

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**FORECASTING THE CONSEQUENCES OF THE
FAILURE OF THE EASTERN RIM OF CRATER LAKE,
MOUNT RUAPEHU**

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for
the degree of

Master of Science

In

Earth Science

at Massey University, Palmerston North, New Zealand.



MASSEY UNIVERSITY

Emma Phillips

2011

ABSTRACT

A numerical code for simulating dry flows of granular material, Titan2D, was used to model a range of possible collapse scenarios and resulting debris avalanches from a possible failure of the eastern crater rim of Mount Ruapehu, New Zealand. The eastern rim of Crater Lake, Mount Ruapehu consists of a stratigraphic sequence of intercalating volcaniclastic diamictons, pyroclastics and lavas, some of which are highly hydrothermally altered. This rim is under outward pressure from Crater Lake and constitutes one of the steepest parts of the active volcano. Its sudden failure could involve up to 50 million m³ of rock material, almost certainly generating a debris avalanche and/or a break-out lahar up to 9 times the size of the March 2007 event. A failure of hydrothermally altered flank materials on this side of the volcano has already occurred (c. 4600 yrs Mangaio Fm. (Donoghue & Neall, 2001)). A quantitative hazard and risk analysis of this scenario has never been undertaken, despite ongoing hydrothermal alteration and considerable sapping of both the inside and outside of the rim from explosive eruptions and base surges during the 1995/1996 and 2007 eruptions. New stratigraphic data were integrated with existing high-resolution topographic information and aerial photography to produce a detailed map of the eastern rim to highlight the distribution of contrasting stratigraphic sequences and the distribution of those units with the largest degree of alteration. This information was used as the first step towards defining the likelihood of different failure volumes and geometries to be tested in numerical hazard simulations. A quantitative scenario-based hazard forecast for partial or full collapse of the crater rim and subsequent events was determined. Simulated data of flow run out, inundation, diversion, velocity and mass transport were analysed to identify the resulting hazards for the Whangaehu and Tongariro River catchments. The results of this research suggest that the Mangatoetoenui, Upper Waikato, Tongariro and Whangaehu River catchments could be greatly affected by a sudden collapse of the eastern rim and any subsequent lahar events.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to sincerely thank Dr. Jon Procter, Dr. Gert Lube and Prof. Shane Cronin for their time, guidance and patience throughout this project. I am grateful to have worked with a bunch of people with such a wide range of expertise.

I am greatly appreciative for the assistance from all of the staff in the Soils and Earth Sciences group who have shared their knowledge, time and skills, especially Kate Arentsen, Prof. Vince Neall, Bob Stewart, Anja Möbis, Mike Bretherton, and Glenys Wallace.

I am grateful to the Geosciences Society of New Zealand, Massey University, and the Tongariro Natural History Society for their financial assistance from the S.J. Hastie Research Award, Massey University Masterate Scholarship and Tongariro Natural History Society Memorial Award.

I would like to thank the Team at GNS Science (Margaret Low, Chris Massey and Graham Hancox) and Harry Keys from DOC for discussion of ideas, and access to publications and photographs.

Thanks to the students of the Earth Science department who assisted in the field, laboratory and who just stopped by to have a talk or laugh. Special thanks to Amanda MacDonald-Creevey and Simon Vale, who have made this journey with me right from first year, for your friendship, humour and competitiveness.

Finally, very special thanks to my family and friends who supported me and took an interest in my studies. Pranil, thanks for your support, encouragement and willingness to climb up Ruapehu with me in the snow when no one else could go. Mum, you kept me focussed and supported me and every way throughout this process and for that I will always be grateful.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	I
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	II
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	III
LIST OF FIGURES	V
LIST OF TABLES.....	IX
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 THESIS OBJECTIVES:	1
1.2 THESIS STRUCTURE:	2
1.3 METHODOLOGY:	3
1.4 STUDY AREA:	6
1.5 REGIONAL GEOLOGY:	9
1.6 TONGARIRO VOLCANIC CENTRE:.....	11
1.7 MOUNT RUAPEHU:.....	15
1.8 DEBRIS AVALANCHES	23
1.9 PAST STUDIES ON THE CRATER RIM OF MOUNT RUAPEHU	28
CHAPTER 2: GEOLOGY OF THE EASTERN RIM	30
2.1 INTRODUCTION:	30
2.2 PREVIOUS WORK ON THE GEOLOGY OF THE EASTERN RIM:.....	31
2.3 GEOLOGICAL OBSERVATIONS FROM THIS STUDY:	35
2.3.1 <i>Geological descriptions</i>	37
2.4 CLAY ANALYSIS:	49
2.4.1 <i>Clay content</i>	51
2.4.2 <i>Clay identification</i>	51
2.5 COLLAPSE SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT:	53
2.5.1 <i>Previous stability studies on the eastern crater rim</i>	53
2.5.2 <i>Current stability assessment</i>	54
2.5.3 <i>Scenarios developed</i> :	57
CHAPTER 3: TITAN2D MODELLING AND PARAMETER TESTING.....	58
3.1 INTRODUCTION:	58
3.2 PREVIOUS MODELS:.....	59
3.2.1 <i>Flow3D</i>	60
3.2.2 <i>LAHARZ</i>	61
3.2.3 <i>DAN and DAN3D</i>	61
3.2.4 <i>Delft3D</i>	62
3.3 TITAN2D	62
3.3.1 <i>Applications of Titan2D</i>	63
3.4 PREVIOUS MODELLING OF RUAPEHU MASS FLOWS:.....	64
3.5 TITAN2D AND RUAPEHU:.....	70
3.5.1 <i>Scenarios</i>	70
3.5.2 <i>Determining input parameters</i>	72
3.5.3 <i>Creating Digital Elevation Model (DEM) surfaces</i>	73
3.5.4 <i>Pile dimensions and initial volumes</i>	74
3.5.5 <i>Determining friction angles</i>	75
3.6 RESULTING TITAN2D SIMULATIONS AND OUTPUTS:	78
3.6.1 <i>Whangaehu River channel</i>	78
3.6.2 <i>Scenario 1: 2.5% of the entire eastern rim</i>	80
3.6.3 <i>Scenario 2: 15% of the entire eastern rim</i>	83
3.6.4 <i>Scenario 3: 50% of the entire eastern rim</i>	86

3.6.5 Scenario 4: 100% of the entire eastern rim.....	89
3.6.6 Scenario 5: 100% of the entire eastern rim and Crater Lake water.....	92
3.6.7 Overall simulated flow properties of scenarios 1-5.	95
3.7 DISCUSSION:.....	100
3.7.1 Comparing Titan2D simulation results with other models	100
3.7.2 Comparing Titan2D simulation results with past recorded events.....	103
3.7.3 Comparing Titan2D simulation results with mapped flow deposits	104
CHAPTER 4: HAZARD IMPLICATIONS	108
4.1 INTRODUCTION:	108
4.2 HISTORICAL HAZARDS AND IMPACTS:	109
4.3 HAZARD IMPLICATIONS FROM THIS STUDY:.....	112
4.3.1 Direct impacts of each simulated debris avalanche scenario	113
4.4 COMBINED HAZARD MAP COMPRISING ALL POSSIBLE SCENARIOS:	119
CHAPTER 5: DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION	122
5.1 CONCLUSION	125
REFERENCES:.....	127
APPENDIX (ON CD-ROM).....	138

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1:	Study area, eastern rim complex.	6
Figure 1.2:	Study location and surrounding geography.	8
Figure 1.3:	Mount Ruapehu's location in the Taupo Volcanic Zone in the Central North Island of New Zealand.	10
Figure 1.4:	Quaternary volcanic geology of the Taupo Volcanic Centre.	13
Figure 1.5:	Sketch map of the geology of Mount Ruapehu and proximal surrounding area.	17
Figure 1.6:	Reconstruction and distribution of formations and lahar surfaces of the south eastern ring plain of Ruapehu.	22
Figure 2.1:	Study area, eastern rim Mount Ruapehu.	30
Figure 2.2:	Geological map of the Crater Lake vent area, Ruapehu from Hales (2000).	34
Figure 2.3:	Geological map of the eastern crater rim, Mount Ruapehu.	35
Figure 2.4:	Cross-section of constructed geological map.	35
Figure 2.5:	Andesitic block from the 2007 eruption with sulphur filled vesicles. ...	37
Figure 2.6:	North eastern crater rim of Crater Lake pre-2007 eruption.	38
Figure 2.7:	North eastern crater rim of Crater Lake post-2007 eruption.	38
Figure 2.8:	1995/1996 member located on the outer eastern rim near J Peak.	39
Figure 2.9:	1995/1996 member on Stump Saddle, south eastern crater rim.	39
Figure 2.10:	Section of the Iridescent member observed along the crest of the eastern crater rim.	40
Figure 2.11:	Looking up at J Peak, capped by the J Peak unit. Picture insert is of a fragment from the J Peak unit, showing its distinctive red colour.	41
Figure 2.12:	Pyramid member exposures.	42
Figure 2.13:	Possible past extent of the Pyramid member from Pyramid Peak to L Peak.	42
Figure 2.14:	Yellow tuff units below J Peak member on the outer rim of the eastern rim of Crater Lake.	43

Figure 2.15:	Current lower boundary distribution of the inner rim units.	44
Figure 2.16:	The possible historic lower boundary distribution of inner rim units before the formation of gully erosion.	45
Figure 2.17:	Lava sequences of the Coffin member within the south eastern inner crater wall.	46
Figure 2.18:	Correlation of the Coffin member from the inner crater rim to the southern flank of Pyramid Peak, upper Whangaehu Gorge.	46
Figure 2.19:	Bench member near lake level on the inner crater wall.	47
Figure 2.20:	Distinctive white appearance and columnar joints of the swimming bluff member.	48
Figure 2.21:	Locations of sample collection.	49
Figure 2.22:	Close up of where samples S1 and S2 were collected.	50
Figure 2.23:	Close up of where samples A1-A6 were collected.	50
Figure 2.24:	Resulting clay content of the collected samples.	51
Figure 2.25:	Four images ranging from the 1920s-2010 used to help show how the south eastern crater rim has changed.	56
Figure 2.26:	Cross-section of the eastern crater rim showing the four scenarios of collapse that will be modelled.	57
Figure 3.1:	Predicted lahar inundation using the same starting position in (a) the LINZ DEM, and (b) the TOPSAR DEM, plotted over shaded relief images of the respective DEMs at the same scale.	66
Figure 3.2:	Results of Pyramid Peak collapse models simulated by LAHARZ.	68
Figure 3.3:	Screen shot of the first screen of input parameters for Titan2D tool kit requesting the computational requirements.	72
Figure 3.4:	Input parameter screen 2, defining the piles internal and basal friction angles.	72
Figure 3.5:	Input parameter screen 3, determining pile dimensions and initial volume.	73
Figure 3.6:	A) The Massey University and GNS Science LiDAR image, April 2007, used to identify the scenarios of collapse. B) Shape files constructed in ArcMap of the outline representing basal failure planes for recreating the Titan2D initial pile for scenarios 1-4.	74

Figure 3.7:	a-g preliminary numerical simulations of the entire collapse of the eastern rim, with an estimated volume of 50 million cubic metres.	
	Testing various basal friction angles (35-5 degrees).	77
Figure 3.8:	Location map of catchments and other features on the eastern side of Mount Ruapehu.	79
Figure 3.9:	Output for scenario 1.	81
Figure 3.10:	Scenario 1. Time steps of the simulated flow. Pile height in metres. ...	82
Figure 3.11:	Output for scenario 2.	84
Figure 3.12:	Scenario 2. Time steps of the simulated flow. Pile height in metres. ...	85
Figure 3.13:	Output for scenario 3.	87
Figure 3.14:	Scenario 3. Time steps of the simulated flow. Pile height in metres. ...	88
Figure 3.15:	Output for scenario 4.	90
Figure 3.16:	Scenario 4. Time steps of the simulated flow. Pile height in metres. ...	91
Figure 3.17:	Output for scenario 5.	93
Figure 3.18:	Scenario 5. Time steps of the simulated flow. Pile height in metres. ...	94
Figure 3.19:	(a-e) Graphs showing the frontal and average velocities of each simulated flow scenario.	96
Figure 3.20:	The Upper Whangaehu channel showing the main flow path and the modelled debris avalanche path.	99
Figure 3.21:	Cross-section of four collapse scenarios from Manville <i>et al</i> (2003). .	100
Figure 3.22:	Cross-section of four collapse scenarios defined in this study.	100
Figure 3.23:	a) Represents a progression of inundation over time (minutes) in time steps of 20 minutes, each displayed in a different shade. b) Variation in mean frontal velocity over distance in kilometres. c) Modelled longitudinal variation in peak main body velocity.	102
Figure 3.24:	Generalised distribution of the Mangaio Formation (c. 4600 yr BP) on the south-eastern ring plain of Mount Ruapehu.	105
Figure 3.25:	(a-f) Migration of deposits that make up the south eastern ring plain of Mount Ruapehu. The white arrows depict the main trend of deposition.	107
Figure 4.1:	Hazard map for lahars at Mount Ruapehu, constructed by Neall <i>et al</i> . (2001).	111

Figure 4.2:	Inundation area and maximum flow heights of scenario 1.	113
Figure 4.3:	Inundation area and maximum flow heights of scenario 2.	114
Figure 4.4:	Inundation area and maximum flow heights of scenario 3.	115
Figure 4.5:	Inundation area and maximum flow heights of scenario 4.	116
Figure 4.6:	Inundation area and maximum flow heights of scenario 5.	117
Figure 4.7:	Hazard-zone map for the entire collapse of the eastern crater rim, including areas likely to be affected by the subsequent debris avalanche and possible lahars.	120

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1:	Lithostratigraphy of Ruapehu composite volcano.	16
Table 1.2:	Lithofacies associations for Ruapehu composite volcano.	18
Table 1.3:	Tephra marker beds.	21
Table 1.4:	Lithofacies in New Zealand debris-avalanche/lahar deposits.	27
Table 2.1:	The current stratigraphic system and the proposed revision derived from Hales (2000).	33
Table 2.2:	Correlation of mapped units used in this study with those used in Hales (2000).	36
Table 2.3:	Resulting clay content from XRD analysis.	52
Table 3.1:	Volumes of debris avalanche LAHARZ scenarios for Pyramid collapse.	67
Table 3.2:	Summary of the determined input parameters for this study.	71
Table 3.3:	The volume of Crater Lake water subsequently released after flank collapse scenarios 3 and 4.	71
Table 3.4:	Result summary for all scenarios.	95
Table 3.5:	Comparison of pile parameters and model outputs.	101
Table 3.6:	Comparing scenario 1 and 18 th March 2007 lahar flow parameters. .	103
Table 4.1:	Impacts of scenario 1 on the surrounding area.	113
Table 4.2:	Impacts of scenario 2 on the surrounding area.	114
Table 4.3:	Impacts of scenario 3 on the surrounding area.	115
Table 4.4:	Impacts of scenario 4 on the surrounding area.	116
Table 4.5:	Impacts of scenario 5 on the surrounding area.	117