Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

The general reading status of struggling Year 9 students and their teachers' perceptions of their needs in New Zealand secondary schools.

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the

requirements for the degree of

Master of Literacy Education

at Massey University, Manawatū,

New Zealand.

Emma Jane White

## Abstract

Literacy skills are critical to academic, economic, and social success from an individual to a state level. It is in the interest of New Zealand society that literacy education meets the needs of all students. The purpose of this study was to investigate the reading-related difficulties experienced by students entering secondary education and to evaluate the preparedness of secondary teachers to meet the literacy-related needs of their students. In this study, Year 9 students at two secondary schools in centralnorth New Zealand completed tests of reading comprehension, reading vocabulary, listening comprehension, word-reading skills, knowledge of heuristic decoding rules, and reading self-efficacy. Additionally, teachers of Year 9 students at these schools completed a questionnaire regarding their literacy-related classroom practices. The results showed that 16% of Year 9 students experience significant difficulties with reading comprehension, indicating that these students are not able to engage with secondary-level texts. These students have difficulties with varying combinations of reading vocabulary, word-reading, decoding, language comprehension and low reading self-efficacy. Secondary teachers are responsible for selecting and implementing appropriate instruction to advance the literacy skills of all their students, from those with basic reading difficulties to those who are developing disciplinary-specific literacy skills required for academic success at upper-secondary and tertiary levels. The results of this study showed that teachers of Year 9 students hold sparse and inconsistent levels of literacy-related knowledge and that literacy-related assessment and instructional practices are insufficient. It can be inferred from the results of the questionnaire that teachers of Year 9 students do not regard literacy-related practices

as an important responsibility of a subject area teacher. It is suggested that secondary schools require comprehensive literacy plans that coordinate literacy-related teaching and learning practices across subject areas so that students can receive appropriate and effective literacy support in all their classes. Secondary schools require appropriately qualified, on-site literacy specialists with sufficient allocated time to support the literacy-related teaching and learning needs of teachers and students.

## **Acknowledgements**

Without inspiration and support, I would have neither begun nor completed this challenge.

Thanks must first be given to those who enabled me to take a leave of absence from teaching this year to follow my ambition to investigate this incredibly important issue. Without the endorsement of my colleagues Mr Peter Brooks (Principal), Mr Craig Steed (Deputy Principal) and Ms Kate Geard (Head of Department – English), the approval of the Freyberg High School Board of Trustees, and the endowment of the Secondary Teachers' Study Leave Award by the Post Primary Teachers' Association, New Zealand School Trustees Association and the Ministry of Education, I would not have been able to embark upon this valuable venture.

My Massey University supervisors, Dr Keith Greaney and Dr Alison Arrow, deserve considerable thanks for the support and guidance they have given me over the last twelve months. I am so grateful to them both not only for the academic wisdom they have provided, but also for the generosity of time they have afforded me. Additionally, I am so appreciative for the compassion they have shown when I have struggled with the physical effects and cognitive fog of pregnancy.

Special thanks to the principals, literacy coordinator (Michael), SENCO (Grant), administrative and ICT staff, teaching staff, and students of the schools involved in this study. Without your recognition of the value of this research and your generous cooperation, this study would not have been possible.

Special thanks to Mark Wohlers for his guidance in statistical assessment and interpretation. I appreciate your time and expertise!

Thanks to those who have enthused me and encouraged my development in the area of secondary literacy education. To my brother, James, and many of my students who have shown me that individuals who struggle with reading difficulties can be successful, inspirational, exceptional people. To Mrs Geraldine Reynolds who has stimulated my interest,

championed my professional advancement, and been an outstanding role model as a passionate and steadfast advocate of literacy education.

To those who have kept me sane while I have been studying: gratitude! To my colleagues for your kind expressions of support. To Jacque, Tamzin, Rachel, Chrissie and Ju, for lending me your ears and shoulders.

Endless thanks to Mum and Dad, for everything.

To my wonderful husband, Lyndon: thank you for listening to my woes, quelling my fears, nurturing my interests, punctuating this year with the happiest days of my life, and giving me shoulder-rubs.

## **Table of Contents**

| Abstract  | i    |
|---|------|
| Acknowledgements  | iii  |
| Table of Contents   | v    |
| List of Figures   | viii |
| List of Tables  | viii |
| Chapter One: Introduction   | 1    |
| 1.1 Rationale of the Study  | 4    |
| 1.2 The Study   | 4    |
| 1.3 Overview  | 4    |
| Chapter Two: Literature review  | 6    |
| 2.1 Introduction  | 6    |
| 2.2 Defining reading-literacy   | 7    |
| 2.2.1 Theories of reading   | 7    |
| 2.3 Factors that contribute to reading difficulties                                 | 10   |
| 2.3.1 Component reading skills and strategies                                       | 11   |
| 2.3.2 Reading self-efficacy   | 15   |
| 2.3.3 Demographic features and literate cultural capital                            | 16   |
| 2.3.4 The influence of approaches to reading instruction and remediation in primary |      |
| schools   |      |
| 2.3.5 Ineffective reading remediation in primary schools                            |      |
| 2.4 The general reading-literacy needs of adolescent students and their teachers    |      |
| 2.4.1 Teacher beliefs about secondary school literacy teaching                      |      |
| 2.4.2 Current literacy instruction in secondary schools                             |      |
| 2.4.3 Literacy-related resources for secondary teachers                             |      |
| 2.4.4 Assessments of reading ability in secondary schools                           |      |
| 2.5 Reading-literacy in New Zealand secondary schools                               |      |
| 2.6 Conclusion  |      |
| 2.6.1 Research questions and hypotheses   |      |
| Chapter Three: Methodology  |      |
| 3.1 Introduction  |      |
| 3.2 Design  |      |
| 3.3 Participants  |      |
| 3.4 Materials and Procedure   | 40   |

| 5.4.1 Student Assessment   |  |
|--|--|
| 3.4.2 Teacher Questionnaire  | 47   |
| 3.5 Summary  | 49   |
| Chapter Four: Results  | 50   |
| 4.1 Introduction   | 50   |
| 4.2 Representativeness of sample   | 52   |
| 4.3 Prevalence of reading comprehension diffi  | iculties 53  |
| 4.4 The contribution of decoding, language co self-efficacy to reading comprehension difficu | mprehension, vocabulary skills and reading lties53 |
| _  | rategies to reading comprehension difficulties     |
| 4.5.1 Test Item 21   | 59   |
| 4.5.2 Test Item 22   | 61   |
| 4.5.3 Test Item 23   | 63   |
| 4.5.4 Test Item 24   | 65   |
| 4.5.5 Test Item 25   | 66   |
| 4.5.5 Summary of item-response strategies  | 68   |
| 4.6 Literacy-related practices and beliefs of te   | eachers of Year 9 students69                       |
| 4.6.1 Literacy-related problems as identified  | d by the teachers70                                |
| 4.6.2 Measurement of literacy skills in Year   | 9 classes 73                                       |
| 4.6.3 Teacher analysis of literacy-assessmer   | nt data75  |
| 4.6.4 Strategies used to help students over  | come their literacy-related problems 76            |
| 4.6.5 Summary of questionnaire responses   | 78   |
| 4.4 Summary  | 78   |
| Chapter Five: Discussion   | 80   |
| 5.1 What is the prevalence of reading compre   | ehension difficulties in Year 9 students? 81       |
| 5.2 What factors contribute to reading compr   | rehension difficulties?82                          |
| 5.3 Does reading-self efficacy decline as a fun  | ction of reading comprehension ability? 88         |
| 5.4 What literacy related beliefs and literacy-mainstream Year 9 students?                   | specific knowledge are held by teachers of         |
| 5.5 Study limitations  | 99   |
| 5.5.1 Sample issues  | 93   |
| 5.5.2 Assessment measures  | 92   |
|  | 97   |

| 5.6.2 A case for in-school literacy specialists  | 99  |
|--|-----|
| 5.7 Further research   | 101 |
| Conclusion   | 101 |
| References   | 103 |
| Appendices   | 109 |
| Appendix A: Table of Assessments Available to New Zealand Teachers as Listed<br>Assessment Tool Selector |     |
| Appendix B: Ethics Approval Letter   | 110 |
| Appendix C: "Salt" – A copy of the text from PAT: RC Test 6 used in the analysis response strategies     |     |
| Appendix D: Reading Self-efficacy Measure  | 112 |
| Appendix E: Teacher Questionnaire  | 113 |
| Appendix F: Initial email invitation to schools  | 115 |
| Appendix G: Information Sheet for Schools  | 116 |
| Appendix H: Information Pamphlet for Students  | 119 |
| Appendix I: Information Sheet for Parents/Caregivers   | 120 |
| Appendix J: Information Sheet for Teachers   | 123 |
| Appendix K: Participant Consent Forms  | 127 |
| Appendix L: Email Invitation to Teachers   | 130 |

## **List of Figures**

| Figure 1:The Cognitive Foundations of Learning to Read: A Framework (SEDL, 2000)                    | 8  |  |  |
|---|----|--|--|
| Figure 2: : Subtypes Based on Word Recognition and Listening Comprehension (Catts & Kamhi,          |    |  |  |
| 2005, p. 74)  | 11 |  |  |
| Figure 3: Correlation of word reading ability and listening comprehension for students with         |    |  |  |
| below-average reading comprehension (n = 25, r = -0.03, p > 0.05)                                   | 55 |  |  |
| Figure 4: Teacher-participant identification of literacy-related problems in their current Year 9   |    |  |  |
| classes   | 70 |  |  |
| Figure 5: Teacher-participant measurement of literacy skills held by their current Year 9           |    |  |  |
| students  | 73 |  |  |
| Figure 6: Teacher-participant analysis of literacy data regarding their Year 9 students             | 75 |  |  |
| Figure 7: Teacher-participant strategy use to overcome literacy-related difficulties in their Year  |    |  |  |
| 9 classes   | 76 |  |  |
| 71  |    |  |  |
| List of Tables  |    |  |  |
| Table 1: Subject areas taught to Year 9 students by teachers  | 40 |  |  |
| Table 2: <i>PAT: RC Test 6</i> Content Structure (Darr, McDowall, Ferral, Twist, & Watson, 2008, p. |    |  |  |
| 10)   | 42 |  |  |
| Table 3: PAT: LC Test 7 Content Structure (Twist, Ferral, Watson, McNaughton, Robertson, &          |    |  |  |
| Lin, 2010, p. 14)   | 47 |  |  |
| Table 4: Descriptive statistics of the reading-literacy measures used to investigate hypotheses     |    |  |  |
| 2 and 3   | 52 |  |  |
| Table 5: Correlations between reading comprehension and component reading skills                    | 5/ |  |  |