

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

The Foraging Ecology of Little Penguin (*Eudyptula minor*) on Tiritiri Matangi Island.

A thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements of
Master of Science in Conservation Biology
Massey University, Auckland.

Fiona Rea Katrine McKenzie
2011



Little Penguin 2011. Artist Samuel McKenzie. Age 10.

Abstract

Little Penguins (*Eudyptula minor*) are the smallest penguin in the world. They have a distribution ranging from south-western Australia, through to New Zealand and the Chatham Islands. Some populations in Australia and the South Island of New Zealand have been the subject of considerable research, but there has been less undertaken on populations in the northern half of New Zealand. The Department of Conservation however, are concerned about their status and have list the northern populations as 'At Risk – Declining' in the New Zealand Threat Classification System. As part of a new longitudinal study on Little Penguins (LP) resident on Tiritiri Matangi Island, Hauraki Gulf, New Zealand this study focussed on their foraging behaviour and breeding success over 2010 and 2011.

Two new biogeochemical techniques were used in this study to examine LP diet from tissue samples. Stable isotope analysis of LP blood, feathers and potential prey species established the trophic level of the LP (calibrated from a captive feeding trial) and was able to determine both temporal and spatial shifts in trophic level over a 12 month period. These shifts may indicate changes in prey type or abundance, however more research is required to determine this. Fatty acid signature analysis of potential prey and LP adipose found similarities that suggest the prey types were likely included in the LP diet, but sample sizes were small and again further research is required. Abundance of potential prey species within the local Hauraki Gulf region were extrapolated from commercial catches of bait fish statistics and foraging ranges from were proxied from previous studies. It was determined that commercial fishing is unlikely to impact the LP at this time. A third emerging technology, GPS tracking dataloggers, was proposed to track the penguins across the breeding and non-breeding seasons to determine where they foraged, how far they ranged and how this changed seasonally. Unfortunately, equipment failures resulted in no tracks being recorded. Breeding success recorded for 5 years was extremely variable however, for one year at least, it

was apparent that a significant absence of preferred prey may be linked to a devastatingly poor year for rearing chicks.

Top predators such as seabirds, including penguins, are a model bioindicator for the health of their local marine environment i.e. a seabird population that breeds well and is in good body condition likely indicates there is abundant food and clean water. Conversely a seabird population that is declining in size or experiences poor recruitment, may be an indication that prey is absent or that waters are polluted. With the local North Island LP populations potentially already in decline, it is important to continue to monitor aspects of their breeding and foraging in the coming years – not only for the benefit of the penguins, but for the wider conservation of the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park.

Acknowledgements

The completion of this thesis is the culmination of two years of study and fieldwork, and it wouldn't have happened without the support of many people and organisations who encouraged and helped me over this time. My first thanks go to my supervisor Associate Professor Dianne Brunton and co-supervisor Dr Mark Seabrook-Davison. Dianne provided the opportunity to work with the penguins, which I just loved. She was always supportive of my ideas and provided expert guidance when needed. From the start Dianne had the utmost faith in me for which I am very grateful. Mark I cannot thank enough for his positive attitude and encouragement. Together we spent many hours chasing penguins around Tiritiri Matangi, swapping yarns and laughs along the way. You made hard work light, thank you. For showing me where to find penguins, how to handle them and take samples I have to thank Anne-Sophie Boyer and Monique van Rensburg. Thanks also to Michelle Roper for taking the time out of her own studies to show me how to set up the infra-red cameras and then to download the images. Interns who helped me in the field and in the lab were, Nadine Rossier, Johan Cherel, Antje Kuechler, Jordi Segers and Judith, I really appreciate the time you spent collecting data, in good weather and bad, you made the whole project that much easier. To the Wildlife Management class of 2010, Megan Cahill, Tania Gaborit-Haverkort, Christy Getzlaff, Ingrid Hutzler, Frederika Jordan, Donatella Joseph, Mark Lowe, Moniqua Nelson-Tunley, Joshua Thorensen, Emma Williams - cheers guys for helping me to catch, count, sample and film penguins during our field trip – good times. Special thanks to Mary-Ann, David and Daryl on Tiritiri Matangi Island who were always welcoming, showed interest in my progress and in the welfare of the penguins. Sylvia Durrant from SPCA Bird Rescue in Rothesay Bay is a wonderful woman. She loves the penguins and willingly shared her wealth of knowledge and expertise at any time, as she does with anyone who studies or simply loves birds. Teacher fellow Gail Thomson was available to work with me from July 2011. Gail was an immense help with the sample preparation in the lab, in collating and presenting data and in helping me with the initial structuring of this thesis. She was enthusiastic and fun and

her school pupils are very lucky to have her as a teacher. Thanks also to Manu Barry who taught me how to use SPSS and guided me through the necessary statistics – I couldn't have done it without you. Organisations and individuals who have provided assistance, information or advice are 360 Discovery, Marineland, Napier, Auckland Zoo, especially vet Bethany Jackson, thank you, the Department of Conservation, Leigh Marine Research Centre, Rob Boss from Dolphin Explorer and Peter Dann. To everyone in the Ecology Lab Massey - some of you came to Tiri, some shared experiences, expertise and advise others shared a cup of coffee and cake... I appreciate it all.

Financial support, essential to completing this project, was from the Lovell and Berys Clark Scholarship, Supporters of Tiritiri Matangi (SOTM) and Massey University Masterate Scholarship.

Finally I would like to thank my family and friends. It has been a long road and I have always been able to count on you, whether it was listening to me blether on about penguins or sharing a much needed glass of wine - thank you. To my boys, thanks for your patience and to Mum and Dad, this is for you.

Table Of Contents

Abstract	3
Acknowledgements.....	5
Table Of Contents	7
List of Figures	11
List of Tables	13
Chapter One: Introduction.....	14
1.1 Little Penguin Taxonomy	16
1.2 Little Penguin Life History	17
1.3 Food Availability	19
1.4 This study.....	20
1.4.1 Thesis structure.....	21
Chapter Two: General Ecology of the Tiritiri Matangi Island	
Little Penguin Population 2010/2011	23
2.1 Abstract	23
2.2 Introduction.....	23
2.2.1 Body Condition	24
2.2.2 Nest sites	25
2.2.3 Breeding.....	27
2.3 Methods.....	28
2.3.1 LP Monitoring	28
2.3.2 Morphometric Measurements	28
2.3.3 Banding.....	29
2.3.4 Body Condition	29
2.3.5 Nest sites	30
2.3.6 Breeding.....	31
2.3.7 Bait Trial.....	32
2.4 Results.....	33
2.4.1 Banding.....	33
2.4.2 Body Condition	33
2.4.3 Nest sites	35

2.4.4 2010 Breeding	36
2.4.5 2011 Breeding	37
2.4.6 Breeding Success	40
2.4.7 2011 Moulting	42
2.4.8 Unexplained Feather Loss.....	42
2.5 Discussion	45
2.5.1 Banding.....	45
2.5.2 Body Condition	45
2.5.3 Breeding Success 2010/2011	46
2.5.4 Super Sized Clutches	47
2.5.5 Temporary Egg-Neglect	48
2.5.6 Moulting	50
2.5.7 Unexplained Feather Loss.....	50
2.6 Conclusion	51
Chapter Three: Foraging Ranges and Prey Availability	53
3.1 Abstract	53
3.2 Introduction.....	54
3.2.1 Foraging.....	54
3.2.2 GPS Dataloggers	56
3.2.3 Prey Abundance.....	57
3.2.4 LP Necropsy.....	57
3.3 Method.....	58
3.3.1 GPS Data loggers	58
3.3.2 Nest visitation	60
3.3.3 Prey Abundance.....	62
3.3.4 LP Necropsy.....	63
3.4 Results.....	66
3.4.1 GPS Dataloggers 2010/2011.....	66
3.4.2 Nest visitation	66
3.4.3 Prey Abundance.....	67
3.4.4 LP Necropsy.....	68
3.5 Discussion	74
3.5.1 Foraging Range.....	74
3.5.2 Prey Abundance.....	75
3.5.3 LP Necropsy.....	75

3.5.4 Nest visitation	76
3.6 Conclusion	76
Chapter Four: Stable Isotopes	78
4.1 Abstract	78
4.2 Introduction.....	78
4.2.1 Stable Isotope Analysis	80
4.2.2 Aims of Study	84
4.3 Method.....	84
4.3.1 Study Sites	84
4.3.2 Biological Sample Collection	84
4.3.3 Captive Feeding Trial	85
4.3.4 Tissue Preparation	86
4.4 Results.....	89
4.4.1 Lipid Removal	89
4.4.2 Captive Feeding Trial	91
4.4.3 Tiritiri Matangi LP	93
4.4.4 Three Chick Clutch.....	97
4.5 Discussion	98
4.5.1 Captive Feeding Trial	98
4.5.2 Tiritiri Matangi LP	100
4.5.3 Three Chick Clutch.....	102
4.5.4 Limitations and Considerations.....	103
4.6 Conclusion	104
Chapter Five: Fatty Acid Signature Analysis	105
5.1 Abstract	105
5.2 Introduction.....	105
5.3 Method.....	107
5.3.1 Little Penguin Adipose Samples	107
5.3.2 Whole Prey Samples.....	108
5.3.3 Gas Chromatography	108
5.3.4 Data analysis.....	108
5.4 Results.....	109
5.4.1 Fatty Acid Composition of LP Lipid Samples and Whole Prey Samples	109
5.5 Discussion	113

5.6 Conclusion	115
Chapter Six: Estimating Population Size	116
6.1 Abstract	116
6.2 Introduction	116
6.2.1 Estimating Populations	117
6.2.2 Mark-Recapture of a Closed Population	118
6.3 Method	120
6.3.1 Mark Re-capture Trial.....	120
6.3.2 Mark Re-capture Pilot Study.....	121
6.4 Results	121
6.4.1 Mark Re-capture Trial.....	121
6.4.2 Mark Re-capture Pilot Study.....	122
6.4.3 Previous LP Capture Data.....	125
6.5 Discussion	126
6.5.1 Previous LP Capture Data.....	127
6.5.2 Improvements	128
6.6 Conclusion	128
Chapter Seven: Conclusion & Recommendations	129
7.1 Conclusions	129
7.2 Recommendations	130
References	132
Appendix I: Penguin Measurements	147
Appendix II: Fluorescent Powder for Tracking Nest Sites ...	148
Appendix III: DoC Low Impact Permit	151
Appendix IV: Brodifacoum Trials	156
Appendix V: Banding Record	162
Appendix VI: Doc High Impact Permit	163
Appendix VII: Massey University Animal Ethics	170
Appendix VIII: Zoo Animal Ethics Permit	171
Appendix IX: Mazuri Supplementary Tablets	190

List of Figures

Figure 1.1: Location of Tiritiri Matangi Island in the Hauraki Gulf, NZ. Images by tide-forecast.com and enchantedlearning.com.	21
Figure 2.1: Little Penguin nests on Tiritiri Matangi in a) flax, b) rock, c) tree trunk and d) artificial concrete. Photos by Fiona McKenzie	26
Figure 2.2: a) Taking headbill measurement of LP. b) Collecting blood sample from foot with a capillary tube. Photos by Oran Shapira	29
Figure 2.3: a). An unused stainless steel flipper band. b). Using pliers to fit a band to a penguin. Photos by Fiona McKenzie and Oran Shapira.	29
Figure 2.4: a) Wooden artificial nest box being placed in bush on Tiritiri Matangi, b) LP with two eggs using box. Photos by Fiona McKenzie.	30
Figure 2.5: Comparison of variation in body condition of adult Tiritiri Matangi LP over specific life stages. Chick rearing (n=9), Moulting (n=18), Post Moulting (n=84), Breeding (n=23). Box represents data from lower to upper quartiles, whiskers represent minimum and maximum BMI.	34
Figure 2.6: Comparison of variation in annual body condition of adult Tiritiri Matangi LP between the years 2010 (n= 8) and 2011 (n=126).	35
Figure 2.7: Map of Tiritiri Matangi Island with LP nest locations for the 2010/2011 study period.	36
Figure 2.8: Adult LP found incubating 4 eggs in an artificial box on Tiritiri Matangi in September 2011. Photo by Fiona McKenzie.	38
Figure 2.9: Known LP first egg laying dates (indicated by red star) positioned in the Hauraki Gulf region SST cycle.	41
Figure 2.10: LP with patchy feather loss on Tiritiri Matangi. Photo by Fiona McKenzie	44
Figure 3.1: Google Earth over land test track of GPS from Red Beach to Tiritiri Matangi Island. GPS fix every 30 minutes.	59
Figure 3.2: a) GPS unit, b) GPS unit taped to lower back of Little Penguin prior to deployment.	60
Figure 3.3: Catch regions in the Hauraki Gulf, New Zealand for commercially fished species as defined by the Ministry of Fisheries NABIS website. Regions 005, 006, and 007 spanning from Great Barrier Island to the Firth of Thames were identified as the most likely feeding grounds of Tiritiri Matangi Island LP.	63
Figure 3.4: LP necropsy images. a) Penguin with no fat deposits, prominent keel (yellow arrow). b) LP that died from trauma (flipper severed). Note presence of fat deposits (white arrows).	65
Figure 3.5: Quarterly total commercial catch figures published for regions 005, 006 and 007 adjacent to Tiritiri Matangi Island from October 2004 to June 2011. Pilchard (blue), Red Cod (Dark Red), Jack Mackerel (Yellow), Yellow-eyed Mullet (Light Blue), Squid (Orange). Arrows represent LP breeding periods.	68
Figure 3.6: Seasonal trends in LP deaths by age from November 2010 to June 2011.	73
Figure 4.1: Feathers being washed in 2:1 Chloroform:Methanol mix in a fume hood at Massey University, Albany. Photo by Rosemary Barraclough.	86

Figure 4.2: Isotopic values for penguins and whole prey items. a) Lipids have been left in the prey and b) lipids removed. Key: Sp=sprats, Pil=Pilchards, FA=Fish A, FB=Fish B, TB=Tiritiri LP Blood, TF=Tiritiri LP Feathers, ZB=Zoo LP Blood, ZF=Zoo LP Feathers.90

Figure 4.2: Stable isotope feather analysis of Tiritiri Matangi LP adults 2009 (n=36) green triangle, 2010 (n=115) blue diamond and 2011 (n=111) red square \pm SE.95

Figure 4.3: Annual fluctuation of Tiritiri LP blood by month \pm SE (Oct 2010 to Sep 2011). a) $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ b) $\delta^{15}\text{N}$. Approximate lifestages overlaid at top of figure. 96

Figure 4.4: Stable isotope feather analysis of Tiritiri Matangi LP adults 2010 n=(115) blue diamond, 2011 (n=111) red square, Kawau Island adults 2010 (n=3) orange circle and Rescued Birds (n=10) pink triangle \pm SE.97

Figure 4.5: Blood stable isotope values of three potential sibling chicks (blue diamonds) taken October 2010 versus all other individual chick blood stable isotope values (pink squares) from October and November 2010.98

Figure 5.1: Direct comparison of Fatty Acid composition of Fish A (light blue), Fish B (grey), pilchards (orange), LP (dark blue), squid (pink) and King Penguins (green). 112

List of Tables

Table 2.1: Breeding success recorded on Tiritiri Matangi Island.....	40
Table 2.2: Comparison of nest type against known chick deaths.....	41
Table 3.1: Cause of death necropsied LP.....	71
Table 3.2: Number of LP necropsied.....	72
Table 4.1: Stable isotope results of whole prey lipids in and lipids out.....	89
Table 4.2: Mean isotopic figures (\pm SE) of zoo LP.....	91
Table 4.3: Published mean discrimination factors for seabirds.....	92
Table 4.4: Stable isotope results (\pm SE) from Tiritiri Matangi post-moult LP..	93
Table 5.1: Fatty acid composition (mass % by FA total).....	110
Table 5.2: Proportions of types of fatty acids in sample species.....	113
Table 6.1: Mark recapture data from May trial and August pilot study.....	123
Table 6.2: Estimate of minimum LP breeding population.....	124
Table 6.3: Previous LP count data by students on Tiritiri Matangi Island...	125