Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

STOCHASTIC PORTFOLIO PROGRAMMING,

COMPETITIVE MARKET EQUILIBRIA,

AND MARKET PORTFOLIOS AND RISK PROFILES:

A NEW ZEALAND CAPITAL MARKET ANALYSIS

A thesis presented in fulfilment of the requirements

for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Finance at Massey University

Martin Robert Young

1996

Dedicated to the memory of my mother Mary Isabel Young (nee Pickering) 1922 - 1990

ABSTRACT

Mainstream modern portfolio theory has developed around the portfolio selection and asset pricing models of Markowitz' mean-variance criterion, the Capital Asset Pricing Model, Arbitrage Pricing Theory and, more recently, the models of continuous-time finance.

In the early 1960's Paul van Moeseke developed a model of asset allocation under risk conditions and, in the first instance, this thesis is a restatement of this model. To date this model has been largely overlooked by mainstream finance but it has several significant features in its favour. The model explicitly determines the risk profiles of particular financial markets by focussing on the marginal return to these markets and equating this marginal return to the investment dollar's marginal cost. As marginal cost will differ between investors the model allows for a heterogeneous investor base. Another feature of the model is that it has application across the entire risk spectrum. This thesis discusses the Moeseke model within the framework of modern portfolio theory and provides extensions to this model.

In presenting the model, attention is given to the development and major criticisms of asset pricing models and portfolio selection techniques in general. Extensions to the model incorporate the monetary policy procedures used in New Zealand since the late 1980's and consider the application of the model in times of negative real returns. This

thesis also discusses the relationship between the Moeseke model and the Arrow-Debreu model of general economic equilibrium.

A major empirical application of the model is undertaken for New Zealand's capital markets to determine the value and stability of their risk profiles. It is found that the risk profile of the New Zealand stock market is similar to that found previously for the United States and Canada with a high degree of stability. Risk profiles for the fixed interest market and the managed funds industry are also estimated. The determination of the marginal cost of the investment dollar for individual investors, institutions and international investors investing in New Zealand's capital markets is a key component for the model's application. A process for estimating these marginal costs is proposed together with these estimates.

This thesis argues that the Moeseke model and the extensions have a useful contribution to make to the modern portfolio analysis and selection process.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

In 1982 I had the privilege of meeting and studying under Professor Paul van Moeseke when I took his Advanced Economic Theory paper at Massey University. This turned out to be one of the most significant events of my academic life and the introduction to advanced economic theory and stochastic portfolio programming that I acquired from Paul at this time formed the basis for most of my subsequent academic endeavours. Paul was my supervisor for this thesis until his departure from Massey University and I owe him a considerable debt. For his support, guidance and patience I shall always be grateful.

To Dr Peter Mellalieu and, later, Professor Lawrence Rose, who took over the supervision of this thesis after Paul's departure, and to John Williams for his willing assistance, I am sincerely grateful. Dr Mellalieu provided me with sound and steady guidance when most needed to ensure the completion of this work and Professor Rose has given considerable assistance and support since taking up the role of head of the Department of Finance at Massey University.

There are numerous others who have played an important role in my academic endeavours. In particular I wish to thank John Hicks, Jean Goldsmith and the late Jan Whitwell for their support, guidance and friendship. A debt is also owed to Ernestine Gross who presented a paper at Massey University in February 1992 which played an

important role in giving direction to certain aspects of this thesis. For their assistance with particular mathematical aspects of this thesis I thank Dr Julie Falkner and Dr Kee Teo and for assistance with data collection, word processing and presentation I thank Melanie Parry, Derryn Davidson and Gloriena Leung.

At Paul van Moeseke's economics class in 1982 I had the fortune of coming to know a classmate, Fong Mee Chin. She later became my wife. For her love and support and the love and support of our two children Daniel and Jeremy I shall always be sincerely grateful.

Finally I wish to thank my parents, my father Cedric and my late mother Mary for their endless love and support.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

			Page
	Abst	ract	iii
	Ackr	owledgements	v
	List	of Tables	xi
	List	of Figures and Graphs	XV
Chapter 1	The Problem and its Setting		1
	1.1	Introduction	1
	1.2	Statement of the Problem	3
	1.3	Statement of the Subproblems	3
	1.4	Hypotheses	8
	1.5	Delimitations	9
	1.6	Assumptions	10
	1.7	Importance of the Study	11
Chapter 2		Pricing Models and Portfolio Selection niques: A Framework and Criticisms	12
	2.1	Introduction	12
	2.2	Subjective and Objective Assessment of the Probability of Likely Outcomes and Savage's Personalistic Theory of Decision Making	14
	2.3	Markowitz Efficiency in Decision Making and an Introduction to the Moeseke Approach to Efficient Frontiers and Optimal Portfolios	19
	2.4	The Capital Asset Pricing Model and its Extensions	24
	2.5	Arhitrage Pricing Theory	44

			Page
	2.6	Criticisms and Limitations of Portfolio Selection Models and Asset Pricing Models	47
	2.7	The Relationship between Portfolio Theory and Economic Theory	68
Chapter 3		geneous Programming by the Truncated nax Criterion	72
	3.1	Introduction to the Truncated Minimax Criterion	72
	3.2	Efficient Portfolios	80
	3.3	Optimal Portfolios	85
	3.4	An Algorithm for Identifying Efficient and Optimal Portfolios	88
	3.5	Previous Extensions to the Homogeneous Programming by the Truncated Minimax Criterion Model	94
	3.6	The Relationship between the Homogeneous Programming by the Truncated Minimax Criterion Model and the Capital Asset Pricing Model	109
	3.7	The Rationale for Selecting the Homogeneous Programming by the Truncated Minimax Criterion Model	113
Chapter 4	Portfol Model	io Theory and the General Economic Equilibrium	115
	4.1	Introduction	115
	4.2	The Incorporation of Securities into the General Economic Equilibrium Model	120
	4.3	The Integration of the Major Theoretical Results of Finance Theory into General Economic Equilibrium Theory	121

			Page
	4.4	General Economic Equilibrium Theory and the Homogeneous Programming by the Truncated Minimax Criterion Model	130
Chapter 5		er Extensions to the Homogeneous Programming Truncated Minimax Criterion Model	133
	5.1	Introduction	133
	5.2	The Marginal Cost of Investing or the Minimum Risk Rate of Return	134
	5.3	Negative Real Returns	136
	5.4	The Moeseke Model and New Zealand Monetary Policy	139
	5.5	The Moeseke Model and New Zealand Taxation Law	148
	5.6	A Comparison of New Zealand and Offshore Investor Risks	152
Chapter 6	The Co	ompilation of the Data Base and Methodology Use	154
	6.1	Introduction	154
	6.2	The Data Sets Used for the Analysis	155
	6.3	Data Adjustment Requirements	164
	6.4	Determining the Marginal Cost of the Investment Dollar	170
Chapter 7	Result	s and Analysis	172
	7.1	Introduction	172
	7.2	Normality Tests for Returns Data Sets	172

			Page
	7.3	The Marginal Cost of the Investment Dollar	182
	7.4	Optimal Portfolios for Nominal Returns Data	191
	7.5	Optimal Portfolios for Real Returns Data	200
	7.6	The Value and Stability of the Risk Profiles of the Data Sets Analysed	203
Chapter 8	Conc	lusion	211
	Appe	ndices	
Appendix One		ronology of the Deregulation Process of the Zealand Economy 1984 - 1994	216
Appendix Two	Intere	st Rate Data	221
Appendix Three	Excha	ange Rate Data	225
Appendix Four	Inflat	ion Rate Data	229
Appendix Five	Norm	ality Test Results	231
Appendix Six	Unit l	Root Test Results	263
Appendix Seven	Optin	nal Portfolio Results	271
	Riblic	ngraphy	309

LIST OF TABLES

<u>Tables</u>		Page
3.1.1	The Truncated Minimax (and Maximax) Criterion	79
6.2.1.1	Selected Companies' Inclusion Periods and Their Percentage of the Total Market	157
6.2.1.2	New Zealand Stock Market Sectors	159
6.2.2.1	Managed Funds: New Zealand Fixed Interest	160
6.2.2.2	Managed Funds: New Zealand Equities	161
6.2.2.3	Managed Funds: New Zealand Balanced	162
7.2.1	Distribution of Returns for New Zealand Stock Market Company Data: Nominal Returns	174
7.2.2	Distribution of Returns for New Zealand Stock Market Company Data: Real Returns 175	
7.2.3	Distribution of Returns for New Zealand Stock Market Sector Data: Nominal Returns	175
7.2.4	Distribution of Returns for New Zealand Stock Market Sector Data: Real Returns	176
7.2.5	Distribution of Returns for Managed Funds Data: Nominal Returns	177
7.2.6	Distribution of Returns for Managed Funds Data: Real Returns	178
7.2.7	Distribution of Returns for Interest Rate Data: Nominal Returns	179
7.2.8	Distribution of Returns for Interest Rate Data: Real Returns	180

<u>Tables</u>		Page
7.3.1	Time Periods for Risk Profile and Portfolio Optimization Analysis	183
7.3.2	End of Period Interest Rates, Mean and Standard Deviation for Three Month Bank Deposits and 90 Day Bank Bills January 1987 - October 1995	185
7.3.3	Risk Adjusted Marginal Costs of the Investment Dollar	187
7.3.4	Risk Adjusted Marginal Costs of the Investment Dollar for Offshore Investors as Compared to Domestic Investors	190
7.4.1	New Zealand Stock Market Optimal Stock Portfolio Nominal Returns Period: January 1989 - October 1995 for Fortnightly Data	192
7.4.2	New Zealand Stock Market Optimal Sector Portfolio Nominal Returns Period: January 1989 - October 1995 for Monthly Data	194
7.4.3	New Zealand Fixed Interest Funds Optimal Portfolio Nominal Returns Period: January 1989 - October 1995 for Monthly Data	195
7.4.4	New Zealand Equity Funds Optimal Portfolio Nominal Returns Period: January 1989 - October 1995 for Monthly Data	195
7.4.5	New Zealand Balanced Funds Optimal Portfolio Nominal Returns Period: January 1989 - October 1995 for Monthly Data	196

<u>Tables</u>		Page
7.4.6	New Zealand Fixed Interest Optimal Portfolio Nominal Returns Period: January 1989 - October 1995 for Monthly Data	197
7.4.7	Optimal Stock Portfolios for Different Reserve Type Asset Holdings Nominal Returns Period: January 1989 - October 1995 for Fortnightly Data	199
7.5.1	New Zealand Stock Market Optimal Stock Portfolio Real Returns Period: January 1989 - September 1995 for Quarterly Data	201
7.5.2	New Zealand Stock Market Optimal Sector Portfolio Real Returns Period: January 1989 - September 1995 for Quarterly Data	201
7.5.3	New Zealand Fixed Interest Funds Optimal Portfolio Real Returns Period: January 1989 - September 1995 for Quarterly Data	202
7.5.4	New Zealand Equity Funds Optimal Portfolio Real Returns Period: January 1989 - September 1995 for Quarterly Data	202
7.5.5	New Zealand Balanced Funds Optimal Portfolio Real Returns Period: January 1989 - September 1995 for Quarterly Data	203
7.5.6	New Zealand Fixed Interest Optimal Portfolio Real Returns Period: January 1989 - September 1995 for Quarterly Data	203

<u>Tables</u>		Page
7.6.1a	Risk Profile Stability: Optimal m Values for each Time Period Nominal Returns	204
7.6.1b	Risk Profile Stability: Optimal m Values for each Time Period Nominal Returns	205
7.6.2	Risk Profiles for the New Zealand Stock Market Monthly Nominal Indices Data	209

LIST OF FIGURES AND GRAPHS

Figures and Graphs		
2.4.1.1	CAPM and Heterogeneous Expectations Regarding Expected Return	28
2.4.4.1	CAPM with a Riskless Asset	33
2.4.4.2	CAPM with no Riskless Asset	33
2.6.4.1	CAPM with Limitless Lending or Borrowing	64
2.6.4.2	The Markowitz Model and the Minimum Variance Portfolio	65
3.1.1	The Truncated Minimax Rule for Two Competing Distributions	77
3.6.1	Optimal Portfolios by the Homogeneous Programming by the Truncated Minimax Criterion Model and by the CAPM	110
7.4.1	Marginal Return to the Investment Dollar. New Zealand Stock Market Stock Portfolios - Nominal Returns Period January 1989 - October 1995 for Fortnightly Data	193