Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

Using the Behavioural Paediatric Feeding Assessment Scale to Identify Fussy Eaters, and Their Adherence to Dietary Guidelines

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

Master in Science in Human Nutrition

at Massey University, Albany, New Zealand

Sara Sayaka Hashimoto

2015

Copyright is owned by the author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purposes of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the author.

Abstract

Background: Childhood feeding issues range from problems with few immediate health risks to significant problems requiring medical intervention. Fussy eating is implicated in low critical nutrient intake and poor eating habits that could risk later chronic disease. A simple tool to assess fussy eating is not available and it is unknown whether fussy eaters risk subsequent poor adherence to dietary guidelines. The Behavioural Paediatric Feeding Assessment Scale (BPFAS) is a parent-response tool designed to measure feeding issues in children. DICE was developed to measure adherence to NZ Ministry of Health (MoH) food and nutrition guidelines.

Aims: Primary aim: To determine whether the BPFAS can be used to identify young children who are fussy eaters and at risk of not adhering to MoH food and nutrition guidelines. Secondary aim: To identify risk factors for poor adherence to MoH food and nutrition guidelines, and higher incidence of problem mealtime behaviours. Objectives were to a) determine whether a higher score on the BPFAS facilitates the identification of young children as fussy eaters, b) to determine whether a higher score on the BPFAS and/or parental perception of their child as a fussy eater relates to poor adherence to MoH food and nutrition guidelines and c) to identify risk factors for poor adherence to MoH food and nutrition guidelines as measured by DICE, and higher incidence of problem mealtime behaviours as measured by the BPFAS.

Methods: 1959 parents of New Zealand 2 to 4 year old children were recruited through online- and print-media to complete an online questionnaire about their child's eating. 570 were excluded based on age, place of residence and lack of consent. Data was collected on: incidence of problem mealtime behaviours using the Total Frequency Score (TFS) from BPFAS; adherence to Ministry of Health (MoH) food and nutrition guidelines using the Dietary Index for a Child's Eating (DICE); parental perceptions of fussiness; and medical history and dietary restrictions related to feeding problems. Pearson's chi-square tests were used to examine associations between BPFAS and parental perceptions of fussiness and the association of DICE with BPFAS and parental perceptions of fussiness respectively. Children were stratified into those with and without risk factors for feeding issues and independent t-tests and Mann-Whitney U tests were conducted to ascertain if

any significant differences between groups existed with regard to DICE and BPFAS scores.

Results: 22.7% of children scored 81 or more on the TFS (range: 36-141) and were stratified into the clinical feeding problem group. TFS for normative and problem groups were 62.6±9.98 and 92.4±10.5 respectively. The problem group had poorer DICE (range: 49-114) scores (81.9±12.3) than normative group (91.8±9.23). There were overall moderately strong inverse correlations (r = -0.45, p < 0.001) between DICE and TFS, and between DICE and parentally-perceived fussiness score (r = -0.42, p < 0.001). A strong positive correlation between TFS and parentally-perceived fussiness score (r = 0.72, p<0.001) was also found. These relationships remained significant when analysis was repeated only on the normative group. TFS was worse in children who had: problems breastfeeding (72.1±16.5 vs 67.8±15.5) and starting solids (77.6±19.2 vs 68.3±15.3); autism (85±25.0 vs 69.2±15.9); medical problems affecting feeding (80.9±18.2 vs 69.2±16.0) and not affecting feeding (75.5±17.0 vs 69.1±15.9); eating difficulties (84.9±19.4 vs 69.1±15.8); parental perception of underweight (77.8±17.9 vs 68.0±15.2 and 67.0±16.2 for average and overweight); and parental concern about weight (82.1±18.1 vs 67.8±15.0), than those who did not. DICE was worse in children who had: problems starting solids (84.9±11.5 vs 90.1±10.7); developmental delay (82.8±12.9 vs 89.7±10.9); eating difficulties (80.9±14.5 vs 89.8±10.8); parental perception of underweight (86.0±11.9 vs 90.12±10.7 and 90.7±10.0 for average and overweight); and parental concern about weight (84.7±12.9 vs 90.2±10.5), than those who did not.

Conclusion: These results indicate that children with higher TFS have higher incidences of problem mealtime behaviours and adhere less to MoH food and nutrition guidelines than normative eaters. Children in the higher end of the normative range for TFS are also classed as fussy eaters by their parents, suggesting the BPFAS can be used to identify fussy eaters.

Problems with breastfeeding and starting solids, autism, medical problems, eating difficulties, parental perception of underweight, and parental concern about weight appear to be red flags for problem mealtime behaviours. Indicators for poor adherence to guidelines may be: breastfeeding problems, developmental delay, eating difficulties, parental perception of underweight and parental concern about weight.

Acknowledgements

I would like to acknowledge the contribution of a number of people for their involvement in and support during this research. Without the expertise and insight of both my academic supervisors Dr. Cath Conlon and Dr. Pamela von Hurst, this thesis could not have been completed. Your thorough and detailed feedback helped turned what I feared could just be enthusiasm into good science. Thank you.

Thanks to Niki Bezzant and Sonia Yoshioka-Braid for their help with recruitment, and Kathryn Beck for her advice on developing the Dietary Index for a Child's Eating. I would also like to acknowledge the help of Aaron Schiff who provided statistical guidance.

I am so grateful to my mother and stepfather Margaret and John Bukowski for their financial and emotional support over the course of this whole change of direction in my life - you have two scientists in the family now! Thank you also to my father and stepmother Makoto and Seiko Hashimoto for their moral and practical support. I always feel like you are there for me. Arigatou.

Finally, thank you to Ben Temple for being my sounding board and editor, for your Excel help and your constant and unstinting emotional, moral and financial support. Your understanding, support and love are everything to me, and without them this challenge would have been only a struggle, not the journey it has been.

Table of Contents

ΑI	bstract	ii
A	cknowledgements	v
ΑI	bbreviations	x
1.	Introduction	1
	1.1 Background	1
	1.2 Purpose of the Study	5
	1.3 Aims and Objectives	6
	1.4 Thesis Structure	7
	1.5 Researchers' Contributions	7
2.	Literature Review	8
	2.1 Focus and Scope	8
	2.2 Why are Feeding Issues a Concern?	9
	2.3 Fussy Eating: Definition and Prevalence	14
	2.4 The Development of Fussy Eating	16
	2.5 Nutrient Intakes, Weight and Growth	21
	2.6 Predictors and Correlates of Fussy Eating Behaviours at Mealtime	. 24
	2.7 Measures of Food Fussiness, Mealtime Behaviours, Adherence to MoH Food and Nutrition Guidelines and Parental Perceptions of Fussy Eating	
	2.8 MOH Food and Nutrition Guidelines	31
	2.9 Measuring Nutritional Adequacy	34
	2.10 Summary	. 43
3.	Methods	45
	3.1 Study Design	45
	3.2 Ethical Approval	45
	3.3 Study Population	. 45
	3.4 Informed Consent	. 47
	3.5 Data Collection and Questionnaire	. 47
	3.6 Measures to Assess Fussy Eating, Parental Perceptions of Fussy Eating and Feeding Behaviours	49
	3.7 Assessment of Adherence to MoH Food and Nutrition Guidelines: Dietary Index for a Chi Eating (DICE) (Section Three of Questionnaire)	
	3.8 Assessing Risk Factors for Feeding Problems, Medical History Related to Feeding Behaviours, Dietary Restrictions and Parental Perception of Weight	58
	3.9 Collection of Demographic Data	58
	3.10 Dissemination of Results and Feedback to Participants	
	3 11 Statistical Analysis	59

4.	Results	63
	4.1 Participants	63
	4.2 Behavioural Paediatric Feeding Assessment Scale (BPFAS)	65
	4.3 Dietary Index for a Child's Eating (DICE) Score	67
	4.4 Children's Eating Behaviour Questionnaire Fussiness Sub Scale (CEBQ-FSS)	69
	4.5 Parental Perception of Fussiness Likert Type Item Score (LTIS)	70
	4.6 Effect of Risk Factors, Medical History and Dietary Restrictions on BPFAS, DICE, CEBQ-FSS and Parental Perception of Fussiness LTIS	
	4.7 Associations Between BPFAS, DICE, CEBQ-FSS and Parental Perception of Fussiness LTIS	. 75
	4.8 Effect of Parental Perception of Weight on BPFAS, DICE, CEBQ-FSS and Parental Perception of Fussiness LTIS	. 77
	4.9 Effect of Parental Concern About Weight on BPFAS, DICE, CEBQ-FSS and Parental Perception of Fussiness LTIS	. 78
	4.10 Factors Contributing to DICE Scores	. 79
5.	Discussion	. 81
	5.1 Characteristics of Study Population	. 82
	5.2 Behavioural Paediatric Feeding Assessment Scale (BPFAS)	. 84
	5.3 Dietary Index for a Child's Eating (DICE) Score	86
	5.4 Children's Eating Behaviour Questionnaire Fussiness Sub Scale (CEBQ-FSS)	88
	5.5 Parental Perception of Fussiness Likert Type Item Score (LTIS)	89
	5.6 Effect of Risk Factors, Medical History and Dietary Restrictions on Mealtime Behaviours, Adherence to MoH Food and Nutrition Guidelines and Parental Fussiness Perceptions	89
	5.7 Parental Perceptions and Concern About their Child's Weight	93
6.	Conclusion	95
	6.1 Summary of the Study	95
	6.2 Conclusions	96
	6.3 Limitations	97
	6.4 Strengths	99
	6.5 Recommendations for Future Studies	101
7.	Reference List	102
8.	Annendices	114

List of Tables

Table 1.1 Researchers' Contributions to the Study	7
Table 2.1 Tools Used to Assess Fussy Eating in Children	9
Table 2.2 Components of the Dietary Guideline Index According to the Dietary Guidelines for Australian Adults	9
Table 2.3 Methods of Assessing Nutritional Intake	2
Table 3.1 Behavioural Paediatric Feeding Assessment Scale Scores for Normative and Clinical Groups52	
Table 3.2 Components of the Dietary Index for a Child's Eating (DICE)58	5
Table 4.1 Demographic Characteristics of Participants68	5
Table 4.2 Behavioural Paediatric Feeding Assessment Scale Scores for All Participants and Normative/Clinical Feeding Problem Groups	7
Table 4.3 Dietary Index for a Child's Eating Scores for All Participants and Normative/Clinical Feeding Problem Groups	3
Table 4.4 Children's Eating Behaviour Questionnaire Fussiness Sub Scale Scores for All Participants and Normative/Clinical Feeding Problem Groups70	C
Table 4.5 Parental Perception of Fussiness LTIS for All Participants and Groups70	C
Table 4.6 Comparison of Behavioural Paediatric Feeding Assessment Scale Scores Between Yes/No Groups for Risk Factors for Feeding Issues and Medical History72	2
Table 4.7 Comparison of Dietary Index for a Child's Eating Scores Between Groups With and Without Risk Factors for a Feeding Issue or Dietary Restriction73	3
Table 4.8 Comparison of Parental Perception of Fussiness LTIS Between Groups With and Without Risk Factors for Feeding Issues and Medical History75	5
Table 4.9 Associations Between Behavioural Paediatric Feeding Assessment Scale, Dietary Index for a Child's Eating, Children's Eating Behaviour Questionnaire Fussiness Sub Scale Score and Parental Perception of Fussiness LTIS	
Table 4.10 Relationship Between Total Frequency Score, Total Problem Score, CEBQ Fussiness Sub Scale and Parental Perception of Fussiness LTIS in Normative and Clinical Feeding Problem Groups	
Table 4.11 Effect of Parental Perception of Weight on Behavioural Paediatric Feeding Assessment Scale, Dietary Index for a Child's Eating, Children's Eating Behaviour Questionnaire Fussiness Sub Scale Score and Parental Perception of Fussiness	8
Table 4.12 Effect of Parental Concern About Weight on Behavioural Paediatric Feeding Assessment Scale, Dietary Index for a Child's Eating, Children's Eating Behaviour Questionnaire Fussiness Sub Scale Score and Parental Perception of Fussiness LTIS 79	9
Table 4.13 Summary of Multiple Regression for Factors Contributing to Dietary Index for a Child's Eating Score (n = 1389)	C
Table 5.1 Comparison of Frequency Scores from the Current Study and the Literature	S

List of Figures

Figure 2.1 New Zealand policy context for the Food and Nutrition Guidelines for Healthy Children and Young People (Aged 2-18 Years): A Background Paper	33
Figure 4.1 Flow Diagram Outlining Recruitment and Final Number of Participants for Data Analysis	64
Figure 4.2 Relationship Between Dietary Index for a Child's Eating (DICE) and Behavioural Paediatric Feeding Assessment (BPFAS) Total Frequency Score	69

Abbreviations

AGHE Australian Guidelines for Healthy Eating

BPFAS Behavioural Paediatric Feeding Assessment Scale

CEBQ-FSS Children's Eating Behaviour Questionnaire Fussiness Sub Scale

CFS Child Frequency Score

CPS Child Problem Score

DGI Dietary Guideline Index

DGAA Dietary Guidelines for Australian Adults

DICE Dietary Index for a Child's Eating

DSM Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders

ECE Early Childhood Education

FHQ Food Habits and Attitudes Questionnaire

FFQ Food Frequency Questionnaire

HEAT Health Equity Assessment Tool

LTIS Likert Type Item Score

MoH New Zealand Ministry of Health

NNS Australian National Nutrition Survey

NRV Nutrient Reference Values

NZ New Zealand

OECD Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development

PBM Peak Bone Mass

PFS Parent Frequency Score

PPS Parent Problem Score

PPFussiness Parental Perception of Fussiness

RDI Recommended Daily Intake

TFS Total Frequency Score

TPS Total Problem Score

UNICEF United Nations Childrens' Fund

US United States

WHO World Health Organisation

YES Young Childrens' Eating Study

