Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

STRATEGY INSTRUCTION, METACOGNITIVE TRAINING AND ATTRIBUTION RETRAINING: A COMBINED APPROACH FOR REMEDIATING SECONDARY STUDENTS' READING COMPREHENSION DIFFICULTIES.

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Education at Massey University

Jan Erica Horrex 1992

ABSTRACT

Students who adequately decode but have difficulty comprehending what they read, often possess a limited range of comprehension strategies. Furthermore, they often lack the metacognitive knowledge to use these strategies effectively. These two factors limit the likelihood of students experiencing success on a range of academic activities. As a consequence these students often develop dysfunctional attributional beliefs which also negatively impact on future learning.

In the present study, 39 students with comprehension difficulties participated in an intervention programme comparing the effects of strategy-plus-attribution training, strategy-only training, and no training conditions on reading comprehension.

The results revealed no significant improvement in students' reading comprehension. However, there was a significant improvement in students' use of comprehension strategies. A number of reasons have been suggested, which could explain why the increased use of strategies did not translate into improvements in reading comprehension. In light of these suggestions, several recommendations have been made regarding the future development and implementation of reading comprehension intervention programmes.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am indebted to the Ministry of Education for granting me leave under the Research Affiliateship Scheme. The opportunity to undertake full time research has been most appreciated.

I am especially grateful to my supervisor and mentor, Dr. James Chapman. His encouragement, support and guidance, throughout this year and in years past, have been invaluable. In addition, I would like to thank Professor William Tunmer for his timely advice.

To the principals who allowed me access to their schools, to the teaching staff who were most supportive, and to the pupils who participated in this study, I am very grateful.

I also wish to acknowledge the assistance so willingly given by Julie Hall, Trevor Atkins and Shane Ogier.

I deeply appreciate the love and support of my parents, grandparents, sister and brothers. A special thanks must go to my children, Katie and Nicole, for their tolerance and understanding.

Finally I wish to thank Dave. His constant encouragement and willing assistance have enabled me to reach my goal.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| Chapter | Page |
|--------------|-------------------------------------|
| I | Introduction 1 |
| II | Review of Literature and Research 6 |
| | Reading Comprehension 6 |
| | Strategy Instruction |
| | Metacognitive Training 17 |
| | Attribution Retraining |
| | Strategy, Metacognitive, and |
| | Attribution Training Combined 33 |
| | Other Issues |
| | Summary and Hypotheses |
| III | Method 41 |
| | Sample |
| | Design |
| | Instruments 44 |
| | Procedure |
| | Intervention Programme |
| | Data Analysis |
| IV | Results |
| | Selection Test |
| | Comprehension Test Results |
| | Strategy Measures |
| | Attribution Measures 100 |
| 9 3 5 | Post Programme Interview Data 105 |
| V | Discussion |
| | Conclusion |
| | Educational Implications |
| | Suggestions for Future Research |
| References . | |

| Appendix A | | • | | | • | | | | | | • | • | | | | | | | • | | • | • | • 10 | 138 |
|------------|---|----|------|------|---|--|------|-----|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|------|-----|
| Appendix B | | | | | | | | • | | | | | | | | | | | | • | | | | 140 |
| Appendix C | | | | | | | | · • | | | | | | | | | | • | | | • | | | 142 |
| Appendix D | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Appendix E | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Appendix F | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Appendix G | • | ٠. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | • | | | | • | | | 146 |

LIST OF TABLES

| Table | Pag | e |
|-------|--|---|
| 1 | Number of Words Per Passage and the Reading | |
| | Age of Each Passage | 5 |
| 2 | Summary ANOVA for TORCH Scores | 4 |
| 3 | Pretest and Posttest Means and Standard Deviations | |
| | for TORCH 9 | 5 |
| 4 | Summary ANOVA for Recall Scores 9. | 5 |
| 5 | Pretest and Posttest Means and Standard Deviations | |
| | for Recall Tests | 6 |
| 6 | Summary ANOVA for PAT Comprehension Scores 9 | 7 |
| 7 | Pretest and Posttest Means and Standard Deviations | |
| | for PAT Comprehension Scores | 7 |
| 8 | Summary ANOVA for Posttest Comprehension Test 98 | 8 |
| 9 | Pretest and Posttest Means and Standard Deviations | |
| | for Comprehension Test 98 | 8 |
| 10 | Summary ANOVA for M.S.I. Scores 99 | 9 |
| 11 | Pretest and Posttest Means and Standard Deviations | |
| | for M.S.I | 9 |
| 12 | Summary ANOVA for Strategy Usage 100 | 0 |
| 13 | Pretest and Posttest Means and Standard Deviations | |
| | for Strategy Usage 100 | 0 |
| 14 | Summary ANOVA for Success Attributions | 1 |
| 15 | Pretest and Posttest Means and Standard Deviations | |
| £0 | for Success Attributions | 1 |
| 16 | Summary ANOVA for Failure Attributions | 2 |
| 17 | Pretest and Posttest Means and Standard Deviations | |
| | for Failure Attributions | 2 |
| 18 | Summary ANOVA for IAR - Success | 3 |

| VII | |
|-----|--|
| | |
| | |

| 19 | Pretest and Posttest Means and Standard Deviations | |
|----|--|-----|
| | for IAR - Success | 103 |
| 20 | Summary ANOVA for IAR - Failure | 104 |
| 21 | Pretest and Posttest Means and Standard Deviations | |
| | for IAR - Failure | 104 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure | | | | | | | | | | | | | F | a | ge |
|--------|-----------------------|--|------|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|---|---|----|
| 1 | Comprehension Results | | | | | • | | | | | | | | • | 93 |