Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

# Understanding Movement and Habitat Selection of the Lesser Short-tailed Bat to Infer Potential Encounters with Anticoagulant Bait

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the Degree of

Master of Science in Zoology

at Massey University, Manawatū, New Zealand

**Ruby Sam Bennett** 

2019

#### **Abstract**

The lesser short-tailed bat (*Mystacina tuberculata*) and the long-tailed bat (*Chalinolobus tuberculatus*) are New Zealand's only extant endemic land-dwelling mammals. Both species are listed as nationally endangered by the IUCN, with numbers declining due to widespread habitat destruction and other human interferences. Short-tailed bats have been an unintentional victim of toxins used for pest control in New Zealand, being particularly susceptible to poisoning due to their diverse diet and ground-feeding habits. To manage toxin use to minimize bat exposure it is necessary to understand their movements and area usage behaviours.

Movements and habitat use of the short-tailed bat were studied on the area of farmland between Pikiariki and Waipapa Ecological Area, Pureora Forest Park, New Zealand. Bats using the area between the two large forests were studied using acoustic monitoring and radio telemetry techniques to determine which routes they use, how they utilise the farmland and forest fragments along the way, and how they interact with obstacles such as open farmland and roads. Evidence of foraging was more often observed near forest fragments than open areas. While levels of habitat preference varied among individuals, forest was consistently selected over open areas throughout their commutes over farmland. 50% of the radio tracked bats were commuting directly between Pikiariki and Waipapa, while a further 25% were deemed to forage or rest throughout the trip.

These results confirm that short-tailed bats utilise marginal habitats on private land, suggesting a need for the implementation of safe pest control in areas near known colonies on both public and conservation land. Stronger toxins are often used on private land so the risks to short-tailed bats could be higher. The results also provide information on how short-tailed bats make use of a fragmented environment, and whether we need to create forest bridges across open farmland to assist the nightly commute of bats.

This research was carried out under the permission of the Department of Conservation
(permit number 57676-FAU) and the Massey University Animal Ethics Committee (protocol
number 17/35). This was also conducted under the permission of local Iwi Te Maru o Rereahu.



Lesser short-tailed bat, Pureora Forest Park

#### Acknowledgements

First and foremost, I need to thank my supervisors, Doug and Tertia, without whom this project would not have been possible. Doug, thank you for supporting my ideas, taking me on and answering my questions when no one else wanted to supervise a bat student. Thankyou Tertia for infecting me with your enthusiasm for the bat world and jumping at the opportunity of helping a masters student find their footing even though you were already so busy. I greatly appreciate the support I received from the both of you, on and off the field.

Thank you to Forest and Bird for awarding me a research grant, making this project feasible (costs wise). Another big thankyou needs to go out to the Auckland Council Biodiversity team for sponsoring this project by providing me with transmitters necessary to carry out the study. I would like to thank the many people who helped me overcome my (somewhat fiery) setback, specifically Forest and Bird, DOC, Kessels Ecology, and Chris Wedding for graciously lending me bat monitors after my original set were melted.

To the staff and friends at DOC Pureora, thank you for letting me stay in your houses, eat your food, and become part of the family for a few short months. I'll never forget the long summer evenings (pre-sunset of course) spent singing, laughing, and playing music, so thank you for making me feel welcome.

My biggest thankyou goes out to all my volunteers, without you I could not have collected any data full stop. Thankyou Niko for flying all the way from Germany, your enthusiasm showed from the start when you spent 6 hours helping me relocate monitors straight after stepping off 30-hour flight. Josh, thank you for stepping in at only a moment's notice and giving it your all. Eva, your time was short and sweet, but I thank you for your bravery in the lighting storms! Thankyou Steph for your enthusiasm and eagerness to both learn and teach. Claire, thanks for your positive attitude with a lack of sleep and your hilarious radio discussions. Bryn, your presence was a delight and chickens will forever remind me of you. Tyrone, you are awesome for giving up your Christmas break to help me out, I still owe you a dance for it! Thank you, Kyle, for your humour, ability to learn quickly, and your long availability. One huge thank you to you Rach for giving me so much of your time. You not only helped me by collecting wonderful data, but also by looking after all my other volunteers and

for that I am grateful. Finally, Gillian, thank you for helping me right from day one. Your enthusiasm and knowledge of bats has helped me more than you know. Thank you for your willingness to help me on and off the field, all the way from pre-proposal to bat tracking, and finally proof reading. Your help has been invaluable.

Thank you to everyone who has given me advice; Kat, Georgia, Gillian, Kerry, Kate, and Rob. Without you all I would have been stuck.

Finally, a huge thank you to my friends and family who have helped me along the way. Thankyou Nick for visiting me in the weekends and bringing your support. Sorry you spent so much on fuel. Thankyou Mike for visiting, and Jenny for listening to all my issues, and thank you to all my friends in Palmy for encouraging me to keep writing (or more than often "take a break").

This thesis would not have come together without the help of everyone mentioned and for that I am grateful.

### **Table of Contents**

Abstract	ii
Acknowledgements	v
Table of Contents	vii
List of Figures	ix
List of Tables	xiii
1 Introduction	1
Effects of Habitat Modification	1
Measuring Animal Movements	4
Habitat Use in New Zealand Bats	5
Effects of Habitat Modification on New Zealand Short-Tailed Bats	7
Thesis Outline	9
References	11
2 Passive Acoustic Monitoring of Area Usage	15
Introduction:	15
Methods:	17
Automatic Bat Monitors	17
Data Analysis	20
Results:	20
Discussion:	24
Future Direction and Consequences:	27
References:	28
3 Habitat Selection	30
Introduction	30
Methods	32
Radio Telemetry:	32
Data Screening and Error Calculations:	33
Error Analysis	35
Habitat Selection Analysis	37
Trajectory Analysis of Area Usage	38
Results	39
Home Range	39
Habitat composition	40
Habitat Selection	40
Trajectory and Area Use	42

Discussion	45
Habitat selection and area composition	45
Trajectory and Area Usage Analysis	47
Area Utilisation Demographics	48
References	50
4 General Discussion	53
Pureora Pest Control – A Short History	53
Bat presence in farmland area	55
Habitat Selection and Area Use	56
Recommendations	59
References	61
Appendix I: Study Area and Location Fixes	63
Appendix II: Movement Trajectories	66
Appendix III: Habitat Type Usage	73

## List of Figures

Figure 1.1: Pikiariki Ecological area and South Waipapa, in the Central North Island, New
Zealand (-38.4805, 175.5875), showing known communal roosts and one solitary roost
relevant to the study. The area which was surveyed is highlighted in grey. Green areas
represent native and exotic forests, and light grey show open agricultural areas9
Figure 2.1: Examples of the automatic bat monitors (ABM) which were used in this study. A)
unidirectional digital Bat Boxes (Version B4 contained in a waterproof 'OtterBox 2000
series', Department of Conservation Electronics Workshop, Wellington, New Zeasniland). B)
Omnidirectional AR4 devices (Department of Conservation Electronics Workshop,
Wellington, New Zealand)17
Figure 2.2: Echolocation clicks of short-tailed and long-tailed bats from two models of ABM.
A) Compressed spectrogram images of commuting clicks from a short-tailed bat showing
regular bands at two frequencies, 28 and 48KHz, recorded on an AR4 device. B) Compressed
spectrogram images of commuting clicks from a long-tailed bat showing regular bands at
40KHz, recorded on an AR4 device. C and D) Compressed spectrogram images of a feeding
buzz from a short-tailed and a long-tailed bat respectively, showing more rapid clicks slightly
increasing in frequency as the bat catches insect prey. This pattern is typical of a bat feeding
echolocation (Wright et al., 2013). E) Waveform output of a short-tailed bat pass showing
signal on both the 28KHz and 40KHz channels recorded on a Bat Box. F) Waveform output of
a long-tailed bat pass showing signal on only the 40KHz channel recorded on a Bat Box19
Figure 2.3: All 225 Automatic Bat Monitor locations separated into 10 groups according to
the dates that data were collected on, between Pikiariki Ecological Area and Waipapa Forest
(-38.4805, 175.5875). Light green and grey areas indicates native forest cover and pasture
respectively. Blue lines represent streams20
Figure 2.4: Average nightly passes over three nights for (A) long-tailed bats (LTB) and (B)
short-tailed bats (STB), and all ABM locations, created on QGIS 2.18. Light grey areas
represent forest cover while white shows open pasture21
Figure 2.5: Scatter plot showing the correlation between average nightly passes of short-
tailed and long-tailed bats with a log scale. Due to the difference in overall numbers of

passes between the two species, plotting the data on a log vs log graph removes emphasis
on larger numbers. No linear trend is apparent, with an R <sup>2</sup> value of 0.0517. In general, the
number of bat passes is high at the same locations between both species, however there is
a large variation between positions resulting in low predictability22
Figure 2.6: Evidence of foraging activity in the study area. Red dots represent locations
where feeding buzzes were recorded, and white dots where they were absent for (A) long-
tailed bats and (B) short-tailed bats. Light grey areas represent forest cover while white
shows open pasture23
Figure 3.1: How to calculate location error from biangulations of transmitters at known
locations, adapted from (Zimmerman & Powell, 1995). Red triangle shows the actual
location of the transmitter, and dotted lines the direction of recorded bearings. Location
error is depicted by the red line35
Figure 3.2: Location error of biangulation fixes in m, with 0,0 representing the known
location of a fixed transmitter36
Figure 3.3: Relationship between location error (distance between true and estimated
location or a transmitter) and the distance between observer and true transmitter
location36
Figure 3.4: Areas used by eight short-tailed bats defined by triangulation location fixes
buffered by a 55 m (average location error) radius, separated by habitat type (Forest,
Stream valley, and open areas). Grey areas represent landscape with no recorded location
fixes, or forest which lies beyond the farm boundary. 95% minimum convex polygon of all
location fixes is depicted by a dashed line. See figure 3, Appendix I for all location fixes37
Figure 3.5: Cumulative minimum convex polygon area over 303 total fixes showing the
change in area with each additional data fix39
Figure 3.6: Box and whisker plots of flight speeds for all eight tracked individuals. Red
dotted lines indicate boundaries of assigned behaviours (where $x < 1$ km/h shows foraging, $x < 1$ km/h shows foraging.
>10 km/h suggests travelling, and 1> x >10 km/h represents a combination of both
activities40

Figure 3.7: Area use ratios in short-tailed bats (A) per bat and (B) using combined data. Bars
above the horizontal line represent selection for the habitat type. Bars below the horizontal
line represent selection against the habitat type43
Figure 3.8: Area use ratios for assigned path types in short-tailed bats (A) per bat and (B)
using combined data. Bars above the horizontal line represent selection for the habitat path
type. Bars below the horizontal line represent selection against the habitat path type44
Appendix I
Figure 1: Assigned habitat types on the farmland area between Pikiariki ecological reserve,
and Waipapa forest (-38.4805, 175.5875), with dark green showing Forest habitat, Blue
representing stream gullies, and light green the remaining open farmland. Also outlined in
grey is the 95% minimum convex polygon of all data points defining the area used for
analysis63
Figure 2: Assigned path types on the farmland area between Pikiariki ecological reserve, and
Waipapa forest (-38.4805, 175.5875), with dark green showing Forest path, Blue
representing stream gully path, and light green the remaining open farmland. Also outlined
in grey is the 95% minimum convex polygon of all data points defining the area used for
analysis64
Figure 3: All 302 usable triangulation fixes indicated by red diamonds. The background map
shows green forested areas, blue streams, and light grey open areas. State highway 32
(Scott road) is shown as a red line65
Appendix II
Figure 1: Triangulation points for TX0866
Figure 2: Triangulation points for TX1067
Figure 3: Triangulation points for TX1267
Figure 4: Triangulation points for TX1668
Figure 5: Triangulation points for TX1869
Figure 6: Triangulation points for TY20

Figure 7: Triangulation points for TX42	71
Figure 8: Triangulation points for TX72	72

## List of Tables

<b>Table 3.1:</b> Summary of the 10 bats caught during this study, detailing the channel number of
the attached transmitter, sex (F = female, M = male), age (adult (A) or the current years
juvenile (J). Also indicated is a females determined breeding status showing nulliparous (NP)
females who have never given birth who have hard to find nipples sometimes with tufts of
fur on them (Sedgeley et. al., 2012)), date ranges in which transmitter was scanned for, total
number of triangulations taken, and the total number of usable fixes after data
screening34
Table 3.2: Designated area use speed categories detailing speed range and assigned
behaviour of Lesser Short-tailed Bats38
Table 3.3: Mean habitat use ratios, and logged use ratios with associated p-values and t-
statistic for each habitat type in area use analysis of short-tailed bats. Univariate t-tests
tested if mean logged ratios differed from 0 (where >0 is overproportionate use). Mean
habitat use ratio is calculated from all 8 bats41
Table 3.4: Mean path type use ratios, and mean logged path use ratios with associated p-
values and t-statistic for each path type in area use analysis of short-tailed bats. Univariate
t-tests tested if mean ratios differed from 041
Table 3.5: Assigned behaviour of each individual short-tailed bat as defined by average flight
speed. Table shows the total number of times each bat was recorded on the farmland area
(count) as well as variance in speed among the crossings for that bat42
Appendix III
Table 1: Raw data showing the area of each habitat type utilised hectares (hai), and the
proportion of each habitat type used (U) by each individual. Total availability (A) and
proportionate availability of each habitat type (Ai) are shown. Use ratio is then calculated by
U/Ai73
Table 2: Raw data (Table 1) plus 0.5 to allow log transformation of zero value ratios73

Table 3: Raw data showing the area of each path type utilised hectares (hai), and the
proportion of each path type used (U) by each individual. Total availability (A) and
proportionate availability of each path type (Ai) are shown. Use ratio is then calculated by
U/Ai74
Table 4: Raw data (Table 3) plus 0.5 to allow log transformation of zero value ratios74