Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

Visitor Perceptions of Natural Hazards at Whakapapa and Turoa Ski Areas, Mt Ruapehu

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

Master of Philosophy in Geography

at Massey University, Palmerston North, New Zealand.

Celeste N. Milnes

2010

Abstract of a thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of M.Phil. (Geography)

Visitor Perceptions of Natural Hazards at Whakapapa and Turoa Ski Areas,

Mt Ruapehu

By C. N. Milnes

Whakapapa and Turoa are ski areas located on the active volcano Mt Ruapehu, in the Central North Island of New Zealand. Mt Ruapehu is located within Tongariro National Park, one of the 14 National Parks administered by the Department of Conservation (DoC). Visitors to Whakapapa and Turoa ski areas encounter an array of hazards, including icy slopes, ragged cliffs and drop-offs, and thousands of other mountain users. Hazards unique to Whakapapa and Turoa include the threat to human safety from lahars, ash falls, pyroclastic flows, erosion, rock falls, crevassing and ballistic bombs due to the active volcanic nature of this mountain. Managing these hazards at Mt Ruapehu is complex due to the number of factors involved. This dynamic site hosts visitors who are moderately experienced and prepared, but may be complacent about the danger to personal safety within these areas.

The intention of this research was to investigate how the public perceives hazards at Whakapapa and Turoa ski areas at Mt Ruapehu, and look at the particular hazards to which visitors feel they have been exposed. In order to identify gaps in public awareness of hazards, the current study at Whakapapa and Turoa ski areas involved surveying 400 members of the public, analysing the output from these surveys, and conducting semi-structured interviews with staff from Ruapehu Alpine Lifts, Institute of Geological and Nuclear Science and DoC. RAL safety management staff, DoC staff, and GNS scientists were spoken to in regard to their role as hazard communicators through identification of ways that they present safety messages to ski area visitors.

A basic demographic profile of visitors to Whakapapa and Turoa ski areas was identified and problems of communicating risk to ski area users in terms of their tendency to overestimate ability and take significant risk were outlined. Visitors to Whakapapa and Turoa ski areas were shown to have only moderate awareness of hazards and a number of suggestions for ski area management are provided. The author has identified a number of areas where the public's knowledge and practice around hazards is lacking and has made recommendations for the stakeholders.

Key words: hazards, hazard perceptions, hazard management, visitor management, risk perceptions, Turoa, Whakapapa, Mt Ruapehu, skiing, snowboarding, volcanic hazards.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to acknowledge several people who have supported me throughout this dissertation. I would like to thank my supervisors, Dr Martin Brook and Dr Juliana Mansvelt for their guidance, patience and valuable contributions during this project. Graham Leonard and David Johnston from GNS Science, as well as Andy Hoyle and Chris Emmett from Ruapehu Alpine Lifts have been incredible. Finally, I would like to thank my family for their support and encouragement throughout this process.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DECLARATION	l
ABSTRACT	III
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	V
TABLE OF CONTENTS	VI
LIST OF FIGURES	IX
LIST OF TABLES	X
LIST OF PLATES	XI
1. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 INTRODUCTION	
1.2 RESEARCH OBJECTIVES	
1.3 STRUCTURE OF THE DISSERTATION	6
2. STUDY AREA	7
2.1 INTRODUCTION	7
2.2 BACKGROUND INFORMATION ON SKIING AT WHAKAPAPA AND TUROA	
2.3 HISTORY OF SKIING AT MT RUAPEHU	
2.4 HAZARDS TO WINTER VISITORS FOUND ON MT RUAPEHU	
2.6 HAZARDS SPECIFIC TO MT RUAPEHU	
2.5.1 Volcanic Hazards	
2.5.2 Avalanches	21
2.5.3 Weather	26
2.5.4 Ice, cliffs, rocks, other people and the mountain road	
2.7 CHAPTER SUMMARY	28
3. LITERATURE REVIEW	29
3.1 INTRODUCTION	
3.2 PREVIOUS STUDIES OF VISITORS AND HAZARDS	32
3.3 RISK	
3.4 HAZARD PERCEPTION	
3.4.1 Identification and control of hazards	
3.4.2 Risk perception	
3.4.3 Winter Mountain Users	
3.4.5 Non-compliant behaviour	
3.5 COMMUNICATING RISK	40

3.5.1 Management techniques for communicating risk	40
3.6 CHAPTER SUMMARY	48
4. METHODOLOGY	49
4.1 INTRODUCTION	49
4.2 RATIONALE FOR CHOOSING STUDY SITE	
4.3 QUANTITATIVE SURVEYS	50
4.3.1 Participants	52
4.3.2 Participant Selection	52
4.3.3 Location of Study	53
4.3.4 Weather	
4.4 QUALITATIVE INTERVIEWS	55
4.5 ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS	56
4.6 DATA ANALYSIS	
4.6.1 Quantitative Data Analysis	56
4.6.2 Qualitative Data Analysis	
4.7 OBSERVATIONS AND LIMITATIONS OF THE METHODS	
4.8 CHAPTER SUMMARY	58
- \ "Q TQD	
5. VISITOR AND VISITATION CHARACTERISTICS	60
5.1 INTRODUCTION	60
5.2 CHARACTERISTICS OF VISITORS AND VISITATION	60
5.2.1 Gender	60
5.2.2 Age	
5.2.3 City and Country of Origin	
5.2.4 Skiers and Snowboarders	
5.2.5 Ability and number of years skiing/snowboarding	
5.2.6 Mt Ruapehu ski areas used	
5.3 CHAPTER SUMMARY	65
6. MOUNTAIN USERS AND RISK:PERCEPTIONS, ATTITUDES & BEHAVIOR	OUR66
6.1 INTRODUCTION	
6.2 VISITORS' PERCEPTIONS OF NATURAL HAZARDS AND RISK	
6.2.1 Awareness of Hazards	
6.2.1.1 Listing the hazards at Mt Ruapehu	
6.2.1.2 Hazard Awareness Scores	
6.2.1.3 Rating particular hazards on a scale	
6.3 KNOWLEDGE OF HAZARDS	
6.3.1 Signage	
6.3.2 Volcanic Events	
6.3.2.1 Awareness of recent events	
6.3.2.2 Eruption Detection System	
6.3.3 Avalanche	
6.4 PERCEPTIONS OF SAFETY	
6.4.1 New Zealand and Mt Ruanehu as safe tourist destinations	

6.4.2 Perceptions of risk	
6.5 CHAPTER SUMMARY	83
7. MANAGEMENT OF HAZARDS AND DISCUSSION	85
7.1 INTRODUCTION 7.2 CONTEXT FOR RISK AND HAZARD MANAGEMENT AT THE SKI AREAS. 7.3 THEMES FROM THE RESEARCH 7.3.1 Collaborative approach to hazard management 7.3.2 Risk management and communication provided RAL, DoC and GNS 7.3.3 Hazard focus 7.3.4 Public attitudes and response to hazards 7.3.5 Public awareness and actual response 7.3.6 Overestimation of ability 7.4 CHAPTER SUMMARY	86 89 89 91 91 93
8. CONCLUSION	98
8.1 CONCLUDING SUMMARY	98
8.2 AVENUES FOR FUTURE RESEARCH	
REFERENCES	102
APPENDIX 1APPENDIX 2APPENDIX 3	49

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1 Map of New Zealand	2
Figure 2.1 Map of Ruapehu region	8
Figure 2.2 Whakapapa ski area trail map	g
Figure 2.3 Turoa ski area trail map	10
Figure 2.4 Volcanic risk at Mt Ruapehu	15
Figure 2.5 The September 2007 eruption-related lahar	17
Figure 2.6 Mt Ruapehu from the east showing path of lahar and sites of ERLAWS sensors and bund	18
Figure 2.7 Types and formation of avalanches: (a) loose snow and (b) slab avalanches, with (c) the starting zone, track and run-out zone in profile	22
Figure 2.8 Avalanche at Turoa	23
Figure 2.9 Whakapapa Avalanche Control Routes	24
Figure 2.10 Turoa Control Route: North to Southwest	25
Figure 2.11 Turoa Control Route: North to Southeast	25
Figure 3.1 Diagram of direct versus indirect management techniques	41
Figure 3.2 Advanced ability only sign, Danger cliff sign, Keep out hazardous area sign, Closed avalanche danger sign	41
Figure 3.3 Caution ice sign, Caution rocks sign, Caution trails merge sign, Warning ski area boundary sign	42
Figure 3.4 'Easier' terrain sign, 'More difficult' terrain sign, 'Most difficult' terrain sign, 'Expert only' terrain sign, Freestyle terrain sign, Slow banner	42
Figure 3.5 Volcanic Hazards at Whakapapa poster	46
Figure 3.6 Volcanic Hazards at Turoa poster	47
Figure 4.1 Turoa trail map	53
Figure 4.2 Whakapapa trail map	54
Figure 5.1 Gender of mountain users	61
Figure 5.2 Age of visitors at Whakapapa and Turoa	61
Figure 5.3 Origin of visitors to Whakapapa and Turoa	62
Figure 5.4 Type of mountain user at Mt Ruapehu	63
Figure 5.5 Differences between types of mountain user at Whakapapa and Turoa	63
Figure 5.6 Ability levels of mountain users	64
Figure 5.7 Number of years skiing/snowboarding	64

Figure 5.8 Mt Ruapehu ski areas used	65
Figure 6.1 Hazards reported by visitors	68
Figure 6.2 Inclement weather conditions	70
Figure 6.3 Perceptions of ice as a hazard	70
Figure 6.4 Perceptions of lahars compared with volcanic eruptions	71
Figure 6.5 Comparison of perception of avalanches as hazards	72
Figure 6.6 Perception of other mountain users as hazards across Whakapapa and Turoa	73
Figure 6.7 Awareness of signs	74
Figure 6.8 Percentage of visitors leaving ski area boundaries	75
Figure 6.9 Recent volcanic events survey participants are aware of	76
Figure 6.10 Percentage of visitors aware of eruption detection systems	77
Figure 6.11 Percentage of visitors aware of recent avalanche events by age	78
Figure 6.12 Number of survey respondents who recalled recent avalanche events	79
Figure 6.13 Perceived safety of New Zealand and Mt Ruapehu as tourist destinations	80
Figure 7.1 Whakapapa terrain hazard zones	87
Figure 7.2 Turoa terrain hazard zones	88

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1: Terrain statistics for Whakapapa and Turoa	11
Table 2.2: Whakapapa and Turoa statistics	12
Table 3.1 Roles of RAL staff in the event of a lahar	45
Table 6.1 Hazard awareness scores	69
Table 6.2 Safety perception scores	81
Table 6.3 Individual responsibility scores	82

LIST OF PLATES

Plate 1.1 Skiers at Whakapapa ski area	1
Plate 1.2 Safety signage at Turoa ski area, top of High Noon Express	4
Plate 2.1 Turoa ski area from the High Noon Chairlift	7
Plate 2.2 Backcountry Avalanche Advisory Turoa	26
Plate 3.1 Turoa ski area from High Noon Express	29
Plate 4.1 Turoa ski area from the top of the Giant Chairlift	49
Plate 5.1 Signs at Turoa ski area near Giant café	60
Plate 6.1 Trial signage at the top of the High Noon	66
Plate 7.1 High Noon express chairlift at Turoa ski area	85
Plate 8 1 View from Knoll Ridge Whakapapa	98