Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

Oral processing of heterogeneous foods

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements

for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy in Food Science

at Massey University, New Zealand

Scott Christopher Hutchings 2011

Abstract

Food manufacturers could potentially benefit from foods designed to influence mastication and the breakdown of food into a bolus. Mastication and the properties of the food bolus have been linked to the sensory and nutritional properties of foods. This research aimed to investigate the mastication and particle size distribution of the food bolus of heterogeneous food systems, where one food component is combined with another, with a view to indentifying parameters that influence mastication and the food bolus. A range of matrices of contrasting physical properties, which were embedded with peanut pieces of contrasting physical properties, were investigated.

Trials involved serving these heterogeneous foods to subjects standardized by volume (concluded as the most suitable serving method following an investigation of natural bite size). Subjects were asked to chew and expectorate the bolus (where the number of chews and chewing time were recorded) before the matrix of the expectorated bolus was washed away to isolate the peanut particles, and the peanut particle size distributions determined using image analysis. A Rosin-Rammler function was fitted to the cumulative distribution data of each bolus to derive peanut particle size parameters (d_{50} and broadness (b)).

Results demonstrated that in heterogeneous food systems the presence of one food component (the matrices) can alter the breakdown of another food component (the peanuts) embedded inside that matrix. The properties of the matrix influenced mastication, the rate of peanut particle size reduction, and the spread of the distribution of peanut particle size inside the matrix, but did not influence the d_{50} of the peanut particle size distribution inside the bolus. Peanut properties did not influence mastication, but influenced the d_{50} of the peanut particle size distribution, the rate of particle size reduction, and the retention of peanuts in the bolus. It is postulated that the properties of the matrices largely influence the probability teeth contact peanut particles (known as the selection function), and the properties of the peanuts largely influence particle fracture per chew (known as the breakage function).

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank my supervisors, Dr Kylie Foster, Professor John Bronlund, Professor Roger Lentle, Professor Jim Jones, and Mr Marco Morgenstern, for their help and encouragement during my PhD. Without their supervision this thesis would not be in your hands.

Thanks also to fellow staff at Massey University in Albany who have provided me with assistance and guidance during this time. Particular mention must be given to Dr Eustina Oh, Associate Professor Al Neilson, Associate Professor Marie Wong, and Dr John Grigor. I would also like to acknowledge the friendship of other students at the Albany campus who helped maintain my sanity over a busy few years.

I feel obliged in this section of my thesis to finish up with a profound and philosophical statement. The best I could come with is a quotation by social commentator Karl Pilkington, who once said "Any problem solved is a new problem made". This thesis is typical of any piece of science where the quest for greater knowledge and understanding will always continue.

This research was funded through Plant and Food Research by the New Zealand Foundation for Research, Science and Technology under contract C02X0401, Lifestyle Foods for Energy Balance. The time given by all subjects who took part is greatly appreciated.

Experiments conducted in Chapter 4 were registered as low risk with the Massey University ethics committee. Experiments conducted in Chapter 6 (Southern A Application 08/17) and Chapters 7-10 (Southern A (Application 09/24) were approved by the Massey University ethics committee.

List of publications and presentations

Publications in international journals

- Hutchings, S.C., Bronlund, J.E., Lentle, R.G., Foster, K.D., Jones, J.R., & Morgenstern, M.P. (2009). Variation of bite size with different types of food bars and implications for serving methods in mastication studies. *Food Quality and Preference*, 20, 456-460 (Appendix G).
- Hutchings, S.C., Foster, K.D., Bronlund, J.E., Lentle, R.G., Jones, J.R., & Morgenstern, M.P. (2011). Mastication of heterogeneous foods: Peanuts inside two different food matrices. Food Quality and Preference, In Press, Corrected Proof (Appendix H).

Conference presentations (oral and poster presentations)

- Hutchings, S.C., Foster, K.D., Bronlund, J.E., Lentle, R.G., Jones, J.R., & Morgenstern, M.P. (2010). 'The importance of chewing in food technology'. Oral presentation, NZIFST annual conference, Auckland, New Zealand.
- Hutchings, S.C., Foster, K.D., Bronlund, J.E., Lentle, R.G., Jones, J.R., & Morgenstern, M.P. (2010). 'Selection of subjects for mastication studies'. Poster presentation, Food oral processing, international conference, Leeds, UK.
- Hutchings, S.C., Foster, K.D., Bronlund, J.E., Lentle, R.G., Jones, J.R., & Morgenstern, M.P. (2010). 'Mastication of heterogeneous foods: peanuts inside food matrices'. Poster presentation, Food oral processing, international conference, Leeds, UK.
- Hutchings, S.C., Foster, K.D., Bronlund, J.E., Lentle, R.G., Jones, J.R., Sun, C., & Morgenstern, M.P. (2009). 'Mastication of peanuts embedded inside different food matrices'. Oral presentation, NZIFST annual conference, Christchurch, New Zealand.
- Hutchings, S.C., Foster, K.D., Bronlund, J.E., Lentle, R.G., Jones, J.R., Sun, C., & Morgenstern, M.P. (2009). 'Mastication of peanuts embedded inside food matrices: A pilot study'. Poster presentation, Delivery of functionality in complex food systems, international conference, Wageningen, the Netherlands.
- Hutchings, S.C., Foster, K.D., Bronlund, J.E., Lentle, R.G., Jones, J.R., & Morgenstern, M.P. (2008). 'Variation of bite size with different types of food bars and implications for serving methods in mastication studies'. Oral presentation, Biomouth symposium 2008, Rotorua, New Zealand.

Table of contents

Chapter 1: Introduction	1
Chapter 2: Literature review	4
2.1 Purpose of mastication	4
2.2 Anatomy and physiology of the mouth	6
2.3 The mastication process	14
2.4 Nutritional importance of mastication	32
2.5 The influence of mastication on sensory perception	35
2.6 The influence of physiological variables on chewing behaviour	38
2.7 The influence of food variables on chewing behaviour	41
2.8 Measuring and monitoring mastication	53
2.9 Conclusions from the literature	59
Chapter 3: Methodology and method development	61
3.1 Method development	61
3.2 General methodology	80
Chapter 4: An investigation of natural bite size in a population and the	
development of a method to standardize serving size	84
4.1 Introduction	84
4.2 Methodology	85
4.3 Results	89
4.4 Discussion	93
4.5 Conclusions	95
4.6 Applications for serving methods in mastication studies	96
4.7 The method implemented for this project	97
Chapter 5: Selection of subjects in mastication studies	99
5.1 Introduction	99
5.2 Assessment of the health and dental status of the applicants	101
5.3 Assessment of oral processing characteristics	102
5.4 Example of selecting a subject	104
Chapter 6: Serving peanuts prepared inside different matrices	107
6.1 Introduction	107
6.2 Methodology	108
6.3 Results	111
6.4 Discussion	117
6.5 Conclusion	119

Chapter 7: Serving peanuts manually inserted into different matrices after		
preparation	120	
7.1 Introduction	120	
7.2 Methodology	122	
7.3 Results	125	
7.4 Discussion	128	
7.5 Conclusion	130	
Chapter 8: Identification of parameters to manipulate particle size in the fo	od	
bolus	131	
8.1 Introduction	131	
8.2 Methodology	134	
8.3 Results	139	
8.4 Discussion	154	
8.5 Conclusions	158	
Chapter 9: Particle breakdown dynamics of peanuts embedded inside differ	ent	
food matrices	159	
9.1 Introduction	159	
9.2 Methodology	161	
9.3 Results	165	
9.4 Discussion	178	
9.5 Conclusion	182	
Chapter 10: The influence of peanuts and food matrices on mastication and	the	
peanut particle size distribution of the bolus in a population (multiple subje	ct	
study)	183	
10.1 Introduction	183	
10.2 Methodology	185	
10.3 Results	189	
10.4 Discussion	197	
10.5 Conclusion	202	
Chapter 11: Overall discussion and conclusions	203	
11.1 Overall discussion	203	
11.2 Conclusions	211	
11.3 Recommendations for future research	212	
References	214	
Appendices	230	

List of figures

Figure	Title	Page
		number
1-1	Diagrammatical representation of the chewing process and its	2
	outcomes, illustrating the influence of food and human variables.	
2-1	Components of the human body critical for mastication.	6
2-2	Cross section of a tooth.	7
2-3	Permanent teeth of the mouth.	8
2-4	Orientation of the main muscles involved in mastication.	10
2-5	A model for the process of feeding.	15
2-6	The trajectory of the mandible during a typical chewing cycle.	17
2-7	The concept of the selection function.	21
2-8	The concept of the breakage function.	23
2-9	Cracking away from the cusps.	24
2-10	Cracking as a result of indentation.	24
2-11	Amplitude of the mean voltage of the first 10 cycles while chewing	25
	meat and wafers.	
2-12	The process of swallowing.	28
2-13	A model describing the requirements for swallowing.	30
2-14	The cohesive force of the bolus plotted against the number of chews.	31
2-15	Transport of flavours from the food to the taste buds and olfactory	36
	receptors.	
2-16	Relationship between muscle work and meat tenderness assessment	44
	during chewing.	
2-17	Vertical and lateral movement while chewing hard and soft chewing	51
	gum.	
2-18	Differences in chewing paths between elastic and plastic food.	52
3-1	The concept test food: A continuous food matrix embedded with an	62
	internal test piece.	
3-2	Peanut pieces (quarters) as served in the current study.	63
3-3	Washing the matrix away, using warm water across a sieve, to isolate	67
	peanut particles.	
3-4	The isolated peanut particles for image analysis, sitting in ethanol	67
	inside a petri dish.	
3-5	Grey scale image of peanut particles extracted from a bolus.	69
3-6	The same peanut particles after a binary image was applied using	69
	Image J.	
3-7	The same peanut particles after Image J has run the particle count and	70
	watershed.	
3-8	Replicate cumulative particle size distribution of the same peanut	70
	bolus.	
3-9	Estimated cumulative particle weight distribution using image analysis.	72
3-10	Cumulative particle weight distribution using wet sieving	72
3-11	Cumulative particle size distribution of peanut particles after storage in	74
-	ethanol.	• •
3-12	Cumulative particle size distribution of peanut particles after storage	76
-	inside chocolate and gelatine gel matrices.	

3-13	A typical cumulative area distribution of bolus peanut particles with the	79
	Rosin-Rammler fit.	0.0
3-14	Typical set up or a single test sample.	80
3-15	A general TPA curve obtained from the Texture-Analyser.	83
4-1	Cumulative distribution of bite weight, volume, length and the number	91
	of chews for the second bite of 6 food bars.	
4-2	The aluminium mould used to prepare matrices.	98
5-1	Scatter plot showing the relationship between chewing behaviour of the	103
	Fruit and Nut bar and the other bars used in Chapter 4.	
5-2	The cumulative distribution of natural bite weight of 45 subjects for 6	104
	food bars	
5-3	The cumulative distribution of the number of chews of 45 subjects for 6	105
	food bars.	
6-1	Cumulative particle size distribution of peanut particles in the food	115
	bolus where peanut pieces were prepared and served inside different	
	food matrices.	
6-2	Cumulative particle size distribution of peanut particles in the food	116
	bolus where peanuts were served WITHOUT matrices (after being	
	prepared inside different food matrices).	
6-3	The relationship between d_{50} (estimated sieve size at which 50% of the	117
	bolus area of peanut particles would pass), moisture content, and the	
	number of chews during mastication of peanuts served inside matrices,	
	and served after removal from matrices.	10
7-1	Cumulative particle size distribution of peanut particles in the food	126
	bolus where peanuts were prepared and served inside different food	
0 1	matrices.	1.40
8-1	Cumulative peanut particle size distribution of the bolus after	142
	mastication peanuts served inside gelatine gel and chocolate matrices at	
8-2	high and low moisture contents. Breakage properties of peanuts: Cumulative particle size distribution of	143
0-4	peanut fragments after a single compression to 50% strain using a flat	143
	cylindrical probe	
8-3	Cumulative peanut particle size distribution in the bolus after	144
0-3	mastication of peanuts served inside different matrices at a low	1777
	concentration.	
8-4	Cumulative peanut particle size distribution after mastication of scone	148
0.	matrices containing large or small peanut pieces.	1.0
8-5	Cumulative peanut particle size distribution after mastication of peanuts	149
	containing saliva stimulants.	
8-6	Cumulative peanut particle size distribution after mastication of peanuts	153
	subjected to different heat treatments.	
9-1	Cumulative peanut particle size distribution in the food bolus at	168
	different points in the chewing sequence of chocolate and gelatine gel	
	matrices containing peanuts.	
9-2	d_{50} (sieve aperture at which 50 % of the particle size area would fall	169
	below) of the peanut particles in the food bolus at different points in the	
	chewing sequence inside chocolate and gelatine gel matrices.	

9-3	Broadness (<i>b</i>) of the peanut particle size distribution in the food bolus at different points in the chewing sequence inside chocolate and gelatine gel matrices.	169
9-4	The specific surface area of the peanut particles inside the food bolus at different stages in the chewing sequence inside chocolate and gelatine gel matrices.	170
9-5	The dry weight of peanuts remaining inside boli at different stages in the chewing sequence.	171
9-6	Total dry weight of boli recovered at different points in the chewing sequence.	172
9-7	Total wet weight of the bolus recovered (bolus expectorated + bolus recovered via a rinse) at different points in the chewing sequence.	175
9-8	Weight of boli recovered (from expectoration) at different points in the chewing sequence.	175
9-9	Weight of debris recovered from rinsing of the mouth after expectoration at different points in the chewing sequence.	176
9-10	The moisture content of the boli at different points in the chewing sequence.	177
10-1	TPA curve for the chocolate (solid line) and the gelatine gel (250 bloom) (dotted line).	190
10-2	Stress-strain curve of chocolate matrix (solid line) and a gelatine gel matrix (250 bloom) (dotted line).	191
10-3	Stress-strain curve of a dry peanut (solid line) and a moist peanut (dotted line) to the point of fracture.	191
10-4	Cumulative peanut particle size distributions obtained from the boli of all subjects for chocolate containing dry peanuts and moist peanuts, and gelatine gel containing dry peanuts and moist peanuts.	193
10-5	Mean cumulative peanut particle size distribution obtained from the bolus of gelatine gel containing dry or moist peanuts, or chocolate containing dry or moist peanuts.	195
10-6	Mean broadness (b) against mean d_{50} of the peanut particle size distribution obtained from the bolus of gelatine gel containing dry or moist peanuts, or chocolate containing dry or moist peanuts.	195

List of tables

Table	Title	Page number
2-1	The affect of different foods and textures on chewing (Koyahama et al., 2002).	41
3-1	Composition of Virginia cultivar peanuts used in this project supplied by Prolife Foods, Hamilton, New Zealand	63
3-2	Estimating the loss of dry of weight from peanut particles during storage in ethanol.	77
4-1	Food properties of the bars.	89
4-2	Bite size (weight, volume, and length) and the corresponding number of chews from six food bars for the second bite.	92
5-1	Pearson correlation coefficients between Fruit and Nut and other bars among 45 subjects.	102
5-2	The screening data using the Fruit and Nut bar and decisions made for each applicant.	106
6-1	The bite weight and number of chews of a Fruit and Nut bar of a previous population studied and the selected subject.	108
6-2	Properties of the test foods.	112
6-3	Effects of matrices on parameters of mastication of test foods and particle size distribution of peanuts particles in the food bolus.	113
6-4	Effects of preparation inside different matrices on parameters of mastication of peanut pieces and resulting particle size distribution of peanut particles in the food bolus: Peanuts prepared inside the matrix and REMOVED before mastication (WITHOUT matrices).	114
7-1	The bite weight and number of chews of a Fruit and Nut bar of a previous population studied and the selected subject.	122
7-2	Properties of the test foods.	125
7-3	Mastication of peanuts embedded inside four different matrices: Parameters of mastication, particle size, and bolus retention.	127
7-4	Mastication of four different matrices with peanuts removed: Parameters of mastication.	127
8-1	The bite weight and number of chews of a Fruit and Nut bar of a previous population studied and the selected subject.	134
8-2	Properties of the matrices.	139
8-3	Properties of the peanuts.	139
8-4	Mastication of different matrices containing peanuts at different moisture contents.	141
8-5	Mastication of different matrices containing peanuts at lower concentrations.	145
8-6	Mastication of a scone matrix containing peanuts of a different initial particle size.	147
8-7	Mastication of peanuts containing saliva stimulants. Parameters of mastication.	150
8-8	Mastication of peanuts subjected to different heat treatments. Parameters of mastication.	152

9-1	The bite weight and number of chews of a Fruit and Nut bar of a	161
	previous population studied and the selected subject.	
9-2	Serving weights of the test foods.	162
9-3	Properties of the matrices.	165
10-1	Serving weights of the test foods.	186
10-2	Properties of the test foods.	190
10-3	The effect of food matrices and peanut type on the mastication and	196
	bolus parameters.	