

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

INCLUSIVE EDUCATION

POLICY, PRACTICE AND PROGRESS:

A TRANSFORMATIVE ANALYSIS OF MIDDLE

EAST COUNTRIES

A manuscript drawn from a thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the

requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Education

at Massey University, Palmerston North,

New Zealand

KAREN RUTH CHESTERTON KHAYAT

2014

Abstract

Access to an inclusive education for children who are disabled is internationally agreed to be a basic human right. As such, it has been enshrined in the United Nations Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities. Governments of all countries in the Middle East have signed the convention and committed to ensuring this right as soon as possible. As they incorporate these obligations into relevant education legislation and policy, there remains concern that multiple factors which persist in marginalising children who are disabled will impede progress and effective implementation of policy.

This study reviewed a sample of three countries in the Middle East; Bahrain, Iraq and Jordan. Replicating the ‘Pathways to Inclusion’ Toolkit used in Europe, data were gathered through questionnaires and interviews and aligned with the requirements set out in the United Nations Convention. An analysis framework applied a rating to *policy*, *practice* and *progress* to indicate how supportive they were of the progressive realisation of inclusive education.

Some alignment was found between the complex realities of the three countries and the expectations of the Convention. However, this study took a unique strengths approach in order to inspire the interest of policy makers. Some of the strengths noted were the traditional and religious responses to those with impairments, the tenacity of interdependence within communities and the parental preference for inclusion. These strengths were situated alongside the widespread drive towards modernisation, developing technology, and participating in the global community. Conducted through a transformative lens, the intention of this research was that the identification of these strengths would shift thinking forward to empower political and civil processes in their ongoing bid to implement the expectations of the United Nations Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities.

Acknowledgements

To my supervisors, Dr Alison Kearney, Dr Mandia Mentis and Dr Angela Ward; I consider myself honoured to have received so many hours of your time and input. Your support and guidance from the other side of Skype and email has been invaluable. Your constructive feedback and critique has challenged me and enriched my learning and all the while I've been motivated with your encouraging words and of course the ‘smileys and ticks’.

To Rukhosh Ali Darwesh and Bekhal Jawdad; your skills, your time, your interest and your efforts have been crucial in keeping this study relevant to the Middle East and I wish to thank you both for your support and input.

I owe a lot of gratitude to the people who participated in this study; the key informants, the organisations, and the school principals who all shared of their time and granted me the insights that made this research a reality.

To my family; I could not have undertaken or completed this research without the encouragement and support of my husband; to my two sons Amed and Ezan who both arrived into this world during the course of this study, I've been privileged to have you both in my life bringing joy and delight into my days while I burned the candle at both ends.

This study is all the richer due to the support of those mentioned and many others I've not mentioned. I have you all to thank for your contributions to this celebration of learning through which I have grown personally and professionally and which has served to further ignite my passion for issues of inclusive education.

Table of Contents

| | |
|--|------|
| ABSTRACT | II |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS | III |
| TABLE OF CONTENTS..... | IV |
| LIST OF FIGURES..... | IX |
| LIST OF TABLES | X |
| LIST OF APPENDICES | XIII |
| CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| 1.1 BACKGROUND | 1 |
| 1.2 EDUCATION..... | 1 |
| 1.3 INCLUSIVE EDUCATION | 2 |
| 1.4 INCLUSIVENESS OF EDUCATION POLICY | 2 |
| 1.5 INCLUSIVENESS OF EDUCATION POLICY IN THE MIDDLE EAST..... | 3 |
| 1.6 UNITED NATIONS CONVENTION ON THE RIGHTS OF PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES 2006..... | 4 |
| 1.7 MONITORING COMMITMENT TO THE UNCRPD | 4 |
| 1.8 CONCEPTUAL DEFINITIONS | 5 |
| 1.8.1 <i>Inclusive Education</i> | 5 |
| 1.8.2 <i>Special Education</i> | 5 |
| 1.8.3 <i>Disability</i> | 6 |
| 1.8.4 <i>Middle East</i> | 7 |
| 1.9 RESEARCH FOCUS | 7 |
| 1.10 ORGANISATION OF THE MANUSCRIPT | 8 |
| CHAPTER TWO: THE LITERATURE | 10 |
| 2.1 INTRODUCTION | 10 |
| 2.2 DEFINING INCLUSIVE EDUCATION | 10 |
| 2.2.1 <i>Definition</i> | 11 |
| 2.2.2 <i>Individual focus</i> | 12 |
| 2.2.3 <i>Systems focus</i> | 12 |
| 2.2.4 <i>Dual focus</i> | 13 |
| 2.3 THE UNITED NATIONS CONVENTION ON THE RIGHTS OF PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES | 13 |
| 2.3.1 <i>Context</i> | 13 |
| 2.3.2 <i>Significance</i> | 15 |
| 2.3.3 <i>Development</i> | 16 |
| 2.3.4 <i>Structure</i> | 16 |
| 2.3.5 <i>Content</i> | 17 |
| 2.3.6 <i>Article 24</i> | 19 |
| 2.3.7 <i>Implications</i> | 21 |
| 2.4 POLICY | 23 |
| 2.4.1 <i>Policy Theory</i> | 23 |
| 2.4.2 <i>Policy for Inclusive Education</i> | 24 |
| 2.4.3 <i>Extent of Policy commitment</i> | 27 |
| 2.4.4 <i>Policy for Inclusive Education in the Middle East</i> | 29 |
| 2.5 POLICY IMPLEMENTATION | 33 |
| 2.6 PHILOSOPHY EMBEDDED IN POLICY DOCUMENTS | 34 |
| 2.7 THE WRITTEN DOCUMENT | 38 |

| | | |
|--------|---|-----------|
| 2.7.1 | <i>Definitions</i> | 39 |
| 2.7.2 | <i>Terminology</i> | 39 |
| 2.7.3 | <i>Semantics</i> | 39 |
| 2.7.4 | <i>Loopholes</i> | 40 |
| 2.8 | ATTITUDES OF TEACHERS..... | 42 |
| 2.9 | CONFLICTING RIGHTS | 45 |
| 2.10 | STANDARDS AGENDA..... | 46 |
| 2.11 | CATEGORISING DISABILITY..... | 48 |
| 2.12 | THE EXPERT MODEL..... | 51 |
| 2.13 | THE CONTINUUM OF PLACEMENTS | 53 |
| 2.14 | RESOURCE DISTRIBUTION..... | 55 |
| 2.15 | THE ECONOMICS OF INCLUSION..... | 56 |
| 2.16 | SHORTAGE OF EMPIRICAL EVIDENCE..... | 60 |
| 2.17 | FURTHER RESEARCH OPPORTUNITIES..... | 61 |
| 2.18 | CONCLUSION | 62 |
| | CHAPTER THREE: METHODOLOGY | 63 |
| 3.1 | INTRODUCTION | 63 |
| 3.2 | RESEARCH QUESTIONS | 63 |
| 3.3 | THEORETICAL PERSPECTIVE..... | 64 |
| 3.3.1 | <i>Social Model</i> | 64 |
| 3.3.2 | <i>Positivism and Interpretivism</i> | 66 |
| 3.3.3 | <i>Transformative research paradigm</i> | 67 |
| 3.4 | RESEARCH DESIGN..... | 69 |
| 3.5 | RESEARCH SAMPLE | 72 |
| 3.5.1 | <i>Population</i> | 72 |
| 3.5.2 | <i>Participants</i> | 77 |
| 3.6 | DATA GATHERING..... | 80 |
| 3.6.1 | <i>Replication</i> | 80 |
| 3.6.2 | <i>P2i Toolkit</i> | 83 |
| 3.6.3 | <i>Legislation Questionnaire A</i> | 85 |
| 3.6.4 | <i>Policy Questionnaire B</i> | 86 |
| 3.6.5 | <i>National Education Landscape Questionnaire C</i> | 86 |
| 3.6.6 | <i>School Questionnaire D</i> | 87 |
| 3.6.7 | <i>Local Education Landscape Interview E</i> | 88 |
| 3.6.8 | <i>Typical Learning Career Interview F</i> | 88 |
| 3.6.9 | <i>Modification</i> | 89 |
| 3.6.10 | <i>Translation</i> | 89 |
| 3.7 | TRUSTWORTHINESS | 90 |
| 3.8 | ANALYSIS..... | 93 |
| 3.8.1 | <i>Data reduction (Step 1)</i> | 95 |
| 3.8.2 | <i>Data display (Step 2)</i> | 96 |
| 3.8.3 | <i>Data transformation (Step 3)</i> | 99 |
| 3.8.4 | <i>Data correlation (Step 4)</i> | 101 |
| 3.8.5 | <i>Data consolidating (Step 5)</i> | 102 |
| 3.8.6 | <i>Data comparison (Step 6)</i> | 103 |
| 3.8.7 | <i>Data integration (Step 7)</i> | 104 |
| 3.9 | ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS | 104 |
| 3.9.1 | <i>Respect for persons</i> | 105 |
| 3.9.2 | <i>Minimisation of harm to participants, researchers, institutions and groups</i> | 105 |
| 3.9.3 | <i>Informed and voluntary consent</i> | 108 |
| 3.9.4 | <i>Respect for privacy and confidentiality</i> | 109 |

| | | |
|--|--|------------|
| 3.9.5 | <i>The avoidance of unnecessary deception</i> | 109 |
| 3.9.6 | <i>Avoidance of conflict of interest</i> | 110 |
| 3.9.7 | <i>Social and cultural sensitivity.....</i> | 110 |
| 3.9.8 | <i>Justice.....</i> | 111 |
| CHAPTER FOUR: FINDINGS - THREE COUNTRIES..... | | 113 |
| 4.1 | INTRODUCTION | 113 |
| 4.2 | THE KINGDOM OF BAHRAIN | 116 |
| 4.3 | THE REPUBLIC OF IRAQ..... | 120 |
| 4.4 | THE HASHEMITE KINGDOM OF JORDAN | 124 |
| CHAPTER FIVE: FINDINGS - POLICY..... | | 127 |
| 5.1 | INTRODUCTION | 127 |
| 5.2 | FINDINGS: LEGISLATION QUESTIONNAIRE A..... | 128 |
| 5.2.1 | <i>International Commitment</i> | 130 |
| 5.2.2 | <i>Constitution.....</i> | 133 |
| 5.2.3 | <i>Disability Law.....</i> | 134 |
| 5.2.4 | <i>Disability Strategy.....</i> | 134 |
| 5.2.5 | <i>Education Law.....</i> | 136 |
| 5.2.6 | <i>Education Strategy.....</i> | 136 |
| 5.2.7 | <i>Inclusive Education Law.....</i> | 138 |
| 5.2.8 | <i>Inclusive Education Strategy.....</i> | 138 |
| 5.3 | FINDINGS: POLICY QUESTIONNAIRE B..... | 139 |
| 5.4 | INCLUSION BAROMETER RATINGS..... | 143 |
| 5.4.1 | <i>Country X.....</i> | 145 |
| 5.4.2 | <i>Country Y.....</i> | 146 |
| 5.4.3 | <i>Country Z.....</i> | 147 |
| CHAPTER SIX: FINDINGS - PRACTICE..... | | 148 |
| 6.1 | INTRODUCTION | 148 |
| 6.2 | FINDINGS..... | 151 |
| 6.2.1 | <i>Practice of inclusive education on preschool, primary school and secondary level (Indicator 1)</i> | 152 |
| 6.2.2 | <i>Practice of free primary inclusive education (Indicator 2)</i> | 156 |
| 6.2.3 | <i>Practice of equal access to regular schools in the community (Indicator 3).....</i> | 158 |
| 6.2.4 | <i>Practice of equal access to tertiary education (Indicator 4)</i> | 159 |
| 6.2.5 | <i>Practice of participation in decision making on inclusive education (Indicator 5).....</i> | 160 |
| 6.2.6 | <i>Practice of assessment procedures supportive of inclusive education (Indicator 6).....</i> | 161 |
| 6.2.7 | <i>Practice of accommodation of individual access and learning requirements (architectural) (Indicator 7)</i> | 163 |
| 6.2.8 | <i>Practice of accommodation of individual access and learning requirements (personal/technical) (Indicator 8)</i> | 165 |
| 6.2.9 | <i>Practice of accommodation of educational measures (Indicator 9)</i> | 166 |
| 6.2.10 | <i>Practice of facilitation of learning of Braille, sign language and other forms of communication and orientation (Indicator 10).....</i> | 167 |
| 6.2.11 | <i>Practice of training of teachers and staff and employment of qualified teachers (Indicator 11).....</i> | 169 |
| 6.2.12 | <i>Practice of monitoring of inclusive education (Indicator 12)</i> | 170 |
| 6.2.13 | <i>Practice of activities of professional associations and measures for awareness raising of governments (Indicator 13)</i> | 171 |
| 6.3 | INCLUSION BAROMETER RATINGS..... | 172 |
| 6.3.1 | <i>Country X.....</i> | 174 |
| 6.3.2 | <i>Country Y.....</i> | 175 |
| 6.3.3 | <i>Country Z.....</i> | 176 |

| | |
|---|------------|
| CHAPTER SEVEN: FINDINGS - PROGRESS | 177 |
| 7.1 INTRODUCTION | 177 |
| 7.2 FINDINGS..... | 179 |
| 7.2.1 <i>Development of inclusive education on preschool, primary school and secondary level (Indicator 1)</i> | 181 |
| 7.2.2 <i>Development of equal access to regular schools in the community (Indicator 3).....</i> | 183 |
| 7.2.3 <i>Development of equal access to tertiary education (Indicator 4).....</i> | 185 |
| 7.2.4 <i>Development of participation in decision making on inclusive education (Indicator 5)</i> | 186 |
| 7.2.5 <i>Development of assessment procedures supportive of inclusive education (Indicator 6)</i> | 187 |
| 7.2.6 <i>Development of accommodation of individual access and learning requirements (architectural) (Indicator 7)</i> | 188 |
| 7.2.7 <i>Development of accommodation of individual access and learning requirements (personal/technical) (Indicator 8)</i> | 190 |
| 7.2.8 <i>Development of accommodation of educational measures (Indicator 9).....</i> | 191 |
| 7.2.9 <i>Development of facilitation of learning of Braille, sign language and other forms of communication and orientation (Indicator 10).....</i> | 192 |
| 7.2.10 <i>Development of training of teachers and staff and employment of qualified teachers (Indicator 11)</i> | 194 |
| 7.2.11 <i>Development of monitoring of inclusive education (Indicator 12).....</i> | 195 |
| 7.2.12 <i>Development of activities of professional associations and measures for awareness raising of governments (Indicator 13)</i> | 196 |
| 7.2.13 <i>Development of perspectives on progression of inclusive education (Indicator 14).....</i> | 197 |
| 7.3 INCLUSION BAROMETER RATINGS..... | 198 |
| 7.3.1 <i>Country X.....</i> | 199 |
| 7.3.2 <i>Country Y.....</i> | 200 |
| 7.3.3 <i>Country Z.....</i> | 201 |
| CHAPTER EIGHT: DISCUSSION | 202 |
| 8.1 INTRODUCTION | 202 |
| 8.2 STRENGTHS APPROACH | 204 |
| 8.3 GUIDING RESEARCH QUESTION 1 – POLICY DIMENSION..... | 209 |
| 8.3.1 <i>Strength: Inclusive terminology</i> | 210 |
| 8.3.2 <i>Strength: Guarantee and Provision of Education for All</i> | 211 |
| 8.3.3 <i>Strength: Knowledge based global community – rights of all</i> | 212 |
| 8.4 GUIDING RESEARCH QUESTION 2 – PRACTICE DIMENSION | 216 |
| 8.4.1 <i>Strength: Traditional Values</i> | 217 |
| 8.4.2 <i>Strength: Islamic Tradition of Human Dignity.....</i> | 219 |
| 8.4.3 <i>Strength: Interdependence</i> | 221 |
| 8.4.4 <i>Strength: Exemplary programme of education for blind students in regular schools.....</i> | 225 |
| 8.4.5 <i>Strength: Whole class instruction</i> | 226 |
| 8.4.6 <i>Strength: Inclusion with few resources</i> | 229 |
| 8.5 GUIDING RESEARCH QUESTION 3 – PROGRESS DIMENSION | 234 |
| 8.5.1 <i>Strength: Inclusion for inclusion.....</i> | 235 |
| 8.5.2 <i>Strength: Impetus for Professional Development</i> | 238 |
| 8.5.3 <i>Strength: Building of new schools.....</i> | 239 |
| 8.5.4 <i>Strength: Development of innovative technologies.....</i> | 240 |
| 8.5.5 <i>Strength: Innovative Approaches to Funding Modernisation</i> | 243 |
| 8.6 OVERALL RESEARCH QUESTION | 246 |
| 8.7 CONCLUSION | 249 |

| | |
|---|------------|
| CHAPTER NINE: CONCLUSION | 250 |
| 9.1 SITUATING THE CONCLUSIONS | 250 |
| 9.2 IMPLICATIONS FOR MONITORING OF THE UNCRPD | 251 |
| 9.3 IMPLICATIONS FOR POLICY | 252 |
| 9.4 IMPLICATIONS FOR PRACTICE | 253 |
| 9.5 IMPLICATIONS FOR PROGRESS | 255 |
| 9.6 IMPLICATIONS FOR THE P2i TOOLKIT | 256 |
| 9.7 LIMITATIONS | 257 |
| 9.8 FURTHER RESEARCH | 258 |
| 9.9 FINAL COMMENTS | 259 |
| REFERENCES..... | 262 |
| APPENDICES..... | 290 |

List of Figures

| | |
|---|-----|
| FIGURE 3-1: RESEARCH PARADIGMS AND MODELS FOR DISABILITY | 68 |
| FIGURE 3-2: FILTERS FOR SELECTING PARTICIPATING COUNTRIES | 76 |
| FIGURE 3-3: PROCESS OF IDENTIFYING KEY INFORMANTS | 80 |
| FIGURE 3-4: FOUR STEP TRANSLATION CHECKING PROCEDURE | 90 |
| FIGURE 3-5: PATTERN MATCHING | 96 |
| FIGURE 3-6: EXAMPLE OF THE PROCESS FOR CONSOLIDATING DATA FOR INDICATOR 1, PROGRESS DIMENSION...102 | 102 |
| FIGURE 3-7: EXAMPLE OF THE PROCESS FOR CONSOLIDATING DATA FOR INDICATOR 13, PROGRESS DIMENSION.103 | 103 |
| FIGURE 6-1: SCHOOL ENROLLMENT FOR CHILDREN WHO ARE DISABLED IN ONE COUNTRY | 153 |
| FIGURE 8-1: PROGRESSIVE ALIGNMENT OF UNCRPD INDICATORS AND FINDINGS | 205 |
| FIGURE 8-2: WEB OF STRENGTHS FOR INCLUSIVE EDUCATION | 248 |

List of Tables

| | |
|---|-----|
| TABLE 2-1: CORE HUMAN RIGHTS TREATIES | 14 |
| TABLE 2-2: GENERAL PRINCIPLES OF THE UNCRPD | 17 |
| TABLE 2-3: ARTICLES 10-30 OF THE CONVENTION ON THE RIGHTS OF PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES | 18 |
| TABLE 2-4: INTERNATIONAL POLICY DOCUMENTS | 28 |
| TABLE 3-1: COUNTRIES IN THE MIDDLE EAST | 74 |
| TABLE 3-2: PARTICIPATING COUNTRY SELECTION | 75 |
| TABLE 3-3: DIVERSITY CRITERIA..... | 76 |
| TABLE 3-4: THE RESEARCH DIMENSIONS ALIGNED WITH THE GUIDING RESEARCH QUESTIONS..... | 81 |
| TABLE 3-5: INDICATORS DERIVED FROM THE UNCRPD..... | 84 |
| TABLE 3-6: OVERVIEW OF ALL QUESTIONNAIRES AND INTERVIEWS IN THE P2I TOOLKIT..... | 85 |
| TABLE 3-7: FRAMEWORK OF ANALYSIS..... | 94 |
| TABLE 3-8: EXPANDED INDICATORS | 97 |
| TABLE 3-9: PATTERN MATCHING WITH THE INDICATORS..... | 98 |
| TABLE 3-10: INCLUSION BAROMETER | 100 |
| TABLE 3-11: STRENGTHS IN 'INCLUSIVENESS OF EDUCATION' | 104 |
| TABLE 4-1: ORGANISATION OF THE FINDINGS..... | 114 |
| TABLE 4-2: INDICATORS..... | 115 |
| TABLE 5-1: CORRESPONDENCE BETWEEN LEGISLATIVE QUESTIONNAIRE A AND THE INDICATORS - POLICY | 129 |
| TABLE 5-2: LEGISLATIVE QUESTIONNAIRE A RATINGS OF THREE COUNTRIES | 130 |
| TABLE 5-3: COMMITMENT TO OTHER INTERNATIONAL DOCUMENTS LEADING UP TO INCLUSIVE EDUCATION..... | 132 |
| TABLE 5-4: ASSESSMENT OF NATIONAL EDUCATION STRATEGIES FOR THREE COUNTRIES..... | 137 |
| TABLE 5-5: STRATEGY ALIGNED WITH THE INDICATORS..... | 139 |
| TABLE 5-6: CORRESPONDENCE BETWEEN POLICY QUESTIONNAIRES B ₁ , B ₂ AND B ₃ AND THE INDICATORS - POLICY | 140 |
| TABLE 5-7: POLICY QUESTIONNAIRES B ₁ AND B ₂ RATINGS OF THREE COUNTRIES | 141 |
| TABLE 5-8: POLICY QUESTIONNAIRE B ₃ RATINGS OF THREE COUNTRIES..... | 142 |
| TABLE 5-9: EXAMPLE OF THE ANALYSIS FRAMEWORK APPLIED TO THE FINDINGS OF INDICATOR 3, COUNTRY X..... | 143 |
| TABLE 5-10: EXAMPLE OF THE ANALYSIS FRAMEWORK APPLIED TO THE FINDINGS OF INDICATOR 6, COUNTRY X..... | 144 |
| TABLE 5-11: POLICY BAROMETER RATING - COUNTRY X..... | 145 |
| TABLE 5-12: POLICY BAROMETER RATING - COUNTRY Y | 146 |
| TABLE 5-13: POLICY BAROMETER RATING - COUNTRY Z | 147 |
| TABLE 6-1: CORRESPONDENCE BETWEEN QUESTIONNAIRES AND INTERVIEWS AND THE INDICATORS - PRACTICE..... | 149 |
| TABLE 6-2: EXAMPLE OF A DATA GROUP..... | 150 |
| TABLE 6-3: NATIONAL EDUCATION LANDSCAPE QUESTIONNAIRE C ₁ RATINGS OF THREE COUNTRIES..... | 151 |
| TABLE 6-4: QUESTIONS FOR INDICATOR 1, PRACTICE | 152 |
| TABLE 6-5: MINISTRY OF EDUCATION SEN STATISTICS FOR ACADEMIC YEAR 2012/2013 | 155 |
| TABLE 6-6: RESPONSES TO QUESTION 8 OF THE SCHOOL QUESTIONNAIRE..... | 155 |
| TABLE 6-7: PROGRESSION FROM PRIMARY TO SECONDARY SCHOOL IN ONE COUNTRY | 155 |
| TABLE 6-8: QUESTION FOR INDICATOR 2, PRACTICE | 156 |
| TABLE 6-9: QUESTIONS FOR INDICATOR 3, PRACTICE | 158 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| TABLE 6-10: RESPONSES TO QUESTIONS 14 AND 15 OF THE SCHOOL QUESTIONNAIRE | 159 |
| TABLE 6-11: QUESTIONS FOR INDICATOR 4, PRACTICE | 159 |
| TABLE 6-12: RESPONSES TO QUESTION 21 OF THE SCHOOL QUESTIONNAIRE..... | 160 |
| TABLE 6-13: QUESTIONS FOR INDICATOR 5, PRACTICE..... | 161 |
| TABLE 6-14: QUESTIONS FOR INDICATOR 6, PRACTICE..... | 162 |
| TABLE 6-15: QUESTIONS FOR INDICATOR 7, PRACTICE | 163 |
| TABLE 6-16: RESPONSES TO QUESTIONS FROM THE NATIONAL EDUCATION LANDSCAPE QUESTIONNAIRE AND THE SCHOOL QUESTIONNAIRE | 164 |
| TABLE 6-17: QUESTIONS FOR INDICATOR 8, PRACTICE | 165 |
| TABLE 6-18: QUESTIONS FOR INDICATOR 9, PRACTICE..... | 166 |
| TABLE 6-19: QUESTIONS FOR INDICATOR 10, PRACTICE..... | 168 |
| TABLE 6-20: QUESTIONS FOR INDICATOR 11, PRACTICE | 169 |
| TABLE 6-21: QUESTIONS FOR INDICATOR 12, PRACTICE | 170 |
| TABLE 6-22: QUESTIONS FOR INDICATOR 13, PRACTICE..... | 171 |
| TABLE 6-23: RESPONSES TO QUESTION 20 OF THE SCHOOL QUESTIONNAIRE..... | 171 |
| TABLE 6-24: EXAMPLE OF THE ANALYSIS FRAMEWORK APPLIED TO INDICATOR 3, COUNTRY X | 172 |
| TABLE 6-25: PRACTICE BAROMETER RATING - COUNTRY X | 174 |
| TABLE 6-26: PRACTICE BAROMETER RATING - COUNTRY Y | 175 |
| TABLE 6-27: PRACTICE BAROMETER RATING - COUNTRY Z..... | 176 |
| TABLE 7-1: CORRESPONDENCE BETWEEN QUESTIONNAIRES AND INTERVIEWS AND THE INDICATORS - PROGRESS..... | 178 |
| TABLE 7-2: EXAMPLE OF A DATA GROUP | 179 |
| TABLE 7-3: NATIONAL EDUCATION LANDSCAPE QUESTIONNAIRE C2 RATINGS OF THREE COUNTRIES | 180 |
| TABLE 7-4: QUESTIONS FOR INDICATOR 1, PROGRESS | 181 |
| TABLE 7-5: RESPONSES TO QUESTIONS 1, 2 AND 3 OF THE NATIONAL EDUCATION LANDSCAPE QUESTIONNAIRE C2 | 182 |
| TABLE 7-6: RESPONSES TO QUESTION 17 OF THE SCHOOL QUESTIONNAIRE..... | 183 |
| TABLE 7-7: QUESTIONS FOR INDICATOR 3, PROGRESS | 184 |
| TABLE 7-8: RESPONSES TO QUESTION 16 OF THE SCHOOL QUESTIONNAIRE | 184 |
| TABLE 7-9: QUESTIONS FOR INDICATOR 4, PROGRESS..... | 186 |
| TABLE 7-10: QUESTIONS FOR INDICATOR 5, PROGRESS | 186 |
| TABLE 7-11: QUESTIONS FOR INDICATOR 6, PROGRESS..... | 188 |
| TABLE 7-12: QUESTIONS FOR INDICATOR 7, PROGRESS | 188 |
| TABLE 7-13: RESPONSES TO QUESTIONS 8 AND 10 OF THE NATIONAL EDUCATION LANDSCAPE QUESTIONNAIRE C2 | 189 |
| TABLE 7-14: QUESTIONS FOR INDICATOR 8, PROGRESS | 190 |
| TABLE 7-15: RESPONSES TO QUESTIONS 9, 11 AND 12 OF THE NATIONAL EDUCATION LANDSCAPE QUESTIONNAIRE C2 | 190 |
| TABLE 7-16: QUESTIONS FOR INDICATOR 9, PROGRESS | 191 |
| TABLE 7-17: QUESTIONS FOR INDICATOR 10, PROGRESS | 192 |
| TABLE 7-18: RESPONSES TO QUESTIONS 14 AND 15 OF THE NATIONAL EDUCATION LANDSCAPE QUESTIONNAIRE C2 | 193 |
| TABLE 7-19: QUESTIONS FOR INDICATOR 11, PROGRESS | 194 |
| TABLE 7-20: QUESTIONS FOR INDICATOR 12, PROGRESS..... | 195 |
| TABLE 7-21: QUESTIONS FOR INDICATOR 13, PROGRESS | 196 |
| TABLE 7-22: QUESTIONS FOR INDICATOR 14, PROGRESS..... | 197 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| TABLE 7-23: RESPONSES TO QUESTIONS FROM THE NATIONAL EDUCATION LANDSCAPE QUESTIONNAIRE, THE POLICY QUESTIONNAIRE AND THE SCHOOL QUESTIONNAIRE..... | 198 |
| TABLE 7-24: PROGRESS BAROMETER RATING - COUNTRY X | 199 |
| TABLE 7-25: PROGRESS BAROMETER RATING - COUNTRY Y..... | 200 |
| TABLE 7-26: PROGRESS BAROMETER RATING - COUNTRY Z..... | 201 |
| TABLE 8-1: DIMENSIONS OF THE RESEARCH QUESTION | 202 |
| TABLE 8-2: LINKS BETWEEN THE LITERATURE REVIEW AND THE DISCUSSION CHAPTERS..... | 207 |
| TABLE 8-3: OVERALL INCLUSION BAROMETER RATINGS SHOWING STRENGTHS - POLICY | 209 |
| TABLE 8-4: OVERALL INCLUSION BAROMETER RATINGS SHOWING STRENGTHS - PRACTICE | 216 |
| TABLE 8-5: OVERALL INCLUSION BAROMETER RATINGS SHOWING STRENGTHS - PROGRESS | 234 |
| TABLE 8-6: COMPARISON OF INCLUSION BAROMETER RATINGS FOR INDICATOR 3 FOR 3 COUNTRIES | 236 |
| TABLE 8-7: OVERALL INCLUSION BAROMETER RATINGS SHOWING STRENGTHS ACROSS ALL DIMENSIONS | 246 |

List of Appendices

| | |
|---|-----|
| APPENDIX A: CORRESPONDENCE BETWEEN THE INDICATORS AND THE UNCRPD | 290 |
| APPENDIX B: SET OF CRITERIA FOR COMBINING MULTIPLE RATINGS INTO ONE BAROMETER RATING..... | 291 |
| APPENDIX C: ETHICS APPROVAL..... | 292 |
| APPENDIX D: CONFIDENTIALITY AGREEMENT..... | 293 |
| APPENDIX E: INFORMATION SHEET - QUESTIONNAIRE | 294 |
| APPENDIX F: INFORMATION SHEET - INTERVIEW..... | 298 |
| APPENDIX G: INFORMATION SHEET – SCHOOL PRINCIPALS | 302 |
| APPENDIX H: CONSENT FORM - QUESTIONNAIRE | 306 |
| APPENDIX I: CONSENT FORM - INTERVIEW..... | 308 |
| APPENDIX J: TRANSCRIBER'S CONFIDENTIALITY AGREEMENT | 310 |
| APPENDIX K: AUTHORITY FOR RELEASE OF TRANSCRIPTS..... | 311 |
| APPENDIX L: LEGISLATION QUESTIONNAIRE A | 313 |
| APPENDIX M: POLICY QUESTIONNAIRE B1..... | 316 |
| APPENDIX N: POLICY QUESTIONNAIRE B2 | 320 |
| APPENDIX O: POLICY QUESTIONNAIRE B3 | 324 |
| APPENDIX P: NATIONAL EDUCATION LANDSCAPE QUESTIONNAIRE C1..... | 328 |
| APPENDIX Q: NATIONAL EDUCATION LANDSCAPE QUESTIONNAIRE C2..... | 340 |
| APPENDIX R: SCHOOL QUESTIONNAIRE D..... | 352 |
| APPENDIX S: LOCAL EDUCATION LANDSCAPE INTERVIEW E..... | 361 |
| APPENDIX T: TYPICAL LEARNING CAREER INTERVIEW F..... | 364 |
| APPENDIX U: RATIFICATION TABLE..... | 368 |
| APPENDIX V: COMPILATION OF BAROMETER RATINGS | 369 |
| APPENDIX W: SET OF CRITERIA FOR TRANSFORMING DATA TO ALIGN WITH THE BAROMETER RATING..... | 370 |