	51
Figure 3.21. UV exposure causes an increased SIG5 transcript level in Ler and	
decreased transcription in <i>uvr8-1</i>	. 52
List of Tables	
Table 2.1. Growing conditions of experiments in which data was collected	16
Table 2.2. Primer sequences used for qPCR	19
Table 2.3. Photosynthetic measurements replicate numbers	24
Table 2.4. Leaf metabolism and secondary metabolite replicate numbers	24

Abbreviations

A_{max} net photosynthetic rate

BLRP blue light responsive promoter

bp base pairs

CFCs chlorofluorocarbons
CHI CHALCONE ISOMERASE
CHS CHALCONE SYNTHASE

Col-0 Columbia-0

COP1 CONSTITUTIVELY PHOTOMORPHOGENIC 1

CP12 CHLOROPLAST PROTEIN 12
CP12-1 CHLOROPLAST PROTEIN 12-1
CP12-2 CHLOROPLAST PROTEIN 12-2
CP12-3 CHLOROPLAST PROTEIN 12-3

CRY Cryptochrome
cry1 cryptochrome1
cry2 cryptochrome2
DAS Days after sowing

ELIP1 EARLY LIGHT-INDUCIBLE PROTEIN 1
ELIP2 EARLY LIGHT-INDUCIBLE PROTEIN 2

FAD Flavin Adenine Dinucleotide

FLS FLAVONOL SYNTHASE FMN Flavin Mononucleotide

FR far-red light

GADPH glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate HY5 ELONGATED HYPOCOTYL 5

HYH HY5 HOMOLOG

IRGA Infra-red gas analyserLer Landsberg erectaLOV light, oxygen, voltage

MAPK mitogen-activated protein kinases

MT Metal halides

MTHF methenyltetrahydrofolate
MYB111 MYB DOMAIN PROTEIN 111
MYB12 MYB DOMAIN PROTEIN 12
NBI nitrogen balance index

nm Nanometers

PAR Photosynthetically active radiation

PCR polymerase chain reaction

PHR1 PHOTOLYASE 1
PHY Phytochrome
PHYA phytochrome A
PHYB phytochrome B
PHYE phytochrome E

PKR Phosphoribulokinase

PSII photosystem II qPCR quantitative PCR

R red light

RFR red: far-red light

ROS reactive oxygen species

RUP1 REPRESSOR OF UV-B PHOTOMORPHOGENESIS 1 RUP2 REPRESSOR OF UV-B PHOTOMORPHOGENESIS 2

SE Standard error SIG5 SIGMA FACTOR 5

TRP Tryptophan
UV Ultraviolet
UV-A Ultraviolet-A
UV-B Ultraviolet-B

UV_{BE} Biologically effective UV

UV-C Ultraviolet-C

UVR3 UV REPAIR DEFECTIVE 3
UVR8 UV RESISTANCE LOCUS 8
VOC volatile organic compounds
WFT White fluorescent tubes

WL White light

WUE water use efficiency

ZTL zeitlupes