Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

THE URBAN SQUATTER QUESTION: SQUATTING, HOUSING AND URBANIZATION IN SUVA, FIJI

A Thesis Presented in Partial Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Geography at Massey University

by
ALLEN CROSBIE WALSH
1978

ABSTRACT

The proliferation and apparent intransience of urban squatter settlements in Third World countries have produced numerous explanations of their cause, nature and solution. In Fiji, it is estimated that nearly one-fifth of the population of the Suva Urban Area were squatters in 1976 and that squatter numbers, in recent years, have increased by over 10 percent annually. These increases have occurred despite development plan objectives which aim to reduce regional and class disparities and a public housing programme which has housed about one-fifth of the urban area's population since the late 1950s.

In the early 1960s many scholars supported the view that squatters were 'marginal' to the life of the city and this view is still held by many administrators, in Fiji and elsewhere. Studies commencing in the mid 1960s, however, have led to a revision of opinions on squatter marginality and the emergence of two major schools of thought among The Dependency School considers squatting a product of the type of urbanization experienced by Third World countries and sees no solution short of major changes to the 'system'; the Progressive Development (or Progressive Housing) School, on the other hand, sees squatting as a housing problem caused by the rate of Third World urbanization and inappropriate public housing programmes. The latter School advocates the encouragement of self-help activities among the poor to close the gap between housing supply and demand. housing programme in Fiji has been influenced by such arguments and during recent years there has been some official support for site and service, squatter upgrading and core housing schemes.

The present work proceeds from a statement of major theoretical questions derived from the literature, and a description of urbanization and the housing situation in Fiji, to test the assumptions of the Marginality, Dependency and Progressive Development Schools. Data were obtained on urbanization and housing in Fiji, and sample surveys were conducted among Fijian and Indian squatters and other low-income households in Suva City and Urban Area in 1976. The data were used to consider six propositions which were tested via 36 hypotheses; comparisons were made between ethnic, squatter and other low-income, and city and urban area households. The propositions were found to be substantially correct. Squatter behaviour was shown to be as modern and as urban as the behaviour of other low-income people, and households with 'modern' attributes tended to be more 'successful' in the city. Modern and traditional behaviour,

however, were not found to be antithetical and, at least among Fijians, the households which were the most traditional tended to be those which were most 'successful.' Squatters were also shown to make a positive contribution to the economy of the city and to be no more an obstacle to rational urban land use than many other land users. The views of the Marginality School were therefore found wanting.

Squatters were shown to participate in self-help activities which led to increasing adequacy in housing. The squatter residential environment was found to permit greater all round flexibility than official public housing and was therefore considered more appropriate for poor households which relied heavily on the use of the residential environment for supplementary informal economic activities and kinship networks. In particular, squatting was found to allow Fijians and Indians to adopt strategies of survival and improvement based on their respective cultural mores. Some support was therefore provided for the views of the Progressive Development School.

The levels of improvement, however, were found to be generally insufficient and to involve too few households to accept these views without reservation. Improvements occurred among more modern households, among owner-occupiers and those with some security of tenure as claimed by the Progressive Development School, but income was found to be the most critical variable. Income increased among Fijians by accretions of kin and among Indians by accretions of time, but few households had sufficient income to permit them to alter significantly their position in society or to ensure minimally adequate housing. The views of the Dependency School that squatting is a residential manifestation of poverty, and not a housing problem as such, were therefore accepted.

In accepting this explanation of the causes and nature of squatting, however, the writer maintained that practical measures advanced by the Progressive Development School could lead, if not to the solution of the problem, at least to its improvement. At the macro level, the solution to the urban squatter question in Fiji was seen to lie in the implementation of the egalitarian proposals of the VIth Development Plan, a change in Fiji's relations with other countries, and a reassessment of the position of the urban poor. At the micro level, much improvement can be achieved by the formulation of a housing policy which gives close attention to the needs and aspirations of squatters indicated in the present study. The distinction between macro and micro level 'solutions' is seen to offer a compromise position between the viewpoints of the two most prominent schools of thought on the urban squatter question.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Acknowledgements are invariably a statistically imprecise form of sampling. So many people are omitted or unnamed who deserve thanks, and those included often cannot be thanked enough.

In Fiji, most thanks is due to the 360 squatter households surveyed, but they will probably never know the results of my enquiry and it would be presumptuous to hope that the research findings will directly ease their lot.

The following people, all but the first named my third year students at the University of the South Pacific in 1976, conducted the squatter interviews and offered valuable insights on the squatter condition:

Mrs Margaret Grey	Urmila Munshwar	Vishnu Rohit
Vasewa Baba	Tahir Munshi	Louisa Simmons
Isimeli Cokanasiga	Julia Murphy	Amita Singh
Jaitun Hameed	Daya Nand	Jone Tanu
Fazal Khan	Saroona Narain	Mosese Uluiciciya
Raubane Kirimaua	Paulo Ralulu	Juliet Yee
Sr Malia Mulipola		

Also at the University of the South Pacific, my thanks to colleagues and friends in the School of Social and Economic Development, especially Randy Thaman, Martin Bakker and Michael Baxter for reasons they know best, and Rajesh and Dharma Chandra for assistance with offical data after I had left Fiji. Thanks is also expressed for financial assistance from the university's research fund which permitted analysis of 1966 Census data and the payment of travel and miscellaneous expenses for interviewers.

Mr Ratilal Lodhia, Census Commissioner, is thanked for granting me access to unpublished 1976 Census data, Ratu Seru and Albert Lee of the Suva City Council for help with historical records, and the many others in the public and private sectors for being so generous with their time in answering what must have seemed unending questions. Fr Dermot Hurley, so long associated with Suva's poor, is especially thanked for exciting my interest and concern. I regret not having 'used' him more.

In New Zealand my thanks to colleagues at Massey University: Richard Heerdegen for assistance with computer programming; Geoff Thomas and John McArthur for assistance with statistical problems; Richard Le Heron for format advice; and Karen Lacey for producing such excellent illustrations from my rough drafts. Colleagues in other departments also helped at various stages of the work and special mention must be made of Colin Reed of the Computer Unit; Ralph Love of M.E.D.C. and Jim Clouston of the Photographic Unit. Financial assistance with work related to the present study from the Humanities and Social Sciences Research Fund is also gratefully acknowledged.

To my typists, Mrs Val Swan who typed the body of the text and Di August who typed the most tedious tables, thank you for working under such difficult cirumstances.

To my supervisors, Keith Thomson and Andrew Trlin, my special thanks. Much in the progression of argument and coherence of expression is due to them. I benefited greatly from Andrew's forthright comments and insistence on deadlines and from Keith's meticulous attention to editorial detail.

To my mother, my wife, Margaret, and children, Karen, David, Michael and Sonya, relatives and friends who found me such a bore over the research period, thank you for your assistance and forbearance.

More generally, few ideas in the present work are entirely original. Knowledge is cumulative, and the work of many scholars is freely and gratefully acknowledged.

All of these people and many more have influenced the present work, but responsibility for the final product - the approach adopted, the views expressed, findings reached and all imperfections - is solely mine.

Ah, but a man's reach should exceed his grasp, or what's a Heaven for?

CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iv
CONTENTS	vi
LIST OF TABLES	xi
LIST OF FIGURES	xiv
CONVENTIONS, GLOSSARY AND ABBREVIATIONS	xvii
PART I : THE RESEARCH PROJECT	
Introduction	1
Organization of the Thesis	5
Chapter 1 : AIMS, HYPOTHESES AND METHODS	8
Questions and Hypotheses	10
A Satisfactory Economic Environment	11
A Satisfactory Social Environment	12
Questions of Self Help and Improvement	17
Data Acquisition	18
The July 1976 Survey	20
The July 1976 Survey Sample	23
The 1976 Census of Population Household Schedules	24
The Five Squatter Environments	30
The Control Areas	31
Data Analysis and Processing	33
The Statistical Tests	33
Statistical Significance	34
Item Scales	34
Dichotomised Variables	35
Discriminant Analysis	36
Sampling Error and Kendall's r	37
Data Processing	37
Conclusions	37
PART II : URBANIZATION, HOUSING AND SQUATTING IN THE THIRD WORLD	42
Chapter 2: THE SIGNIFICANCE, LOCATION AND CAUSES OF SQUATTING	43
Location of Squatter Settlements	46
Causes of Squatting	48
The Elemental School	49
The Systems School	52
Chapter 3: THE NATURE AND DIRECTION OF URBANIZATION IN THE THIRD WORLD	56
Demographic Changes	56
poliogiapitte citatges	20

Structural Changes	57
Behavioural Changes	60
Political Changes	62
Conclusions	65
Chapter 4 : HOUSING POLICIES	69
Attempts to Slow to Reverse Rural to Urban Migration	73
Slum Clearance, Eviction, and Relocation	74
Public Housing	75
Progressive Development: Site and Service Schemes and Squatter Upgrading Schemes	76
Limitations of the Progressive Strategy	80
Chapter 5: THE CAPACITY OF THE POOR FOR SELF-IMPROVEMENT The Poor and the Urban Environment	' 85 85
The 'Effectiveness' of Adaptation	86
Urban, not Rural Peasants	88
Classifying the Urban Poor	90
The Self-Improving Suburbs Contention	92
Conclusions	99
PART III : URBANIZATION AND HOUSING IN FIJI	101
Chapter 6 : ECONOMIC AND DEMOGRAPHIC CHANGES	103
Economic Changes	103
The Importance of Foreign Investment	106
Employment, Wages and Prices	108
The Private Building and Construction Industry	112
Demographic Changes	115
Internal Migration	116
Urban Growth	118
The Growing Importance of the Suva Urban Area	119
Intra-City Movement	122
Chapter 7 : BACKGROUND TO THE HOUSING POLICY	128
A European Town?	129
Land Tenure	132
Social Welfare and the Protestant Ethic	136
Standards and Regulations	137
A Multiplicity of Authorities	139
Socio-political Influences on Housing	139
Chapter 8 : HOUSING POLICY IN ACTION	144
The Housing Authority	144
Why the Housing Authority cannot help the very poor	149
Raiwaga: the Wrong Social Environment	156

The Housing Assistance and Relief Trust (HART)	159
Policy towards Squatters	162
Squatter Reaction to the Eviction Threat	165
Why the Double Bind?	166
PART IV : SQUATTING IN SUVA	173
Chapter 9 : DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS	175
Early Squatter Settlements in Suva	175
City Changes, 1958 - 1976	176
Urban Area Changes, 1967 - 1975	181
Demographic Characteristics	192
Age and Sex Structures	192
Migration	198
Places of Birth	200
Intra-City Mobility by Squatters	201
Household Types	205
<u>Incomplete</u> Households and Households with <u>Extras</u>	208
Marital Status, and Sex of Household Heads	208
Indices of Fertility	212
Household Size	215
Chapter 10 : ECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS	222
Levels of Employment	222
Types of Employment	226
Income Levels and Correlations with Status	232
Expenditure on Food	236
Debts and Savings	237
Chapter 11 : HOUSING CONDITIONS	240
Minimum Standards of Housing	243
Dwelling Size and a Scale of Housing Improvement	248
How Secure do Squatters Feel?	250
Chapter 12 : SUVA SQUATTERS AND MARGINALITY	257
Squatter Settlements, Urban Space, and Urban Planning	257
An Uneconomic Use of Space?	258
A Hazardous Use of Space?	261
Unacceptable Densities?	263
Chaotic Layout?	263
An Obstacle to Urban Planning?	264
The Squatter Contribution to the Urban Economy	266
Squatter Participation and Social Marginality	267
Summary	273

PART V : SQUATTING : A SATISFACTORY STATE?	274
Chapter 13 : MAXIMISING ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY	276
Can Squatters Afford Alternative Accommodation?	277
Workplace and Residence Proximity	281
Household Size and Income	286
Extra Workers in Squatter or Other Low-Income Areas?	290
Supplementary Incomes and Informal Economic Activities	291
Education Levels and Aspirations	298
Squatter Residence and Household Life Cycle Stages	302
Fijian and Indian Differences	304
Sunmary	305
Chapter 14 : THE SOCIAL ENVIRONMENT	308
Homogeneity and Place of Birth	308
Religious Homogeneity	314
The Indian Sub-cultures	315
The Choice of Area in which to live	316
Proximity to Kin, and Kin Economic Exchanges	319
Squatter Perceptions of their Place of Residence	325
Residential Intentions	328
Fijian and Indian Differences	331
Conclusions	334
Chapter 15 : SQUATTERS AND MODERNIZATION	336
Indices of Modernization	336
Squatter and Non-squatter Fertility	339
Modernization and Economic Success	343
Modernization and Housing	345
Modernization and Dissatisfaction with Squatter Services	347
Modernization and Urban Experience	347
Modernization, Success and Ethnicity	350
Conclusions	352
Chapter 16 : SQUATTING AND MIGRANT INTEGRATION	356
Migrant Regional Origins and Integration	357
Direct and Indirect Migration and Modernization	358
Integration and One- and Two-Spouse Migrant Households	361
Squatters and Non-squatters compared:	
(a) Levels of Modernization	362
(b) Levels of Economic Disadvantage	364
Migrant and City-born Squatters Compared	365

Migration and Ethnicity	367
Conclusions	369
Chapter 17 : SQUATTERS AND THE RURAL-TRADITIONAL, URBAN-MODERN DEBATE	372
Extended Households and Traditional Behaviour	372
Household Type and Two Demographic Variables	373
The Extended Household in Squatter, Public Housing and Slum Areas	374
The Extended Household and Four Tests of 'Efficiency'	376
Kin Exchange and Levels of Income	379
Conclusions	383
Chapter 18 : CORRELATES AND LIMITATIONS OF IMPROVEMENT	387
The Correlates of House Improvement	388
Interdependence of Housing Correlates	390
Limitations of Housing Adequacy	395
Social Mobility	399
Limitations of Social Mobility	408
Chapter 19 : CONCLUSIONS	
An Evaluation of Propositions and Hypotheses	413
The Place of Urban Squatting in Fiji	429
Theory Revisited and a Search for a Solution	431
Future Research Needs	436
Fijis Relations with Australia and New Zealand	437
The Fiji Urban Economy	437
The Building and Construction Industry	438
The Housing Authority	439
Squatters and Squatter Settlements	440
APPENDI CES	
A Questionnaire, July, 1976	443
B Pre-Survey Interview Schedule, July, 1976	450
C Abstract of the 1976 Census Household Schedule	453
D Notes on Selected Squatter Settlements	454
E Titles of Regulations Affecting Building	459
F Housing Conditions in the Squatter Ethno-areas	460
G Occupation Types and Status Levels	462
BIBLIOGRAPHY	465

LIST OF TABLES

		Page	
1.1	Sampling Details of the July 1976 Survey and 1976 Census Schedules	25	
1.2	Comparability of the July 1976 Survey and the 1976 Census Schedules Sample for Squatter Ethno-areas	28	
1.3	Mean Household Size in 1976 Census Sample and 1976 Census Population	28	
2.1	World Comparisons of Urbanization, Slums and Squatting	44	
4.1	Squatter Areas and Public Housing: a Summary of Views	77 -	78
6.1	External Trade and Gross Domestic Product, 1966 - 1976	104	
6.2	Gross Domestic Product by Selected Industrial Sectors, 1968 - 1976	105	
6.3	Employment, Wages and Prices, 1966 - 1976	108	
6.4	School Leavers by Educational Attainment and Likely Employment Sector, 1970 - 1975	109	
6.5	Levels of Unemployment, Suva and Fiji, 1973	110	
6.6	Annual Incomes of Urban Employees by Race, 1972	111	
6.7	Government Revenue and Expenditure, Tourism and the Building Industry, 1966 - 1976	113	
6.8	Rural and Urban Population Changes, 1966 - 1976	119	
6.9	Urban Populations by Ethnic Groups, 1966 and 1976	120	
7.1 🦼	Land Tenure Types, Suva Urban Area	132	
8.1	Incomes of Housing Authority Applicants, 1973	150	
8.2	Mean Incomes of Flat and HPP Tenant Households at Raiwai-Raiwaqa, 1976	153	
8.3	Ethnicity and Housing Type Preferences: Housing Authority Applicants, 1975	154	
9.1	City Squatter Population Estimates by Zones, 1958 - 1976	178	
9.2	Urban Area Squatter Population Estimates by Zones, 1967 and 1975	181	
9.3	Estimates of Squatter Population in the Urban Area and the City, 1967 and 1975	192	
9.4	Salient Demographic Characteristics of Squatter and Control Ethno-areas	197	
9.5	City-born and Migrant Household Heads by Squatter and Control Ethno-areas.	199	
9.6	Birthplaces of Household Heads: Squatter and Control Areas	201	
9.7	Years of Marriage and Length of Residence by Squatter Ethno-areas	202	
9.8	Squatter Residential Mobility since Marriage by Squatter Ethno-areas	203	
9.9	Types of Residential Moves since Marriage by Squatter Ethno-areas	204	

9.10	Household Types by Squatter and Control Ethno-areas	207
9.11	Incomplete and Extra Households: Squatter and Control Ethno-areas	209
9.12	Marital Status: Squatter and Control Ethno-areas	210
9.13	Selected Indices of Fertility in Squatter and Control Ethno-areas	213
9.14	Household Size: Squatter and Control Ethno-areas	216
10.1	Levels of Employment and Unemployment: Squatter and Control Ethno-areas	223
10.2	The Household Workforce other than the Head: Squatter and Control Ethno-areas	225
10.3	Occupation of Household Heads by Industry, Employment Status, and Employer: Squatter and Control Ethno-areas	227
10.4	Occupations of Total Employed Workforce: Squatter and Control Ethno-areas	230
10.5	Squatter Weekly Incomes: Income of Heads and Households by Ethno-areas	233
10.6	Mean Weekly Incomes and Food Expenditure per Capita by Squatter Ethno-areas	237
10.7	Debts and Savings of Households by Squatter Ethno-areas	238
11.1	Squatter Housing Conditions by Ethno-areas	241
11.2	Squatter Dwelling Size and Densities: Levels of Adequacy	244
11.3	Five Criteria of Adequacy in Squatter Dwellings by Squatter Ethno-areas	246
11.4	Matrix of Squatter Dwelling Correlations	247
11.5	Squatters' Sense of Security of Tenure by Ethno-areas	250
12.1	Actual and Ideal Residential Densities in Selected Socio-Economic Areas	262
12.2	Education, Participation and Family Planning by Squatter Ethno-areas	269
13.1	Squatter Eligibility for Housing Authority Accommodation, 1976	278
13.2	The Most Disadvantaged Households and Legal Housing	279
13.3	Proximity to Work by Squatter Income and Occupation Levels	284
13.4	Workers and Household Size: Squatter and Control Ethno-areas Compared	291
13.5	Income from Supplementary Sources other than Gardening and Handicraft-type Activities by Squatter Ethno-areas	2 92
13.6	Gardening and Other Economic Activity by Squatter Ethno-areas	295
13.7	Education Levels and Aspirations: Squatters and Control	300
13.8	Marital Status of Squatter and Control Household Heads	303
14.1	Indices of Dissimilarity: Birthplaces and Religious Affiliations of Household Heads by Area	309
14.2	Reasons for Choosing the Area of Residence by Squatter	317

14.3	Arrangements made in taking up Residence by Squatter Ethno-areas	317
14.4	Squatter Preferences in Kin Residential Proximity	321
14.5	Kin Economic Exchanges by Squatter Ethno-areas	322
14.6	Kin Economic Exchanges and Degrees of Urban Experience	324
14.7	Present Place of Residence Compared with Previous place of Residence by Squatter Ethno-areas	326
14.8	What Squatters Liked and Disliked Most about their Areas of Residence by Squatter Ethno-areas	327
14.9	Squatters' Residential Intentions by Ethno-areas	329
14.10	Residential Preferences if Finances Improve by Squatter Ethno-areas	330
14.11	Squatter Attitudes to Housing Authority Accommodation by Ethno-areas	331
14.12	Types of Satisfaction and Dissatisfaction: Fijians and Indians, and Migrant and Suva-born Compared	333
15.1	Age at Birth of First Child and Number of Children Born: Fijian Squatter and Control Compared	342
15.2	Mean Age of Wives by Length of Urban Residence: Squatter and Control Compared	348
15.3	Modernization Indices and Ethnicity	351
16.1	Migrant Levels of Integration by Regions of Origin	359
16.2	Modernization and Direct and Indirect Migration	360
16.3	Modernization, Economic Success and Squatter Migrant Spouse Combinations	362
16.4	Age at Birth of First Child: Squatter and Control Migrants	363
16.5	Number of Children Born: Squatter and Control Migrants	363
16.6	A Multiple Index Comparison of Fijian and Indian Squatter Migrants	368
17.1	Extended Households in Squatter, Housing Authority and Slum Areas	375
17.2	Mean Per Capita Floor Space by Household Type	379
17.3	Types of Kin Exchange by Levels of Household Income	381
18.1	A Summary of Research Variables related to House Adequacy and Home Improvement	390
18.2	A Progressive Calculation of Minimal Housing Adequacy	397
18.3	Mobility Potential: A Progressive Calculation of Income, Employment and Education Indices	409
19.1	Proposition 1: A Summary of Findings (Chapter 13)	414
19.2	Proposition 2: A Summary of Findings (Chapter 14)	416
19.3	Proposition 3: A Summary of Findings (Chapter 15)	419
19.4	Proposition 4: A Summary of Findings (Chapter 16)	421
19.5	Proposition 5 : A Summary of Findings (Chapter 17)	423
19 6	Proposition 6 · A Summary of Findings (Chapter 18)	426

χiν

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

188

189

189

190

190

191

191

231

LIST OF FIGURES

		LIST OF FIGURES	
*	Photo	graphs are preceded by an asterisk.	
	1.1	Squatter and Control Settlements Surveyed	19
	6.1	Urban Places in Fiji, 1976	117
	6.2	Population Changes in the Suva Urban Area, 1966-1976	121
	7.1	Distribution of Ethnic Groups, Suva City, 1966	130
	7.2	Land Tenure, Suva Urban Area, 1976	133
	7.3	Population Densities and Distribution, Suva Urban Area, 1976	135
	8.1	* Core Housing at Nepani	147
	8.2	* HPP Housing at Nepani	147
	8.3	* Four-Storey Flats at Raiwaqa	147
	8.4	* Four-Storey Flats at Raiwaqa	147
	8.5	Fijian and Indian Preferences in Interior House Design: Housing Authority Core Housing	155
	8.6	* Housing and the Media	164
	9.1	Squatter Settlements and Other Low-income Housing: Suva Urban Area, 1976	177
	9.2	City Squatter Population Changes, 1959 - 1976	180

Fijian Squatter Settlements on the Inner City

Squatter Settlements threatened by Middle City

The Raiwai-Raiwaga Housing Authority Estate and

Outer-Middle City Squatter Settlements

Settlements in the Western Urban Area

Tovata and the Eastern Urban Area

Kalabo Housing Authority Area

Tacirua Village and the Northern Urban Area

Sarosaro Place and the Kinoya Housing Authority

Age and Sex Structures: Squatter and Control Areas 195

Security of Employment: Squatter and Control Ethno-

Beyond the Northern City Boundary

Suva City Locations

Fringe

Estate

areas

Developments

adjacent areas

Outer City Developments

The Northeast City Boundary

The City's Western Boundary

9.3

9.4

9.5

9.6

9.7

9.8

9.9

9.10

9.11

9.12

9.13

9.14

9.15

9.16

9.17

10.1

10.2		come Levels and Occupational Status, Age, ucation and Household Income	234
11.1	Но	use Size and Other Variables	249
11.2	*	Fijian Renters at Deo Dutt	253
11.3	*	Pour-flush Toilet at Malekula	253
11.4	*	Wash room at Jittu	253
11.5	*	Outside Cooking at Jittu	253
11.6	*	'Instant' Housing at Valenimanumanu	254
11.7	*	Gradual Self-help at Nauluvatu	254
11.8	*	Induced Self-help at Vunivau	254
11.9	*	An Improved House at Nauluvatu	254
11.10	*	Nabua Village	255
11.11	*	Toorak Tenements	255
11.12	*	Tamavua Village	255
11.13	*	HART Housing at Delainasole	255
11.14	*	Elite Housing at Tamavua Heights	256
11.15	*	A Road in the Domain	256
11.16	*	A House in the Domain	256
11.17	*	Entrance to a House on Ratu Sukuna Road	256
12.1	Lai Are	nd Use Planning and Squatting in the Suva Urban ea	259
12.2	*	Malekula, 1968 - 1973	260
12.3	*	Pride in the Residential Environment	271
12.4	*	Water Taps at Kai Ra	271
12.5	*	Houses at Jittu	271
12.6	*	Poor Houses at Jittu	271
12.7	*	The Squatter-Housing Authority Interface	272
12.8	*	Indian Squatting at Kalabo	272
12.9	*	The Squatter-Private Housing Interface	272
13.1	Wo	rkplaces, Residences and Job Accessibility	282
13.2	Mea	an Household Size and Per Capita Income	287
13.3	Cor Si:	mposition of Squatter Households by Household ze	289
13.4	Co	rrelations of Informal Activities	296
13.5	*	Kai Ra and the Walu Bay Industrial Area	297
13.6	*	Kai Ra and Local Resources	297
13.7	*	Fijian Gardening in the City	297
13.8	*	Indian Gardening in the Urban Area	297
14.1		ovincial and Religious Representation in Squatter d Control Areas	311
14.2	Oai	ia · Migrant Origins and Residential Sites	312

Malekula : Social Networks and House Sites	313
* The Church and the Co-operative Store at Malekula	320
* Fijian Renters at Jittu	320
* An Indian Family at Deo Dutt	320
Item-Scale Correlations of Modernization	340
Squatter and Control Fertility Indices	341
Modernization and 'Success'	344
Modernization and Housing	346
Housing Adequacy and Home Improvement by Household Type	378
Kin Exchange and Levels of Income	382
Correlates of the Extended Household and Kin Exchange	385
The Correlates of House Adequacy and Home Improvement	392
Factors Affecting Housing Quality : a Deductive Model	394
Major Correlates of Social Mobility based on Income: a Deductive Model	401
Demographic and Residential Factors affecting Income	402
Salient Characteristics of High and Low Mobile Squatter Households	406
	* The Church and the Co-operative Store at Malekula * Fijian Renters at Jittu * An Indian Family at Deo Dutt Item-Scale Correlations of Modernization Squatter and Control Fertility Indices Modernization and 'Success' Modernization and Housing Housing Adequacy and Home Improvement by Household Type Kin Exchange and Levels of Income Correlates of the Extended Household and Kin Exchange The Correlates of House Adequacy and Home Improvement Factors Affecting Housing Quality: a Deductive Model Major Correlates of Social Mobility based on Income: a Deductive Model Demographic and Residential Factors affecting Income Salient Characteristics of High and Low Mobile

CONVENTIONS, GLOSSARY AND ABBREVIATIONS

CONVENTIONS

All tables and figures are prefaced by their chapter number.

All currency is in Fiji dollars. At 28 July, 1978, \$F1 = \$NZ1.12 = \$US1.17.

The exchange rate has shown little change since 1976.

All lineal and areal measures are expressed in metrics.

To facilitate ease of typing, chi square is shown as X^2 and $p \le 0.05$ etc. as p = 0.05, etc.

Spearman's rank correlation is shown as r_s and Kendall's r (tau) as r_s .

GLOSSARY

The first occasion local words are used in the text they are preceded by an asterisk (*).

Bure Traditional Fijian dwelling.

Masi Tapa cloth.

Matagali Group of related extended families with

landholding rights.

Oasi-ni-lotu Church leader.

Roti Indian pancake often filled with curried

meats, fish or vegetables.

Soqosoqo-ni-vakamarama Women's organization usually associated

with a church.

Turaga-ni-koro Community leader, village leader.

Vakalolo Fijian pudding.

Yaqona The kava of Polynesia. A drink made from

the dried roots of piper methysticum.

Colloquially called 'grog'.

ABBREVIATIONS

The following abbreviations are used for areas studied:

Squatter areas	Other Low-income areas (Control)
CV City Villager CI City Indian FR Fijian Renters FUA Fijians in the Urban Area IUA Indians in the Urban Area	TV Traditional Villagers NAB Nabua FK Fijians at Kinoya IK Indians at Kinoya FFS Fijians in four-storey flats IFS Indians in four-storey flats TRK Toorak (Fijians)

These areas are collectively known as squatter and control ethno-areas.

Other abbreviations:

CES	Current Economic Statistics	GDP	Gross Domestic Product
CLS	Cash Loan Scheme	G.S.U.	S.P. Greater Suva Urban
	Development Plan VI		Structure Plan
DPVII	Development Plan VII	HART	Housing Assistance Relief
ED	Enumeration District		Trust
		HPP	Home Purchase Plan
		NLTB	Native Land Trust Board
		RFS	Rental Flat Scheme