

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

GROWTH STUDIES WITH LETTUCE

(LACTUCA SATIVA L)

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment
of the requirements for the degree of
Master of Horticultural Science in
Plant Science at
Massey University.

KONG KIAN LEE

1974

ABSTRACT

In a sand culture experiment carried out in a heated glasshouse, the effect of five levels of P (ranging from 7.75 p.p.m. - 124 p.p.m. on the growth of two cultivars of lettuce was examined. Samples were taken at weekly intervals for ten weeks and growth analysis, and chemical analysis of the whole plant were carried out for total N, P and K from the samples.

Significant differences between cultivars were found for net assimilation rate and leaf area ratio, with a slightly higher relative growth rate in young 'Cobham Green' plants. 'Cobham Green' had a greater leaf area ratio but smaller net assimilation rate than 'Webb's Wonderful'. The higher relative growth rate of Cobham Green at the early stages of growth was mainly due to its higher leaf area ratio, but net assimilation rate became an important component during later growth stages, possibly as a result of mutual shading.

Within each cultivar, however, the variation in relative growth rate was based on net assimilation rate rather than in leaf area ratio.

iii.

Both dry weight and the percentage of total P increased with increase in P supply. The percentages of total N and K decreased towards the market maturity but no general trend was observed in the percentage of total P.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The present work was carried out under the supervision of Dr. M.A. Nichols, to whom I wish to express my gratitude for his guidance, continued interest and constructive criticism during the preparation of the manuscript.

I am grateful also to Miss G. Chang for her help in the experiment and Margaret Brogden and Barbara Johansen who typed the manuscript.

Finally, I wish to thank the Horticulture Department and Agronomy Department at Massey University for using their facilities.

LIST OF TABLES

<u>Table</u>		<u>Page</u>
I	Nutrient elements (kg/ha) removed by lettuce crop.	13
II	Number of seeds sown per pot, and the total number of plants harvested per sample for the two cultivars used in the experiment.	72
III	Effect of levels of phosphorus and harvest date on relative growth rate (g/g/day).	85
IV	Effect of harvest date and cultivar on relative growth rate (g/g/day).	86
V	Effect of cultivar on net assimilation rate.	88
VI	Effect of levels of phosphorus and harvest date on net assimilation rate (g/dm ² /day).	89
VII	Effect of levels of phosphorus and harvest date on leaf area ratio (dm ² /g).	91
VIII	Effect of levels of phosphorus and cultivar on leaf area ratio (dm ² /g).	92
IX	Effect of levels of phosphorus and cultivar on leaf area/ground cover ratio.	98
X	Effect of harvest date and cultivar on leaf area/ground cover ratio.	99
XI	Effect of levels of phosphorus and cultivar on leaf area/ground cover ratio.	100
XII	Effect of levels of phosphorus and harvest date on total nitrogen (% dry weight).	102
XIII	Effect of levels of phosphorus and cultivar on total nitrogen (% dry weight).	103

<u>Table</u>		<u>Page</u>
XIV	Effect of levels of phosphorus and harvest date on total phosphorus (% dry weight).	108.
XV	Effect of levels of phosphorus and cultivar on total phosphorus (% dry weight).	109
XVI	Effect of harvest date and cultivar on total phosphorus (% dry weight).	111
XVII	Effect of levels of phosphorus and harvest date on total potassium (% dry weight).	113
XVIII	Effect of harvest date and cultivar on total potassium (% dry weight).	114
XIX	Effect of levels of phosphorus and cultivar on total potassium (% dry weight).	115
XX	Specific leaf area.	131

LIST OF FIGURES

<u>Figure</u>		<u>Page</u>
1	Generalized nutrient response curves of yield as a function of nutrient concentration.	60
2A	The effect of phosphorus fertilizer on total plant weight of Cobham Green.	82
2B	The effect of phosphorus fertilizer on total plant weight of Webb's Wonderful.	83
3A	The effect of phosphorus fertilizer on leaf area per plant of Cobham Green.	93
3B	The effect of phosphorus fertilizer on leaf area per plant of Webb's Wonderful.	94
4A	The effect of phosphorus fertilizer on ground cover per plant of Cobham Green.	96
4B	The effect of phosphorus fertilizer on ground cover per plant of Webb's Wonderful.	97
5	The effect of phosphorus fertilizer on total plant nitrogen (dry weight basis) for combined Cobham/Webb's.	104
6	The effect of phosphorus fertilizer on total plant nitrogen (dry weight basis) of Cobham Green and Webb's Wonderful.	105
7	The effect of phosphorus fertilizer on total plant phosphorus (dry weight basis) for combined Cobham/Webb's.	107
8	The effect of phosphorus fertilizer on total plant phosphorus (dry weight basis) of Cobham Green and Webb's Wonderful.	110
9	The effect of phosphorus fertilizer on total plant potassium (dry weight basis) for combined Cobham/Webb's.	116

<u>Figure</u>		<u>Page</u>
10	The effect of phosphorus fertilizer on total plant potassium (dry weight basis) of Cobham Green and Webb's Wonderful.	117
11A	The effect of phosphorus fertilizer on nitrogen uptake by Cobham Green.	118
11B	The effect of phosphorus fertilizer on nitrogen uptake by Webb's Wonderful.	119
12A	The effect of phosphorus fertilizer on phosphorus uptake by Cobham Green.	120
12B	The effect of phosphorus fertilizer on phosphorus uptake by Webb's Wonderful.	121
13A	The effect of phosphorus fertilizer on potassium uptake by Cobham Green.	123
13B	The effect of phosphorus fertilizer on potassium uptake by Webb's Wonderful.	125

LIST OF APPENDICES

<u>Appendix</u>		<u>Page</u>
I	Molar concentrations of reagents used to obtain five levels of phosphorus with minimal variation only in sulphate ion.	165
II	Mean dry weights (g) per plant of Cobham and Webb's with five levels of phosphorus.	166
III	Relative growth rate (g/g/day) of two lettuce cultivars.	167
IV	Analysis of variance of relative growth rate.	168
V	Net assimilation rate (g/dm ² /day) of two lettuce cultivars.	169
VI	Analysis of variance of net assimilation rate.	170
VII	Leaf area ratio (dm ² /g) of two lettuce cultivars.	171
VIII	Analysis of variance of leaf area ratio.	172
IX	Leaf area per plant (cm ²) of two lettuce cultivars.	173
X	Ground cover per plant (cm ²) of two lettuce cultivars.	174
XI	Leaf area/ground cover of two lettuce cultivars.	175
XII	Analysis of variance of leaf area/ground cover.	176
XIII	Effects of levels of phosphorus on total nitrogen/plant (% dry weight) at various sampling dates.	177
XIV	Effects of levels of phosphorus on total phosphorus (% dry weight) at various sampling dates.	178

<u>Appendix</u>		<u>Page</u>
XV	Effects of levels of phosphorus on total potassium (% dry weight) at various sampling dates.	179
XVI	Analysis of variance of total nitrogen/plant (% dry weight).	180
XVII	Analysis of variance of total phosphorus/plant (% dry weight).	181
XVIII	Analysis of variance of total potassium/plant (% dry weight).	182
XIX	Nitrogen absorption (mg/plant) by two lettuce cultivars at different stages of growth.	183
XX	Phosphorus absorption (mg/plant) by two lettuce cultivars at different stages of growth.	184
XXI	Potassium absorption (mg/plant) by two lettuce cultivars at different stages of growth.	185

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
ABSTRACT	ii.
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iv.
LIST OF TABLES	v.
LIST OF FIGURES	vii.
LIST OF APPENDICES	ix.
CHAPTER I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>	1
CHAPTER II. <u>REVIEW OF LITERATURE</u>	4
A. Nutrition of crop.	4
1. Phosphorus nutrition.	6
2. Function of phosphorus.	9
3. Interactions between nutrients	10
4. Nutrient-element balance and growth of lettuce.	11
5. Concentrations and uptake of nutrients at harvest of lettuce.	11
6. Concentrations and uptake of nutrients during growth.	12
7. Effect of phosphorus on yield.	14
B. Environmental factors affecting growth and development of lettuce.	17
I. Above ground factors.	18

	<u>Page</u>
1. Radiation, including light.	18
2. Temperature.	26
3. Wind.	29
4. Cloudiness.	30
5. Precipitation	31
6. Composition of the atmosphere.	31
7. Humidity.	34
II. Below ground factors.	35
1. Soil temperature.	35
2. Soil moisture.	38
3. Composition of the soil atmosphere	41
4. Nutrients.	42
5. Soil reaction.	43
6. Soil texture and structure.	44
C. Growth analysis.	46
D. Plant analysis.	57
1. Introduction	57
2. The shape of nutrient response curves.	58
3. Factors affecting leaf nutrient concentrations.	61
4. Sampling.	65
5. Analysis.	67
6. Establishment of standards.	67
7. Usefulness and limitations.	68

	<u>Page</u>
CHAPTER III. <u>MATERIALS AND METHODS</u>	69
A. General	69
B. Plant material and propagation.	70
C. Methods	71
1. Experimental designs.	71
2. Sampling method.	71
3. Nutrient solution and feeding.	71
4. Growth measurements.	74
5. Ground cover.	76
6. Chemical analysis.	77
CHAPTER IV. <u>RESULTS</u>	80
A. General observations.	80
B. Dry weight.	81
C. Relative growth rates.	84
D. Net assimilation rates.	84
E. Leaf area ratios.	87
F. Total leaf area.	90
G. Ground cover.	95
H. Leaf area/ground cover ratio.	95
I. Chemical analysis.	101
1. Total nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium contents of plants.	101
2. Nutrient uptake.	112

	<u>Page</u>
CHAPTER V. <u>DISCUSSION</u>	127
CONCLUSION	136
REFERENCES	138
APPENDICES	165

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

Since Liebig developed his theories on the mineral nutrition of plants, the determination of the nutrient requirements of crops has been an important subject of physiological and agricultural research.

In the past, the use of chemical analysis has been qualitative (Goodall and Gregory, 1947) in the sense that an analysis of the plant material was performed in order to suggest or confirm a diagnosis of the disorder as due to the deficiency of a nutrient element. In many of the studies aimed at establishing a quantitative relationship between plant response and its chemical composition, the material for analysis was collected at harvest time (Goodall, 1948; Macy, 1936). In recent years interest has shifted from the analysis of plant material at harvest time to the analysis at earlier stages of development, with a view to using the information in improving the growth of these same plants.

Some of the techniques that have been developed for the assessment of the nutrient status or the nutrient requirements of crop plants are:

1. The diagnosis of nutrient deficiencies in plants based on the recognition of symptoms.
2. Chemical analysis of the soil or soil extracts to estimate the nutrient supply in the rhizosphere.
3. Chemical analysis of the plants or plant parts to determine their nutrient status.
4. The measurement of plant responses after the addition of nutrients in field experiments.

Specific symptoms are usually not apparent under moderate deficiency conditions and this restricts the usefulness of method 1. There are also cases where symptoms produced by pests and diseases, or by weather conditions, or even by sprays of hormones may be indistinguishable from mineral deficiency symptoms (Wallace, 1961). Methods 2 and 3 are in general based on the relationship between the concentration of certain nutrient elements in soil or plant extracts and the yield responses resulting from nutrient applications. The success of soil or plant analysis techniques depends on the agreement between the forecast and the yield increases obtained after fertilizer additions. The field experiment (method 4) is the ultimate test to which any diagnostic method must be submitted.

Growth analysis is now commonly used to study plant growth and to explain variations in crop yield. However, growth analysis has not been widely used to study the differences in plant growth between cultivars of lettuce in relation to their response to fertilizer treatment.

Lettuce has been found to respond to phosphorus application (Webster, 1969; Nichols, 1971b). The purpose of the present study was to find out the effects of different levels of phosphorus on the growth of lettuce using growth analysis techniques together with chemical plant analysis.