

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**Modelling and Performance Study of  
Large Scale Zigbee Based Green House Monitoring and Control  
Network**

**A thesis presented in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the  
degree of**

**Master of Engineering**

**in**

**Electronics and Communication Engineering**

**at Massey University, Albany,  
New Zealand.**

**Iftexharul Hoque**

**2013**

## **Acknowledgements**

I would like to convey my deepest appreciation to my thesis supervisor, Dr. Md. Abdur Rashid for his invaluable support, guidance and encouragement during the whole thesis project. I would like to express my gratitude to him for the time we spent together discussing the project and results. I would also like to thank Mr. Md. Akbar Hossain for his precious advices during the research work.

I really appreciate the pleasant environment of the Massey University Engineering campus as well as the time spent with the rest of the Masters and PHD Thesis students during the breaks.

Last but not the least, I would like to thank my family for their constant support and encouragement and for always being there when I needed them. A very special thanks goes to my parents.

## **Abstract**

Zigbee wireless sensor networks, known as IEEE 802.15.4 standard, have become quite popular in recent years due to its low power consumption, long battery life and security management. Academic and networking industries have taken interest in Zigbee (IEEE 802.15.4) due to its capability for multiple applications. In this thesis, we have studied Zigbee wireless sensor networks in geographically distributed greenhouses, which are a vital component in agriculture industry today. However due to the complexity and scattered nature of the proposed large scaled network, we only simulate the scenarios in an industry standard and powerful simulator called OPNET to achieve the perfect design and high percentage success. We investigate the performance parameters such as throughput, end-to-end delay, packet loss, traffic sent and traffic received depending on the network topology under various layouts and node conditions based on specific features and recommendations of the IEEE 802.15.4/ZigBee standards.

Since the network delay is the most important characteristic, we investigate this parameter first. We find that the delay increases as the number of greenhouses increases e.g. the delay for 20-greenhouse (GH) scenario is higher than 50- GH scenario. This is contrary to generally perceived understanding however our initial delay was also greater for 50- GH scenario but later due to many un-joined nodes, the delay fell suddenly. The next parameter we investigate is MAC throughput which is seen as increasing when there is communication between maximum nodes. The 20- GHs scenario is shows maximum MAC throughput whereas the scenario with 50- GHs stays way below 20- GHs. We also observe that the number of packets drops significantly in case of 50- GHs. This is attributed to the possibly of the routers dropping the joining or relay requests from end devices while they are too busy in processing requests from other end devices. We can conclude from the above that if the setup is as big as 50 GHs, we can't rely on single coordinator setup as it is too far for the nodes to hop all the way.

On the other hand, the traffic sent in scenario with 20- GH reaches the IEEE 802.15.4 industry specification of 250 kbps showing that the data is being sent at maximum possible rates in this scenario. So, real life implementation of this setup is possible for small number of GHs like 20-

GHS. The scenario with 20- GHs and nodes spaced at 20 m has shown favorable results for all the parameters such as throughput, delay and traffic even for a single coordinator.

Though our simulations worked and have been able to get reasonable results there are many challenges that need be met to improve the outcome of this as well as any other study involving simulation of the geographically dispersed very large scale Zigbee-based wireless sensor networks. Another challenge in this design is that the simulation of 50- GH with nodes close to 1000 takes large amount of time execute. Nonetheless, based on the findings from this work, it will be helpful to design the GH/nodes layout of the implementation in OPNET.

The most important achievement of the work is that we have been able to develop a simulation model for the geographically dispersed very large scale Zigbee-based wireless sensor network representing networked greenhouses. Considering the results of throughput, end-to-end delay, packet loss, traffic sent and traffic received it looks the network can support optimally a 20- GH setup for remote monitoring and control application.

# Table of Contents

<b>Acknowledgements</b> .....	<b>i</b>
<b>Abstract</b> .....	<b>ii</b>
<b>List of Tables</b> .....	<b>vii</b>
<b>List of Figures</b> .....	<b>viii</b>
<b>CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION</b> .....	<b>1</b>
1.1 Introduction.....	1
1.2 Motivation.....	2
1.3 Statement of the problem .....	3
1.4 Methodology .....	4
1.5 Thesis outline.....	5
<b>CHAPTER 2: AN OVERVIEW OF ZIGBEE TECHNOLOGIES FOR WIRELESS SENSOR NETOWRKS</b> .....	<b>6</b>
2.1 Wireless Sensor Networks.....	6
2.1.1 IEEE 802.11.....	7
2.1.2 IEEE 802.15.1.....	8
2.1.3 Zigbee/ IEEE 802.15.4.....	8
2.2 Zigbee Based Wireless Sensor Network.....	10
2.3 Zigbee Protocol Architecture.....	10
2.3.1 IEEE 802.15.4 Physical Layer.....	12
2.3.2 IEEE 802.15.4 Medium Access Control Layer.....	13
2.3.2.1 Superframe Structure .....	14
2.3.2.2 Inter-Frame Spacing (IFS) .....	15
2.3.2.3 CSMA-CA Mechanism .....	16
2.3.2.4 GTS allocation and management .....	19
2.3.3 Zigbee Network Layer .....	20
2.3.3.1 Zigbee Network Topologies .....	20
2.3.4 Zigbee Application Support Sublayer .....	22
2.4 Zigbee Hardware .....	24
2.5 Available Sensors for Greenhouse monitoring.....	25
2.6 Simulation using OPNET Modeler .....	29

2.7 Conclusion.....	31
---------------------	----

**CHAPTER 3: LITERATURE REVIEW ON GREEN HOUSE MONITORING AND CONTROL NETWORKS .....32**

3.1 Introduction.....	32
3.2 Literature Review.....	32
3.3 Global Connectivity to Internet and Web Services.....	38
3.4 Internet Integration.....	40
3.5 Conclusion.....	41

**CHAPTER 4: MODELLING AND SIMULATION OF ZIGBEE BASED GREEN HOUSE MONITORING AND CONTROL NETWORK USING OPNET.....42**

4.1 Introduction .....	42
4.2 The OPNET Simulation Model of IEEE 802.15.4.....	43
4.3 Advantages of OPNET Modeler for Zigbee WSNs.....	44
4.4 Simulation Scenarios.....	45
4.5 Zigbee Simulation Using OPNET.....	50
4.6 Layout of the Scenarios.....	50
4.6.1 Scenario 1.....	51
4.6.2 Scenario 2.....	52
4.6.3 Scenario 3.....	53
4.6.4 Scenario 4.....	54
4.6.5 Scenario 5.....	55
4.6.6 Scenario 6.....	55
4.6.7 Scenario 7.....	56

**CHAPTER 5: SIMULATIONS AND RESULTS ANALYSIS.....59**

5.1 Introduction.....	59
5.2 Delay against Number of nodes .....	59
5.3 Number of nodes against Loss of Packets.....	60
5.4 Number of nodes against MAC Throughput.....	61
5.5 Number of Nodes against MAC Load.....	63
5.6 Load against throughput.....	64
5.7 Load against Loss of Packets .....	65
5.8 Data Arrival Rate against Delay.....	66
5.9 Data Arrival Rate against Throughput.....	67
5.10 Data Arrival Rate against Loss of packets.....	68
5.11 Traffic sent (in bytes/sec).....	69
5.12 Traffic received (in bytes/sec).....	70
5.13 A Conceptual Gateway.....	71

<b>CHAPTER 6: CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK.....</b>	<b>72</b>
8.1 Discussions and Conclusion.....	72
8.2 Future Work.....	75
<b>REFERENCES.....</b>	<b>76</b>

## List of Tables

Table 1: Comparison between Zigbee, Wi-Fi and Bluetooth.....	10
Table 2: The IEEE 802.15.4 data rates and frequencies of operation.....	14
Table 3: Sensor Technologies Comparison.....	28
Table 4: Parameters used in the six scenarios.....	59

## List of Figures

Figure 1: Overview of Wireless Sensor Network.....	8
Figure 2: The Zigbee Protocol Stack Architecture [38].....	12
Figure 3: Example of a superframe structure [25].....	16
Figure 4: IFS [25].....	17
Figure 5: The Slotted CSMA/CA Mechanism [25].....	19
Figure 6: The Un-slotted CSMA/CA mechanism [25].....	20
Figure 7: ZigBee Network Topologies: a) Star Topology b) Mesh topology c) Cluster-tree topology [31].....	23
Figure 8: A typical network topology architecture .....	38
Figure 9: Zigbee networks and Internet Communication System.....	40
Figure 10: The structure of the IEEE 802.15.4 Simulation Model [99].....	45
Figure 11: End Device Parameters.....	49
Figure 12: Router Parameters.....	49
Figure 13: Router Parameters for Scenario 3.....	50
Figure 14: Zigbee Coordinator Parameter.....	50
Figure 15: Simulation Time for the scenarios.....	51
Figure 16: Scenario 1 of Single greenhouse (20m).....	52
Figure 17: Scenario 2 of Single greenhouse (10m).....	53
Figure 18: Scenario 3 of Single greenhouse with increased transmission power level.....	54
Figure 19: Scenario 4 of 20 greenhouses.....	55
Figure 20: Scenario 6 of 50 greenhouses.....	56
Figure 5.1: End-to-End Delay against Number of nodes.....	61
Figure 5.2: Number of nodes against Loss of Packets.....	62
Figure 5.3 Number of nodes against MAC Throughput.....	63
Figure 5.4 Number of Nodes against MAC Load.....	64
Figure 5.5 Load against throughput.....	65
Figure 5.6 Load against Loss of Packets .....	66
Figure 5.7 Data Arrival Rate against Delay.....	67

Figure 5.8 Data Arrival Rate against Throughput.....	68
Figure 5.9 Data Arrival Rate against Loss of packets.....	69
Figure 5.10 Traffic sent (in bytes/sec).....	70
Figure 5.11 Traffic received (in bytes/sec).....	71