Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

Interaction of population processes in ragwort (Senecio jacobaea L.) and ragwort flea beetle (Longitarsus jacobaeae Waterhouse)

A Thesis presented in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Ecology at Massey University.

Aung Kyi

April 2000

Declaration of Originality

This thesis represents the original work of the author, except where otherwise acknowledged. It has not been submitted previously for a degree at any University.

Aung Kyi

Acknowledgements

I am sincerely grateful to my supervisors, **Professor Brian Springett, Dr Ian Stringer** (Ecology, the Institute of Natural Resources, Massey University), and **Dr Peter McGregor** (Landcare Research) for their encouragement, supervision and patience over this study and their help in drafting this thesis.

I am also indebted to Mr Keith Betteridge (AgResearch) for his valuable advice on the laboratory study on the movement of first instar larvae of ragwort flea beetle in different soils; Dr. Ed Minot (Ecology, Massey University) for explaining how to use the STELLA model; Dr. Jill Rapson (Ecology, Massey University) for comments on my PhD thesis proposal; Dr. Siva Ganesh, Dr. Jenny Brown and Mr. Duncan Hedderley (Statistics, Massey University) for help with univariate and multivariate analyses; and Dr. Kerry Harrington (Plant Science, Institute of Natural Resources, Massey University) for his comments and suggestion on the toxicity of ragwort and its chemical control.

Thanks also to Paul Peterson (Landcare Research) and Brent Page (Food and Crop Research) for their help in collecting ragwort flea beetle and Liz Grant for Figs 2.1 & 3.1. I also thank Barbara Just, Jens Jorgensen, Hamish Mack, Paul Barrett, Tracy Harris, Cathy Lake, Erica Reid, Jodi Matenga and all other staff from the Ecology Group, Institute of Natural Resources, Massey University for their help during my studies.

David J. Bull (Grounds supervisor, Massey University) kindly obtained an area for my field experiments, Dr David Barker, (AgResearch) generously made weather records for Ballantrae available to me, Dr Travis Glare (AgResearch) identified the fungal disease of ragwort flea beetle larvae, and Dr Mike Baxter (Microbiology, Massey University) identified the fungal disease of ragwort flea beetle. Thanks are due to Landcare Research for permission to use the three upper photographs on the title page.

My sincere gratude is due to the New Zealand Ministry of Foreign Affairs and Trade under its Official Development Assistance Post Graduate Scholarship (NZODA-PGS) Scheme for financial support. In addition, I am grateful to Bruce Graham, Charles Chua, Dianne Rieley, Margaret Smillie, Dianne Fountaine Cody, and Jo Donovan, (all of Massey University) for their help and support during my studies.

I am grateful for the friendship and interest shown by the senior students of the Ecology Group, Institute of Natural Resources, Massey University.

Finally, special thanks to my parents, my wife Daw Yi Yi Thein, my son Aung Myat Thar, and my two daughters Chun Myat Thar and Soe Pyay Thar for their encouragement during this study.

Abstract

The primary goal of this study was to improve understanding of biological control of weeds by investigating how population processes in ragwort and herbivorous insect interact.

Specific aims were to measure the consumption rates of the three larval instars of ragwort flea beetle (*Longitarsus jacobaeae*), to investigate how the process of herbivory by ragwort flea beetle affects the population density of ragwort, and to investigate how soil moisture influences the population densities of ragwort flea beetle and ragwort.

An extraction apparatus was constructed to obtain *L. jacobaeae* larvae from ragwort roots and root crowns. This apparatus was 84% efficient.

A preliminary survey of ragwort flea beetle numbers included ragwort plants from Ballantrae, Turakina, and Pahiatua (Southern North Island, New Zealand). The larval population was highest at Ballantrae but the adult population was highest at Turakina.

Data were collected from Ballantrae from 1996 to 1998 to develop the interaction model between *L. jacobaeae* and ragwort. The interaction depended on the effect that soil water content had on the populations of both *L. jacobaeae* and ragwort, the effect that larval density has on larval mortality, and the effect of ragwort density on the population of *L. jacobaeae* larvae. Soil water content was positively correlated with the increase in numbers of *L. jacobaeae*. *L. jacobaeae* larval mortality was dependent on larval density. High numbers of larvae per plant resulted in a reduction in the number of larvae over time (13.6 larvae/plant on November 1997 to 1.8 larvae/plant in December 1997). The average number of larvae extracted at Ballantrae was lower in October and November 1996 (4.4 and 4.6 larvae/plant) than in October and November 1997 (13.4 and 13.6 larvae/plant). However, the average numbers of rosettes was higher in October and November 1996 (7.6 and 5.78m⁻²) than in October and November 1997 (2.8 and 2.7 m⁻²). There was a significant inverse correlation between the numbers of *L. jacobaeae* larvae and ragwort rosettes (-0.4608). When

0.8983 in 15 day old larvae, 0.9261 in 30 day old larvae, and 0.9454 in 45 day old larvae. The lowest percentage survival (0.9067 in 15 day old larvae) was found at the highest larval density (40 larvae per plant). Finally, the same experiment was tested in a field and the data from this was used to construct an interaction model for L. jacobaeae and its food, ragwort. This model was based on the correlation between soil water and populations of L. jacobaeae and ragwort; the effect of larval density on the mortality of larvae and on the weight loss of ragwort; and on the effect that ragwort density has on the mortality of L. jacobaeae larvae. Mean soil water was 12 \pm 0.29 to 76 \pm 1.81 % over the first 15 days, then 36 \pm 1.10 to 82 \pm 0.99% up to 30 days, and 35 \pm 0.76 to 65 \pm 1.78% up to 45 days of larval life. These were the soil water contents that occurred during the field experiment. The model showed that the highest larval survival again occurred when few larvae were introduced to ragwort plants (17.5% survival from 0-15 days, 14.33% from 16-30 days, and 18.5% from 31-45 days). High larval densities also produced the lowest survival (8.4% survival over 0-15 days, 5.87% over 16–30 days, and 6.7% over 31-45 days).

The effect of plant density on larval survival was also tested in the field. The highest larval survival (10.76%) occurred when there were on 16 plants m⁻², and the larvae were 0 to 15 –days old. The lowest larval survival (6.61%) occurred with 16-30 day old larvae on plants at a density of 4 plantsm⁻². A cohort life-table was constructed for predicting population fluctuations of *L. jacobaeae*. Values from this life table were used to model populations of *L. jacobaeae*, ragwort and the interactions between these species using "STELLA" software. Data for the ragwort model was obtained from published papers. Additional data from the experimental determination of feeding rates of *L. jacobaeae* larvae were used when both the *L. jacobaeae* and ragwort models were combined to examine the interactions between these species. This latter model was used to estimate population fluctuations of *L. jacobaeae* and its food over two years. It indicated that *L. jacobaeae* is a very effective control agent for ragwort, and that it can cause ragwort populations to decline to extinction within two years.

Table of Contents

Title		i
	laration of Originality	ii
	nowledgements	iii
	tract	v
	le of Contents	viii
	of Figures	xii
	of Tables	XV
SEC	CTION I: INTRODUCTION AND METHODS	
Cha	pter One: Introduction	
1.1	Introduction	1
	Senecio jacobaea L., Ragwort (Asteraceae)	1
	Biology and Ecology of Ragwort	1
	Vegetative and Reproductive Stages	1
	Seeds	
	Dispersal	2 3
	Germination and Dormancy	3
	Establishment	4
	Weed Status	
	Distribution	5 5
	Abundance	5
	Toxicity of ragwort	6
1.3	Methods of Management	7
	Mechanical Removal	7
	Management by Herbicides	8
	Management by Grazing	9
	Management by Microbial agents	9
	Management by Tetranychid mite	9
	Management by Insects	10
	Cinnabar moth Tyria jacobaeae (Lepidoptera: Arctiidae)	11
	Ragwort Seedfly Hylemyia spp (Diptera: Anthomyiidae)	12
	Ragwort Flea Beetle Longitarsus jacobaeae Waterhouse	.13
	(Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae)	
	Background	13
	Description and Life History	14
	Host Plant Specificity	16
	Effectiveness as a Biological Control Agent	17
	Factors affecting the survival of L. jacobaeae	18
1.4	Aims of the Project	19
1.5	References	20

Chapter Two: MATERIALS AND GENERAL METHODS	
2. A An apparatus for extracting ragwort flea beetle larvae and	34
other organisms from soil.	2.4
2.1 Abstract 2.2 Introduction	34
2.3 Materials and Methods	34
Heating box	35
	35 35
Collecting Funnels Cooling	37
Extraction	37
Raring first instar larvae of L. jacobaeae	38
Efficiency test	38
2.4 Results	39
2.5 Discussion	41
2.6 References	42
2.B Description of Statistical Analysis	45
SECTION II: FIELD STUDIES	
Chapter Three: Preliminary Studies	
3 Preliminary studies of Longitarsus jacobaeae and the different	50
stages of ragwort plant in three sites.	
3.1 Abstract	50
3.2 Introduction	50
3.3 Study areas	51
3.4 Materials and Methods	51
Sampling Technique	51
Statistical method	53
3.5 Results	53
3.6 Discussion 3.7 References	55
5.7 References	56
Chapter Four: Interaction between L. jacobaeae and ragwort.	
4 Analysis of a simple interaction model of <i>L. jacobaeae</i> and its food	58
plant, ragwort.	50
4.1 Abstract	58
4.2 Introduction	58
4.3 Methods	59
Study area: Ballantrae	59
Sampling Technique Soil water content	59
Method of Extraction	60 60
Statistical Analysis	61
	O I

	X
4.4 Results	61
4.5 Discussion	72
4.6 References	75
SECTION III: EXPERIMENTAL STUDIES	
Chapter Five: Larval Consumption rates	
5 Measuring the consumption rates of ragwort by ragwort	79
flea beetle Longitarsus jacobaeae (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae)	
5.1 Abstract	79
5.2 Introduction	80
5.3 Methods	80
Laboratory experiment	80
Glasshouse experiment	81
5.4 Results	81
5.5 Discussion	85
5.6 Acknowledgements	86
5.7 References	87
Chapter Six: Larval movement 5 An experimental study of movement by first instar larvae of	89
ragwort flea beetle <i>Longitarsus jacobaeae</i> Waterhouse through soil of different textures.	
6.1 Abstract	89
6.2 Introduction	89
6.3 Materials and Methods	89
Statistical analysis	92
6.4 Results	92
6.5 Discussion	97
6.6 References	100
Chapter Seven: Larval Interplant Movement	
7 Experimental determination of effect of interplant distance on the	103
ability of L. jacobaeae larvae (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae)	
to transfer between ragwort plants.	
7. 1 Abstract	103
7.2 Introduction	103
7.3 Materials and Methods	104
7.4 Results	105
7.5 Discussion	107
7.6 References	108

Chapter Eight: Larval Survival

8 The relationship between larval survival and larval and plant	110
densities in the field.	110
8.1 Abstract	110
8.2 Introduction	110
8.3 Materials and Methods	111
8.3.1 Effects of soil water on L. jacobaeae and ragwort populations.	
8.3.2 Effects of L. jacobaeae larval density and ragwort density or	112
survival of larvae and growth of ragwort.	112
8.3.3 Fertility rate and Age-specific life table.	113
8.3.3.1 Fertility rate	113
8.3.3.2 Age-specific life table for L. jacobaeae	114
8.4 Results	115
8.4.1 Environmental conditions during the study	115
8.4.2 Effect of soil water on L. jacobaeae and ragwort populations	115
8.4.3 Effect of larval density and ragwort density on survival of larv	val 117
L. jacobaeae.	
8.4.4 Effect of L. jacobaeae larval density and ragwort density on	117
growth of ragwort rosette	
8.4.5 Fertility and age-specific life table	123
8.5 Discussion	124
8.6 References	127
Chapter Nine: Analysis of an insect-plant interaction model.	
9 Using STELLA to model Ragwort Flea Beetle and	131
Ragwort.	121
9.1 Abstract	131
9.2 Introduction	131
9.3 Ragwort flea beetle model	133
9.4 Ragwort model	134
9.5 Combining ragwort flea beetle and ragwort model 9.6 Results	135 136
9.7 Discussion	138
9.8 Acknowledgements	139 140
9.9 References	140
SECTION V: GENERAL DISCUSSION	
Chapter Ten: General Discussion	142
Appendices	157

List of Figures

Chap	ter 1 wo:	
2.1	Extracting apparatus for Longitarsus jacobaeae.	36
2.2	Relationship between extraction time (hours), temperature,	40
	and the number of L. jacobaeae larvae recovered.	
Chap	oter Three:	
3.1	Locations of the three study sites at Ballantrae, Pahiatua	52
	and Turakina.	
3.2	Mean numbers of L. jacobaeae and stages of ragwort plants	54
	found at the three study sites. Bars indicate ± 1 standard error.	
Chap	oter Four:	
4.1	The relationship between percent soil water and the mean	62
	population density of L.jacobaeae larvae and adults at	
	Ballantrae between May 1996 to August 1998.	
4.2	The relationship between percent soil water and the mean	63
	population densities of ragwort seedlings, rosettes and	
	flowering plants.	
4.3	Average monthly weather records (air temperature, soil	64
	temperature, and rainfall) and percent soil water for Ballantrae	
	between May 1996 and August 1998.	
4.4	Changes in the numbers of L. jacobaeae larvae and adults	67
	from May 1996 to August 1998 at Ballantrae. Bars indicate ± 1	
	standard error.	
4.5	Changes in the numbers of ragwort seedlings, rosettes, and	67
	flowering plants from May 1996 to August 1998 at Ballantrae.	
	Bars indicate ± 1 standard error.	
4.6	Correlations between the numbers of L. jacobaeae larvae	68
	and adults, and ragwort seedlings, rosettes, and flowering	
	plants found at Ballantrae between 1996 and 1998 (Bars indicate	

	± standard error).	
4.7	Plot of the first canonical scores for the mean values for	70
	L. jacobaeae larvae and for ragwort rosette plants of each	
	sample.	
4.8	Plot of the second canonical scores for the mean values for	70
	L. jacobaeae adults and for ragwort seedlings and flowering	
	plants of each sample.	
Chap	oter Five:	
5.1	Feeding rate of L. jacobaeae larvae in the laboratory and	83
	glasshouse. Bars indicate ±1 standard error.	
5.2	Average weight of single rosette ragwort plants in the	84
	laboratory and in the glasshouse. Bars indicate ± 1 standard error.	
Chap	oter Six:	
6.1	Exploded view of the test chamber used to investigate	91
	movement of first instar L. jacobaeae larvae through	
	soil. The three glass-sided trays shown fit one above	
	the other in the test chamber.	
6.2	(a) Movement of L. jacobaeae 1 st instar larvae in nine	94
	compartments (sectors) in sand. In figure 6.2 (a-c)	
	"Middle, Lower, and Upper" sectors refer to the three	
	trays shown in Fig6.1. "Centre, Cotton wool, and	
	Roots are three compartments in each tray. Bars indicate ± 1	
	standard error.	
	(b) Movement of L. jacobaeae 1st instar larvae in nine	94
	compartments (sectors) in silt. Bars indicate ± 1	
	standard error.	
	(c) Movement of L. jacobaeae 1st instar larvae in nine	95
	compartments (sectors) in 50% sand +50% silt. Bars	
	indicate ± 1 standard error.	
6.3	Means for movement experiment of L. jacobaeae 1st instar	96
	larvae in sand, silt, and sand silt mixture.	

)	(1	٧

6.4	Means for movement experiment of L. jacobaeae 1st instar	96
	larvae at nine compartments in sand, silt, and sand silt mixture.	
Chap	ter Seven:	
7.1	Number and percentage of L. jacobaeae larval movement from	105
	centre plant to the plants around the center plant which	
	were 50mm and 100 mm far from center plant between three	
	larval durations.	
Chap	ter Eight:	
8.1	The relationship between soil water content (%), the	116
	survival of <i>L. jacobaeae</i> larvae (%), and mean	
	plant weight (g/day).	
8.2	Variation in soil water content during the experiment.	116
8.3	The effects of L. jacobaeae larvae on single rosettes of	120
	ragwort (fresh weight) in relation to larval density and	
	plant density. Bars indicate ± standard error.	
8.4	The effects of L. jacobaeae larvae on single rosettes of	121
	ragwort (dry weight) in relation to larval density and	
	plant density. Bars indicate ± standard error.	
Char	oter Nine:	
9.1	The elements of a STELLA module.	132
9.2	STELLA-RFB Model.	133
9.3	The RAGWORT Model.	134
9.4	Interrelationship between RFB and ragwort, STELLA-	135
	RFB-RAGWORT Model.	
9.5	Population fluctuations of different stages of <i>L. jacobaeae</i>	137
	from 1 to 13 years from the output of the STELLA-RFB Model.	
	The numbers of each stage are shown for each year.	
9.6	Output of STELLA-RAGWORT Model for population	138
	changes of different stages of ragwort during 13 years.	
	The numbers of each stage are shown for each year.	

List of Tables

Chap	ter Two:	
2.1	Changes in the soil temperature and the number of	39
	L. jacobaeae leaving the soil over time during the extraction	
	process.	
2.2	Extraction efficiency using 1st instar L. jacobaeae larvae.	40
Chap	oter Three:	
3.1	Mean (± standard error) numbers of Longitarsus	53
	jacobaeae and ragwort found at three study sites (n=100).	
Chaj	oter Four:	
4.1	Correlation coefficients between percent soil water,	61
	L. jacobaeae (RBF) larvae, L. jacobaeae adults, ragwort	
	seedlings, rosettes, and flowering plants.	
4.2	Correlations between the numbers of Longitarsus	63
	jacobaeae and Senecio jacobaea, and soil water	
	and weather records from Ballantrae.	
4.3	ANOVA of the relationship between the percentage of soil	64
	water and the numbers of L. jacobaeae larvae and	
	adults (Model) at Ballantrae.	
4.4	Results of multiple canonical correlations analysis between	65
	the percentage of soil water and the numbers of	
	L. jacobaeae larvae and adults: parameter estimates.	
4.5	ANOVA of the relationship between the percentage of soil	65
	water and the numbers of ragwort seedlings, rosettes, and	
	flowering plants at Ballantrae	

		xv
4.6	Mean and standard error of population densities of	66
	L. jacobaeae larvae and adults, and ragwort seedlings,	
	rosettes and flowering plants, and the percentage of	
	soil water content at Ballantrae.	
4.7	Mean numbers of L. jacobaeae larvae, adult, ragwort	68
	seedlings, rosettes and flowering plants per sample and	
	the water content of the soil at Ballantrae between 1996	
	and1998.	
4.8	Canonical correlation matrix for L. jacobaeae and ragwort	69
	variables.	
4.9	Canonical correlations between weather records, and	71
	numbers of L. jacobaeae and Ragwort at Ballantrae.	
Chap	oter Five:	
5.1	Consumption rate of different stages of L. jacobaeae larvae	82
	in the laboratory and glasshouse.	
5.2	Relationship between survival of L. jacobaeae larvae in the	84
	laboratory and glasshouse and numbers of larvae per plant and	
	lengths of time with ragwort. Survival is given as the	
	probability of a larva surviving for one day.	
Chap	pter Six:	
6.1	ANOVA of movement by L. jacobaeae 1st instar larvae in	93
	three different soils. The Type I MS was used for BLOCK*SOIL	
	as an error term. The block term is the experimental replication.	
6.2	Mean number and Standard Error of L. jacobaeae 1st instar	93
	larvae in different sectors (nine compartments) in three different	
	soils during 12 hours. (Data from 4 replicates.)	
6.3	Tukey's studentized range multiple comparison test for	95
	movement of L. jacobaeae 1st instar larvae in the test chamber.	
	Means are for all three soil types combined; those with the same	

letter are not significantly different.

Cha	pter	Seven:	
-----	------	--------	--

7.1	TW-ANOVA (Two ways analysis of variance) for the	105
	effects of interplant distance on ability of larvae to transfer	
	between plants, the effects of larval durations and larval	
	densities on larval movement.	
7.2	Movement of larval L. jacobaeae between ragwort plants	106
	50 mm and 100 mm apart.	
Chaj	pter Eight:	
8.1	Relationship between duration of L. jacobaeae larvae in	115
	ragwort rosettes and soil water (%), larval survival (%),	
	and plant growth (g/day) (raw data is given in Appendix	
	8.3 & 8.4).	
8.2	Survival of L. jacobaeae larvae in relation to density of	117
	ragwort rosettes and time.	
8.3	Survival of L. jacobaeae larvae in relation to density of	117
	ragwort rosettes and time.	
8.4	ANOVA for the effect of L. jacobaeae larval density	118
	(0, 10, 20, 40/plant), plant density (1, 2, 4, 8 plant 0.5m ²),	
	and larval feeding duration (15 days, 30 days, and 45 days)	
	on ragwort rosette weight gain (dependent variable).	
8.5	(a) Mean fresh ragwort weight (g) with standard error in	119
	relation to plant density and larval of L. jacobaeae density	
	15 days after larval introduction.	
	(b) Mean dry ragwort weights (g) with standard errors in	119
	relation to plant density and larval of L. jacobaeae density	
	15 days after larval introduction.	
8.6	(a) Mean fresh ragwort weight (g) with standard error in	119
	relation to plant density and larval of L. jacobaeae density	
	30 days after larval introduction.	
	(b) Mean dry ragwort weights (g) with standard errors in	119
	relation to plant density and larval of L. jacobaeae density	
	introduction.	
8.7	(a) Mean fresh ragwort weights (g) with standard errors in	120

	relation to plant density and larval of L. jacobaeae density	
	45 days after larval introduction.	
	(b) Mean dry ragwort weights (g) with standard errors in	120
	relation to plant density and larval of L. jacobaeae density	
	days after larval introduction.	
8.8	Tukey's studentized range test for comparisons of larval	122
	densities effect on plant fresh weight (df = 167, MSE =	
	3329.2781, critical value of studentized range = 3.67,	
	All comparisons are significant at the 0.05 level).	
8.9	Tukey's studentized range test for comparisons of ragwort	122
	densities effect on plant fresh weight (alpha = 0.05, confidence =	
	0.95, df = 167, MSE = 3329.2781, critical value of studentized	
	range = 3.67).	
8.10	Tukey's studentized range test for comparisons of feeding	123
	duration effect on fresh weight of ragwort (alpha = 0.05,	
	confidence = 0.95 , df = 167 , MSE = 3329.2781 , critical value	
	of studentized range =3.67). All comparisons were significant	
	at the 0.05 level.	
8.11	Age specific life table for L. jacobaeae at 20°C (see 8.3.3.2	123
	for definitions). (l_x and d_x are calculated from Appendix 8.4 -	
	lva columns, and observed from Appendix 8.7 and 8.8).	