Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

SWEDEN & NEW ZEALAND: A COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF AN ALTERNATIVE TO THE EMPLOYMENT CONTRACTS ACT 1991

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Philosophy in Social Policy at Massey University.

Christopher John Turner 1994

ABSTRACT

The Employment Contracts Act 1991 (ECA) was extremely controversial when it was introduced and it remains so to this day. In fact, the future of the ECA is very uncertain. Pressures are coming to bear upon it and it is possible that key aspects will be amended, or the Act repealed, in the near future.

This thesis analyses the current industrial relations frameworks in Sweden and New Zealand. An alternative to the ECA is sought with a view to alleviating what is clearly a very imbalanced and inequitable framework. The emphasis throughout has been placed upon the social policy consequences of the application of the market-liberal/classical economic model of perfect competition to the real world.

This analysis indicates that the most notable 'achievement' of the ECA (and the application of the perfectly competitive model to real world labour market problems), in all likelihood, lies in reinforcing greater income inequality (increasing wage dispersion or widening income gaps), particularly between those in strong versus weak labour market positions. Further, it does not provide, but reduces opportunity for many and, in fact, will not achieve much that it was claimed it would, without increasing income inequality. Further, it will be argued that an ECA style framework is likely to exacerbate unemployment, particularly amongst those who comprise the secondary labour market, even in a growing economy.

Any country, it seems, which applies the perfectly competitive model will suffer such an increase in inequality. Sweden is no exception. The rising level of unemployment, coupled with more 'performance oriented' pay schemes are examples of the increasing segmentation which is taking place within the Swedish labour market. However, it will be argued that the degree to which income inequality increases is very dependent upon the level of decentralisation/individualisation, and general marketisation, that takes place. A range of factors (all discussed in the body of this thesis) is important. Of key importance here is the level of collective bargaining that is retained. Without the ability to organise and act

collectively workers are in a very weak bargaining position relative to their employer, particularly when unemployment is high.

For much of its alleged 'success', the ECA, it will be argued, relies on the coercive elements of high unemployment and employment uncertainty coupled with an imbalance of power favouring employers. Generally, should skill shortages continue and/or unemployment drop substantially, this 'success' is likely to be undermined. Increased strikes (and lockouts) may well result. Rises in such activity are already apparent.

Finally, this thesis concludes by arguing for a system of contracting which provides for a greater degree of collective bargaining. Impediments to the effective operation of such a system are indicated. Two such impediments that are discussed are the low level of union density and voluntary unionism. Further, this thesis indicates that a constant testing of the ECA framework will occur before many New Zealand workers will be able to effectively protect their interests via some form of collective bargaining.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

A short acknowledgement here seems woefully inadequate when thanking people for their assistance with this thesis. It cannot express the full extent of my gratitude for the extensive and willing support, encouragement and criticism that has ultimately made this thesis possible. Nevertheless, whether I have mentioned you by name or not, to you all, my deepest thanks.

First, a general thank you to the staff of the Department of Social Policy and Social Work for your support and encouragement. Second, thanks also go to the Economics Department for providing me with a Graduate Assistantship which has enabled me to complete this thesis without the financial stress that now confronts many students.

Thanks go to the Swedish Embassy, the Swedish Ministry of Labour, the Swedish Employers' Confederation (SAF) and the Swedish Trade Union Confederation (LO) for providing me with information on Swedish industrial relations, and related issues. This information has proved integral to the issues discussed within these pages.

I wish to thank Peter Harris (New Zealand Council of Trade Unions - NZCTU), Ashley Russ (New Zealand Building Trades Union), Helen Clark (Labour), Gerard Hehir (Alliance candidate for the 1993 election), Maurice McTigue (National) and Will Hutton (Economics Editor, *The Guardian Weekly*). All of these people provided information which has helped clarify relevant issues. In this vein, a particular thanks goes to Paul McBride and Bronwen Morris - both Legal Officers of the Employment Institutions Information Centre.

Further, special thanks are due to Chris Eichbaum, Bruce Baker and Stuart Birks who have put up with interruptions from me simply to discuss ideas. All helped by clarifying a range of key themes and ideas. For the time that you all made available, I am extremely grateful.

Writing, as is evident, is never a solo effort and many people have taken time to look at sections of this thesis. To everyone who has contributed, whether in a small or large way, thank you. In this regard, my supervisors (Christine Cheyne and Gary Buurman) are due a very special mention, both for their support and criticism of my work. Further, to Christine a special thank you for your support and encouragement throughout this Masterate programme.

Many of the comments offered on this thesis have been included; still others, where I have disagreed with issues, have been adjusted or omitted. Regardless of their inclusion, or not, this type of feedback has been immensely appreciated. Any errors or omissions that may exist, however, are my own.

Finally, thanks go to the staff of the Massey University Library, the Dan Long Library and the Parliamentary Library who have all provided much needed assistance. In this regard particular thanks go to Jill Best, formerly of Massey.

Again, to you all, my deepest thanks.

ABBREVIATIONS

DoL

Department of Labour.

EC (or EU)

European Community (or European Union).

ECA

Employment Contracts Act 1991.

EEO

Equal Employment Opportunities.

EFTA

European Free Trade Association.

ERNZ

Employment Reports of New Zealand.

GATT

General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade.

GDP

Gross Domestic Product.

GNP

Gross National Product.

ICAA

Industrial Conciliation and Arbitration Act 1894.

ILO

International Labour Organisation.

Kommunal

Swedish Municipal Workers' Union.

KTK

Federation of Salaried Local Government Employees.

LO

Swedish Trade Union Confederation.

LRA

Labour Relations Act 1987.

MMP

Mixed Member Proportional Representation.

MRP

marginal revenue product.

Metall

Swedish Metalworkers' Union.

NAFTA

North American Free Trade Agreement.

NAIRU

non-accelerating-inflation rate of unemployment.

n.d.

no date.

NZCTU

New Zealand Council of Trade Unions.

NZHR

New Zealand House of Representatives.

NZIER

New Zealand Institute of Economic Research.

NZILR

New Zealand Industrial Law Reports.

OECD

Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development.

PTK

Federation of Salaried Employees in Industry and Services.

S

section.

SS

sections.

SACO

Swedish Confederation of Professional Associations (Central).

SACO-K

SACO division for Salaried Local Government Employees.

SACO-S

SACO division for State Employees.

SAF

Swedish Employers' Confederation.

SAV

National Agency for Government Employers.

SF

Swedish State Employers' Union.

SIF

Swedish Union of Clerical and Technical Employees.

TCO

Central Organisation of Salaried Employees.

TCO-S

TCO division for State Employees.

TUEA

Trade Union Education Authority.

UN

United Nations.

CONTENTS

DEDICATION	i
ABSTRACT	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iv
ABBREVIATIONS	vi
CHAPTER ONE - INTRODUCTION	1
CHAPTER TWO - THE COMPARATIVE METHODOLOGY	9
CHAPTER THREE - THE CURRENT NEW ZEALAND INDUSTRIAL RELATI	ONS 16
CHAPTER FOUR - THE SWEDISH SYSTEM IN THE 1990s AND PARALLELS W NEW ZEALAND	VITH 45
CHAPTER FIVE - A COMPARISON AND CRITIQUE OF UNDERLYING PRINCIPLE	S 86
CHAPTER SIX - CONCLUSION: TOWARDS AN ALTERNATIVE	104
APPENDICES - COMPARATIVE STATISTICS	114
BIBLIOGRAPHY	120